

Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Farajpour, Ali, Ghayesh, Mergen H. and Farokhi, Hamed (2019) Super and subcritical nonlinear nonlocal analysis of NSGT nanotubes conveying nanofluid. *Microsystem Technologies*, 25 (12). pp. 4693-4707. ISSN 0946-7076

Published by: Springer

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00542-019-04442-y> <<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00542-019-04442-y>>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link:
<http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/39405/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)



**Northumbria
University**
NEWCASTLE



UniversityLibrary

Super and subcritical nonlinear nonlocal analysis of NSGT nanotubes conveying nanofluid

Ali Farajpour ^{a*}, Mergen H. Ghayesh ^a, Hamed Farokhi ^b

^a *School of Mechanical Engineering, University of Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia*

Email: mergen.ghayesh@adelaide.edu.au (M.H. Ghayesh)

**Corresponding author: ali.farajpourouderji@adelaide.edu.au (A. Farajpour)*

^b *Department of Mechanical and Construction Engineering, Northumbria University,*

Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 8ST, UK

Email: hamed.farokhi@northumbria.ac.uk (H. Farokhi)

Abstract

An advanced nonlinear continuum model is presented to analyse the super and subcritical nonlinear behaviour of nanotubes. The nanoscale system is used to convey fluid flow at nanoscale levels. Due to the restrictions of one-parameter size-dependent models, a more comprehensive nonlinear coupled model containing two different size parameters is introduced using the nonlocal strain gradient theory (NSGT). Both axial and transverse inertial terms are taken into consideration, leading to more accurate results for nanotubes conveying fluid. In addition, since the mean free path of molecules is not negligible compared to the diameter of the tube at nanoscales, the Beskok–Karniadakis approach is implemented. The NSGT, Galerkin's technique and continuation method are finally employed to derive, discretise and solve the coupled nonlinear equations, respectively. The frequency-amplitude response, modal interactions and the possibility of energy transfer between modes are examined in both supercritical and subcritical flow regimes.

Keywords: NSGT nanotubes; Fluid flow; Coupled motion; Geometrical nonlinearity

1. Introduction

In recent years, various nanotubes have been synthesised and used in nanoscale electromechanical systems. The widespread application of nanotubes is due to their excellent mechanical, thermal and electrical properties. For instance, carbon nanotubes have an exceptional strength and a high thermal conductivity, leading to the extensive applications of them in different nanosystems such as nanoresonators, nanogenerators, thermal conductors and scaffolds for bone growth (De Volder et al. 2013). Strong nonlinear dynamics has been found in the fundamental structures of many microelectromechanical and nanoelectromechanical systems (MEMS and NEMS) (Farokhi and Ghayesh 2016; Farokhi and Ghayesh 2018; Ghayesh and Farokhi 2018; Sassi and Najar 2018), making the investigation of this phenomenon extremely important in analysing MEMS and NEMS devices.

The vibration response of macroscale structures has widely been explored via the classical elasticity (Ghayesh et al. 2013a; Ghayesh and Moradian 2011; Malekzadeh 2007; Malekzadeh and Vosoughi 2009). However, since classical continuum-based models lead to size-independent results for small-scale structures, they are modified to include size effects (Babaei and Yang 2019; Ebrahimi and Barati 2019; Farajpour et al. 2018b; Farajpour et al. 2018c; Ghayesh and Farajpour 2018b; Lin et al. 2018; Sahmani and Aghdam 2018), and accurately estimate the mechanical behaviour at small-scales (Farokhi et al. 2018a; Farokhi et al. 2018b; Ghayesh 2018; Ghayesh 2019; Kamali et al. 2018; Pradiptya and Ouakad 2018; Yayli 2018). Various modified continuum-based models involving the nonlocal (Farajpour et al. 2017; Reddy 2010), couple stress (Ghayesh et al. 2016; Nejad et al. 2017) and a theory incorporating the gradient of strain (Akgöz and Civalek 2011; Ghayesh et al. 2013b) have

been developed and utilised for small-scale structures. Recently, an advanced version of the nonlocal theory, which includes strain gradient effects, has attracted much attention in the continuum modelling of nanostructures (Lim et al. 2015; Zhu and Li 2017). This size-dependent theory is technically termed as “nonlocal strain gradient”. In this work, using this theory, size effects on the mechanical response are captured.

Modified continuum-based models have widely been presented for the vibration, bending and instability analyses of nanotubes. In one pioneering study, Zhang et al. (Zhang et al. 2005) presented a nonlocal model to analyse the free vibration of a system of two nanotubes. In another work, Reddy and Pang (Reddy and Pang 2008) presented different scale-dependent beam models for the mechanical behaviours of nanotubes involving the static deformation, oscillation and stability responses; they utilised the nonlocal constitutive relation for developing the scale-dependent formulation. Malekzadeh and Shojaee (Malekzadeh and Shojaee 2013b) developed a nonlinear beam model with incorporation of both nonlocal and surface influences for analysing the large-amplitude oscillations of nanobeams; they presented numerical results based on both Euler–Bernoulli and Timoshenko theories of beams. Moreover, Malekzadeh and Shojaee (Malekzadeh and Shojaee 2013a) analysed the static stability of quadrilateral laminated sheets made of several layers reinforced with carbon nanotubes; they used a first-order theory of shear deformations to model the quadrilateral laminated sheet. Khaniki et al. (Khaniki et al. 2018) also developed a two-phase scale-dependent model for dynamics of nanoscale beams; the nanosystem was embedded in a varying elastic medium. Aydogdu and Filiz (Aydogdu and Filiz 2011) proposed a scale-dependent model for mass nanosensors using nanotubes; the axial vibration of carbon nanotubes was exploited for mass detection at nanoscales. In addition, Aydogdu (Aydogdu 2014) employed the nonlocal elasticity for analysing axial wave

propagations in multi-walled nanotubes; the effects of van der Waals forces between various walls on the wave propagation were captured via an analytical model. In another study, Malekzadeh et al. (Malekzadeh et al. 2014) investigated the free vibration of a skew small-scale plate with large displacements capturing size effects; surface effects were also taken into consideration in the model. Setoodeh and Afrahim (Setoodeh and Afrahim 2014) utilised the strain gradient theory to explore the large-amplitude dynamics of microscale pipes conveying fluid; in the formulation, it was assumed that the pipe was made of functionally graded materials. More recently, Li et al. (Li et al. 2016) analysed the wave dispersion in nanotubes with viscoelastic properties via a nonlocal strain gradient theory (NSGT).

