

Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Elsdon, Chris, Gloerich, Inte, Spaa, Anne, Vines, John and de Waal, Martijn (2019) Making the blockchain civic. *interactions*, 26 (2). pp. 60-65. ISSN 1072-5520

Published by: Association for Computing Machinery

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3305364> <<https://doi.org/10.1145/3305364>>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link:
<http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/38409/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)

Making the blockchain civic

Chris Elsdon, Northumbria University, UK
Inte Gloerich, Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences, The Netherlands
Anne Spaa, Northumbria University, UK
John Vines, Northumbria University, UK
Martijn de Waal, Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences, The Netherlands

Full citation: Elsdon, C., Gloerich, I., Spaa, A., Vines, J., & de Waal, M. (2019). Making the blockchain civic. *Interactions*, 26(2), 60–65. doi:10.1145/3305364 - <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=3305364>

Introduction

To what extent can the application of blockchain technologies be employed towards civic empowerment, organizing local civic and circular economies, reinstate trust in civic institutions, or, perhaps, create entirely new types of institutions?

In May 2018, researchers from the Amsterdam University of Applied Science's Faculty of Digital Media and Creative Industries, Northumbria University's interdisciplinary NorTH Lab and local - Amsterdam based - professional partners gathered for a speculative design charrette to explore opportunities and challenges with regard to designing for futures of civic good with blockchain technologies.

This design charrette was designed to broaden these discussions and introduce a value-driven perspective to debates surrounding blockchain. We see an important role for the design community in linking the design and application of blockchain technology towards matters of public and social concern. While blockchains raise suspicions as instruments of market-driven 'financialisation' (e.g. Zeilinger, 2016; Lotti, 2018), they may also be configured to radically regulate and distribute common resources. Specifically, we set out to ask what these emerging technologies could mean for the organization of civil society and civic practices? What future imaginaries and design trajectories can we envision that shape these new technologies from a civic perspective?

Blockchains and distributed ledgers are an emerging infrastructural technology that, arguably, has the potential to fundamentally transform the ways in which people transact, trust, collaborate, organize and identify themselves (Elsdon et al, 2018). Most debates around these technologies have focused on their technical functions, and the affordances of blockchain to organize monetary transactions and trust in new ways. In doing so, it is often claimed that these technologies will promote new modes of decentralized organization and lead to the 'disruption' of traditional institutions, be they large banks and corporations or central governments. Despite the envisioning of blockchains to support new forms of citizenship (BitNation), social currencies (D-Cent, Commonfare), renewable energy exchanges (Jouliette), conditional giving (Alice), voting mechanisms (Voatz) and distributed licencing and registry (Resonate, Maecenas), there has been little attention to their implications for digital civics.

A Speculative Design Charrette

Our charrette asked participants to 'reverse engineer' a future scenario they developed in which civic technologies in Amsterdam are underpinned by blockchain technologies. What would this future look like, and what events, policies, technologies and cultures could have led to that future?

As a kick-off assignment, we worked in groups to rapidly create a vast number of fictional news headlines, each of which reported aspects and issues related to imagined civic blockchain projects in 2030 (Fig, 1 - SPREAD OF NEWSPAPER HEADLINES).

[IMAGE ---

Staff Fired! Newspaper generates own headlines

Museum wall refuses to hang artwork

Stallman or Thiel? Algorithms running for office divide community

Identity borrowing scheme helps excluded groups gain access to credit

The 10 most under-rated city tokens today, and why brutalism is beautiful

Police warn communities to stop mining new alt-coins

---IMAGE]

Provocative and playful, revealing both excitement and wariness, these headlines were a creative tapestry of researchers' interests and imaginations regarding civic blockchains. In groups we discussed and developed outlines of speculative design artefacts that might explain or expose the socio-technical systems and technologies lying underneath.

