Moderate Champagne consumption promotes an acute improvement in acute endothelial-independent vascular function in healthy human volunteers
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Epidemiological studies have suggested an inverse correlation between red wine consumption and the incidence of CVD. However, Champagne wine has not been fully investigated for its cardioprotective potential. In order to assess whether acute and moderate Champagne wine consumption is capable of modulating vascular function, we performed a randomised, placebo-controlled, cross-over intervention trial. We show that consumption of Champagne wine, but not a control matched for alcohol, carbohydrate and fruit-derived acid content, induced an acute change in endothelium-independent vasodilatation at 4 and 8 h post-consumption. Although both Champagne wine and the control also induced an increase in endothelium-dependent vascular reactivity at 4 h, there was no significant difference between the vascular effects induced by Champagne or the control at any time point. These effects were accompanied by an acute decrease in the concentration of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP-9), a significant decrease in plasma levels of oxidising species and an increase in urinary excretion of a number of phenolic metabolites. In particular, the mean total excretion of hippuric acid, protocatechuic acid and isofurilic acid were all significantly greater following the Champagne wine intervention compared with the control intervention. Our data suggest that a daily moderate consumption of Champagne wine may improve vascular performance via the delivery of phenolic constituents capable of improving NO bioavailability and reducing matrix metalloproteinase activity.

Champagne wine intake: Endothelial-independent vascular function: Matrix metalloproteinase-9 activity: Cardiovascular disease

Epidemiological studies have suggested that there is an inverse correlation between the consumption of polyphenol-rich foods and the prevention of CVD¹,². Moderate red wine intake has also been associated with a reduced coronary artery disease mortality³,⁴, which may be due to its ability to improve endothelial function⁵–⁷, induce an acute increase in endothelium-dependent flow-mediated dilatation⁶,⁷ and inhibit endothelin-1 synthesis⁸,⁹. These effects of red wine have been linked to both its alcohol content and to its high content of polyphenols, in particular flavonoids, hydroxycinnamates and phenolic acids¹⁰. Following consumption, nanomolar quantities of flavonoids and other polyphenols enter the circulation¹⁰,¹¹ where they may act to improve NO bioavailability and/or inhibit endothelin-1¹²,¹³. In support of this, white wine, which has significantly lower concentration of polyphenols, induces significant reduced vascular effects¹⁴,¹⁵, although its cardioprotective effects have been reported¹⁶,¹⁷.

In contrast to white wine, Champagne wine is relatively rich in polyphenols such as hydroxybenzoic acids, hydroxycinnamic acids (and their tartaric derivative esters), flavonoids, phenolic alcohols and phenolic aldehydes¹⁸. The increased levels of phenolics in Champagne wine derive predominantly from the two red grapes, Pinot Noir and Pinot Meunier, which are used in its production along with the white grape Chardonnay¹⁹. Moderate Champagne wine consumption has been shown to exert a number of effects in vivo, effecting peripheral serotonin and dopamine release¹⁰ and increasing plasma vitamin A concentration¹⁰. Moderate Champagne wine consumption has been shown to exert a number of effects in vivo, effecting peripheral serotonin and dopamine release¹⁰ and increasing plasma vitamin A concentration¹⁰. Champagne wine phenolophens have also been shown to protect cells against injury induced by peroxyxidite²², a physiologically relevant oxidising species which has been implicated in vascular wall pathology²²,²³. However, thus far, there have been no studies investigating its consumption and changes in endothelial function and thus cardiovascular risk.

In the present study, we have performed a randomised, single-blind, controlled, cross-over design study in order to assess whether acute, moderate Champagne wine consumption is capable of modulating endothelial function in healthy human volunteers.
human volunteers. We show that consumption of Champagne wine induces acute changes in endothelium-independent vasodilatation but not endothelium-dependent vasodilatation. These effects were accompanied by a change in the level of matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9), reductions in plasma levels of oxidants and with an increased urinary excretion of a number of phenolic metabolites. Together, our data suggest that moderate Champagne wine consumption may help to improve cardiovascular risk via its effects on the vasculature and that these effects may be mediated by circulating Champagne wine-derived polyphenols.