In addition to pure nanotube systems, the mechanics of fluid-conveying nanotubes has attracted noticeable attention in the literature (Dai et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2010). Understanding the mechanical behaviour of these systems is important in applications such as drug delivery systems and microfluidics-based devices. Although some valuable research works have been performed on the fluid-conveying nanotubes (Ansari et al. 2016; Maraghi et al. 2013; Soltani et al. 2010; Zeighampour and Beni 2014), further investigation is required to understand the large-amplitude dynamics of nanotubes conveying nanofluid since the majority of previously published works are restricted to small deformations. In addition, for the sake of simplification, only transverse motion has been analysed. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, modal interactions and energy transfer between different modes of fluid-conveying nanotubes have not been examined yet. In the present paper, an advanced scale-dependent model is presented for the frequency response of a nanotube with large amplitudes of vibrations. The elastic nanotube is used to convey fluid flow. The Beskok–Karniadakis approach is implemented to consider slip

boundary conditions on the nonlinear behaviour. The NSGT, Galerkin's approach and continuation scheme are, respectively, utilised to derive, discretise and solve the motion equations. The frequency-amplitude plots are constructed for studying the possibility of energy transfer between modes together with modal interaction in both supercritical and subcritical regimes.

2. A NSGT-based model

To develop a continuum model, a single nanotube of a high length-to-thickness ratio is taken into account as shown in Fig. 1. The nanotube is used to convey fluid flow at nanoscales. It is assumed that the tube is perfectly straight. In addition, there is no internal friction in both the fluid and solid parts. The length, mass per length and diameter of the nanotube are, respectively, indicated by L , m and d_o . Furthermore, M is utilised to indicate the mass per length of the nanofluid. For the displacement components of the tube, we assume that $(u,w)=(\text{axial displacement, transverse displacement})$. It is assumed that the effects of shear deformation are negligible. In addition, the tube cross-section is constant in this analysis. Only geometrical nonlinearity caused by the stretching influence of the tube centreline is captured. Using Euler-Bernoulli theory and incorporating the geometrical nonlinearity, the strain is

$$\varepsilon_{xx} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 - z \left(\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right). \quad (1)$$

The force and couple resultants related to the total stress ($\sigma_{xx}^{(tot)}$) are as

$$N_{xx} = \int_A \sigma_{xx}^{(tot)} dA, \quad M_{xx} = \int_A z \sigma_{xx}^{(tot)} dA. \quad (2)$$

Using the NSGT, one can express the total stress in terms of the strain as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{xx}^{(tot)} - (e_0 a)^2 \nabla^2 \sigma_{xx}^{(tot)} &= E \left(1 - l_{sg}^2 \nabla^2 \right) \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] \\ &- z E \left(1 - l_{sg}^2 \nabla^2 \right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

in which ∇^2 , l_{sg} , E , e_0 and a are the Laplacian operator, strain gradient parameter, elasticity constant, calibration coefficient and internal characteristic size, respectively (Farajpour et al. 2018a; Ghayesh and Farajpour 2018a; Ghayesh and Farajpour 2019). In view of the above constitutive equation (i.e. Eq. (3)), the following relations are obtained from Eq. (2)

$$N_{xx} = EA \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right] - EA l_{sg}^2 \nabla^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right] + (e_0 a)^2 \nabla^2 N_{xx}, \quad (4)$$

$$M_{xx} = -EI \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + E l_{sg}^2 \nabla^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + (e_0 a)^2 \nabla^2 M_{xx}, \quad (5)$$

where I denotes the second moment area. Let us indicate the classical and higher-order stresses by σ_{xx} and $\sigma_{xx}^{(1)}$, respectively (Farajpour et al. 2019; Lim et al. 2015). For the strain energy (Π_s), one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \Pi_s &= \int_0^L \int_A \left(\sigma_{xx} \delta \varepsilon_{xx} + \sigma_{xx}^{(1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta \varepsilon_{xx} \right) dA dx \\ &= \left[\int_A \sigma_{xx}^{(1)} \delta \varepsilon_{xx} dA \right]_0^L + \int_0^L \int_A \sigma_{xx}^{(tot)} \delta \varepsilon_{xx} dA dx, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\sigma_{xx}^{(tot)} = \sigma_{xx} - \frac{\partial \sigma_{xx}^{(1)}}{\partial x}, \quad (7)$$

Assuming U is the fluid velocity, the kinetic energy of the nanosystem (T_k) is (Ghayesh et al. 2018; Paidoussis 1998)

$$\begin{aligned}
T_k &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L m \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right)^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L m \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L M \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \kappa_v U \left(1 + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) \right]^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L M \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + \kappa_v U \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Here a correction factor for the fluid velocity (κ_v) is utilised for capturing slip conditions at the wall. Using the Beskok–Karniadakis approach (Beskok and Karniadakis 1999), one obtains

$$\kappa_v = (\lambda Kn + 1) \left[\left(\frac{4Kn}{Kn + 1} \right) \left(\frac{2 - \sigma_v}{\sigma_v} \right) + 1 \right], \tag{9}$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{2\lambda_0}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left[\alpha_0 (Kn)^{\alpha_1} \right], \tag{10}$$

in which Kn is the Knudsen number. For nanotubes, it is commonly assumed that $\sigma_v = 0.7$,

