Introduction

Outreach is commonly utilised for engaging marginalised groups. However, little guidance exists for those designing and commissioning outreach programmes on how to maximise effectiveness potential. This work builds on a realist evidence synthesis, funded by the National Institute for Public Health Research and associated with Fuse, that examined how and in what circumstances outreach interventions are successful in engaging and improving the health of one socially excluded group, Traveller Communities. Subsequent work was undertaken to disseminate these findings and explore their potential impact for practice among key stakeholders. This led to partner organisations expressing an interest in the development of a decision aid to facilitate the commissioning and design of outreach programmes most likely to be effective.

Evidence mobilisation

The overall explanatory framework of how, when and in what circumstances outreach is most likely to work with Traveller communities:

The decision tool signposting decision makers through key intervention components: [a] the outreach worker and how known and trusted they are by the target community (from red – no prior contact, to green – established relationships); [b] the outreach workers’ remit (whether this is something prioritised by the community) and how flexible they are to help with ‘side’ issues; and [c] the outcome from the intervention. The arrows between the remit and outcomes boxes represent the strength of causality between intervention and outcomes – a full arrow indicates outcomes likely to happen; a dotted arrow indicates outcomes that are possible but far from certain; no arrow indicates an unlikely outcome.

Conclusion

Practitioners and commissioners need to consider carefully the entry points in a community, and the potential and realistic impacts of an intervention. Whilst an outreach worker with no prior contacts with the community may be successful in improving access to services, only workers with well established relationships are likely to have longer term engagement outcomes. Capitalising on the relationships already existing between community specific organisations and the communities is most likely to lead to a range of successful, short and long term outcomes. This tool kit has been presented to a number of practitioners and commissioners audiences, and is being used to inform implementation and commissioning decisions regarding outreach with a broad range of disadvantaged groups.
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