Over the course of the day, this envisioning was captured in 'imaginary' design workbooks [Blythe et al.], which ultimately depict all manner of speculative artefacts: physical things; diagrams, logos and text from imagined services; adverts; newspaper articles; and first-person narratives (Fig. 2). Each of the resulting four workbooks reflect designers and researchers trying to get to grips and experimenting with what it means to do design in relation to complex and slippery technologies like blockchain. However, collectively they also create a platform to reflect on the emergent issues in making the blockchain civic. We introduce each workbook briefly now, before turning to these wider emergent issues.

Speculating on Civic Blockchains

Managing multiple identities

While passports and state ID cards privilege formal centralized identities, the first workbook explores the implications of blockchains that facilitate a proliferation of formal digital profiles and identities. Regulation is envisioned where citizens are granted up to 10 'legally valid' identities which could be tailored to different contexts and situations. Aspirationally, this workbook suggests that the concept of a single identity has been used as a mode to reinforce inequalities, stigmas and social divides; hence these new laws may be seen as a way to embrace pluralities and navigate physical, digital and cultural borders. Yet in practice, the workbook questions the extent to which the disintermediation of identity services is practical and manageable for individual citizens, and when and how centralizing or delegating identity management would prove valuable. (REF - Fig. 2)

Almsterdam: The algorithmic and self-licencing city

This second workbook departed from a headline taken from a newspaper in 2030 – *Stallman vs. Thiel: how two algorithms running for office divide a local community*. The headline envisions a future in which citizens no longer elect a single person or party to govern a city, but choose between different algorithms that autonomously run a number of city services – what this group came to term 'Almsterdam'.

The radical assumption underlying Almsterdam is that the rise of blockchain and smart contracts facilitates the functions of a city to be expressed as a number of licences to provide services which can be auctioned off to citizens, companies or organizations, (e.g. parking, housing, energy etc). In practice, the city depends upon a set of distributed autonomous organizations (or DAOs) that run these licensing programmes themselves, administering these temporarily granted rights and cryptocurrency payments on a

blockchain. As the headline suggests, the frontline of politics focuses on programming the rules and conditions for these DAOs that govern the lives of Amsterdam's inhabitants. (REF - Fig. 2)

Automacracy: Governance as a Service

The third workbook explored a world where 'self-service' governance and local decision making has become both a societal ideal and an economic necessity. In 2030, centralised authorities have largely withdrawn, and as faith in central authorities and global commercial forces has eroded, a greater culture of self-determination and local, collective organisation has evolved. By 2030 Automacracy has become an influential technology company, providing a range of decentralised tools to support trustworthy digital governance at global, local and even individual scales.

In practice, this workbook grappled with how algorithmic and blockchain-based governance would manifest physically in citizens' lives and their social worlds. To make sense of the cryptographic protocols and assurances of 'smart contracts', Automacracy is envisioned to appropriate traditional forms of making and confirming decisions. Crypto-governance products may hence rely on analogies to coin tosses, magic eight balls, attestation and signatures. As such, symbolism, ritual and metaphor emerge as critical ways for people to make sense of what to many will remain a mysterious set of processes and systems. (REF - Fig. 2)

Tokenizing Tourism

The final workbook revolved around the establishing of a 'tourist quota' in the city of Amsterdam in 2030. Like many European capitals, Amsterdam is struggling to keep up with an increasing influx of tourists. In the future, citizens of Amsterdam are envisioned to vote for the kind of tourists they want to attract to their city and neighbourhood. As such, visiting tourists are assigned to particular areas of the city, and are incentivised to use particular currencies. Set in 2031, the workbook follows the story of Pål and Inger, a couple from Sweden on their holiday in Amsterdam, where they face multi-currency spaces, data-based constraints and privileges, and blockchain-based voting.