Material and methods

Materials

Phenolic standards (caffeic acid, ferulic acid, homovanillyl alcohol, gallic acid, vanillic acid, \( p \)-hydrobenzoic acid, \( (+) \)-catechin, \( (-) \)-epicatechin, hydroxytyrosol, vanillin, hydroxyhippuric acid, \( p \)-coumaric acid, protocatechuic acid, sinapic acid, hydroferulic acid, 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid, tryptophol, resveratrol, queretin, hippuric acid) and type H-1 \( \beta \)-glucuronidase from Helix pomatia (EC 3.2.1.31) were all obtained from Sigma (Poole, Dorset, UK). Caffeic acid was obtained from Apin Chemicals (Abingdon, Oxon, UK), homovanilllic acid was obtained from Lancaster Synthesis Ltd (Heysham, Lancs, UK) and tyrosol and isoferulic acid was obtained from Extrasynthese (Lyon, France). Solvents were all of HPLC grade and were purchased from Fisher Ltd (Heysham, Lancs, UK) and tyrosol and isoferulic acid were purchased from Extrasynthese (Lyon, France). Solvents were all of HPLC grade and were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Loughborough, Leics, UK). Ethyl acetate was purified by distillation on a Raschig column before use. The K$_2$EDTA, serum separation tubes and heparin vacutainer tubes were obtained from BD Vacutainer (Oxford, Oxon, UK).

Subjects

Healthy male and female subjects (\( n = 15 \)), aged between 20 and 65 years (mean age 39·5 (SEM 4·3) years) with a BMI of 18·9–28·4 kg/m$^2$ (mean BMI 23·6 (SEM 0·7) kg/m$^2$), were recruited from the University of Reading and surrounding area. Individually with diabetes mellitus, any form of liver or gastrointestinal disorder, high blood pressure (>150/90 mm/Hg), anaemia, gall bladder problems, present illness, or those taking dietary supplements, consuming caffeine or aspirin, vigorous exercise (more than three times \( \times \) 20 min per week), or alcohol consumption more than 120 g (women) and 168 g (men) per week were excluded from the study, along with pregnant or lactating females. Subjects were healthy, based on a medical questionnaire, and had normal concentrations of liver enzymes (aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase and \( \gamma \)-glutamyl transferase), normal Hb, packed cell volume and an absence of glucose and protein in urine.

Study design

The study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the University of Reading Research Ethics Committee (ref. 07/16). The study was also registered with the National Institutes of Health (NIH) randomised trial records held on the NIH Clinical-Trials.gov website (ref. NCT00937313) and with the Current Controlled Trials website (ref. ISRCTN38867650). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before the study started. Subjects refrained from consuming high-polyphenol foods for 48 h before the start of the study and for 32 h post-initiation. In particular, the following foods and beverages were excluded from volunteer diets: cocoa-containing products, coffee, tea and wine. The study was designed as a single-blind, randomised, cross-over intervention trial, where volunteers were asked to consume either 375 ml of Champagne wine (Chardonnay, Pinot Noir and Pinot Meunier; 12 % alcohol) or a control matched for alcohol content, fruit sugars and acids (Table 1). The Champagne wine used in the study contained no vitamin C. Subjects were assessed for anthropometric measurements and provided a urine sample before baseline laser Doppler imaging with iontophoresis (LDI) measurements (detailed below). Subjects were then cannulated in the antecubital vein and one baseline blood sample was collected. Subjects were then randomly assigned to either the Champagne wine or control group and asked to consume the beverage within a 10 min period. Following a standardised breakfast, blood samples were collected at 15, 30, 45, 60, 120, 180, 240, 300, 360 and 480 min post-consumption and pooled urine samples were collected over 3 × 8 h periods. A standardised breakfast and lunch were consumed at 15 and 200 min post-beverage. LDI measurements were carried out at 120, 240, 360 and 480 min. Subjects also provided 24 h and 32 h blood and urine samples.

| Table 1. Composition of Champagne wine and placebo (Mean values and standard deviations) |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Concentration of phenolic constituents of Champagne (mg/l)* | Mean | sd |
| Gallic acid | 0.74 | 0.01 |
| Protocatechuic acid | 0.40 | 0.03 |
| \( p \)-Hydrobenzoic acid | 0.05 | 0.003 |
| Caffeic acid | 17·69 | 0.28 |
| Tyrosol | 20·12 | 0.75 |
| \( (+) \)-Catechin | 2·68 | 0.06 |
| Cautaric acid† | 1.77 | 0.03 |
| Caffeic acid | 7.65 | 0.02 |
| \( (-) \)-Epicatechin | 1.63 | 0.08 |
| Fertaric acid† | 0.70 | 0.10 |
| Ethyl gallate | 3.36 | 0.05 |
| \( p \)-Coumaric acid | 2.67 | 0.02 |
| Ferulic acid | 0.69 | 0.01 |
| Tryptophol | 0.89 | 0.06 |
| Resveratrol | 0.11 | 0.08 |
| Ethyl caffeate† | 0.38 | 0.02 |
| Ethyl coumarate† | 0.1 | 0.02 |
| Concentration of components common to Champagne and placebo | | |
| Glycerol (g/l) | 5.70 |
| Fructose (g/l) | 3.00 |
| Glucose (g/l) | 2.70 |
| Tartaric acid (g/l) | 2.00 |
| Lactic acid (g/l) | 3.00 |
| Citric acid (g/l) | 0.04 |
| Ethanol (mg/l) | 120.00 |

