Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Ratliff, Daniel, Archer, A. J., Subramanian, P. and Rucklidge, A. M. (2019) Which Wave Numbers Determine the Thermodynamic Stability of Soft Matter Quasicrystals? Physical Review Letters, 123 (14). p. 148004. ISSN 0031-9007

Published by: American Physical Society

URL: https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.148004 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.148004>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/44119/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)





Which wavenumbers determine the thermodynamic stability of soft matter quasicrystals?

D.J. Ratliff,¹ A.J. Archer,¹ P. Subramanian,^{2,3} and A.M. Rucklidge²

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences and Interdisciplinary Centre for Mathematical Modelling,

Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leicestershire LE11 3TU, United Kingdom

 2School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom

 3 Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 6GG, United Kingdom

For soft matter to form quasicrystals an important ingredient is to have two characteristic lengthscales in the interparticle interactions. To be more precise, for stable quasicrystals, periodic modulations of the local density distribution with two particular wavenumbers should be favored, and the ratio of these wavenumbers should be close to certain special values. So, for simple models, the answer to the title question is that only these two ingredients are needed. However, for more realistic models, where in principle all wavenumbers can be involved, other wavenumbers are also important, specifically those of the second and higher reciprocal lattice vectors. We identify features in the particle pair interaction potentials which can suppress or encourage density modes with wavenumbers associated with one of the regular crystalline orderings that compete with quasicrystals, enabling either the enhancement or suppression of quasicrystals in a generic class of systems.

Matter does not normally self-organise into quasicrystals (QCs). Regular crystalline packings are much more common in nature and some specific ingredients are required for QC formation, which is why the first QCs were not identified until 1982, in certain metallic alloys [1]. Subsequently, the seminal work in Refs. [2, 3] showed that normally a crucial element in QC formation, at least in soft matter, is the presence of two prominent wavenumbers in the linear response behavior to periodic modulations of the particle density distribution. This is equivalent to having two prominent peaks in the static structure factor or in the dispersion relation [4, 5]. In soft matter systems, the effective interactions between molecules and aggregations of molecules (generically referred to here as particles) can be tuned to exhibit the two specific required lengthscales and thus form QCs. Such systems include block copolymers and dendrimers [6-15], certain anisotropic particles [16–18], nanoparticles [19, 20] and mesoporous silica [21].

Some of our understanding of how and why QCs can form has come from studies of particle based computer simulation models – see for example [22–26]. Another source of important insights has been continuum theories for the density distribution. The earliest of these consist of generalised Landau-type order-parameter theories [2, 3, 27–34]. More recently, classical density functional theory (DFT) [35–37] in conjunction with its dynamical extension DDFT [38-40] has been utilised. DFT is a statistical mechanical theory for the distribution of the average particle number density that takes as input the particle pair interaction potentials, and so bridges between particle based and Landau-type continuum theory approaches. The DFT results for QC forming systems [4, 5, 41-43] clearly demonstrate how the crucial pair of prominent wavenumbers are connected to the length and energy scales present in the pair potentials.

Whilst the ratio between the two lengthscales is im-

portant, it can be seen that this is not the whole story if one compares the phase behavior of systems with the pair potential of Ref. [42] (phase diagrams are calculated below) with the phase behavior of the core-shoulder soft potential system of Refs. [4, 5]. We refer to these two as the BEL and ARK models respectively. In the ARK model, QCs are never the thermodynamic equilibrium phase, i.e., the state which is the global minimum of the free energy, and they only form in this system for subtle dynamical reasons [4, 5]. In contrast, QCs can be the thermodynamic equilibrium for the BEL model. This is despite the fact that the parameters in both the BEL and ARK models are chosen so that both systems have identical growth rates ω at the two critical wavenumbers k_1 and k_a , so that density fluctuations with these two wavenumbers are promoted equally in the two different systems. This raises the important question: what feature(s) do BEL-type systems have that enables QCs to be thermodynamically stable, that ARK-type systems do not have? Or, relating to the title question, why is it not enough to consider just these two wavenumbers?

