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- What we hope to get out of it in the long term
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RESEARCH AIM

‘To establish the baseline position and design a robust strategy for a 25 year longitudinal assessment of the impact of the delivery of a large scale regeneration project: the Greater Eston Regeneration Master Plan’
RATIONALE FOR RESEARCH

- To record the journey of regeneration in South Bank
- To identify the impacts on the area and on local people’s quality of life and wellbeing
- To provide a forum for residents and stakeholders to comment on (and potentially influence) the regeneration process
- To highlight learning and best practice for future similar regeneration programmes
RESEARCH TASKS

- Documentary analysis (previous similar work, secondary data for the area)
- Consulted 20 residents and 25 stakeholders, and attend local meetings
- Identified study costs and potential funders
- Designed a flexible and transferable methodology
- Recorded the baseline position in South Bank
SOUTH BANK

Teesside

South Bank
SOUTH BANK: GEOGRAPHICAL AREA OF STUDY
SOUTH BANK

- Small geographical area, formerly rural
- Grew quickly after 1850 to provide housing for ship and steel workers
- Small, tightly packed terraced housing dating from early 1900s
- Formerly the ‘vibrant heart’ of town
- From 1960s onwards, affected by the decline of ship and steel industries
REGENERATION EFFORTS

- Previous programmes: SRB
- Plans:
  - Sustainable Communities Plan (2005)
  - The Greater Eston Master Plan (2008)
- Consultations with residents
- Demolition of void housing
- Eco-village
SOUTH BANK NEGATIVES

- Low housing demand
- Private landlords and problem tenants
- Voids & demolitions
- Falling population
- Frustration at lack of progress
- High unemployment
- Poverty
- Poor health
- Fly tipping and littering
- High crime rates
- Anti-social behaviour issues
- Few shops or services
- Stigma
SOUTH BANK POSITIVES

- Educational achievement improving (but still low)
- Good schools
- New facilities: library and health village
- Plans for new housing and shops

- Active community organisations and projects
- Strength of community:
  - ‘Neighbourliness’
  - Family networks
  - Community spirit
- Sense of belonging to the area
- Hope for the future
Houses awaiting demolition, South Bank, Spring 2010
Early 20th century housing designated ‘retain and monitor’, South Bank, Spring 2010
Housing demolition site, South Bank, Spring 2010
Boarded up shops in South Bank, Spring 2010
King George’s Square War Memorial, South Bank, Spring 2010
LONGITUDINAL STUDY: PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

Main activities (to be repeated every 3-5 years):

- Interviews/focus groups with residents
- Interviews with stakeholders
- Secondary data analysis

With various innovative add-on projects occurring periodically in between, plus ongoing contact
STUDY PARTICIPANTS

- 100 participants: 80 residents and 20 stakeholders
- Residents grouped into ‘panels’ of people who share characteristics (e.g. age, employment status, ethnicity, housing tenure)
- Recruitment of residents through snowball sampling
- Retention vs. refreshment of participant group
ADD-ONS

- Innovative and opportunistic
- Using a variety of research methods (e.g. case studies, oral histories, art and photography projects, GIS, social networking)
- Taking place between repeats of the main data collection activity
- As and when funding permits
## Suggested Time Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research activities</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviews/focus groups</td>
<td>3-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

- Uncertainty – will the regeneration go ahead? Can we fund the study?
- Commitment from all parties is vital
- Maintaining momentum
- Flexibility at the expense of clarity?
- Attribution?
- Longitudinal approach recognises that successful regeneration takes time to achieve
- Chance to improve understanding
RESEARCH REPORTS
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