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Abstract

H$_2$S gas sensor based on $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles was fabricated by post-thermal annealing of Fe$_3$O$_4$ precursor which was synthesized using a facile hydrothermal route. Chemical composition and microstructure of the obtained $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticle samples were characterized. Gas-sensing performance of the sensor was investigated at different operating temperatures from 100 °C to 400 °C. Results showed that the sensor exhibited the best sensitivity, reproducibility and long-term stability for detecting H$_2$S gas at an operation temperature of 300 °C. The detection limit towards H$_2$S gas was 0.05 ppm, and the response time and recovery time was 30 s and 5 s, respectively. In addition, sensing mechanism of the sensor towards H$_2$S was discussed.

*Keywords*: $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$; Nanoparticles; Hydrothermal; H$_2$S; Gas sensor
1. Introduction

With the development of modern industry and the improvement of people’s living standards, various environmental pollution problems, such as air, water, soil and noise pollutions etc., become increasingly serious. Among these problems, air pollution has been considered as the most direct and severe one. Hydrogen sulfide (H$_2$S) as one of the major air pollutants, is widely found in the industrial processes including oil and natural gas drilling and refining, sewage treatment, paper milling, and is harmful to human health and environment due to its high toxicity [1, 2]. According to the safety standards established by American Conference of Government Industrial Hygienists, the threshold value defined for the H$_2$S is 10 ppm. Once its concentration is over 10 ppm, the human nose becomes desensitized and thus the ability to sense the H$_2$S declines, which may cause tragic consequence, even result in human death if the concentration is over 700 ppm [3, 4]. Therefore, developing a H$_2$S gas sensor with high-efficiency, low detection limit, and quick response in real-time monitoring the H$_2$S concentration of the surrounding environment is urgent and significant.

Currently, there are a variety of gas sensors which have been developed to detect H$_2$S gas, such as electrolyte solutions based electrochemical sensors, catalytic combustion based sensors and optical gas sensors [5-8]. However, these sensors suffered from a series of inherent drawbacks. For example, electrochemical sensors are applied to detect gases with active electrochemical properties and its electrodes are easily poisoned; catalytic combustion based sensors suffered from limitations on
detecting combustion gases; whereas optical sensors have problems of high manufacturing costs, poor stability and compatibility [9]. On the contrary, metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) based gas sensors possess numerous merits including low manufacturing cost, simple synthesis process, low detection limits (< ppm level) and ease of incorporation into microelectronic devices with possible high device integration density. In addition, most MOS based gas sensors tend to be long-lasting and potentially resistant to poisoning. For these reasons, they have been widely investigated. Especially, with the recent development of nanoscience and nanotechnology, a large number of nanostructured metal oxide semiconductors were developed for gas sensing application, such as In2O3 [10], ZnO [11, 12], WO3 [13], α-Fe2O3 [14], SnO2 [15], CuO [16] etc. Among these available types, α-Fe2O3 has received much research attention due to its high resistance to corrosion, abundant raw material and non-toxicity.

Hematite (α-Fe2O3), an n-type metal oxide semiconductor materials with a direct band gap (Eg) of 2.1 eV, is the most thermodynamically stable phase among all iron oxides under ambient conditions, and thus has been extensively used for catalysts, wastewater treatment, pigment, magnetic material, electrode materials in lithium ion batteries and gas sensor [17-22]. Particularly, for gas sensor application, it has been considered as one of the most promising sensing materials due to its high mobility of conduction electrons, good chemical and thermal stabilities. Up to now, various types of α-Fe2O3 with different morphologies, such as 1D nanowires and nanofibers, 2D nanobelts and nanosheets, 3D nanospheres and nanocubes [23-27], have been
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Successfully prepared through various routes. For gas sensing application, it is of great importance to realize a fast response and a low detection limit, but to the best of our knowledge, previously reported pure α-Fe₂O₃ based H₂S gas sensors have never achieve these properties.