The answer to this question is that one must also consider the properties of the dispersion relation $\omega(k)$ at certain other wavenumbers $\neq k_1, k_q$. For example, in two dimensions (2D), hexagonal crystals are built up from six modes $\sim \exp(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r})$ at 60° to one another with equal (single) wavenumber $k = |\mathbf{k}|$. They are stabilized by nonlinear coupling between these modes and modes with wavenumbers such as $\sqrt{3}k$, 2k and $\sqrt{7}k$, which are generated by vector sums of the original six. The resulting wavevectors are the hexagonal reciprocal lattice vectors (RLVs). More generally, with two wavenumbers, more complex structures can form and involve larger sets of RLVs. The properties of modes with these vectors, in particular their decay rates ω , must be known in order to predict which structures have the lowest free energy.

We illustrate this fundamental understanding by devel-

oping a class of model systems with pair potentials which have identical growth rates at k_1 and k_q , but are different in a controllable manner at the RLV wavenumbers. By changing the dispersion relation at these wavenumbers, we are able either to enhance or suppress the stability of QCs.

Whilst it is not a priori obvious that soft matter freezing might be related to Faraday waves, it turns out that a surprisingly large amount of the mathematics of Faraday wave pattern formation can be applied to the soft matter systems of interest here, including the understanding of QC stability [2, 44–51]. Faraday waves are standing waves on the surface of viscous liquid layers that arise when the liquid is subjected to strong enough vertical vibrations [52]. In some circumstances, Faraday wave experiments exhibit spatially complex patterns such as twelvefold quasipatterns at parameters where two lengthscales in the correct ratio are excited or weakly damped [44, 51, 53–58]. A major conclusion from this body of work is that understanding spatially complex patterns in Faraday waves requires the consideration of not only the primary waves in the pattern but also the contributions from the RLV waves. These RLV contributions are strongly influenced by the damping rate at each wavenumber. We demonstrate here that analogous mechanisms operate in the coupling between soft matter density modulations at different wavenumbers, helping to identify features in the pair potentials that can be tuned to control the extent to which the QCs are stabilized.

For a system of interacting particles free of any external forces, the equilibrium density distribution $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ is given by the minimum of the grand potential functional [35–37]

$$\Omega[\rho] = k_B T \int \rho \left(\log \left(\Lambda^d \rho \right) - 1 \right) d\mathbf{r} + \mathcal{F}_{ex}[\rho] - \mu \int \rho \, d\mathbf{r} \,, \quad (1)$$

where Λ is the thermal de-Broglie wavelength, k_B is Boltzmann's constant, T is the temperature and μ is the chemical potential. In 2D, we have d = 2 and $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$. We illustrate the main ideas of this letter in 2D, but they equally apply in 3D. The first term in Eq. (1) is the entropic ideal-gas contribution to the Helmholtz free energy, while the second term is the excess contribution, which arises from the interactions between particles. The random phase approximation (RPA) [35, 59]

$$\mathcal{F}_{ex}[\rho] = \frac{1}{2} \iint \rho(\mathbf{r}) \, V(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) \, \rho(\mathbf{r}') \, d\mathbf{r} \, d\mathbf{r}' \,, \qquad (2)$$

turns out to be remarkably accurate for soft particles interacting pairwise via potentials V(r), which are finite for all values of the separation distance r between the particles [59] and so is used here. Equilibrium density profiles minimize (1) and so satisfy the Euler–Lagrange equation $\frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta\rho} = 0$. In the liquid state, the density is uniform, whilst in the crystal and QC phases the profiles are nonuniform, typically with sharp peaks. An understanding of how the thermodynamic equilibrium structures are selected comes from rewriting Eq. (2) in Fourier space:

$$\mathcal{F}_{ex} = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^d} \int \widehat{V}(k) |\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{k})|^2 \, d\mathbf{k} \,, \tag{3}$$