$\alpha_0 = 4$, $\alpha_1 = 0.4$ and $\lambda_0 = 64/15\pi$. Assuming the amplitude $F(x)$ and frequency ω for the

applied load, the external work is given by

$$\delta W_q = \int_0^L q \delta w dx. \tag{11}$$

where

$$q = F(x) \cos(\omega t). \tag{12}$$

For deriving the motion equations, Hamilton's principle is employed as follows

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\delta W_q + \delta T_k - \delta \Pi_s) dt = 0. \tag{13}$$

Substituting Eqs. (6), (8) and (11) into the above principle, one can obtain

$$\frac{\partial N_{xx}}{\partial x} - \left((m + M) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + 2M\kappa_v U \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t \partial x} + M\kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right) = 0, \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial^2 M_{xx}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(N_{xx} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) + F(x) \cos(\omega t) \\
&- \left((m + M) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + 2M\kappa_v U \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t \partial x} + M\kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Using the above equations (i.e. Eqs. (14) and (15)) together with Eqs. (4) and (5), the motion equations are derived as

$$\begin{aligned}
& EA \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right) - EA I_{sg}^2 \nabla^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right) \\
&= (m + M) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + 2M\kappa_v U \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t \partial x} + M\kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \\
&- (e_0 a)^2 \nabla^2 \left[(m + M) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + 2M\kappa_v U \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t \partial x} + M\kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right], \\
&- EI \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} + EI I_{sg}^2 \nabla^2 \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} + F(x) \cos(\omega t) - (e_0 a)^2 \cos(\omega t) \nabla^2 F(x) \\
&+ EA \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] + EA \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right) \\
&- I_{sg}^2 EA \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \nabla^2 \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] - I_{sg}^2 EA \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \nabla^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right) \\
&+ (e_0 a)^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \left[(m + M) \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x \partial t^2} + 2M\kappa_v U \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t \partial x^2} + M\kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} \right] \\
&+ (e_0 a)^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \left[(m + M) \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^2 \partial t^2} + 2M\kappa_v U \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t \partial x^3} + M\kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} \right] \\
&- (e_0 a)^2 \nabla^2 \left\{ EA \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] + EA \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right) \right. \\
&- I_{sg}^2 EA \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \nabla^2 \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] - I_{sg}^2 EA \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \nabla^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right) \\
&+ (e_0 a)^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \left[(m + M) \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x \partial t^2} + 2M\kappa_v U \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t \partial x^2} + M\kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} \right] \\
&+ (e_0 a)^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \left[(m + M) \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^2 \partial t^2} + 2M\kappa_v U \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t \partial x^3} + M\kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} \right] \left. \right\} \\
&= (m + M) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + 2M\kappa_v U \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t \partial x} + M\kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \\
&- (e_0 a)^2 \nabla^2 \left[(m + M) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + 2M\kappa_v U \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t \partial x} + M\kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Now without losing the generality, a set of dimensionless parameters is utilised as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
u^* &= \frac{u}{d_o}, \quad w^* = \frac{w}{d_o}, \quad x^* = \frac{x}{L}, \quad \chi_{sg} = \frac{l_{sg}}{L}, \\
\Xi &= \frac{AL^2}{I}, \quad \chi_{nl} = \frac{e_0 a}{L}, \quad s = \frac{L}{d_o}, \quad \bar{M} = \frac{M}{M+m}, \\
\omega^* &= \sqrt{\frac{L^4(m+M)}{EI}} \omega, \quad t^* = \frac{t}{L^2} \sqrt{\frac{EI}{m+M}}, \\
U^* &= \sqrt{\frac{M}{EI}} UL, \quad F^* = \frac{FL^4}{EId_o}, \quad \bar{\nabla}^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^{*2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Here $\bar{\nabla}^2$ denotes the dimensionless Laplacian operator. Employing Eq. (18) together with Eqs. (16) and (17), the dimensionless motion equations are derived as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{\bar{M}U} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t \partial x} + \kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \\
&- \chi_{nl}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^2 \partial t^2} + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{\bar{M}U} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t \partial x^3} + \kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} \right) \\
&- \Xi \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{s} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right) + \Xi \chi_{sg}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} + \frac{3}{s} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} + \frac{1}{s} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} \right) = 0, \\
&\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{\bar{M}U} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t \partial x} + \kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \\
&- \chi_{nl}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^2 \partial t^2} + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{\bar{M}U} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial t \partial x^3} + \kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} \right) \\
&+ \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} - \chi_{sg}^2 \frac{\partial^6 w}{\partial x^6} - F_1 \cos(\omega t) - \frac{\Xi}{s^2} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \left[s \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] \right. \\
&+ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \left(s \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right) - \chi_{sg}^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \left[s \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} \right] \\
&- \chi_{sg}^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \left[s \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} + 3 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} \right] \\
&+ \frac{s}{\Xi} \chi_{nl}^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \left[\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x \partial t^2} + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{\bar{M}U} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t \partial x^2} + \kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} \right] \\
&+ \left. \frac{s}{\Xi} \chi_{nl}^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \left[\frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^2 \partial t^2} + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{\bar{M}U} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t \partial x^3} + \kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} \right] \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\Xi}{s^2} \chi_{nl}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \left[s \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \left(s \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right) \right. \\
& - \chi_{sg}^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \left[s \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} \right] \\
& - \chi_{sg}^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \left(s \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} + 3 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^3 w}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} \right) \\
& + \frac{s}{\Xi} \chi_{nl}^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \left[\frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x \partial t^2} + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{MU} \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial t \partial x^2} + \kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} \right] \\
& \left. + \frac{s}{\Xi} \chi_{nl}^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \left[\frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^2 \partial t^2} + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{MU} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t \partial x^3} + \kappa_v^2 U^2 \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} \right] \right\} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

in which “*” is dropped in Eqs. (19) and (20) for the sake of convenience.