* Calculated from three independent injections.
† Calculated using the equivalent of the corresponding cinnamic acid derivative.
Following a washout period of 28 d, volunteers returned to the unit to complete the second arm of the study where the procedure above was repeated.

**Laser Doppler imaging with iontophoresis**

In all cases, subjects were rested in the supine position for 30 min in a temperature-controlled environment (22–24°C) before LDI determination. Peripheral microvascular function was assessed using a validated technique which quantifies the vasodilator responses to 1% acetylcholine (endothelium-dependent vasodilatation) and 1% sodium nitroprusside (endothelial-independent vasodilatation), delivered transdermally using iontophoresis. This non-invasive in vivo method provides a robust surrogate marker of vascular function, as described previously. For the vascular reactivity measurements, participants were requested to lie in a semi-recumbent position with their right arm supported. A temperature probe and iontophoresis chambers were attached to the volar aspect of the forearm and freshly prepared solutions of acetylcholine chloride (2.5 ml; 1% (w/v) in 0.5% (w/v) NaCl solution; Sigma Aldrich, Poole, Dorset, UK) and sodium nitroprusside (2.5 ml; 1% (w/v) in 0.5% (w/v) NaCl solution; Sigma Aldrich) were introduced into the anodal and cathodal chambers respectively. Following a basal measurement of skin perfusion, an incremental current was delivered progressively in 5 μA steps (5, 10, 15 and 20 μA) to yield a total charge (current x time) of 8000 coulombs during a 20 min measurement. A series of fifteen scans was performed as the current increased from 0 to 20 μA (with a further five scans performed following current termination) and skin perfusion, or erythrocyte flux, was measured using a laser Doppler imager (Moor Instruments Ltd, Axminster, Devon, UK). In all cases the within-day and between-day CV were less than 10%, as previously reported.

**Matrix metalloproteinase and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase analysis**

MMP and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMP) were analysed by gelatine zymography and reverse zymography, respectively, as previously described. Briefly, for the detection of gelatinases in serum, SDS-PAGE was performed on gels containing 0.1% gelatine and 9% polyacrylamide. Samples (dilution 1/50), previously mixed with loading buffer (2% SDS and 0.1% bromophenol blue), were electrophoresed under non-reducing conditions. After electrophoresis, gels were washed in 2% Triton X-100 and immersed in buffer containing 50 mM-Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), 200 mM-NaCl and 10 mM-CaCl₂ for 18 h at 37°C. The gels were stained with 0.5% Coomassie blue G-250 in acetic acid–methanol–water (1:4:5, by vol.) and de-stained in acetic acid–methanol–water (1:2:7, by vol.). Reverse zymography revealed inhibitory activity, which appeared as blue zones against a clear background, demonstrating inhibition of gelatine lysis in the gels. MMP-2, MMP-9, TIMP-1 and TIMP-2 concentrations in serum were quantified with human commercial ELISA kits (Amersham Biosciences) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Each sample was assayed in duplicate, and the values were within the linear portion of the standard curve.