In this study, we report a H₂S gas sensor based on α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles, which possess fast response/recovery speeds and a detection limit in ppb level. Nanoparticles of α-Fe₂O₃ were prepared using a two-step synthesis strategy including (1) preparation of Fe₃O₄ precursor through a simple hydrothermal route and (2) thermal conversion of the Fe₃O₄ precursor into α-Fe₂O₃ through post-annealing. Besides, gas sensing mechanism of the α-Fe₂O₃ gas sensor was studied.
2. Experimental procedures

2.1 Materials

Ferric nitrate (Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O), ethanolamine (HO(CH₂)₂NH₂), and glucose (C₆H₁₂O₆) were of analytical grade, which were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagents Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China) and used as received without further purification. Distilled water with a resistivity of 18.0 MΩ cm was used throughout the synthesis process.

2.2 Synthesis

The α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles were synthesized using a hydrothermal reaction and then calcination treatment. In a typical synthesis process, 2.424 g ferric nitrate (Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O) and 1.188 g glucose (C₆H₁₂O₆) were dissolved in 120 mL distilled water under mechanical stirring at room temperature to form a homogeneous solution. Subsequently, 2 ml ethanolamine (HO(CH₂)₂NH₂) was dropped into the above solution, and the solution was continually stirred for about 1 hour until the color of the mixture solution was turned into sepia. Then, the obtained homogeneous solution was transferred into a 150 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and kept at 150 °C for 12 hrs. Subsequently, the autoclave was cooled down to room temperature naturally, and the resultant black precipitate was carefully collected and washed centrifugally with distilled water and absolute ethanol three times, respectively, before being dried at 80 °C in air for 1 hour. Finally, the reddish-brown α-Fe₂O₃ was obtained via annealing the black Fe₃O₄ precursor at 600 °C for 2 hrs in air.

2.3 Characterization
Results and discussion

Crystalline structure and phase composition both Fe₃O₄ precursor and α-Fe₂O₃ were characterized by X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Rigaku D/max-2500) with Cu Kα radiation at a wavelength of 1.5406 Å operated at 40 kV and 30 mA. The morphologies of the as-prepared α-Fe₂O₃ were observed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Inspect F50, USA) with the operation voltage of 5 kV. Transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEM-2200FS, Japan) was used to characterized the crystallographic features of the as-prepared sample.

2.4 Gas sensor fabrication and measurements

Gas-sensing performance of the device was evaluated using a WS-30A gas sensor measurement system (Weisen Electronic Technology Co., Ltd, Zhengzhou, China). The fabrication process of the gas sensor can be described as follows: (i) the as-prepared α-Fe₂O₃ powder was mixed with the absolute ethyl alcohol with a weight ratio of 4:1 and the mixture solution was ultrasonically agitated for 15 min until a homogeneous slurry was formed; (ii) the slurry was pasted onto an alumina tube with a pair of Au electrodes and four Pt wires for resistance measurements; (iii) the alumina tube was annealed at 300 °C for 2 hrs to improve the stability of the sensor and remove the residual organics on the surface of sensors. Fig. 1a shows the schematic of the gas sensor, in which a Ni-Cr heater was placed inside of the alumina tube as a resistor to adjust the working temperature of the sensor. Fig.1b displays the measuring circuit of the gas sensor, where, Rₑ is a load resistor connected in series with the gas sensor, and Rₛ donates a resistor of the sensor. In the sensing test process, an appropriate bias voltage (Vₛ = 5 V) was applied, and through varying the heating
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voltage ($V_{heating}$), different operating temperatures were obtained. The response of the sensor was measured by monitoring the voltage changes of $R_E$. The gas response ($S$) was defined as follows: $S = R_g/R_a$ for reducing gases, and $S = R_a/R_g$ for oxidizing gases, in which $R_g$ and $R_a$ are the resistance data of the sensor measured in the target gas and in air, respectively.
3. Results and discussion

3.1 Structural and morphological characteristics

Fig. 2a shows the XRD pattern of the as-prepared precursor, in which all the XRD peaks can be indexed to cubic phase of Fe₃O₄ (JCPDS NO.65-3107) with lattice constants of \( a = b = c = 8.3905 \, \text{Å} \). Fig. 2b displays the XRD pattern of final product by annealing the precursor, and all the diffraction peaks can be indexed to those of standard hexagonal hematite (\( \alpha \)-Fe₂O₃) (JCPDS NO.33-0664) with the lattice parameters of \( a = b = 5.0356 \, \text{Å} \), \( c = 13.7489 \, \text{Å} \) and \( \alpha = \beta = 90^\circ, \gamma = 120^\circ \). No characteristic peaks from other Fe-related phases were observed, such as \( \beta \)-Fe₂O₃, \( \gamma \)-Fe₂O₃ etc., indicating that the Fe₃O₄ precursor was completely transferred into \( \alpha \)-Fe₂O₃. In addition, the average crystallite sizes of the Fe₃O₄ precursor and \( \alpha \)-Fe₂O₃ were determined to be 22 nm and 54 nm, respectively, using the Scherrer equation:

