Repentir: Digital Exploration Beneath the Surface of an Oil Painting
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Abstract
Repentir is a mobile application that employs marker-less tracking and augmented reality to enable gallery visitors to explore the under drawing and successive stages of pigment beneath an oil painting’s surface. Repentir recognises the position and orientation of a specific painting within a photograph and precisely overlays images that were captured during that painting’s creation. The viewer may then browse through the work’s multiple states and closely examine its painted surface in one of two ways: sliding or rubbing. Our current prototype recognises realist painter Nathan Walsh’s most recent work, “Transamerica”. Repentir enables the viewer to explore intermediary stages in the painting’s development and see what is usually lost within the materially additive painting process. The prototype offers an innovative approach to digital reproduction and provides users with unique insights into the painter’s working method.
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Background and Motivation

Repentir or Pentimenti are changes that are made to a painting as an artist corrects mistakes or alters the composition of the final work. Such changes have long fascinated art historians and have been identified in old masters using technologies such as X-Rays. Repentir is a mobile application that allows gallery visitors to explore the hidden layers behind the surface of Transamerica, the latest work by Nathan Walsh. Walsh is a fine art painter in the hyperrealist school who sells his work in an international market brokered by a major gallery.

During a series of semi-structured interviews conducted with Walsh, he described the prolonged process (up to four months) employed in making his paintings [2]. His creative process involves multiple stages and techniques, which each have their own interesting effects and artifacts (Figure 1). An initial line drawing takes several weeks to achieve, and then successive layers of oil paint are built up until the final image is complete. The nature of the process is such that many intermediary but beautiful images are effectively covered up as the final piece is created [1].

Walsh was not interested in standard “giclee” reproductions of his work, which he regarded simply as “poorer versions” of the original. He was not however opposed to the idea of multiples or editions in principle. Rather he felt that prints should “stand on their own terms as pieces of work” [ibid]. Therefore, he was curious and enthusiastic about exploring forms of digital reproduction in the context of a programme of research investigating the notion of a “digital original” [5]. With this in mind Walsh agreed to make daily photographs of the next canvas he worked on in order to create a series of images documenting the development of a painting over time.

Revealing the Hidden Layers

Walsh has recorded Transamerica’s development since starting the painting at the beginning of September 2012. He uses a tripod mounted with a high quality stills camera and 35mm lens to capture an image of the painting at the end of each working day.

Discussions with Walsh led to the proposal of a number of different ways that the sequence of images, or layers, of the painting could be presented. The progress of the painting could be shown “as live” in an art gallery or in a public space such as a metro station. Alternatively, the completed “edition” of reproductions could be collated and repurposed on the painting’s completion, to be played back sequentially as a piece of slow technology [1]. One of the design proposals stood out as being particularly compelling to Walsh. A mobile phone application would augment the painting with images of previous versions, so that gallery visitors might explore them in the presence of the original artwork. Walsh felt that in addition to exposing his process, such an application would be a visually and experientially interesting piece in its own right. Excited by the idea Walsh suggested the name Repentir to reference existing art historical and critical practice.

Repentir

In order to further explore this design proposal and the concepts that arose during our inquiry, the research team developed the Repentir mobile application. Repentir allows gallery visitors to view the images captured during the creation of Transamerica. To use Repentir, the user takes a photograph of the painting or
a region of the painting. The section of the painting in
the photograph is identified and its position is tracked
to overlay images of previous versions of the work
(Figure 2). The user can then use one of two interaction
techniques (slide and rub) to reveal the hidden layers
of the painting. This allows the user to make their own
image by effectively removing layers of paint to reveal
previous versions right back to the under drawing and
blank canvas. Our current prototype of Repentin is
implemented on an Apple iPhone 4S.

Identifying and Tracking the Painting
A scale invariant image feature matching approach is
used to identify the painting and track its position
within the photograph. We based this approach upon
work by Herbert et al. that used a similar technique to
determine the position of a mobile device with respect
to a public display [6].

Key features within the photograph taken by the user
are identified using the Scale Invariant Feature
Transform (SIFT) algorithm [8]. Descriptors for these
features are then computed using a SIFT-based
descriptor extractor. Using these descriptors, the
features are compared with an additional set of
features computed from a known image of the painting
to identify matches (i.e. features that refer to the same
position in both images). If the number of matches
found is greater than a threshold, it is determined that
the painting has been successfully identified in the
photograph taken by the user. When identified, the
differences between the positions of each feature in the
matched pairs are used to compute a homography. This
homography describes the projective transformation
between the two images and, therefore, can be used to
overlay the images of previous versions onto the
painting in the user’s photograph.

While computationally expensive, this approach was
chosen over alternative methods, such as tracking the
painting’s frame [4] or adjacent fiducial markers [7],
for one important reason. That is, the approach is able
to identify and determine the position of the painting
within the photograph, even if the user captures only a
small region of the painting. This is crucial for Repentin
as it allows gallery visitors to get up close to
the
painting and inspect the hidden layers beneath
particular regions or features.

Browsing Previous Versions of the Painting
Once the painting has been identified and tracked, the
application displays the original photograph with an
image of a previous version of the painting overlaid
onto it. To achieve this, the homography computed in
the tracking phase is used to transform the corners of
the overlaid image such that they correspond with the
corners of the painting in the photograph.

The user is able to browse through the different hidden
layers of the painting using two interaction techniques.
The first of these, slide, allows the user to simply select
between a series of previous versions of the painting
using a slider at the bottom of the screen. The images
are ordered sequentially, such that when the slider is at
the far left, the earliest version of the painting is shown
and when it is at the far right, the last version is
shown.

The second interaction technique, rub, allows the user
to reveal the hidden layers behind the painting by
rubbing a particular area of the screen. As a user rubs
an area, pixels from older versions of the painting are
revealed under his or her finger as if they are rubbing
away layers of paint. Consequently, the user is able to
reveal the layers behind partial areas of the image and
view pixels from multiple versions of the painting
simultaneously. To achieve this partial rendering of
layers, the image overlaid onto the painting is
dynamically updated to display pixels from different
versions of the painting, based upon which areas the
user has rubbed. We envisage that future versions of
the application might allow gallery visitors to create
their own printable versions of the painting while using
the rub technique.

In the Gallery
To explore how Repentir affects how gallery visitors
experience Walsh’s work, we intend to install the
application alongside Transamerica at a number of
exhibitions, including one at the gallery where Walsh’s
work is primarily displayed and sold. At a pre-launch
test of Repentir in December 2012 (Figure 3), we
utilised a print of Transamerica, as the work was still
unfinished. However, in future exhibitions we intend to
feature the original oil painting.

Informal discussions with gallery visitors who used
Repentir during the aforementioned pre-launch
exhibition highlighted how the application allowed
gallery visitors to easily explore the hidden layers
beneath the surface of Transamerica. Users found the
application extremely engaging. Although most visitors
at the pre-launch test were computer science
researchers the piece elicited comments such as “That’s
magic! How do you do that?” Other visitors noted that
Repentir has the potential to introduce a new and
compelling aspect to the experience of viewing
paintings in the gallery setting.
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