**HPLC analyses**

Analysis of Champagne wine extracts for phenolic content were performed as previously described. Determination of the excreted urinary metabolites was carried out as follows: untreated urine samples were filtered through a 0.45 μm membrane before HPLC analysis. For β-glucuronidase treatment, urine samples (1 ml) were acidified with 1 M-acetic acid (50 μl) and mixed with β-glucuronidase (230 mg/ml in 0.2 M-sodium acetate; pH 5) under Ar for 45 min at 37°C. After addition of 1 M-HCl (40 μl), samples were extracted twice with ethyl acetate and centrifuged for 15 min at 5000 g. The combined organic layers were evaporated under N₂, re-dissolved by vortexing in 25% aqueous methanol (200 μl), filtered (polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane, 0.45 μm; Millipore, Chandlers Ford, Hants, UK) and injected (50 μl) onto an Agilent 1100 Series HPLC linked to a diode array detector. Sample separation was achieved using a C18 Nova Pak™ column (250 x 4.6 mm internal diameter; 5 μm particle size), fitted with a guard column C18 NovaPak® (Waters Ltd, Elstree, Herts, UK). The mobile phase consisted of: A, aqueous methanol (5%) + 5 M-HCl (0.1%) and B, acetonitrile–methyl alcohol (1:1) + 5 M-HCl (0.1%) and was pumped through the column at 0.7 ml/min. Samples (50 μl) were injected and separated using the following gradient system (min/% B): 0/5, 5/5, 40/50, 55/100, 59.9/100, 60/5 and the eluant was monitored by photodiode array detection at 254, 280, 320 and 370 nm, with spectra of products obtained over the 220–600 nm range. All data were analysed using ChemStation® software (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). Components were identified according to retention time, UV or visible spectra and spiking with commercially relevant standards when available.

**Biochemical analysis**

The blood samples collected in lithium–heparin tubes were spun (1700 g; 10 min; 4°C) immediately after collection. Samples were also collected in serum separation tubes (SST) and allowed to stand for 30 min before centrifugation (1300 g; 10 min; 21°C). All samples were sampled and frozen at −80°C until analysis. All biochemical parameters were assayed on an ILAB 600 chemistry analyser (Instrumentation Laboratory, Warrington, Cheshire, UK) using enzyme-based colorimetric tests supplied by Instrumentation Laboratory. The following parameters were determined in all samples: total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, glucose,
TAG, uric acid, total bilirubin, albumin, C-reactive protein, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase and γ-glutamyl transferase. Plasma total antioxidant capacity (TAC) was measured by using the TAC kit provided by Medicon SA (Gerakas, Greece) as reported previously. This assay is based on the competition of a parallel reaction, where the peroxyl-radical donor 2,2-azobis-(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride (ABAP) bleaches the carotenoid crocin. Antioxidants present in the sample then inhibit the bleaching by trapping formed radicals. The assay was performed at 37°C in the following steps: 2 μl of sample, calibrator or control were mixed with 250 μl of crocin reagent (R1) and incubated for 160 s. Subsequently, 125 μl ABAP (R2) were added and the decrease in absorbance at 450 nm was measured 256 s later. Values of TAC were expressed as mmol/l of Trolox and corrected TAC values were calculated from TAC after subtraction of the interactions due to endogenous uric acid, bilirubin and albumin accounting for 0·11, 0·11 and 0·01 mmol/mg of the antioxidant capacity, respectively.

Endothelin-1 was determined using ELISA kits obtained from R&D Systems (Abingdon, Oxon, UK). Total NO levels were assessed by using the Total NO/Nitrite/Nitrate assay kit (ref. KGE001) obtained from R&D Systems. Total NO (NO₂⁺/NO₃⁻) in serum/plasma ranged between 10 and 97 μmol/l (mean 37 μmol/l; n 25). Before analysis, samples were deproteinised by using 10 000 Da molecular-weight cut-off filters (R&D Systems). Total oxidative capacity (TOC) was determined by a rapid enzymic in vitro diagnostic assay (POX-ACT) obtained from Tatzber KEG (Hoflein at the Danube, Austria). This assay measures endogenous levels of peroxides, an indicator of either the production of pro-oxidative substances by the organism or an impaired uptake or consumption of antioxidants, using tetramethylbenzidine as the chromogen substrate. This assay is robust and provides intra- and inter-assay CV of 3·73 and 5·51 %, respectively.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using SPSS version 12.1 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Results are presented in the text and figures as mean values with their standard errors. Bonferroni tests for multiple comparisons and t tests were subsequently used to examine differences between individual treatments. All data were checked for normality and log-transformed where necessary before statistical analysis. Values of P<0·05 were taken as significant.