\[
D = \frac{K\lambda}{B\cos\theta}
\]

where \( K \) is Scherrer constant with a value of 0.89, \( B \) is full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the diffraction peak, \( \theta \) is the diffraction angle, \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of X-ray and \( D \) denotes the crystal size.

Fig. 3a and Fig. 3b show the SEM images of Fe₃O₄ precursor and \( \alpha \)-Fe₂O₃. It can be seen that the Fe₃O₄ precursor composed of numerous irregular and unevenly distributed large particles, which are aggregated by a great number of small particles with an average size of 22 nm. However, from Fig. 3b, it can be observed that the as-obtained \( \alpha \)-Fe₂O₃ film is consisted of numerous uniformly dispersed nanoparticles with an average size of about 50 nm. This difference can be attributed to the fact that
the annealing process provides the sufficient energy for mobility of the particles to realize recrystallization and grain growth [28].

To gain further insights into the crystallographic features of the as-prepared α-Fe₂O₃, TEM was employed. Fig. 4a shows a TEM image of the as-prepared α-Fe₂O₃. Obviously, the α-Fe₂O₃ was composed by numerous nanoparticles with regular shapes, and the majority particles have sizes between 35 nm and 100 nm, which are similar with those from SEM analysis. Fig. 4b shows the HRTEM image recorded on one single α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticle, in which, a series of clear and continuous lattice fringes can be seen in the image. The typical spacing of the lattice fringes are determined to be 0.167 nm and 0.216 nm, which are corresponding to the d-spacing of the (1 1 6) and (1 1 3) planes of hexagonal α-Fe₂O₃, respectively.

3.2 Gas sensing properties and discussion

Fig. 5 shows the current-voltage characteristic curves between the two neighboring electrodes bridged by the α-Fe₂O₃ film at different working temperatures from 150 ºC to 350 ºC in air. It can be seen that all the currents are increased linearly with the applied bias voltage (-9 V ~ 9 V). Such a linear behavior reveals the good ohmic contacts established between the α-Fe₂O₃ film and electrodes. Moreover, with the increase of the working temperature, the conductance (S = I/V) of the α-Fe₂O₃ film was increased accordingly, which is in a good agreement with the conduction characteristics of the semiconductor.

It is well known that the operating temperature has a significant effect on the gas-sensing performance of the sensor. Thus, in order to find the optimum operating
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temperature of the sensor, the response of the sensor based on α-Fe₂O₃ towards H₂S gas were measured at different working temperatures from 100 °C to 400 °C. As shown in Fig. 6, the sensing responses towards H₂S gas increased with the increased operating temperatures from 100 °C to 200 °C, and reached a maximum value at 200 °C, then decreased with a further increase of temperatures from 200 °C to 400 °C. This phenomenon can be explained from two aspects: (1) the kinetic of the reaction between the target gas and surface absorbed oxygen; (2) the kinetic of gas diffusion through the sensing layer [29, 30]. When the sensor operated at a low temperature, the H₂S molecules don’t have enough thermal energy to react with the surface absorbed oxygen species (T<100 °C in this work). Therefore, the sensitivity was too low. The increase in sensitivity for the operating temperatures ranging from 100 °C to 200 °C can be attributed to the fact that the obtained thermal energy was high enough to overcome the activation energy barrier for the surface reaction. Consequently, the electron concentration increased significantly due to the enhanced surface reactions between H₂S molecules and absorbed oxygen species as shown in Eq (2).

\[ 2H_2S + 6O^- \rightarrow 2H_2O + 2SO_2 + 6e^- \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