where $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{k}) = \int e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \rho(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$ is the Fourier transform of the density profile $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ and $\hat{V}(k)$ is similarly defined as the Fourier transform of V(r). We observe that density modes $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{k})$ with wavenumbers at the minima of $\hat{V}(k)$ minimise the above integral, whereas those with wavenumbers away from these values make a larger contribution to \mathcal{F}_{ex} and so are favored less. In other words, $\hat{V}(k)$ quantifies the energetic penalty for having modes with wavenumber k in the density profile. Of course, the entropic ideal-gas term in (1) also makes an important contribution. This is particularly true near to melting, which is where the soft QCs discussed here exist.

Assuming that the particles have overdamped Brownian equations of motion, the nonequilibrium dynamics of the density distribution $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is given by DDFT [38–40]

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \Gamma \nabla \cdot \left[\rho \nabla \frac{\delta \Omega}{\delta \rho} \right] \,, \tag{4}$$

where t is time and Γ is a mobility coefficient. The stability of a uniform liquid state of density ρ_0 to small amplitude perturbations $\sim \exp(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} + \omega t)$ can be found by a standard normal mode approach [4, 5, 39, 60], which gives the linear dispersion relation for the growth (or decay) rate ω associated with modes of wavenumber k,

$$\omega(k) = -Dk^2 [1 + \rho_0 \beta \widehat{V}(k)], \qquad (5)$$

where $D = \Gamma k_B T$ is the diffusion coefficient and $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$. In (5) the first term $(-Dk^2)$ stems from the ideal-gas contribution and is entropic in origin, whilst the second term $(-D\rho_0\beta k^2 \hat{V}(k))$ is the energetic contribution. The liquid is dynamically stable when $\omega(k) < 0$ for all k > 0, but becomes unstable at critical wavenumber(s) $k = k_c$ if $\omega(k_c) = 0$ at a local maximum. This can only happen if $\hat{V}(k) < 0$ for some range of k [61], and then the instability occurs through increasing ρ_0 or decreasing T. For the class of two lengthscale systems here, there are two maxima in $\omega(k)$, at k_1 and k_q . The ratio between these is important for determining the structures formed, but as we now show, other wavenumbers in the reciprocal lattice are important too.

We demonstrate this by modifying a pair potential V(r) in such a way that $\hat{V}(k)$ remains fixed at k_1 and k_q but changes everywhere else, strongly affecting which structures minimize Eq. (1) and so are the thermodynamic equilibria. We use the form of the BEL pair potential [42]:

$$V(r) = \varepsilon e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 r^2} (C_0 + C_2 r^2 + C_4 r^4 + C_6 r^6 + C_8 r^8) .$$
(6)

σ	C_0	C_2	C_4	C_6	C_8
0.794	1.350	1.794	0.7224	0.08368	0.003117
0.771	1.000	1.095	0.4397	0.04927	0.001831
0.671	0.3949	0.04485	0.03689	0.003342	0.0001449

TABLE I: The three sets of parameter values used in the BEL pair potential (6). With these values and $\rho_0 = 1.25$ the systems are simultaneously marginally stable to modes with k_1 and k_q .



FIG. 1: In (a) the dispersion relation $\omega(k)$ (5) for three different BEL and the ARK potentials (the inset shows a magnification), at the state point where they are simultaneously marginally stable at k_1 and k_q , which are marked with vertical black lines. Some other

important RLV wavenumbers for hexagons are marked as vertical gray lines and for QCs as dashed gray lines. In (b) we display the corresponding pair potentials in Fourier space and in (c) the pair potentials in real space with the two key lengthscales marked. The σ values for the three BEL systems are given in the key and the remaining parameters are given in Table I.

