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Abstract—This is the second-part paper of the survey on fault diagnosis and fault-tolerant techniques, where fault diagnosis methods and applications are overviewed respectively from the knowledge-based and hybrid/active viewpoints. With the aid of the first-part survey paper, the second-part review paper completes a whole overview on the fault diagnosis techniques and their applications. Comments on advantages and constraints of various diagnosis techniques, including model-based, signal-based, knowledge-based, and hybrid/active diagnosis techniques, are also given. An overlook on the future development of the fault diagnosis is presented.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Fault diagnosis techniques are composed of hardware redundancy based fault diagnosis and analytical redundancy based fault diagnosis. The analytical redundancy technique has become the main stream of the fault diagnosis research since the 1980s, which can be generally categorized into the classes of model-based fault diagnosis, signal-based fault diagnosis, knowledge-based fault diagnosis, hybrid fault diagnosis and active fault diagnosis. For model-based fault diagnosis approaches, a system model, explicitly describing the relationship among the system variables, is available to the designer. Based on the model, fault diagnosis schemes/algorithms can be designed and then on-line implemented for monitoring and diagnosing the real-time system/process. For signal-based fault diagnosis methods, the signal pattern/symptom of a system under healthy status is a priori, and the fault diagnosis is carried out by checking the consistency between the known healthy signal pattern and the signal symptom of the real-time process extracted either by using time-domain, frequency-domain, or time-frequency signal processing techniques. For complicated industrial processes, a large amount of historical data, rather than a model or a signal pattern, is available. The underlying knowledge, implicitly representing the dependency of the systems variables, can be extracted by using various artificial intelligent techniques and the available historic data. Fault diagnosis is carried out by checking the consistency of the obtained underlying knowledge and the real-time system feature extracted from the on-line monitored data. Hybrid fault diagnosis is an integration or combination of more than one diagnosis methods. Active fault diagnosis is to enhance the detectability of potential faults by injecting a suitably designed input signal under test interval so that faulty modes can be distinguished from normal modes quickly and accurately. In the first-part survey paper [1], model-based and signal-based diagnosis approaches were reviewed. In the second-part survey paper, knowledge-based fault diagnosis, hybrid fault diagnosis and active fault diagnosis will be reviewed comprehensively. The distinctive advantages and various constrains of these diagnosis methods are to be commented. Moreover, the overlook on the future development of the fault diagnosis will be presented.

The organization of the paper is as follows. After the introduction section, knowledge-based fault diagnosis methods are reviewed in Section II. The hybrid and active fault diagnosis methods are overviewed in Section III. The paper is ended by Section IV with the conclusion and comments on the future development of the fault diagnosis and applications.
II. KNOWLEDGE-BASED FAULT DIAGNOSIS METHODS

Different from model-based methods and signal-based approaches which require either a priori known model or signal patterns, knowledge-based fault diagnosis methods start from where only a large volume of historic data are available. Applying a variety of artificial intelligent techniques (either symbolic intelligence or computing intelligence) to the available historic data of the industrial processes, the underlying knowledge, implicitly representing the dependency of the systems variables, can be extracted. The consistency between the observed behavior of the operating system and the knowledge base are then checked, leading to a fault diagnosis decision with the aid of classifier. It is worthy to point out model-based diagnosis methods, signal-based diagnosis approaches and knowledge-based diagnosis algorithms all have to utilize real-time data when doing real-time monitoring and on-line fault diagnosis, however, only knowledge-based diagnosis approaches need to employ a large volume of historic data available. From this point of view, knowledge-based fault diagnosis is also referred to data-driven fault diagnosis. The schematic diagram of knowledge-based fault diagnosis is depicted by Fig. 1.

Fig. 1. Scheme of knowledge-based fault diagnosis

The extraction process of the knowledge base can be either qualitative or quantitative in nature. Therefore, knowledge-based fault diagnosis methods can be classified into qualitative methods and quantitative methods.

