

Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Burhan, Muhammad, Swailes, Stephen, Hameed, Zahid and Ali, Imran (2020) HRM formality differences in Pakistani SMEs: a three-sector comparative study. *Employee Relations*, 42 (6). pp. 1513-1529. ISSN 0142-5455

Published by: Emerald

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-11-2019-0440> <<https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-11-2019-0440>>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link:
<http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/45246/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)



**Northumbria
University**
NEWCASTLE



UniversityLibrary

HRM formality differences in Pakistani SMEs: A three sector comparative study

Tables

Table I. Multiple comparisons of groups on HRM formality.

(I) Sector	(J) Sector	Mean Difference	SE	Sig.	95% CI	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Manufacturing (M = 153.75)	Services	-22.2*	4.22	.000	-32.2	-12.2
	Trade	20.6*	4.96	.000	8.87	32.3
Services (M = 175.96)	Manufacturing	22.2*	4.22	.000	12.2	32.2
	Trade	42.8*	4.14	.000	33.0	52.6
Trade (M = 133.15)	Manufacturing	-20.6*	4.96	.000	-32.3	-8.87
	Services	-42.8*	4.14	.000	-52.6	-33.0

Notes: Dependent variable: HRM_Formality. SE = Standard Error. CI = Confidence interval

* $p < 0.05$

Table II. Multiple comparisons of groups on HRM practices/functions

Dependent Variable	(I) Sector	(J) Sector	Mean Difference (I – J)	SE	Sig.	95% CI	
						Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Recruitment	Manufacturing (M = 13.40)	Services	-3.51*	.630	.000	-5.00*	-2.02
		Trade	1.72*	.688	.035	.10*	3.35
	Services (M = 16.91)	Manufacturing	3.51*	.630	.000	2.02*	5.00
		Trade	5.23*	.596	.000	3.82*	6.64
	Trade (M = 11.68)	Manufacturing	-1.72*	.688	.035	-3.35*	-.10
		Services	-5.23*	.596	.000	-6.64*	-3.82
Selection	Manufacturing (M = 54.37)	Services	-4.90*	1.47	.003	-8.37*	-1.43
		Trade	7.58*	1.69	.000	3.57*	11.59
	Services (M = 59.27)	Manufacturing	4.90*	1.47	.003	1.43*	8.37
		Trade	12.48*	1.59	.000	8.72*	16.24
	Trade (M = 46.79)	Manufacturing	-7.58*	1.69	.000	-11.59*	-3.57
		Services	-12.48*	1.59	.000	-16.24*	-8.72
Training & Development	Manufacturing (M = 25.73)	Services	-4.32*	.892	.000	-6.43*	-2.21
		Trade	4.01*	1.01	.000	1.62*	6.40
	Services (M = 30.05)	Manufacturing	4.32*	.892	.000	2.21*	6.43
		Trade	8.33*	.811	.000	6.41*	10.25
	Trade (M = 21.72)	Manufacturing	-4.01*	1.01	.000	-6.40*	-1.62
		Services	-8.33*	.811	.000	-10.25*	-6.41
Performance Appraisal	Manufacturing (M = 33.43)	Services	-5.92*	1.03	.000	-8.36*	-3.47
		Trade	4.61*	1.19	.000	1.81*	7.42
	Services (M = 39.35)	Manufacturing	5.92*	1.03	.000	3.47*	8.36
		Trade	10.53*	1.01	.000	8.15*	12.91
	Trade (M = 28.82)	Manufacturing	-4.61*	1.17	.000	-7.42*	-1.81
		Services	-10.53*	1.01	.000	-12.91*	-8.15
Compensation & Benefits	Manufacturing (M = 27.01)	Services	-3.36*	.887	.001	-5.46*	-1.26
		Trade	2.82*	.943	.009	.59*	5.05
	Services (M = 30.37)	Manufacturing	3.36*	.887	.001	1.26*	5.46
		Trade	6.18*	.825	.000	4.23*	8.13
	Trade (M = 24.19)	Manufacturing	-2.82*	.943	.009	-5.05*	-.59
		Services	-6.18*	.825	.000	-8.13*	-4.23

Notes: Dependent variable: Recruitment, Selection, Training_Development, Performance_Appraisal, Compensation_Benefits. SE = Standard Error. CI = Confidence interval.

* $p < 0.05$

Table III. Post-hoc analysis with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons

(I) Sector	(J) Sector	Mean Difference (I – J)	SE	Sig. ^b	95% CI ^b	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Manufacturing (<i>M</i> = 153.75)	Services	-26.3*	4.18	.000	-36.4	-16.2
	Trade	13.3*	4.27	.006	3.02	23.6
Services (<i>M</i> = 175.96)	Manufacturing	26.3*	4.18	.000	16.2	36.4
	Trade	39.6*	4.17	.000	29.6	49.6
Trade (<i>M</i> = 133.15)	Manufacturing	-13.3*	4.27	.006	-23.6	-3.02
	Services	-39.6*	4.17	.000	-49.6	-29.6

Notes:. Dependent variable: HRM_Formality. Covariate: Established_years. SE = Standard Error.

CI = Confidence interval, b. Adjustment for multiple comparisons: Bonferroni.

**p* < 0.05