Results

Assessment of vascular reactivity by laser Doppler imaging with iontophoresis

Consumption of both the Champagne wine (P=0·030) and the control (P=0·045) induced an increase in endothelium-dependent vascular reactivity at 4 h, as indicated by increases in skin erythrocyte flux in the presence of acetylcholine chloride (Fig. 1(a)). These increases in vascular reactivity returned to baseline by 8 h and there was no significant difference between the vascular effects induced by Champagne wine or the control at any time point. Endothelium-independent vasodilatation (skin erythrocyte flux following iontophoresis with sodium nitroprusside) was found to be significantly increased at 4 and 8 h Champagne wine consumption (P=0·045 and P=0·037, respectively) and a close to linear correlation was found between treatment and time (P=0·057). In contrast, the alcohol-matched control did not induce endothelium-independent changes in vascular reactivity. Furthermore, there was a significantly greater degree of endothelium-independent vasodilatation observed...
Fig. 2. Variation of the concentration of the urinary metabolites assessed by HPLC after Champagne wine (■--) or placebo (---•--) consumption: (a) hippuric acid; (b) protocatechuic acid; (c) isoferic acid; (d) homovanillic acid; (e) homovanillyl alcohol; (f) 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC). Values are means, with standard errors represented by vertical lines. † Mean value was significantly different from that following placebo intake ($P<0.05$).
Table 2. Time course of biochemical parameters after either Champagne wine or placebo intake
(Mean values with their standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Champagne</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0h</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>4h</td>
<td>6h</td>
<td>8h</td>
<td>0h</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>4h</td>
<td>6h</td>
<td>8h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.99 ± 1.04</td>
<td>4.89 ± 0.96</td>
<td>4.88 ± 1.01</td>
<td>4.81 ± 0.96</td>
<td>4.89 ± 0.99</td>
<td>4.96 ± 1.02</td>
<td>4.88 ± 0.89</td>
<td>4.98 ± 0.88</td>
<td>5.02 ± 0.87</td>
<td>5.15 ± 0.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.45</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.42</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.50</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.38</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.50</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.50</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.47</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.48</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.46</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.16 ± 0.92</td>
<td>3.09 ± 0.90</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.84</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.76</td>
<td>3.04 ± 0.85</td>
<td>3.04 ± 0.90</td>
<td>2.98 ± 0.83</td>
<td>2.68 ± 1.06</td>
<td>2.96 ± 0.84</td>
<td>3.11 ± 0.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.43</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.36</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.49</td>
<td>2.63*** ± 0.78</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.67</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.48</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.58</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.71</td>
<td>2.50*** ± 0.92</td>
<td>1.87 ± 1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.50 ± 0.67</td>
<td>4.93 ± 1.10</td>
<td>5.73 ± 1.08</td>
<td>5.74 ± 1.14</td>
<td>5.64 ± 0.53</td>
<td>5.22 ± 0.48</td>
<td>5.14 ± 1.08</td>
<td>5.64 ± 1.21</td>
<td>5.81 ± 0.90</td>
<td>5.63 ± 0.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/l)</td>
<td>47.4 ± 5.40</td>
<td>44.9 ± 2.00</td>
<td>46.7 ± 3.00</td>
<td>45.9 ± 2.80</td>
<td>48.0 ± 3.10</td>
<td>46.3 ± 1.64</td>
<td>45.8 ± 2.40</td>
<td>46.2 ± 3.70</td>
<td>45.9 ± 2.11</td>
<td>47.2 ± 2.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin (mg/l)</td>
<td>101.0 ± 49.1</td>
<td>78.5 ± 32.4</td>
<td>74.6 ± 32.3</td>
<td>65.5 ± 26.9</td>
<td>61.9 ± 25.7</td>
<td>105 ± 42.6</td>
<td>92.0 ± 28.8</td>
<td>60.0* ± 37.2</td>
<td>67.1 ± 20.2</td>
<td>60.8* ± 27.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid (µmol/l)</td>
<td>324 ± 76.00</td>
<td>348 ± 71.02</td>
<td>344 ± 85.05</td>
<td>322 ± 79.32</td>
<td>324 ± 98.08</td>
<td>341 ± 40.03</td>
<td>381 ± 50.12</td>
<td>313 ± 130.01</td>
<td>331 ± 58.09</td>
<td>319 ± 58.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γGT (U/l)</td>
<td>22.6 ± 11.80</td>
<td>20.9 ± 12.30</td>
<td>21.3 ± 10.90</td>
<td>19.5 ± 10.50</td>
<td>22.5 ± 10.90</td>
<td>21.6 ± 9.50</td>
<td>21.1 ± 9.60</td>
<td>18.7 ± 10.50</td>
<td>20.7 ± 10.60</td>
<td>21.4 ± 11.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (U/l)</td>
<td>21.3 ± 6.32</td>
<td>20.4 ± 4.13</td>
<td>20.2 ± 4.49</td>
<td>24.1 ± 7.15</td>
<td>22.1 ± 4.60</td>
<td>23.5 ± 8.84</td>
<td>22.9 ± 8.88</td>
<td>23.4 ± 10.0</td>
<td>23.0 ± 7.95</td>
<td>24.5 ± 8.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/l)</td>
<td>17.8 ± 7.17</td>
<td>16.5 ± 7.21</td>
<td>17.3 ± 6.81</td>
<td>18.3 ± 9.60</td>
<td>19.1 ± 9.95</td>
<td>19.2 ± 8.3</td>
<td>18.5 ± 8.42</td>
<td>18.3 ± 10.0</td>
<td>18.9 ± 6.09</td>
<td>19.0 ± 6.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP ultra-sensitive (mg/l)</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.82</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.73</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.80</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.41</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.53</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.56</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.53</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.62</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cTAC (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total NO (NO2/NO3) (µmol/l)</td>
<td>24.9 ± 0.40</td>
<td>25.5 ± 0.60</td>
<td>24.4 ± 0.90</td>
<td>24.8 ± 0.80</td>
<td>24.6 ± 0.40</td>
<td>24.9 ± 0.40</td>
<td>26.1 ± 0.60</td>
<td>24.1 ± 0.40</td>
<td>24.4 ± 0.40</td>
<td>24.8 ± 0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelin-1 (pg/ml)</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