A. Qualitative Knowledge-Based Fault Diagnosis

One of the most known qualitative fault diagnosis methods is expert system based method. Expert system emerged in the late 1960s as a branch of artificial intelligence, which is a rule-based system by presenting human’s expertise in a set of rules [2, 3]. Expert system based fault diagnosis was initialized in 1980s [4,5], which was performed based on the evaluation of on-line monitored data in terms of a set of rules, learned by the human experts from past experience. Owing to the advantages such as ease of development, transparent reasoning, the ability to reason under uncertainty, and the capability to explain the solutions provided, expert system based fault diagnosis methods received much attention particularly in 1980s and 1990s, which have been successfully applied to a variety of engineering systems such as gas turbine combustion chambers [6], energy systems [7], chemical processes [8] and vehicles [9] etc. However, it is noticed expert systems based faults diagnosis methods are systemspecific, which have low generality and low expandability. Motivated by this, a task-based diagnosis expert system was proposed in [10] recently, where object-oriented knowledge representation methods were utilized so that the rules of a specific machine can be customized flexibly on the basis of general rules. In [11], a universal fault diagnostic expert system framework was presented, where the object-oriented paradigm and rule based expert system were integrated, providing a flexible and powerful environment for fault diagnosis process.

In many practical industrial processes, process malfunctions leave a distinct trend in the sensors monitored, which can be suitably employed to identify the underlying abnormalities in the process. Therefore, it is motivated to classify and analyse the process trends. Qualitative trend analysis (QTA) is a data-driven technique to identify the process trends from noisy process data and to associate the extracted trends to fault trends in the database, which was comprehensively reviewed in [12]. The QTA technique has been widely applied to fault diagnosis in complex industrial processes, particularly for chemical processes. Recent developments of the QTA have integrated with other qualitative tools such signed directed graphs (SDG) in order to enhance their advantages while compensating their disadvantage. For instance, an integrated SDG and QTA framework was proposed in [13] for incipient fault diagnosis by combining the completeness property of SDG and the high diagnostic resolution property of QTA. In [14], a SDG-QTA fault diagnosis approach was addressed for a distillation power unit, which not only met fundamental requirements of diagnosis such as correctness, completeness and real-timed, but also provided a good resolution.

B. Quantitative Knowledge-Based Fault Diagnosis

Quantitative knowledge-based method is to essentially formulate the diagnostic problem-solving as a pattern recognition problem. Quantitative information (or features) can be either extracted by using statistical or non-statistical methods. Therefore, the quantitative knowledge-based fault diagnosis can be roughly classified into statistical analysis based fault diagnosis and non-statistical analysis based fault diagnosis.

B1. Statistical-analysis data-driven fault diagnosis

Under statistical framework, the quantitative knowledge-based fault diagnosis methods are mainly composed of principal component analysis (PCA), partially least squares (PLS), independent component analysis (ICA), statistical pattern classifiers and the most recent developed support vector machine (SVM). It is evident that the above methods require a large amount of training data to capture the key characteristics of the process by using statistical analysis.

PCA is the most popular statistically-based monitoring technique, which is utilized to find factors with a much lower dimension than the original data set so that the major trends in the original data set can be properly described. PCA based fault diagnosis methods have been investigated in depth, and
have successful applications in complex industrial systems. For instance, a nonlinear extension of the PCA was developed in [15] for diagnosing diesel engines. For a time-varying industrial process (e.g., a non-isothermal continuous stirred tank reactor system), a recursive PCA fault diagnosis method was presented in [16]. Owing to the ability of de-noising original signals and improving signal-to-noise ratio, probabilistic PCA based fault diagnosis techniques were employed to monitor a rolling bearing with an outer race fault [17]. By integrating y-indices, residual errors and faulty sensor identification indices with PCA, two readily implementable and computationally efficient fault diagnosis approaches were addressed for gas turbine engines [18].

**PLS** is one of the dominant data-driven tools for complex industrial processes. Recent development of the PLS based monitoring and fault diagnosis can be found in [19-21]. Specifically, in [19], a data-driven scheme of key performance indicator prediction and diagnosis was proposed for both static and dynamic processes, which offered an alternative solution to the PLS method with simplified computation procedures. By combining kernel-based PLS discriminant analysis techniques and pseudo-sample projection, a fault diagnosis method was presented in [20], providing efficient fault discrimination, and enabling a correct identification of the discriminant variables in complex nonlinear processes. An improved structure, namely total projection to latent structures (T-PLS), was addressed in [21], on the basis of a further decomposition for the obtained PLS structure. The proposed T-PLS based method can well detect quality-relevant faults in industrial processes subjected to a variety of raw materials and changeable control conditions.

**ICA** plays an important role in real-time monitoring and diagnosis for practical industrial processes as it allows latent variables not to follow Gaussian distribution. Recently, a kernel ICA based fault isolation method was proposed in [22] for non-Gaussian nonlinear processes. In [23], defect detection was investigated for solar modules by using ICA basis images detection. In [24], ICA based fault diagnosis technique was applied to the monitoring and diagnosis of rolling element bearing.