3. Galerkin-based discretisation and solution technique

A Galerkin-based discretisation is performed in this section using the following expressions for the displacement components

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \\ w \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} r_k(t) \zeta_k(x) \\ \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} q_k(t) \eta_k(x) \end{array} \right\}, \tag{21}$$

where r_k and q_k are generalised coordinates whereas ζ_k and η_k are trial functions.

Assuming clamped-clamped boundary conditions and using Eq. (21), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \frac{d^2 r_k}{dt^2} \left(\int_0^1 \zeta_i \zeta_k dx \right) + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{MU} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \frac{dr_k}{dt} \left(\int_0^1 \zeta_i \frac{d\zeta_k}{dx} dx \right) \\
& + \kappa_v^2 U^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} r_k \left(\int_0^1 \zeta_i \frac{d^2 \zeta_k}{dx^2} dx \right) - \chi_{nl}^2 \left[\sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \frac{d^2 r_k}{dt^2} \left(\int_0^1 \zeta_i \frac{d^2 \zeta_k}{dx^2} dx \right) \right. \\
& \left. + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{MU} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \frac{dr_k}{dt} \left(\int_0^1 \zeta_i \frac{d^3 \zeta_k}{dx^3} dx \right) + \kappa_v^2 U^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} r_k \left(\int_0^1 \zeta_i \frac{d^4 \zeta_k}{dx^4} dx \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\Xi \left[\sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} r_k \left(\int_0^1 \zeta_i \frac{d^2 \zeta_k}{dx^2} dx \right) + \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} q_k q_j \left(\int_0^1 \zeta_i \frac{d^2 \eta_j}{dx^2} \frac{d\eta_k}{dx} dx \right) \right] \\
& + \Xi \chi_{sg}^2 \left[\sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} r_k \left(\int_0^1 \zeta_i \frac{d^4 \zeta_k}{dx^4} dx \right) + \frac{3}{s} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} q_k q_j \left(\int_0^1 \zeta_i \frac{d^3 \eta_j}{dx^3} \frac{d^2 \eta_k}{dx^2} dx \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} q_k q_j \left(\int_0^1 \zeta_i \frac{d^4 \eta_j}{dx^4} \frac{d\eta_k}{dx} dx \right) \right] = 0, \tag{22}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} \frac{d^2 q_k}{dt^2} \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \eta_k dx \right) + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{MU} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} \frac{dq_k}{dt} \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d\eta_k}{dx} dx \right) \\
& + \kappa_v^2 U^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} q_k \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d^2 \eta_k}{dx^2} dx \right) - \chi_{nl}^2 \left[\sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} \frac{d^2 q_k}{dt^2} \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d^2 \eta_k}{dx^2} dx \right) \right. \\
& \left. + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{MU} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} \frac{dq_k}{dt} \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d^3 \eta_k}{dx^3} dx \right) + \kappa_v^2 U^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} q_k \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d^4 \eta_k}{dx^4} dx \right) \right] \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} q_k \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d^4 \eta_k}{dx^4} dx \right) - \chi_{sg}^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} q_k \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d^6 \eta_k}{dx^6} dx \right) - \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i dx \right) F_1 \cos(\omega t) \\
& - \frac{\Xi}{s^2} \left\{ s \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l r_k \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d\zeta_k}{dx} \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} dx \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l r_k r_j \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d\eta_j}{dx} \frac{d\eta_k}{dx} \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} dx \right) \right. \\
& + s \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l r_k \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d^2 \zeta_k}{dx^2} \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} dx \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_k q_l q_j \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d^2 \eta_j}{dx^2} \frac{d\eta_k}{dx} \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} dx \right) \\
& - \chi_{sg}^2 \left[s \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l r_k \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d^3 \zeta_k}{dx^3} \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} dx \right) + \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} q_k q_j q_l \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} \frac{d^2 \eta_j}{dx^2} \frac{d^2 \eta_k}{dx^2} dx \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} q_k q_j q_l \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} \frac{d^3 \eta_j}{dx^3} \frac{d\eta_k}{dx} dx \right) \right] - \chi_{sg}^2 \left[s \sum_{l=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} r_k q_l \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} \frac{d^4 \zeta_k}{dx^4} dx \right) \right. \\
& \left. + 3 \sum_{j=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_k q_l q_j \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d^3 \eta_j}{dx^3} \frac{d^2 \eta_k}{dx^2} \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} dx \right) + \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} q_k q_l q_j \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} \frac{d^4 \eta_j}{dx^4} \frac{d\eta_k}{dx} dx \right) \right] \\
& + \frac{s \chi_{nl}^2}{\Xi} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l \frac{d^2 r_k}{dt^2} \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d\zeta_k}{dx} \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} dx \right) + \kappa_v^2 U^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l r_k \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d^3 \zeta_k}{dx^3} \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} dx \right) \right. \\
& \left. + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{MU} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l \frac{dr_k}{dt} \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d^2 \zeta_k}{dx^2} \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} dx \right) \right] + \frac{s \chi_{nl}^2}{\Xi} \left[\sum_{l=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} \frac{d^2 r_k}{dt^2} q_l \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} \frac{d^2 \zeta_k}{dx^2} dx \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \kappa_v^2 U^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l r_k \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d^4 \zeta_k}{dx^4} \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} dx \right) + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{MU} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} \frac{dr_k}{dt} q_l \left(\int_0^1 \eta_i \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} \frac{d^3 \zeta_k}{dx^3} dx \right) \right] \left. \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\Xi \chi_{nl}^2}{s^2} \int_0^1 \left\{ \eta_i \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left[s \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l r_k \frac{d^2 \zeta_k}{dx^2} \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l q_k q_j \frac{d^2 \eta_j}{dx^2} \frac{d\eta_k}{dx} \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} \right. \right. \\
& + s \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l r_k \frac{d\zeta_k}{dx} \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l r_k r_j \frac{d\eta_j}{dx} \frac{d\eta_k}{dx} \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} \\
& - \chi_{sg}^2 \left(s \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l r_k \frac{d^3 \zeta_k}{dx^3} \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} + \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} q_k q_j q_l \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} \frac{d^2 \eta_j}{dx^2} \frac{d^2 \eta_k}{dx^2} \right. \\
& + \left. \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} q_k q_j q_l \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} \frac{d^3 \eta_j}{dx^3} \frac{d\eta_k}{dx} \right) - \chi_{sg}^2 \left(s \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} r_k q_l \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} \frac{d^4 \zeta_k}{dx^4} \right. \\
& + \left. 3 \sum_{j=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l q_k q_j \frac{d^3 \eta_j}{dx^3} \frac{d^2 \eta_k}{dx^2} \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} + \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{j=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\eta} q_k q_j q_l \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} \frac{d^4 \eta_j}{dx^4} \frac{d\eta_k}{dx} \right) \\
& + \frac{s \chi_{nl}^2}{\Xi} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l \frac{d^2 r_k}{dt^2} \frac{d\zeta_k}{dx} \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{M} U \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l \frac{dr_k}{dt} \frac{d^2 \zeta_k}{dx^2} \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} \right. \\
& + \left. \kappa_v^2 U^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l r_k \frac{d^3 \zeta_k}{dx^3} \frac{d^2 \eta_l}{dx^2} \right) + \frac{s \chi_{nl}^2}{\Xi} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \frac{d^2 r_k}{dt^2} q_l \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} \frac{d^2 \zeta_k}{dx^2} \right. \\
& \left. + 2\kappa_v \sqrt{M} U \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \frac{dr_k}{dt} q_l \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} \frac{d^3 \zeta_k}{dx^3} + \kappa_v^2 U^2 \sum_{k=1}^{N_\zeta} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\eta} q_l r_k \frac{d^4 \zeta_k}{dx^4} \frac{d\eta_l}{dx} \right) \Bigg\} dx = 0. \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