γGT, γ-glutamyl transferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; CRP, C-reactive protein; cTAC, corrected total antioxidant capacity.

Mean value was significantly different from that at baseline (0h): * P < 0.05, *** P < 0.001.
following Champagne wine intervention relative to placebo intervention at 4, 6 and 8 h \((P=0.013, P=0.034\) and \(P=0.031,\) respectively).

**Urinary excretion of polyphenols**

The major phenolic derivatives indentified in urine were hippuric acid, protocatechuic acid, isoflavonic acid, homovanillic acid, homovanillyl alcohol and 3,4-dihydroxyphenlacetic acid (Fig. 2). All of these compounds were present in baseline urine samples and all, with the exception of isoflavonic acid, increased significantly over time following intervention with either Champagne wine or the alcohol control (Fig. 2). However, the mean total excretion of hippuric acid (Champagne wine, 159·30 (SEM 29·3) mg; control, 100·70 (SEM 20·8) mg; \(P<0.001\), protocatechuic acid (Champagne wine, 10·60 (SEM 1·30) mg; control, 7·17 (SEM 1·56) mg; \(P=0.005\) and isoflavonic acid (Champagne wine, 2·04 (SEM 0·84) mg; control, 0·24 (SEM 0·39) mg; \(P<0.001\) were all significantly greater following the Champagne wine intervention compared with the control intervention. More specifically, hippuric acid excretion (Fig. 2(a)) was significantly higher at 8 h (\(P=0.032\) and 24 h (\(P=0.036\)) and protocatechuic acid excretion (Fig. 2(b)) was greater at 24 h (\(P=0.038\)) post-Champagne wine intervention compared with control. Isoflavonic acid, which was present at very low concentration in baseline urine, increased significantly only following Champagne wine consumption and was significantly different from control at 24 h (\(P=0.039\)) and 32 h (\(P=0.011\)) post-intervention (Fig. 2(c)). Although there was a tendency for excretion to be increased following Champagne wine intervention, there were no significant differences in the mean total excretion of homovanillic acid (Champagne wine, 8·04 (SEM 1·51) mg; control, 7·07 (SEM 1·73) mg), homovanillyl alcohol (Champagne wine, 11·35 (SEM 1·91) mg; control, 9·15 (SEM 1·89) mg) or 3,4-dihydroxyphenlacetic acid (Champagne wine, 18·74 (SEM 3·58) mg; control, 21·34 (SEM 4·98) mg).

**Biochemical markers**

A significant increase in TAG concentrations was observed 6 h post-consumption of both Champagne wine and control, although there was no significant difference in the magnitude of change induced by the two interventions (Table 2). No significant changes in glucose, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol or LDL-cholesterol were observed post-Champagne wine or control consumption. All endothelial markers (endothelin-1, total NO/nitrite/nitrate) revealed values within a normal healthy range but did not change significantly following either intervention (Table 2). Liver enzyme levels (alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, \(\gamma\)-glutamyl transferase) did not show any statistical changes in response to either intervention. All biochemical markers were within the expected healthy range.

**Oxidative status and inflammatory markers**

Intervention with both the control and Champagne wine led to increases in ‘total oxidant capacity’ (TOC) over the 6 h period immediately post-consumption, reflecting increases in endogenous peroxide production (\(P<0.001\); Fig. 3).