Throughout we set $\beta \epsilon = 10$ and the remaining parameters $\{\sigma, C_0, C_2, C_4, C_6, C_8\}$ have values chosen so that the dispersion relation has two maxima at $k_1 = 1$ and $k_q = q = 2\cos(\pi/12) \approx 1.93$ [minima in $\hat{V}(k)$], but varies significantly for other k values. We choose three sets of parameter values, given in Table I, in order to enhance or reduce the energetic cost at other RLV wavenumbers. The middle set, with $\sigma = 0.771$, are the values originally used in Ref. [42] and the other two sets are for a larger and smaller value of σ . The resulting dispersion relations, Fourier transforms of the pair potentials $\hat{V}(k)$ and the potentials V(r) in real space are displayed in Fig. 1.

From Fig. 1b, we see that decreasing σ results in $\hat{V}(k)$

being more damped at larger k values and thus leads to a lower energetic penalty [see Eq. (3)] at the hexagonal RLV wavenumbers of k_1 and k_q , i.e., at the wavenumbers $\sqrt{3k_1}, 2k_1, \sqrt{3k_q}, 2k_q$, which are marked as vertical gray lines in Fig. 1a and 1b. In contrast, increasing σ leads to a higher penalty at the hexagonal RLV wavenumbers. There are corresponding changes to the decay rates $\omega(k)$ (Fig. 1a). The important QC RLV wavenumbers are k_1/q , $\sqrt{2}k_1$, $\sqrt{2}k_q$ and qk_q (dashed gray lines), and there are of course also changes in the value of ω at these wavenumbers as σ is varied. However, on decreasing σ the biggest fractional change in ω occurs at wavenumber $\sqrt{3}k_a$, where $|\omega|$ decreases by 90% going from $\sigma = 0.794$ to $\sigma = 0.671$, whilst the change at $\sqrt{2k_q}$ is 88% and at all other key wavenumbers the fractional change is significantly smaller. Therefore, hexagons with wavenumber k_q (q-hex) should be stabilized more than QCs by the decrease in σ , which we confirm below by calculating free energies and phase diagrams – see Figs. 2 and 3.

We also display in Fig. 1 the ARK model pair potential $V(r) = \epsilon (e^{-(r/R_c)^8} + ae^{-(r/R_s)^8})$ and corresponding $\hat{V}(k)$ and $\omega(k)$. We choose the parameter values $\{\epsilon, a, R_c, R_s\}$ so that the system is identical to that studied in Refs. [4, 5], i.e., with $R_s = 1.855R_c$ and a = 1.067, where the phase diagram was also determined. Here we rescale the core and shoulder radii R_c and R_s by choosing $R_c = 3.14$, so that the critical wavenumbers are at $k_1 = 1$ and $k_q = q$ as in the three chosen BEL potentials (6). This rescaling does not in any way change the phase behavior.

Figure 1c illustrates how varying the parameters changes the architecture of the potentials in physical space. Increasing σ (together with changes to the other parameters) leads to oscillations in the BEL potential becoming accentuated, to the extent that the first minimum at $r \approx 1.3$ comes close to zero in the $\sigma = 0.794$ case. On the other hand, the opposite changes smooth the oscillations, to the point where it becomes hard, in real space, to discern more than one length scale. The BEL potential with $\sigma = 0.671$ bears some resemblance to the the ARK potential.

In Fig. 2 we display equilibrium phase diagrams, computed by varying $\beta\mu$ and C_4 , and minimising the grand potential (1) via Picard iteration [4, 62]. Varying just C_4 changes the BEL potential in a manner most akin to varying a in the ARK potential [43]. The top three panels are for the three chosen BEL potentials, and show the equilibrium phase as a function of average density $\bar{\rho}$ and $C_4 - C_4^*$. Here, C_4^* is the value of C_4 for simultaneous marginal stability, as given in Table I. Varying C_4 away from C_4^* means that the maxima in $\omega(k)$ are no longer at the same value, shifting the preference to one or other length scale [43]. Typical examples of the density profiles obtained are displayed along the bottom of Fig. 2.