Equations (22) and (23) indicate a set of time-dependent ordinary differential equations, which can be solved via a continuation approach. It is worth mentioning that for developing a numerical solution, ten trial functions are assumed.

4. Results and discussion

For constructing the frequency-response curves of the fluid-conveying nanosystem incorporating both stress nonlocality and strain gradients, the tube material and geometrical parameters are assumed as $\rho=1024 \text{ kg/m}^3$, $\nu=0.3$, $E=610 \text{ MPa}$, $h=66.0 \text{ nm}$ and $R_o=290.5 \text{ nm}$ where h , ρ and R_o are respectively the nanotube thickness, density and outer radius. In the numerical solution, a dimensionless damping coefficient of 0.25 is added for both u and w motions. The focus of this paper is not on the influence of viscoelastic

medium. The system dimensionless parameters are $\kappa_v = 1.0788$, $\bar{M} = 0.5915$, $\chi_{sg} = 0.04$, $\chi_{nl} = 0.09$, $\Xi = 4006.9411$ and $s = 20.0$, unless otherwise specifically mentioned.

The change of maximum transverse and axial displacements versus the frequency ratio (the ratio of excitation frequency to fundamental natural one) is plotted in Fig. 2 for $F_1 = 2.0$ and $U = 3.25$. The flow regime is subcritical since the flow speed is less than the critical one associated with buckling ($U_{cr} = 5.1862$). Both unstable and stable branches are indicated in the figure. Two bifurcation points at $\omega/\omega_1 = 1.1608$ and 1.0378 are seen for the fluid-conveying nanosystem. Moreover, it is found that the nonlinearity of the nanosystem is of hardening type. In addition, modal interactions are found in the nonlinear response.

In order to study the modal interaction in the nonlinear dynamics of the fluid-conveying nanosystem, the change of first four generalised coordinates of the transverse motion versus the frequency ratio is plotted in Fig. 3. Strong modal interactions as well as energy transfer between modes are observed in the nonlinear response of the nanosystem, especially for higher generalised coordinates.

The detailed motion characteristics of the nanotube of Fig. 2 are shown in Figs. 4 and 5 for $\omega/\omega_1 = 1.0522$ and $\omega/\omega_1 = 1.1608$, respectively; the former case is the one when the modal interactions are strongest. Time histories and phase-plane plots for both types of motions are plotted. It should be noticed that t_n denotes normalised time with respect to the period of oscillation. It can be concluded that in the presence of strong modal interactions, the motion characteristics of the nanotube are different, especially for the axial motion.

The change of maximum transverse and axial displacements versus the excitation frequency is plotted in Fig. 6 for various fluid speeds in the subcritical regime. The forcing

amplitude, speed correction factor, nonlocal coefficient and strain gradient coefficient are set to $F_1=1.5$, $\kappa_s=1.0788$, $\chi_{nl}=0.09$, and $\chi_{sg}=0.04$, respectively. It is found that higher fluid speeds yield higher peak amplitudes but lower resonance frequencies for both motion types of the fluid-conveying nanosystem.

Figure 7 is plotted for comparing the nanosystem frequency response for slip conditions with that calculated using no-slip boundary conditions. The forcing amplitude, speed correction factor, fluid speed, nonlocal coefficient and strain gradient coefficient are set to $F_1=2$, $\kappa_s=1.0788$, $U=3.5$, $\chi_{nl}=0.09$, and $\chi_{sg}=0.04$, respectively. The no-slip condition yields overestimated results for both resonance frequency and peak amplitude of the nanotube. Figure 8 also compares the slip and no-slip boundary conditions for a higher fluid speed ($U=4.5$) in the subcritical regime. The amplitude of the external distributed loading is $F_1=1.2$. Comparing Figs. 7 and 8 indicates that the effect of slip conditions on the subcritical frequency response increases as the flow speed increases.