In general, this increase in TOC was greater following the control intervention and was found to be significantly lower in the Champagne wine group at 6 h post-intervention (11 % reduction relative to placebo; \(P<0.01\)) (Fig. 3). In contrast, there were no differences in ‘total antioxidant’ levels (corrected TAC) following either intervention (Table 2). Furthermore, there were no significant alterations in serum levels of C-reactive protein following either intervention.

**Matrix metalloproteinase and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase levels**

Gelatin–zymography analysis and ELISA revealed a stable concentration of MMP-2 at 1, 6 and 23 h post-intervention with Champagne wine and control (Fig. 4). In contrast, MMP-9 significantly decreased 1 h post-Champagne wine consumption (38·1 (SEM 6·3) %; \(P<0.05\) but not following the control intervention (Fig. 4(a) and (d)). No significant modifications in the concentrations of TIMP-1 and TIMP-2 were observed (Fig. 4(b), (e) and (f)).

**Discussion**

Many epidemiological studies have suggested that a daily and moderate consumption of red wine is associated with a lower incidence of CVD(32–34). In agreement with this, previous studies have indicated that red wine consumption significantly improves endothelial function(38) and that these effects can be, in part, attributed to its polyphenol content(35). Many of the effects of red wine are compatible with the action of wine-derived polyphenols on endothelium-derived NO production, implying that NO might be a mediator for their vascular actions(36,37). Indeed, a rapid activation of endothelial NO synthase and endothelium-dependent vasodilatation has been reported for grape-derived polyphenols in vitro(38) and a single dose of red wine has been shown to increase NO production(39) and endothelium-dependent dilatation(40,41) in healthy volunteers. There is also evidence that white wine...
and Cava (sparkling white wine) may exert vascular actions. It has been suggested that such effects may result from the synergistic actions of polyphenols and other phenolic constituents on LDL oxidation and platelet function\(^{42–44}\). In the present study, we show that Champagne wine consumption is capable of inducing acute vascular effects and in modifying levels of specific vascular active components.

The consumption of either Champagne wine or the alcohol control induced a rapid increase in endothelium-dependent vasodilatation, which returned to basal levels after 8 h. These observations are in agreement with previous studies which indicate that moderate alcohol is capable of inducing an acute increase in blood flow in an endothelium-dependent manner\(^{6,7,40,41,45}\). However, we found that only the Champagne wine intervention was capable of significantly inducing an increase in endothelium-independent vasodilatation, which was maintained up to 8 h post-consumption. These data suggest that moderate Champagne wine consumption may enhance microvascular blood flow for a sustained period, through maintenance of local NO levels, in this case delivered via iontophoresis. Our data also suggest that this effect may be mediated by absorbed Champagne wine polyphenols, the metabolites of which (hippuric acid, isoferulic acid and protocatechuic acid) were detected in urine following...

---

**Fig. 4.** Evaluation of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) (a) and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMP) (b) by gel zymography. (a) Gelatin zymogram showing diminution of MMP-9 in blood 1 h after Champagne wine consumption. Human MMP-2 and MMP-9 were loaded as standards. (b) Reverse zymogram gel showing no significant differences after Champagne wine or placebo consumption. Evaluation of (c) MMP-2, (d) MMP-9, (e) TIMP-1 and (f) TIMP-2 concentrations by ELISA after Champagne wine (■) or placebo (○) consumption. Samples were studied in duplicate. Data are expressed as percentage of control (baseline; 30 min before Champagne wine or placebo intake). Values are means, with standard deviations represented by vertical lines. *Mean value was significantly different from that at baseline (30 min before Champagne wine or placebo intake) (P<0·05).
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Champagne wine ingestion. These metabolites are known to derive from the bacterial metabolism of caffeic acid and other hydroxycinnamates in the large intestine\(^{46}\). Whilst urinary hippuric acid may derive from aromatic amino acids, its increased excretion following Champagne wine ingestion indicates that absorption and metabolism of Champagne wine phenolic compounds, such as caffeic acid, had occurred post-consumption\(^{46}\). In support of this, the increased excretion of isoferulic acid after Champagne wine consumption is a specific biomarker of caffeic acid absorption, as this metabolite is only derived from the 4-methoxylation of caffeic acid by catechol-O-methyltransferase\(^{47}\) before or after its absorption\(^{46}\). Furthermore, the majority of urinary isoferulic acid has been shown to result from the cleavage of the caffeoyl quinic acid derivative of caffeic acid\(^{10,48}\). Protocatechuic acid, which also increased in response to Champagne wine intervention, has previously been detected in human plasma following red wine consumption\(^{49}\) and has been identified as the urinary product of hydroxycinnamate ingestion from various food sources\(^{50,51}\).