However, when the operating temperature is above 200 °C, the sensitivity decreased, which can be attributed to the utilization rate of the sensing layer was decreased, because the target gas (H₂S) was just consumed at a very shallow surface of the sensing layer in this case, which leads to the reduction of the diffusion depth of the target gas, therefore, the change in the resistance would be decreased. Consequently, the sensitivity is decreased [29].
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The response and recovery time, which are linked closely with the detection speed of the sensor, are two important parameters in evaluating the gas-sensing performance of the sensors. Here, the response time and recovery time were defined as the time to reach 90% of the maximum sensing response when the target gases was injected into the chamber, as well as the time for the maximum sensing response was decrease to 10% upon air purging. Fig. 7 shows the response time and recovery time of the sensor based on α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles upon exposure to 10 ppm H₂S gas at operating temperatures from 100 °C to 400 °C. It can be observed obviously that a higher operating temperature is beneficial to the decrease of the response and recovery time. This phenomenon can be explained as follow: (1) the adsorption and desorption processes are thermally reactions, which are sensitive to the temperature; (2) the increased working temperature will accelerate the electron transition between the conductor band and surface Fermi level, which could facilitate the desorption reaction, thus, the recovery time is greatly decreased. In this study, when the operating temperature was higher than 300 °C, the response time and recovery time of the sensor were 30 s and 5 s, respectively.

Considering the sensitivity and response-recovery time of the sensors operating at different temperatures, we have chosen 300 °C as the optimum temperature to further investigate the sensing performance of the α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticle based sensor. Fig. 8 displays the real-time gas sensing transients of the sensor to 10 ppm H₂S gas at 300 °C. The almost square shape response observed in the curve indicates that the sensor has a rapid response to H₂S gas and achieves a steady state in a short time. The resistance
underwent a dramatic decrease upon the injection of H$_2$S gas and almost recovered to its initial value when the H$_2$S flow was switched off, indicating a good reversibility the sensor possesses. In addition, the response time and recovery time were determined to be 30 s and 5 s, respectively.

The response and recovery behaviors of the sensor were further investigated by exposing the sensors to different concentrations of H$_2$S gas at the operating temperature of 300 °C, and the results are shown in Fig. 9. Dry air was firstly used to purge the testing chamber to obtain the baseline, and then the H$_2$S gas was introduced into the testing chamber. When the sensor was exposed to the H$_2$S gas from 10 ppm down to 0.05 ppm, the corresponding responses shown in Fig. 7a and Fig. 7b are 5.31 to 1.25, clearly indicating that the sensor has a high sensitivity down to sub-ppm level for the H$_2$S gas detection. Although the other oxide sensors reported in the literature could also detect H$_2$S at sub-ppm level, such as In$_2$O$_3$ [10], WO$_3$ [13], CuO [31-33] etc., their response/recovery durations were quite long. For example, Ramgir et al reported that the detection limit of the CuO-SnO$_2$ thin film based sensor to H$_2$S gas was as low as 0.02 ppm at the operating temperature of 300 °C. However, the response time and recovery time of that sensor were 20 min and 10 min, respectively, much longer than those from our work (30 s and 5 s). Besides, in the Fig. 9c and Fig. 9d, the response of the sensor was enhanced linearly with the increase of H$_2$S concentration, indicating a good linearity of the sensing characteristics.

Considering the practical application of the fabricated gas sensor, the reproducibility of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ based sensor was further investigated by successively
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exposing the sensor to the H2S gas at the concentration of 10 ppm for 4 cycles, and the corresponding curve of the response-recovery characteristic is shown in Fig. 10. During the successive gas injection and releasing, the response-recovery curves of the sensor are repeated without apparent changes. Fig.10 (b) shows error bars of the sensor responses by successively exposing the sensor to 10 ppm H2S gas for four cycles. The largest standard deviation (SD) was less than 0.1, indicating that the sensor based α-Fe2O3 nanoparticles possesses a good reproducibility.

Long-term stability, one of the most important parameters for the sensor during the practical application, has been characterized and is shown in Fig. 11. The sensor exhibits a nearly constant response to 5 ppm and 10 ppm H2S gas during the 16 days continuous test, suggesting the sensor possess an excellent long-term stability for H2S detection.