Figure 3 shows examples of the grand potential per unit area A (relative to the value for the liquid Ω_0/A) as a function of C_4 at constant $\beta \mu = 224$. In these plots,



FIG. 2: Phase diagrams for the BEL model in the average density $\bar{\rho}$ versus $C_4 - C_4^*$ plane. The critical value of $C_4 = C_4^*$ for three different values of σ is given in Table I, as are the other pair potential parameter values, which remain fixed. There are four equilibrium phases: a uniform liquid phase, a large lattice spacing hexagonal phase (1-hex), a smaller lattice spacing hexagonal phase (q-hex) and QCs. For $\sigma = 0.771$, examples of the observed nonuniform phases are displayed in (d)-(f). We plot $\log \rho(\mathbf{r})$; the color bar indicates the range of values. These three are calculated at the state points marked with a \times symbol in the phase diagram (b). The coexistence regions between the different phases are rather narrow and within the widths of the lines used. We also display the liquid linear stability threshold lines (dashed lines). There are two, one corresponding to instability at k_1 and the other at k_q . They intersect at the point where both lengthscales are marginally stable, which occurs at

 $\bar{\rho} = \rho_0 = 1.25$ in all three systems.

the thermodynamic equilibrium phase is that with the lowest value of Ω for the given value of C_4 . The crossing points of the different branches in each case give the phase boundaries displayed in Fig. 2.

The size of the region where QCs are stable in each phase diagram in Fig. 2 come from the changes to the potentials shown in Fig. 1. Case (a) with the larger $\sigma = 0.794$ has QCs as the thermodynamic equilibrium



FIG. 3: Grand potential for the 1-hex, q-hex and QCs for varying C_4 , for $\beta \mu = 224$ for the three different BEL systems. The corresponding σ values are indicated.

over a much larger region of the phase diagram than (c), with the smaller $\sigma = 0.671$, where they are almost completely suppressed. In the ARK phase diagram displayed in [4, 5], QCs are completely absent. The reason for these significant changes is that decreasing σ and thus making $\omega(k)$ less negative away from k_1 and k_q (see Fig. 1a) benefits all phases that incorporate other wavenumbers, but benefits most the q-hex crystals, as discussed above. In common with Faraday waves, wavenumbers that are less strongly damped play a more prominent role in selecting the final state [63]. Of course, determining the thermodynamic equilibrium involves a nonlinear balance between contributions from all RLV wavenumbers, but our results in Figs. 2 and 3 are consistent with this intuition from Faraday waves. That one can accurately anticipate the (nonlinear) phase behaviour from the linear response behavior $\omega(k)$ was not a priori obvious and is an important result. But, this may not be generally true if the approximation for \mathcal{F}_{ex} used is more complex than in Eq. (2).

A simplification that is made in some other models is to introduce a coefficient (the parameter c in [28, 29, 34, 64] or γ in [32]) which effectively sends $\omega(k) \to -\infty$ for all wavenumbers $k \neq k_1, k_q$, as $c \to \infty$. This limit of perfect lengthscale selectivity makes the resulting pair interaction potentials less physically realisable. The present approach does not rely on this simplification and is therefore more relevant to elucidating QC formation in soft matter at finite temperatures.

To conclude, we return to the title question: As Refs. [2, 3] showed and subsequent work confirmed, two

wavenumbers k_1 and k_q having a specific ratio are required for quasicrystals to be stable, i.e., a local minimum of the grand potential. However, what we have shown here is that for QCs to be the thermodynamic equilibrium, one must also consider the RLV wavenumbers of all competing crystal structures. Moreover, examining the value of the dispersion relation $\omega(k)$ at these other RLV wavenumbers helps anticipate the outcome of the competition between QCs and other crystal structures.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by a L'Oréal UK and Ireland Fellowship for Women in Science (PS), by the EPSRC under grants EP/P015689/1 (AJA, DR) and EP/P015611/1 (AMR), and by the Leverhulme Trust (RF-2018-449/9, AMR). DJR would like to thank Ron Lifshitz, Sam Savitz and Ken Elder for various helpful discussions during the formulation of this paper.