This voting system is envisioned to give citizens agency to influence their highly local environment as part of a larger city. The workbook deals with questions around the balancing of individual needs and larger social ideals in algorithmic decision making. How can individual voters relate to the consequences of their preferences when the system calculates an outcome from many atomized variables? Using multiple complementary currencies, the tokenized tourism imagined here would categorize visitors, giving them special deals at the same time as constructing digital limits to their movement and spending. (REF - Fig. 2)

Reflections

As an exercise, the workbooks helped us to consider the broad philosophical ideals of civic life, and specific touchpoints through which blockchains might be understood and materialised. While each workbook raises its own questions, reflecting across them, we drew three core insights that highlight opportunities for carefully considered design research for 'making the blockchain civic'.

1) Decentralized and Distributed Civics

Back in the 1990s, the rise of internet promised decentralized information production, distribution and processing. More recently, we observe a recentralization of these processes in a 'platform society'. In line with these past and present developments, blockchains promise to decentralize administrative systems.

For example, *Automacracy* imagines blockchains and 'self-service governance' as a response to an ineffective or retrenched centralized state. While many blockchain applications envisage global networks and 'interplanetary file systems', the focus on civics and the city of Amsterdam investigates their qualities as instruments of localism, which could be a tool to resist faraway centralization.

Tokenizing Tourism considers whether cities can be algorithmically atomised into distinct neighbourhoods, with their own currencies and hyper-local referenda as a way to maintain a distinctive character in response to overwhelming tourism.

Almsterdam re-conceptualizes the administration of a city as a series of 'licences'; these elements can then be programmed and directed to interact algorithmically, accountable to rules and contracts held in a distributed ledger. In this way, embedding long-term societal goals and principles into technocratic systems might be a way to balance and off-set short term reactions or crises – or worse, a way of imposing past politics on future generations.

However, practically and politically, what are the limits of decentralization? How can citizens locate and hold new centres of power to account? When interacting with a series of interdependent licences or voting for algorithms, what are the checks and balances, and where is human governance and accountability most crucial? How can citizens and organisations interpret the real-world consequences of expressing particular philosophical preferences through blockchain-based systems?

2) *Semi-Autonomous Civics*

Second, through the decentralization of these administrative systems, the workbooks envisaged how civic life could become tied to (semi-)autonomous actors in the form of smart contracts and DAOs. In various guises, participants presaged non-human actors as having a more significant role to play in mediating how citizens access, use and experience civic spaces. In particular, blockchains were recognised as a means to embed particular algorithmic functions, manage their operation, and even to extend rights to non-human actors.

For example, *Automacracy* envisaged cryptographic 8-balls and Ouija boards as a means to settle local disputes. *Almsterdam* considered vastly complex interdependent systems, in a world where house prices might be mediated by 'smart' parking garages that make investments in nearby housing blocks to increase their own capacity. *Tokenized Tourism* imagined emergent marketplaces for complementary currencies to deal with the pigeonholing of tourists into specific spending patterns.

We might take this further, to ask what kind of rights could parking spaces, playgrounds, garages or trees might be afforded within a blockchain network? And as a designer, what would it mean to design civic technologies and interventions that embed the interests of our environment and non-human actors?

3) *Human Interaction with Blockchain-Based Systems*

Beyond the political discussions of new civic infrastructures, the workbooks also gave consideration to the design of actual interfaces and rituals that could give shape to, and humanize our interactions with these systems. Civic blockchain and rights management schemes concern abstract trust-based data-transactions. It is here in particular that interaction designers should concern themselves. For example, how do we give form to data-driven transactions in everyday life when we possibly no longer need handshakes or signatures? In what ways will they replace these and other ritualized and embodied forms of interaction that have traditionally confirmed agreements and the transaction of rights?

Automacracy considered the appropriation of traditional symbols and metaphors of agreement and decision making as a way to weave abstract data and algorithms into the local social fabrics. *Tokenizing Tourism* envisaged the enactment of 'multi-currency' spaces, but how could such spaces be practically and materially negotiated? Or what would a political campaign for an algorithm actually look and sound like?

These kinds of questions indicate the need to explore designs that consider the embodied and social experiences of interaction with quite abstract data-transactions, smart contracts and automation.