3.3 Gas sensing mechanism

The H2S sensing mechanism of α-Fe2O3 nanoparticles based sensor is illustrated in Fig. 12. As is well known, α-Fe2O3 is an n-type metal oxide semiconductor, and its sensing performance is governed by the change of the surface resistance resulted from the chemical interaction between the test gases and the oxygen species absorbed on the surface of the sensor [34, 35]. When the sensor is placed in an Ar atmosphere, the energy band is close to the flat-band situation because there is no electrons transfer between surface Fermi level and conductor band as shown in Fig. 12a [36]. However, once the α-Fe2O3 was exposed to the air, the oxygen molecules was absorbed on the surface of α-Fe2O3, and formed negatively charged chemisorbed
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oxygen species (O$_2^-$, O', O$^\cdot$) by capturing electrons from conduction band and trapping them at the surface. This would result in a decrease in the conductivity of the sensor and the upward bending of energy band, thus producing an electron-depletion layer on the surface and generating a barrier $\Delta \phi$ as shown in Fig. 12b. The types of absorbed oxygen species are dependent on the working temperature. When the temperature was lower than 100 °C, O$_2^-$ was commonly chemisorbed. However, when the temperature was between 100 °C and 300 °C, O' became commonly chemisorbed and the O$_2^-$ disappeared rapidly. Once the temperature was higher than 300 °C, the oxygen species mainly existed in the form of O$^\cdot$ [37]. The absorption process on the surface can be depicted as follow:

$$O_2(g) \rightarrow O_2(\text{ad})$$ (3)

$$O_2(\text{ad}) + e^- \rightarrow O_2^-(\text{ad}) \quad (T < 100 \, ^\circ \text{C})$$ (4)

$$O_2^-(\text{ad}) + e^- \rightarrow 2O^-(\text{ad}) \quad (100 \, ^\circ \text{C} < T < 300 \, ^\circ \text{C})$$ (5)

$$O^-(\text{ad}) + e^- \rightarrow O_2^-(\text{ad}) \quad (T > 300 \, ^\circ \text{C})$$ (6)

When the sensor was exposed to the H$_2$S gas, these absorbed oxygen species would react with the H$_2$S molecules and generate sulfur oxides and H$_2$O vapor. During this process, the captured electrons would be released back into the conduction band. As a result, the surface resistance of the $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ was decreased, the electron-depletion layer was reduced and the potential barrier ($\Delta \phi$) was decreased. This chemical interaction can be described below:

$$2H_2S(g) + 3O^-(\text{ad}) \leftrightarrow 2H_2O(g) + 2SO_2(g) + 3\alpha \cdot e^-$$ (7)

Because the sensing material consists of connected nanoparticles, thus, the carrier
transfer between those overlapped nanoparticles was also investigated. The corresponding schematic diagram is shown in Fig. 13. When the particles are connected or contacted, a transmission path will be generated among these nanoparticles. Consequently, due to the partial concentration gradient of carriers among these connected particles, the carriers will diffuse along this transmission path. Additionally, when a bias voltage is applied, an extra electric field will be generated among these particles, thus, the carriers will drift along the opposite or positive direction of the electric field from one particle to another. Under these conditions, a contact barrier will be formed between these connected particles. Once the sensor is placed in the presence of H$_2$S gas, the surface reaction between the absorbed oxygen species and H$_2$S molecules will release electrons. In this process, some of these released electrons will return to the conductor band, and others will hop into the contact barrier area, which reduces the width of the contact barrier, resulting in the decrease of contact resistance between the overlapped particles [38, 39].
4. Conclusions

In summary, $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles were synthesized by a facile and eco-friendly hydrothermal reaction combined with a subsequent calcination process. The H$_2$S sensing properties including sensitivity, reversibility, reproducibility and stability were studied. Results showed that the optimum operating temperature to detect H$_2$S gas is 300 °C, in which, the lowest detection limit to H$_2$S gas reaches 0.05 ppm with the response value of 1.25, and the response and recovery time of the sensor to 10 ppm H$_2$S gas are as low as 30 s and 5 s, respectively. In addition, the sensor also exhibits excellent reproducibility and long-term stability for H$_2$S detection, indicating that the $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles synthesis by this method can be a promising sensing material for fabrication high-performance H$_2$S gas sensor.
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Figure Captions

Fig. 1 (a) Schematic of the gas sensor based on α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles. (b) The measuring electric circuit for the gas sensor.

Fig. 2 XRD patterns of as-prepared samples: (a) the Fe$_3$O$_4$ precursor and (b) the final product of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$.