- D. Shechtman, I. Blech, D. Gratias, and J.W. Cahn, "Metallic phase with long-range orientational order and no translational symmetry," Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 1951– 1953 (1984).
- [2] R. Lifshitz and D.M. Petrich, "Theoretical model for Faraday waves with multiple-frequency forcing," Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1261–1264 (1997).
- [3] R. Lifshitz and H. Diamant, "Soft quasicrystals Why are they stable?" Philos. Mag. 87, 3021–3030 (2007).
- [4] A.J. Archer, A.M. Rucklidge, and E. Knobloch, "Quasicrystalline order and a crystal-liquid state in a soft-core fluid," Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 165501 (2013).
- [5] A.J. Archer, A.M. Rucklidge, and E. Knobloch, "Softcore particles freezing to form a quasicrystal and a crystal-liquid phase," Phys. Rev. E 92, 012324 (2015).
- [6] X. Zeng, G. Ungar, Y. Liu, V. Percec, A.E. Dulcey, and J.K. Hobbs, "Supramolecular dendritic liquid quasicrystals," Nature 428, 157–160 (2004).
- [7] K. Hayashida, T. Dotera, A. Takano, and Y. Matsushita, "Polymeric quasicrystal: Mesoscopic quasicrystalline tiling in *ABC* star polymers," Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 195502 (2007).
- [8] S. Fischer, A. Exner, K. Zielske, J. Perlich, S. Deloudi, W. Steurer, P. Lindner, and S. Förster, "Colloidal quasicrystals with 12-fold and 18-fold diffraction symmetry," PNAS 108, 1810–1814 (2011).
- [9] S.C. Glotzer and M. Engel, "Complex order in soft matter," Nature 471, 309–310 (2011).
- [10] C.R. Iacovella, A.S. Keys, and S.C. Glotzer, "Selfassembly of soft-matter quasicrystals and their approximants," PNAS 108, 20935–20940 (2011).
- [11] J. Zhang and F.S. Bates, "Dodecagonal quasicrystalline morphology in a poly (styrene-b-isoprene-b-styrene-bethylene oxide) tetrablock terpolymer," J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 134, 7636–7639 (2012).
- [12] S. Lee, C. Leighton, and F.S. Bates, "Sphericity and symmetry breaking in the formation of Frank-Kasper

phases from one component materials," PNAS **111**, 17723–17731 (2014).