A Provisional Framework for Design

Considering these reflections, discussion of the workbooks led to the development of a provisional 'framework' which we propose could focus speculation, and drive forward future work around blockchain for civic good. The framework is organised around four 'layers' – the philosophical layer, the political layer, the interaction layer and the social layer - each of which can provide an avenue to critically investigate making the blockchain civic.

Philosophical layer: This is a foundational layer, relating to the overriding conceptual dimensions of a civic blockchain. This layer concerns philosophical ideals and commitments made by different civic blockchains: from radical disintermediation of centralized systems, to formalising collective rights and membership of a network. For example, Almsterdam presents the 'city as a licence' as a driving philosophical concept: A future in which (semi-) autonomous digital systems administer rights and access to a broad variety of urban resources.

Political layer: The political layer refers to the degrees of scale and power at which civic blockchains might operate. The rise of non-human actors in such a system in particular raises political questions about decision making, transparency and accountability, in relation to democracy. Perhaps administration empowers local citizens with regular polls and referenda on all manner of issues, or it is delegated through varying degrees of elected licencing and automation. The governance of identity management schemes equally presents such a political question: who – or what – identities are recorded in such a system, and what power and rights does this extend to them?

Interaction layer: The interaction layer relates to the ways in which people may actually interact with blockchain enabled services. This might include exploring designs that facilitate embodied rituals for quite abstract data-transactions, and exploring the symbolic dimensions of smart contracts and automation. In the contexts of civics, a particular concern is how these interactions will be inclusive of all citizens, not only an elite or those who are easiest to serve. As a design provocation, the interaction layer invites a specific focus on the touchpoints people have with these services, and how they are made sense of by them and materialised to them.

Social layer: Finally, the social layer relates to the emergent social phenomena that might emerge through civic blockchains - especially as they tend to aim to extend or impose certain sets of rules or agreements. To what extent would people comply, what kind of civic hacks or criminal cracks would be thinkable to improve or undermine these systems? How would often vital grey economies fare or interact in a multi-currency space, or strict civic licensing structure? This layer demands attention to the potential emerging practices of individuals and groups, how social spaces and communities may become organised in new ways, and the societal implications of these technologies.

Through this workshop and article we aimed to develop a design space to consider the opportunities and challenges of blockchains as a civic technology. The imaginary design workbooks offer provocations - wild ideas and nuanced glimpses for making the blockchain civic. Stepping back, we have drawn reflections and a provisional framework, in an effort to emphasise the multitude of human and social considerations in the design of such technologies. These are early efforts, but we hope through these, others can explore and critique the emergence of blockchain technologies in civic life.

Acknowledgments

Adam van Heerden, Irene Kamp, Merel Willemsen, Aspasia Beneti, Nina Fistal, Ben Schouten, Bodo Balasz, Geert Lovink, Chandar van der Zande, Shaun Lawson, Belen Barros Pena, Arthi Manohar, Nicolai Brodersen Hansen participated in the workshop and contributed their ideas to the various design workbooks and scenarios. Elsdén, Spaa and Vines would like to acknowledge support of the EPSRC OxChain grant (EP/N028198/1).

References

Blythe, M., Encinas, E., Kaye, J., Avery, M. L., McCabe, R., & Andersen, K. (2018, April). Imaginary Design Workbooks: Constructive Criticism and Practical Provocation. In Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (p. 233). ACM.

Elsden, C., Manohar, A., Briggs, J., Harding, M., Speed, C., & Vines, J. (2018, April). Making Sense of Blockchain Applications: A Typology for HCI. In Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (p. 458). ACM.

Lotti, Laura. 'Financialization as a Medium: Speculative Notes on Post-Blockchain Art', In: Inte Gloerich, Geert Lovink, Patricia de Vries (eds), MoneyLab Reader #2: Overcoming the Hype, Amsterdam: Institute of Network Cultures (2018)

Zeilinger, Martin. 'Digital Art as "Monetised Graphics": Enforcing Intellectual Property on the Blockchain', Philosophy & Technology (2016)