Fig. 3 SEM images of (a) the as-prepared Fe$_3$O$_4$ precursor (b) the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ product

Fig. 4 (a) Wide-field TEM image of as-prepared α-Fe$_2$O$_3$, (b) The corresponding HRTEM image of single α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticle.

Fig. 5 I-V characteristics curves of the sensor at different operating temperatures (150 °C, 200 °C, 250 °C, 300 °C, 350 °C corresponding to the curves from 1 to 5, respectively)

Fig. 6 (a) Gas response of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles based gas sensor as a function of working temperature to various concentrations H$_2$S gas ranging from 1 ppm to 10 ppm.

Fig. 7 Response time and recovery time of the sensor based on α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles upon exposure to 10 ppm H$_2$S gas at an operating temperature from100 °C to 400 °C.

Fig. 8 Real-time gas sensing transients of the sensor based on α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles to 10 ppm H$_2$S gas at 300 °C.

Fig. 9 Dynamic response-recovery curve of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles based sensor upon exposure to H$_2$S gas with various concentrations of: (a) 1 ppm-10 ppm, (b) 0.05 ppm-0.5ppm at the optimum working temperature of 300 °C. Linear plot of sensitivity as a function of the concentrations of H$_2$S gas: (c) 1 ppm-10 ppm, (d) 0.05 ppm-0.5 ppm.
Fig. 10 (a) Reproducibility of the sensor based on α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles by successively exposing the sensor to 10 ppm H₂S gas at 300 °C. (b) The sensitivity fluctuation range and (c) response/ recovery time fluctuation range during four successively exposure the sensor to 10 ppm H₂S at 300 °C.

Fig. 11 long-term stability of the gas sensor based on α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles to 5 ppm and 10 ppm H₂S gas at 300 °C.

Fig. 12 Band diagrams and schematic images of the surface reactions at different surroundings: (a) in the Ar atmosphere; (b) exposed in the air (c) in the presence of H₂S gas.

Fig. 13 Gas sensing mechanism and carrier transfer between two overlapped particles.

Fig. 1 (a) Schematic of the gas sensor based on α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles. (b) The measuring electric circuit for the gas sensor.
Fig. 2 XRD patterns of as-prepared samples: (a) the Fe$_3$O$_4$ precursor and (b) the final product of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$

Fig. 3 SEM images of (a) the as-prepared Fe$_3$O$_4$ precursor (b) the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ product

Fig. 4 (a) Wide-field TEM image of as-prepared α-Fe$_2$O$_3$, (b) The corresponding
HRTEM image of single α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticle

Fig. 5 I-V characteristics between the two neighboring electrodes bridged by the α-Fe₂O₃ film at different working temperature ranging from 100 °C to 350 °C

Fig. 6 (a) Gas response of the α-Fe₂O₃ based sensor to different concentrations of H₂S gas measured at different working temperature.
Fig. 7 Response time and recovery time of the sensor derived from $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ upon exposure to 10 ppm H$_2$S gas at an operating temperature in the range of 100 $^\circ$C - 400 $^\circ$C.

Fig. 8 Real-time gas sensing transients of the sensor based on $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles to 10 ppm H$_2$S gas at 300 $^\circ$C.
Fig. 9 Dynamic response-recovery curve of the $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ based sensor upon exposure to different concentration H$_2$S gas at the operating temperature of 300 °C: (a) 1 ppm -10 ppm, (b) 0.05 ppm-0.5ppm. Linear plot of sensitivity as a function of the concentrations of H$_2$S gas: (c) 1 ppm-10 ppm, (d) 0.05 ppm-0.5 ppm.

Fig. 10 (a) Reproducibility of the sensor based on $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles by
successively exposing the sensor to 10 ppm H₂S gas at 300 °C. (b) Error bar of the sensor’s response by successively exposure the sensor to 10 ppm H₂S gas four circles.

Fig. 11: Long-term stability of the gas sensor based on α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles to 5 ppm and 10 ppm H₂S gas at 300 °C.

Fig. 12: Band diagrams and schematic images of the surface reactions at different potential barriers.
surroundings: (a) in the Ar atmosphere; (b) exposed in the air (c) in the presence of H$_2$S gas.