The change of maximum transverse and axial displacements versus the excitation frequency is plotted in Fig. 9 for both the classical theory of beams and the NSGT-based model. For the classical theory of beams, both scale coefficients are zero (i.e. $\chi_{nl}=\chi_{sg}=0$) whereas the scale coefficients are as $\chi_{nl}=0.09$, $\chi_{sg}=0.04$ for the NSGT-based model. The speed correction factor and forcing amplitude are $\kappa_s=1.0788$ and $F_1=2.5$, respectively. The NSGT yields a relatively high peak amplitude but a low resonance frequency, compared to the classical theory. This is due to the high value of nonlocal coefficient compared to the strain gradient coefficient. In fact, since nonlocal effects are dominant for this case, the total structural stiffness of NSGT nanotubes is less than that calculated via the classical theory.

This results in a lower resonance frequency as well as a higher peak amplitude for the nanosystem.

Figure 10 illustrates the change of maximum transverse and axial displacements versus the frequency ratio for $F_1=1.0$, $U=6.15$, $\kappa_s=1.0788$, $\chi_{nl}=0.09$, and $\chi_{sg}=0.04$; the fundamental frequency is $\omega_1=12.9072$. It should be noticed that this time, the fluid speed is higher than the critical one (i.e. supercritical regime). The frequency response is of softening type containing two bifurcation points at $\omega/\omega_1 = 0.9706$ and 0.6705 . This is in contrast to the subcritical frequency response in which a hardening nonlinearity is observed. Moreover, modal interactions are found in the nonlinear response for both motion types. Figure 11 gives the frequency response of the tube for the first four generalised coordinates. Strong modal interactions as well as energy transfer between modes are observed in the nonlinear response, especially for higher generalised coordinates. Furthermore, the detailed motion characteristics of the nanosystem of Fig. 10 at $\omega/\omega_1=0.6705$ (i.e. at peak oscillation amplitude) are indicated in Fig. 12; phase-plane plots and time histories for both motion types are shown.

Figure 13 depicts the change of maximum transverse and axial displacements versus the frequency ratio for $F_1=1.0$, $\kappa_s=1.0788$, $\chi_{nl}=0.09$, and $\chi_{sg}=0.04$. In contrast to the subcritical regime in which increasing U decreases natural frequency, in supercritical regime increasing U increases natural frequency (shifts frequency response to the right). Figure 14 compares the frequency responses using no-slip and slip conditions in the supercritical regimes for $\chi_{nl}=0.09$, $\chi_{sg}=0.04$, and $F_1=0.8$. The no-slip condition underestimates both peak amplitude and resonance frequency in the supercritical regime. This is in contrast to the subcritical

frequency response in which the no-slip condition yields overestimated results for both the resonance frequency and peak amplitude.

5. Conclusions

The large-amplitude forced oscillations of nanotubes conveying fluid were analysed via a size-dependent coupled nonlinear model of beams. The proposed model contained two different size parameters, leading to a better simulation of size effects on the nonlinear oscillations. Both axial and transverse inertial terms were taken into consideration. To incorporate the mean free path of molecules at the tube/fluid interface, the Beskok–Karniadakis approach was implemented. The coupled nonlinear equations were finally obtained, discretised and solved via application of the NSGT, Galerkin’s technique and continuation method, respectively.

In the supercritical flow regime, the frequency response is of softening type containing two saddle-node bifurcations while the subcritical frequency response is of a hardening nonlinearity. When nonlocal influences are dominant, the total stiffness of NSGT nanotubes is less, and this leads to a lower resonance frequency and a higher peak amplitude for the nanosystem conveying fluid. Strong modal interactions as well as energy transfer between modes are observed in both flow regimes. In contrast to the subcritical regime in which higher fluid speeds yield a decrease in the natural frequency, in supercritical regime, the natural frequency increases with increasing fluid speed. Furthermore, no-slip boundary conditions lead to underestimated supercritical peak amplitudes and resonance frequencies for the NSGT nanotube whereas no-slip boundary conditions yield overestimated subcritical resonance frequencies and peak amplitudes.

References

- Akgöz B, Civalek Ö (2011) Buckling analysis of cantilever carbon nanotubes using the strain gradient elasticity and modified couple stress theories *Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience* 8:1821-1827
- Ansari R, Norouzzadeh A, Gholami R, Shojaei MF, Darabi M (2016) Geometrically nonlinear free vibration and instability of fluid-conveying nanoscale pipes including surface stress effects *Microfluidics and Nanofluidics* 20:28
- Aydogdu M (2014) Longitudinal wave propagation in multiwalled carbon nanotubes *Composite Structures* 107:578-584
- Aydogdu M, Filiz S (2011) Modeling carbon nanotube-based mass sensors using axial vibration and nonlocal elasticity *Physica E: Low-dimensional Systems and Nanostructures* 43:1229-1234
- Babaei A, Yang CX (2019) Vibration analysis of rotating rods based on the nonlocal elasticity theory and coupled displacement field *Microsystem Technologies* 25:1077-1085
doi:10.1007/s00542-018-4047-3
- Beskok A, Karniadakis GE (1999) Report: a model for flows in channels, pipes, and ducts at micro and nano scales *Microscale Thermophysical Engineering* 3:43-77
- Dai H, Wang L, Abdelkefi A, Ni Q (2015) On nonlinear behavior and buckling of fluid-transporting nanotubes *International Journal of Engineering Science* 87:13-22
- De Volder MF, Tawfick SH, Baughman RH, Hart AJ (2013) Carbon nanotubes: present and future commercial applications *science* 339:535-539
- Ebrahimi F, Barati MR (2019) Dynamic modeling of embedded nanoplate systems incorporating flexoelectricity and surface effects *Microsystem Technologies* 25:175-187
doi:10.1007/s00542-018-3946-7
- Farajpour A, Ghayesh MH, Farokhi H (2018a) A review on the mechanics of nanostructures *International Journal of Engineering Science* 133:231-263
- Farajpour A, Ghayesh MH, Farokhi H (2019) Large-amplitude coupled scale-dependent behaviour of geometrically imperfect NSGT nanotubes *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences* 150:510-525
- Farajpour A, Rastgoo A, Farajpour M (2017) Nonlinear buckling analysis of magneto-electro-elastic CNT-MT hybrid nanoshells based on the nonlocal continuum mechanics *Composite Structures* 180:179-191
- Farajpour M, Shahidi A, Tabataba'i-Nasab F, Farajpour A (2018b) Vibration of initially stressed carbon nanotubes under magneto-thermal environment for nanoparticle delivery via higher-order nonlocal strain gradient theory *The European Physical Journal Plus* 133:219
- Farajpour MR, Shahidi A, Farajpour A (2018c) Resonant frequency tuning of nanobeams by piezoelectric nanowires under thermo-electro-magnetic field: a theoretical study *Micro & Nano Letters* 13:1627-1632
- Farokhi H, Ghayesh MH (2016) Size-dependent behaviour of electrically actuated microcantilever-based MEMS *International Journal of Mechanics and Materials in Design* 12:301-315
- Farokhi H, Ghayesh MH (2018) Nonlinear mechanics of electrically actuated microplates *International Journal of Engineering Science* 123:197-213
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijengsci.2017.08.017
- Farokhi H, Ghayesh MH, Gholipour A, Tavallaeinejad M (2018a) Stability and nonlinear dynamical analysis of functionally graded microplates *Microsystem Technologies* 24:2109-2121
doi:10.1007/s00542-018-3849-7
- Farokhi H, Païdoussis MP, Misra AK (2018b) Nonlinear behaviour and mass detection sensitivity of geometrically imperfect cantilevered carbon nanotube resonators *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* 65:272-298
- Ghayesh MH (2018) Stability and bifurcation characteristics of viscoelastic microcantilevers *Microsystem Technologies* 24:4739-4746 doi:10.1007/s00542-018-3860-z