The presence of such metabolites in urine between 6 and 32 h suggests that caffeic acid and other phenolic metabolites are absorbed into the circulation following Champagne wine consumption. These phenolic metabolites may affect vascular function by improving local NO bioavailability by two potential mechanisms. First, they may increase the local half-life of NO via reaction with reactive oxygen species, such as superoxide\(^{52-54}\). In support of this, we observed a significant reduction in the ‘total oxidant capacity’ following Champagne wine intake, indicating that Champagne wine intervention leads to a reduction in plasma oxidant levels relative to control. Second, phenolic metabolites, such as those excreted post-Champagne wine consumption, may mimic NADPH oxidase inhibitors\(^{55-57}\), such as apocynin (4’-hydroxy-3’-methoxycetophenone), thereby reducing the cellular production of superoxide and increasing the half-life of NO, without any change in the rate of NO synthesis\(^{56}\).

Indeed, previous studies have shown that the presence of an aromatic vicinal hydroxyl-methoxy arrangement is highly effective in defining NADPH oxidase inhibition\(^{56,57}\). Champagne wine phenolics such as tyrosol, hydroxytyrosol, ferulic acid and homovanillic alcohol have been shown to outcompete apocynin with respect to its inhibitory potency\(^{57}\). The combined inhibition of NADPH oxidase and the scavenging of reactive oxygen species by phenolic metabolites would be expected to affect local NO concentrations without influencing global endothelial NO production. Such effects may influence blood pressure, something which is supported by previous data showing that a low-molecular-weight fraction (1 kDa) of Champagne wine induces an anti-hypertensive effect in animals\(^{58}\). Another study investigating the effect of olive oil phenolic components in rat aortic rings has demonstrated that caffeic acid is able to induce a vasorelaxant effect which persists in denuded aorta and in conjunction with NO synthase inhibitors such as NG-methyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA) or methylene blue\(^{59}\). This suggests that the vascular effects induced by this phenolic may be mediated by the inhibition of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and/or the blockage of the protein kinase C-mediated contractile mechanism, as has been observed for caffeic acid phenyl ester and sodium ferulate, respectively\(^{60,61}\). Further investigation is necessary to unravel the precise mechanism by which Champagne wine phenolics modulate endothelium-independent vasorelaxation in vivo.

The Champagne wine intervention also had a potentially beneficial effect on the vascular system in its ability to inhibit MMP-9. MMP and their specific tissue inhibitors (TIMP) play an important role in the physiological maintenance of the extracellular matrix and the pathogenesis of vascular disease\(^{62,63}\). For example, the over-expression of MMP-9 has been reported in atherosclerotic plaques\(^{64}\) and has been linked with plaque rupture through its capacity to thin the protecting fibrous cap of the plaque\(^{65,66}\). Individual red wine phenolics have been shown to inhibit gelatinase expression and/or activity\(^{67}\). A transient inhibition of MMP-9 by Champagne wine phenolics could influence type IV collagen degradation and therefore improve basement membrane structural integrity. Although unlikely to have long-term implications on the vascular system, this acute inhibition of MMP-9 appears to be consistent with our other observations, as the ability of Champagne wine phenolics to reduce plasma oxidant formation would be expected to inhibit peroxynitrite formation, an oxidant known to activate MMP-9.

Its effects on endothelial-independent vascular reactivity may indicate that Champagne wine has the potential to improve ‘reactivity’ in the cutaneous microvasculature (arterioles, capillaries and venules). If so, it could reduce stiffening of the conduit arteries and a decline in arterial compliance, something which is observed with ageing, in hypertensive patients, in diabetics and those with cardio- and cerebrovascular disease\(^{68}\). Our findings may have wider significance in that attenuated cutaneous microvascular responses in heart transplant patients are paralleled by reduced responsiveness of coronary blood vessels\(^{69}\). As such, our data suggest that moderate Champagne wine consumption may improve microvascular blood flow and therefore vascular responsiveness generally. Further investigation will be necessary to determine whether acute, or indeed chronic, intake of Champagne has the potential to reduce CVD risk through its effects on microvascular responsiveness.
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