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‘[t]he High Contracting Parties shall secure to everyone within their jurisdiction the rights and freedoms defined in Section I of [the] Convention.’

Al-Skeini and Others v the United Kingdom
(2011) 53 E.H.R.R. 18

General principles relevant to jurisdiction under article 1 of the Convention

Jurisdiction is primarily/essentially territorial with two exceptions. When:

1. A State exercises Effective Control of an Area outside of their territory.

2. State Agents Exercise Authority and Control.
State Agents Exercise Authority and Control

Al-Skeini and Others v United Kingdom. (2011) 53 E.H.R.R. 18

1. Where the acts of **diplomatic and consular agents**, who are present on foreign territory in accordance with provisions of international law, exert authority and control over others

2. When, through the consent, invitation or acquiescence of the Government of that territory, a State **exercises all or some of the public powers normally to be exercised by that Government**

3. **The use of force by a State's agents operating outside its territory may bring the individual thereby brought under the control of the State's authorities into the State's Article 1 jurisdiction.** This principle has been applied where an individual is taken into the custody of State agents abroad
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3. ‘[T]he use of force by a state’s agents operating outside its territory may bring the individual thereby brought under the control of the state’s authorities into the state’s jurisdiction. This principle has been applied where an individual is taken into the custody of State agents abroad.’
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Non-custody examples of jurisdiction through force: Cyprus

*Solomou and Others v Turkey*
App. No. 36832/97
24 June 2008

*Georgia Andreou v Turkey*
App. No. 45653/99
3 June 2008
Non-custody characteristics

• Control can exist through the actions of state agents: (Gunfire brought the individual) under the authority/and or effective control of the respondent state through its agents (Solomou)

• Control can be brought about when the actions of state agents is the ‘direct and immediate cause’ of injuries (Andreou)