As a matter of the fact, the data-driven statistical tools such as PCA, PLS and ICA have been widely employed in feature extraction for microarray gene expression data, which facilitates and eases the understanding of biological process [25]. On the other hand, microarray enables expressions of tens of thousands of genes to be represented on a small array of coloured image dots, which may be utilized for a quick fault diagnosis for industrial processes. Motivated by the microarray visualization, and utilizing simple statistical analysis of the measured values of different sensors and graphical synopsis of results of such analysis, a quick diagnosis of the key variables/steps that cause the fault in final quality was achieved in [26].

**SVM** is a relatively new machine learning technique relying on statistical learning theory, which is capable of achieving high generalization and dealing with problems with low samples and high input features. SVM is regarded as a potentially technique for classifying all kinds of datasets. The initial attempts by applying SVM to condition monitoring and fault diagnosis began in the late 1990s [27, 28]. The SVM based machine condition monitoring and fault diagnosis methods dated to 2006 were well documented and reviewed in [29]. Recent results of the SVM based fault diagnosis can be found in [30-33]. Specifically, by integrating kernel function and cross-validation, an SVM based fault diagnosis approach was proposed in [30] for Tennessee Eastman process, which showed superior fault detection ability over the conventional PLS algorithm. With the aid of genetic algorithm for parameter tuning, an SVM based fault diagnosis method was presented in [31], which showed an improving diagnosis performance. Utilizing k-nearest neighbour (kNN) algorithms to estimate plausible values to replace the missing values in the dataset before SVM learning, an effective SVM based fault diagnosis technique was addressed in [32] for power transformers. In [33], a smart SVM-based functional fault diagnosis method was proposed which exploited multiple kernel functions and utilized incremental learning. By leveraging a linear combination of single kernels, the multi-kernel SVM method can achieve accurate faulty-component classification on the basis of errors observed, while incremental learning can allow the diagnosis system to quickly adapt to new error observation, leading to even more accurate fault diagnosis.

**B2. Nonstatistical-analysis data-driven fault diagnosis**

Owing to its powerful ability in nonlinear approximation and adaptive learning, **Neutral network (NN)** has been the most well-established non-statistical based data-driven fault diagnosis tool. In terms of topology, the NN can be classified into radial basis networks, recurrent dynamic networks, self-organizing maps, back-propagation network, and extension network. According to the learning strategy, NN based fault diagnosis can be categorized into supervised learning based fault diagnosis and unsupervised learning based fault diagnosis. By using unsupervised learning, the knowledge base can be extracted from the historical data to emulate normal system behaviour, which is utilized to check whether the behaviour of the real-time process deviates from the normal system behaviour. By using supervised learning, the knowledge bases for normal systems and faulty conditions are all extracted, which are then utilized for real-time monitoring. Recent developments of the NN can be found in a variety of real-time applications, e.g., for combustion engines [34], steam turbine generator [35], nuclear process [36], induction machines [37, 38] and power network quality [39].

**Fuzzy logic (FL)** is an approach of partitioning a feature space into fuzzy sets and utilizing fuzzy rules for reasoning, which essentially provides an approximate human reasoning. FL has been successfully employed for fault diagnosis. For instance, in [40], FL was employed to represent fuzzy knowledge base which was extracted from the current analysis, and applied to detect misfiring in the switches in a PWM source inverter induction motor drive. Recent developments have shown an interest to combine FL with other knowledge-based techniques such as expert systems or NN for solving an engineering-oriented diagnosis issue or getting a better diagnosis performance. For instance, in [41], by integrating FL and expert system, a real-time fault
diagnosis algorithm was developed and tested in a real industry situation by using the ARSST (Advanced Reactive System Screening Tool). In [42], a novel architecture of fuzzy-neural data fusion engine was proposed, which was composed of three layers for monitoring and diagnosis. The first layer utilized the known thresholds of the normal operating conditions to monitor process anomalies. The second layer was composed of the self-organizing FL system operating conditions to monitor process anomalies. The third layer employed NN predictor to process the temporary historical data so that the expected near future behavioural patterns can be predicted, where the predicted values were used to replace this missing data to maintain coherent status awareness of the monitored system.