- [13] T.M. Gillard, S. Lee, and F.S. Bates, "Dodecagonal quasicrystalline order in a diblock copolymer melt," PNAS 113, 5167–5172 (2016).
- [14] K. Yue, M. Huang, R.L. Marson, J. He, J. Huang, Z. Zhou, J. Wang, C. Liu, X. Yan, K. Wu, *et al.*, "Geometry induced sequence of nanoscale Frank–Kasper and quasicrystal mesophases in giant surfactants," PNAS **113**, 14195–14200 (2016).
- [15] M. Huang, K. Yue, J. Wang, C-H. Hsu, L. Wang, and S.Z.D. Cheng, "Frank–Kasper and related quasicrystal spherical phases in macromolecules," Science China Chemistry, 1–13 (2018).
- [16] A. Haji-Akbari, M. Engel, A.S. Keys, X. Zheng, R.G. Petschek, P. Palffy-Muhoray, and S.C. Glotzer, "Disordered, quasicrystalline and crystalline phases of densely packed tetrahedra," Nature 462, 773–778 (2009).
- [17] A. Haji-Akbari, M. Engel, and S.C. Glotzer, "Degenerate quasicrystal of hard triangular bipyramids," Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 215702 (2011).
- [18] J. Dontabhaktuni, M. Ravnik, and S. Žumer, "Quasicrystalline tilings with nematic colloidal platelets," PNAS 111, 2464–2469 (2014).
- [19] D.V. Talapin, E.V. Shevchenko, M.I. Bodnarchuk, X. Ye, J. Chen, and C.B. Murray, "Quasicrystalline order in self-assembled binary nanoparticle superlattices," Nature 461, 964–967 (2009).
- [20] X. Ye, J. Chen, M.E. Irrgang, M. Engel, A. Dong, S.C. Glotzer, and C.B. Murray, "Quasicrystalline nanocrystal superlattice with partial matching rules," Nature Materials 16, 214–219 (2017).
- [21] C. Xiao, N. Fujita, K. Miyasaka, Y. Sakamoto, and O. Terasaki, "Dodecagonal tiling in mesoporous silica," Nature 487, 349–353 (2012).
- [22] M. Engel and H.-R. Trebin, "Self-assembly of monatomic complex crystals and quasicrystals with a double-well interaction potential," Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 225505 (2007).
- [23] T. Dotera, T. Oshiro, and P. Ziherl, "Mosaic twolengthscale quasicrystals," Nature 506, 208–211 (2014).
- [24] M. Engel, P.F. Damasceno, C.L. Phillips, and S.C. Glotzer, "Computational self-assembly of a onecomponent icosahedral quasicrystal," Nature Materials 14, 109–116 (2015).
- [25] M. Martinsons and M. Schmiedeberg, "Growth of twodimensional decagonal colloidal quasicrystals," J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **30**, 255403 (2018).
- [26] A. Gemeinhardt, M. Martinsons, and M. Schmiedeberg, "Stabilizing quasicrystals composed of patchy colloids by narrowing the patch width," EPL **126**, 38001 (2019).
- [27] C.V. Achim, M. Schmiedeberg, and H. Löwen, "Growth modes of quasicrystals," Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 255501 (2014).
- [28] K. Jiang and P. Zhang, "Numerical methods for quasicrystals," J. Comp. Phys. 256, 428–440 (2014).
- [29] K. Jiang, J. Tong, P. Zhang, and A.-C. Shi, "Stability of two-dimensional soft quasicrystals in systems with two length scales," Phys. Rev. E 92, 042159 (2015).
- [30] P. Subramanian, A.J. Archer, E. Knobloch, and A.M. Rucklidge, "Three-dimensional icosahedral phase field quasicrystal," Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 075501 (2016).
- [31] M. Schmiedeberg, C.V. Achim, J. Hielscher, S.C. Kapfer, and H. Löwen, "Dislocation-free growth of quasicrystals from two seeds due to additional phasonic degrees of free-

dom," Phys. Rev. E 96, 012602 (2017).

- [32] K. Jiang, P. Zhang, and A.-C. Shi, "Stability of icosahedral quasicrystals in a simple model with two-length scales," J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 29, 124003 (2017).
- [33] P. Subramanian, A.J. Archer, E. Knobloch, and A.M. Rucklidge, "Spatially localized quasicrystalline structures," New J. Phys. 20, 122002 (2018).
- [34] S. Savitz, M. Babadi, and R. Lifshitz, "Multiple-scale structures: from Faraday waves to soft-matter quasicrystals," IUCrJ 5, 247–268 (2018).
- [35] J.-P. Hansen and I.R. McDonald, *Theory of simple liquids* (Elsevier, 2013).
- [36] R. Evans, "The nature of the liquid-vapour interface and other topics in the statistical mechanics of non-uniform, classical fluids," Adv. Phys. 28, 143–200 (1979).
- [37] R. Evans, Fundamentals of inhomogeneous fluids (CRC Press, 1992).
- [38] U.M.B. Marconi and P. Tarazona, "Dynamic density functional theory of fluids," J. Phys. Condens. Matt. 12, A413–A418 (2000).
- [39] A.J. Archer and R. Evans, "Dynamical density functional theory and its application to spinodal decomposition," J. Chem. Phys. **121**, 4246–4254 (2004).
- [40] A.J. Archer and M. Rauscher, "Dynamical density functional theory for interacting Brownian particles: stochastic or deterministic?" J. Phys. A 37, 9325–9333 (2004).
- [41] K. Barkan, H. Diamant, and R. Lifshitz, "Stability of quasicrystals composed of soft isotropic particles," Phys. Rev. B 83, 172201 (2011).
- [42] K. Barkan, M. Engel, and R. Lifshitz, "Controlled self-assembly of periodic and aperiodic cluster crystals," Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 098304 (2014).
- [43] M.C. Walters, P. Subramanian, A.J. Archer, and R. Evans, "Structural crossover in a model fluid exhibiting two length scales: repercussions for quasicrystal formation," Phys. Rev. E 98, 012606 (2018).
- [44] W.S. Edwards and S. Fauve, "Patterns and quasipatterns in the Faraday experiment," J. Fluid Mech. 278, 123–148 (1994).
- [45] W.B. Zhang and J. Viñals, "Pattern formation in weakly damped parametric surface waves," J. Fluid Mech. 336, 301–330 (1997).
- [46] M. Silber, C.M. Topaz, and A.C. Skeldon, "Twofrequency forced Faraday waves: weakly damped modes and pattern selection," Physica D 143, 205–225 (2000).
- [47] J. Porter, C.M. Topaz, and M. Silber, "Pattern control via multifrequency parametric forcing," Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 034502 (2004).