- Ghayesh MH (2019) Viscoelastically coupled dynamics of FG Timoshenko microbeams *Microsystem Technologies* 25:651-663 doi:10.1007/s00542-018-4002-3
- Ghayesh MH, Amabili M, Farokhi H (2013a) Coupled global dynamics of an axially moving viscoelastic beam *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics* 51:54-74
- Ghayesh MH, Amabili M, Farokhi H (2013b) Nonlinear forced vibrations of a microbeam based on the strain gradient elasticity theory *International Journal of Engineering Science* 63:52-60
- Ghayesh MH, Farajpour A (2018a) Nonlinear mechanics of nanoscale tubes via nonlocal strain gradient theory *International Journal of Engineering Science* 129:84-95
- Ghayesh MH, Farajpour A (2018b) Vibrations of shear deformable FG viscoelastic microbeams *Microsystem Technologies*:doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00542-00018-04184-00548>
- Ghayesh MH, Farajpour A (2019) A review on the mechanics of functionally graded nanoscale and microscale structures *International Journal of Engineering Science* 137:8-36
- Ghayesh MH, Farokhi H (2018) Nonlinear behaviour of electrically actuated microplate-based MEMS resonators *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 109:220-234
- Ghayesh MH, Farokhi H, Farajpour A (2018) Chaotic oscillations of viscoelastic microtubes conveying pulsatile fluid *Microfluidics and Nanofluidics* 22:72
- Ghayesh MH, Farokhi H, Hussain S (2016) Viscoelastically coupled size-dependent dynamics of microbeams *International Journal of Engineering Science* 109:243-255
- Ghayesh MH, Moradian N (2011) Nonlinear dynamic response of axially moving, stretched viscoelastic strings *Archive of Applied Mechanics* 81:781-799
- Kamali M, Mohamhashemi V, Jalali A (2018) Parametric excitation analysis of a piezoelectric-nanotube conveying fluid under multi-physics field *Microsystem Technologies* 24:2871-2885 doi:10.1007/s00542-017-3670-8
- Khaniki HB, Hosseini-Hashemi S, Khaniki HB (2018) Dynamic analysis of nano-beams embedded in a varying nonlinear elastic environment using Eringen's two-phase local/nonlocal model *The European Physical Journal Plus* 133:283
- Li L, Hu Y, Ling L (2016) Wave propagation in viscoelastic single-walled carbon nanotubes with surface effect under magnetic field based on nonlocal strain gradient theory *Physica E: Low-dimensional Systems and Nanostructures* 75:118-124
- Lim C, Zhang G, Reddy J (2015) A higher-order nonlocal elasticity and strain gradient theory and its applications in wave propagation *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 78:298-313
- Lin MX, Lai HY, Chen CK (2018) Analysis of nonlocal nonlinear behavior of graphene sheet circular nanoplate actuators subject to uniform hydrostatic pressure *Microsystem Technologies* 24:919-928 doi:10.1007/s00542-017-3406-9
- Malekzadeh P (2007) A differential quadrature nonlinear free vibration analysis of laminated composite skew thin plates *Thin-walled structures* 45:237-250
- Malekzadeh P, Haghghi MG, Shojaee M (2014) Nonlinear free vibration of skew nanoplates with surface and small scale effects *Thin-Walled Structures* 78:48-56
- Malekzadeh P, Shojaee M (2013a) Buckling analysis of quadrilateral laminated plates with carbon nanotubes reinforced composite layers *Thin-Walled Structures* 71:108-118
- Malekzadeh P, Shojaee M (2013b) Surface and nonlocal effects on the nonlinear free vibration of non-uniform nanobeams *Composites Part B: Engineering* 52:84-92
- Malekzadeh P, Vosoughi A (2009) DQM large amplitude vibration of composite beams on nonlinear elastic foundations with restrained edges *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* 14:906-915
- Maraghi ZK, Arani AG, Kolahchi R, Amir S, Bagheri M (2013) Nonlocal vibration and instability of embedded DWBNNT conveying viscose fluid *Composites Part B: Engineering* 45:423-432
- Nejad MZ, Hadi A, Farajpour A (2017) Consistent couple-stress theory for free vibration analysis of Euler-Bernoulli nano-beams made of arbitrary bi-directional functionally graded materials *Structural Engineering and Mechanics* 63:161-169