B3. Joint data-driven fault diagnosis

In some practical applications, the statistic and non-statistic fault diagnosis data-driven methods are often utilized jointly. For instance in [43], Bayesian network and recurrent NN were integrated to diagnose and isolate faults in induction motors, where NN was used to train the data from the system under normal operating conditions and known faulty conditions, while stochastic Bayesian network was employed to produce random residuals. In [44], a combined algorithm of dynamic PCA and feed-forward propagation NN was applied to detect stator insulation failures, broken rotor bars and bearing faults. The PCA was used to extract distinctive features called residuals, which were then sent to the NN for training to produce signals to identify potential faults. The algorithm was real-time implemented by utilizing Matlab, C++ and NI-DAQ data acquisition board. In [45], based on fuzzy SVM and self-organizing map NN, a fault diagnosis method was presented to monitor and diagnose rotating machinery systems, which showed satisfactory classification precision for systems subjected to multi-faults.

II. ACTIVE FAULT DIAGNOSIS APPROACHES

III. HYBRID AND ACTIVE FAULT DIAGNOSIS APPROACHES

A. Hybrid Fault Diagnosis Approach

Model-based, signal-based and knowledge-based fault diagnosis methods have their distinctive advantages and various constraints. Specifically, model-based fault diagnosis can monitor and diagnose unknown faults by using a small amount of real-time data, but it requires an explicit model representing input-output relationship, and the diagnosis performance relies on the model accuracy. While signal-based and knowledge based approaches do not require an explicit or complete model, which are particular suitable for monitoring and diagnosis for complex industrial processes where explicit system models are unavailable or challenging to derive. Signal-based method generally extracts the major features of the output signals for fault diagnosis, but pays less attention on systems dynamic inputs, whose diagnosis performance may thus be degraded under unknown input disturbances or unbalanced conditions (e.g., in power supplies or loads). Due to the high dependence on a large amount of historical data for training, knowledge-based method suffers high computational costs, and may not work well for identifying unknown fault types. In order to leverage the strength of the various fault diagnosis methods, an integration or combination of two or more fault diagnosis methods, called hybrid fault diagnosis approaches, are often exploited for a variety of engineering applications. For instance in [47], signal-based method and data-driven method were hybridized to monitor and diagnose plastic bearing faults, where a statistical approach was utilized to separate the outer race fault from other types of faults based on the frequency-domain fault features extracted by using the FFT, and other types of faults were diagnosed using the data-driven kNN fault classifier on the basis of time-domain features extracted by a time-domain signal-based algorithm. In [48], a hybrid signal-based and data-driven method was presented for the detection and diagnosis of faults in induction motors, where a number of features sensitive to electrical and mechanical faults were extracted by signal processing (including spectral analysis), and a data-driven classifier, called artificial ant clustering, was then employed to classify operation modes, enabling a diagnostic decision by checking the degree of resemblance between the new data and the obtained knowledge base (classified operation modes). By integrating signal processing and data-driven techniques, vibration analysis based fault diagnosis algorithm was addressed in [49] for diagnosing inter-turn faults in induction machines, where the dual tree complex WT was used to capture features (faults or imbalances) from the measured vibration signals, and the PCA and probabilistic NN were employed as classifiers to distinguish healthy from faulty features. In [50], WT signal processing method was used to extract the features from stator currents, data-based PCA method was employed for dimension reduction and elimination of linear dependence of the features, and fuzzy SVM was then utilized as classifiers, enabling a detection of eccentricity occurrence, and determination of the fault type and degree in a PMSG motor. In [51], a hybrid data-driven and model-based fault diagnosis method was proposed for chemical reactors subjected to high nonlinearities and high...
variability of dynamics. SVM was implemented for fault detection, but found difficult to locate faults due to the highly transitional dynamics. In order to enhance the fault isolation ability, an observer, based on a simplified initial model, was combined to the SVM, where the model was corrected and updated by the information provided by the SVM in the case without faults. The SVM-observer algorithm showed the effectiveness in isolating the faults.