- [48] J. Porter and M. Silber, "Resonant triad dynamics in weakly damped Faraday waves with two-frequency forcing," Physica D 190, 93–114 (2004).
- [49] A.M. Rucklidge and M. Silber, "Design of parametrically forced patterns and quasipatterns," SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. 8, 298–347 (2009).
- [50] A.C. Skeldon and G. Guidoboni, "Pattern selection for Faraday waves in an incompressible viscous fluid," SIAM J. Appl. Math. 67, 1064–1100 (2007).
- [51] A.C. Skeldon and A.M. Rucklidge, "Can weakly nonlinear theory explain Faraday wave patterns near onset?" J. Fluid Mech. 777, 604–632 (2015).
- [52] J. Miles and D. Henderson, "Parametrically forced surface waves," Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 22, 143–165 (1990).
- [53] J.P. Gollub, "Order and disorder in fluid motion," PNAS 92, 6705–6711 (1995).
- [54] T. Besson, W.S. Edwards, and L.S. Tuckerman, "Twofrequency parametric excitation of surface waves," Phys. Rev. E 54, 507–513 (1996).
- [55] H. Arbell and J. Fineberg, "Pattern formation in twofrequency forced parametric waves," Phys. Rev. E 65, 036224 (2002).
- [56] A. Kudrolli, B. Pier, and J.P. Gollub, "Superlattice patterns in surface waves," Physica D 123, 99–111 (1998).
- [57] Y. Ding and P. Umbanhowar, "Enhanced Faraday pattern stability with three-frequency driving," Phys. Rev. E 73, 046305 (2006).
- [58] A.M. Rucklidge, M. Silber, and A.C. Skeldon, "Threewave interactions and spatiotemporal chaos," Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 074504 (2012).
- [59] C.N. Likos, "Effective interactions in soft condensed matter physics," Phys. Rep. 348, 267–439 (2001).
- [60] A.J. Archer, M.J. Robbins, U. Thiele, and E. Knobloch, "Solidification fronts in supercooled liquids: How rapid fronts can lead to disordered glassy solids," Phys. Rev. E 86, 031603 (2012).
- [61] C.N. Likos, B.M. Mladek, D. Gottwald, and G. Kahl, "Why do ultrasoft repulsive particles cluster and crystallize? Analytical results from density-functional theory," J. Chem. Phys. **126**, 224502 (2007).
- [62] R. Roth, "Fundamental measure theory for hard-sphere mixtures: a review," J. Phys Condens. Mat. 22, 063102 (2010).
- [63] A.C. Newell and Y. Pomeau, "Turbulent crystals in macroscopic systems," J. Phys. A 26, L429–L434 (1993).
- [64] K. Jiang and W. Si, "Stability of three-dimensional icosahedral quasicrystals in multi-component systems," arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.07859 (2019).