- Paidoussis MP (1998) Fluid-structure interactions: slender structures and axial flow vol 1. Academic press,
- Pradiptya I, Ouakad HM (2018) Thermal effect on the dynamic behavior of nanobeam resonator assuming size-dependent higher-order strain gradient theory *Microsystem Technologies* 24:2585-2598 doi:10.1007/s00542-017-3671-7
- Reddy J (2010) Nonlocal nonlinear formulations for bending of classical and shear deformation theories of beams and plates *International Journal of Engineering Science* 48:1507-1518
- Reddy J, Pang S (2008) Nonlocal continuum theories of beams for the analysis of carbon nanotubes *Journal of Applied Physics* 103:023511
- Sahmani S, Aghdam MM (2018) Thermo-electro-radial coupling nonlinear instability of piezoelectric shear deformable nanoshells via nonlocal elasticity theory *Microsystem Technologies* 24:1333-1346 doi:10.1007/s00542-017-3512-8
- Sassi SB, Najari F (2018) Strong nonlinear dynamics of MEMS and NEMS structures based on semi-analytical approaches *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* 61:1-21
- Setoodeh A, Afrahim S (2014) Nonlinear dynamic analysis of FG micro-pipes conveying fluid based on strain gradient theory *Composite Structures* 116:128-135
- Soltani P, Taherian M, Farshidianfar A (2010) Vibration and instability of a viscous-fluid-conveying single-walled carbon nanotube embedded in a visco-elastic medium *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics* 43:425401
- Wang Y-Z, Li F-M, Kishimoto K (2010) Wave propagation characteristics in fluid-conveying double-walled nanotubes with scale effects *Computational Materials Science* 48:413-418
- Yayli MÖ (2018) Torsional vibrations of restrained nanotubes using modified couple stress theory *Microsystem Technologies* 24:3425-3435 doi:10.1007/s00542-018-3735-3
- Zeighampour H, Beni YT (2014) Size-dependent vibration of fluid-conveying double-walled carbon nanotubes using couple stress shell theory *Physica E: Low-dimensional Systems and Nanostructures* 61:28-39
- Zhang Y, Liu G, Xie X (2005) Free transverse vibrations of double-walled carbon nanotubes using a theory of nonlocal elasticity *Physical Review B* 71:195404
- Zhu X, Li L (2017) Closed form solution for a nonlocal strain gradient rod in tension *International Journal of Engineering Science* 119:16-28





Fig. 1. A NSGT nanotube conveying fluid subject to a distributed load.

(a)



(b)




Fig. 2. Change of maximum transverse and axial displacements versus the frequency ratio in the subcritical flow regime for $F_1=2.0$ and $U=3.25$; (a) w_{\max} at $x=0.5$; (b) u_{\max} at $x=0.657$; $\omega_1=15.5031$.




Fig. 3. Change of (a) q_1 , (b) q_2 , (c) q_3 and (d) q_4 versus the ratio of the excitation frequency to the natural one in the subcritical flow regime.




Fig. 4. Detailed motion characteristics of the system of Fig. 2 at $\omega/\omega_1=1.0522$ (i.e. when the modal interactions are strongest). (a, b) w versus t_n for $x=0.5$ and u versus t_n for $x=0.657$, respectively; (c, d) dw/dt versus w for $x=0.5$ and du/dt versus u for $x=0.657$, respectively.





Fig. 5. Detailed motion characteristics of the system of Fig. 2 at $\omega/\omega_1=1.1608$ (i.e. at peak oscillation amplitude).
(a, b) w versus t_n for $x=0.5$ and u versus t_n for $x=0.657$, respectively; (c, d) dw/dt versus w for $x=0.5$ and du/dt versus u for $x=0.657$, respectively.

(a)



(b)





Fig. 6. Change of maximum transverse and axial displacements versus the excitation frequency for different fluid speeds in subcritical flow regime; (a) w_{\max} at $x=0.5$; (b) u_{\max} at $x=0.657$.

(a)



(b)





Fig. 7. Effects of slip boundary conditions on the forced oscillation when $U=3.5$ (subcritical flow regime); (a) w_{\max} at $x=0.5$; (b) u_{\max} at $x=0.657$.

(a)



(b)





Fig. 8. Effects of slip boundary conditions on the forced oscillation when $U=4.5$ (subcritical flow regime); (a) w_{\max} at $x=0.5$; (b) u_{\max} at $x=0.657$.

(a)



(b)





Fig. 9. Change of maximum transverse and axial displacements versus the excitation frequency obtained via the nonlocal strain gradient theory and classical theory for $U=3.0$ (subcritical flow regime); (a) w_{\max} at $x=0.5$; (b) u_{\max} at $x=0.657$.

(a)



(b)




Fig. 10. Change of maximum transverse and axial displacements versus the excitation frequency in the supercritical flow regime; (a) w_{\max} at $x=0.5$; (b) u_{\max} at $x=0.657$.




Fig. 11. Change of (a) q_1 , (b) q_2 , (c) q_3 and (d) q_4 versus the ratio of the excitation frequency to the natural one in the supercritical flow regime.





Fig. 12. Detailed motion characteristics of the nanosystem of Fig. 10 at $\omega/\omega_1=0.6705$. (a, b) w versus t_n for $x=0.5$ and u versus t_n for $x=0.657$, respectively; (c, d) dw/dt versus w for $x=0.5$ and du/dt versus u for $x=0.657$, respectively.

(a)



(b)





Fig. 13. Change of maximum transverse and axial displacements versus the excitation frequency for different fluid speeds in supercritical flow regime; (a) w_{\max} at $x=0.5$; (b) u_{\max} at $x=0.657$.

(a)



(b)




Fig. 14. Effects of slip boundary conditions on the forced oscillation when $U=6.4$ (supercritical flow regime); (a) w_{\max} at $x=0.5$; (b) u_{\max} at $x=0.657$.