B. Active Fault Diagnosis Approach

It is worthy to point out that the above fault diagnosis methods are not invasive, in other words, the implementation of the monitoring and diagnosis does not disturb the real-time performance of the industrial processes. On the other hand, in order to enhance the detectability of potential faults in some practical systems, a suitably designed input signal would be allowed to inject into the dynamic processes under test interval so that faulty modes can be distinguished from normal modes quickly and accurately. This kind of fault diagnosis is called active fault diagnosis, where the adverse effects of the added auxiliary input signal on the real-time system performance must be minimized. The early attempts to formulate and solve active fault detection were based on the idea to generate an excitation signal that affects the statistics of the sequential probability ratio test [52, 53], which is called stochastic active fault diagnosis method. In parallel, deterministic active fault detection was initialized by [54] in a multi-model framework, where two uncertain candidate models were used to represent nominal and fault systems, respectively, and an auxiliary signal with minimum energy was designed to identify the correct model on a given test period. An extended work can be found in [55] which permitted active fault detection for multiple faults occurring either sequentially or simultaneously. Recently, a hybrid stochastic-deterministic active fault diagnosis method was proposed in [56], which provided a worst-case guarantee of fault diagnosis within a time interval, while maximizing the probability of fault diagnosis at some earlier time. The presented hybrid method reduced the average time required for diagnosis, and the conservativeness of the excitation signal. Recent developments pay attention on active fault diagnosis under a closed-loop control framework [57, 58]. Specifically, a unified formulation of active fault detection and control problem was addressed in [57] under stochastic framework. Three special cases of active fault diagnosis were investigated including active detector and controller, active detector and input signal generator, and active detector with a given input signal generator, respectively. The first case was to seek a desired compromise between optimal control and optimal fault detection. The second case was to generate an optimal input signal to improve fault detection. The last one was to design an optimal detector whose decisions can excite the monitored system through the given input signal generator. The above three cases were formulated as stochastic optimal control problems, which improved the quality of fault detection and provided better understanding on how closed-loop information affected the quality of fault detection. In [58], an optimal exogenous signal was designed under closed-loop deterministic system framework, which showed that a suitable feedback can reduce the cost function compared with the open-loop monitoring and fault detection, indicating a better fault detection by introducing closed-loop information. Recent applications of active fault diagnosis methods can be found in [59-61]. Specifically in [59], an active method was proposed for the fault diagnosis of DC-link capacitors in a three-phase AC-DC PWM converter, where a controlled AC current component was injected into the input side of AC-DC converters, and the resulting AC ripples on the DC outputs were then extracted and analysed for fault detection. In [60], a short pulse of current was injected into the d-axis current to produce an additional set of dq-axis state equations leading to a full-rank reference/variable model, which was then utilized for on-line simultaneous estimates of the winding resistance and rotor flux linkage that were employed as indicators for monitoring PMSM stator winding and rotor permanent magnets. In [61], active fault diagnosis was dealt with for battery systems under discrete event model framework. The normal status and faulty status (including aged cell and increased internal resistance) were partitioned into different sets, and a suitable active control algorithm was implemented to excite system evolution along certain trajectories, which were used to check which partitioned set the operation mode of the monitored system belonged to.

IV. Conclusion

In the second-part survey paper, fault diagnosis techniques and their applications have been reviewed comprehensively following the categories of knowledge-based, hybrid and active methods. Knowledge-based fault diagnosis approaches are reviewed according to the essence of the extracted knowledge base, including qualitative-based approaches and quantitative-based approaches, where quantitative-based approaches are further classified into statistical methods and non-statistical methods. The hybrid diagnosis methods are reviewed from a variety of combinations/integrations of more than one diagnosis methods. The active fault diagnosis methods are reviewed from the stochastic and deterministic views, respectively. Together with the overview on model-based and signal-based diagnosis methods in the first-part survey, the complete survey on the fault diagnosis techniques and applications have been accomplished following the categories of model-based, signal-based, knowledge-based and hybrid/active methods. We have reviewed over 220 technical literatures in total with more attention on the recent developments of the fault diagnosis approaches and their applications during the last decade, which sheds light for the readers from various societies and industrial communities to quickly access to the recent developments of this field.

Networked and distributed fault diagnosis techniques and their applications may be further stimulated, as more and more modern industrial systems have distributed structures equipped with wireless communication networks. Knowledge-based (data-driven) techniques are finding more chances in applications as the SCADA (supervisory control and data acquisition) system and smart meters are commonly installed in today’s industrial automation systems leading to a large
amount data available. The integration of a variety of diagnosis techniques is a trend in order to obtain better real-time monitoring and diagnosis performance. Compared with un-invasive diagnosis methods, active fault diagnosis approaches are far from mature, and further theoretical results and applications are anticipated.

We have tried to include the up-to-date references as many as possible following the techniques categories. Unfortunately, it is impossible to comprise all the existing publications due to the limit of space. In addition, the third-part survey paper focusing on fault-tolerant control techniques is under way.
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