

# Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Casselden, Biddy and Bartlett, Rebecca (2012) An Investigation into the attitudes of academic librarians towards Internet plagiarism of HE students using a Delphi study. In: 5th International Plagiarism Conference, 16-18 July 2012, Sage Gateshead, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK.

URL:

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link:  
<https://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/10453/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)



**Northumbria  
University  
NEWCASTLE**



**University Library**

***An Investigation into the attitudes of academic librarians towards Internet plagiarism of HE students using a Delphi study***

Casselden, B. Northumbria University, UK  
Bartlett, R. Scottish Parliament, UK

This presentation will outline the findings of a study undertaken to investigate the attitudes of academic librarians towards Internet plagiarism by Higher Education students in the United Kingdom. A Delphi study – with its potential to yield rich qualitative data – was chosen as the most appropriate research tool for the study. A Delphi study is defined by its distinct stages. Key components include securing a sufficient number of qualified participants followed by the iterative process of preparation and distribution of questionnaires, analysis of responses and feedback to participants. For the purposes of this research qualified participation consisted of academic librarians who possessed the knowledge and/or practical experience of teaching about plagiarism and the Internet.

The first round of the Delphi study comprised of 8 open questions concerning

- Definition of plagiarism
- The role the internet has played in student plagiarism
- Where responsibility lies in terms of combating student plagiarism
- The role of the librarian
- What skills librarians possess that help to confront Internet plagiarism
- The role of librarians in individual HE institutions – with examples
- Partnership working to tackle Internet plagiarism
- Use of technology to tackle Internet plagiarism

A unique feature of the Delphi method is the provision of controlled feedback between rounds allowing panellists to ‘review, comments, react, agree or disagree’ with their peers (Howze and Dalrymple 2004, p.175). The questions for the second round of the study were constructed from the information provided from the first; thereby allowing greater consideration and discussion of the topic.

Structured and systematic analysis of the data collected from both rounds of the Delphi study was undertaken using coding, classification and content analysis which identified the following key categories, themes and patterns:

- **Definition of plagiarism** - Plagiarism is viewed as a multifaceted term and therefore is not easily definable. However, at its most basic level it could be defined as taking the work of others and presenting it as one’s own work.
- **Role of the Internet** - Respondents were unanimous that the Internet has made it easier to plagiarise.
- **Roles and responsibilities of academic librarians** - Opinion was divided concerning the roles and responsibilities of academic librarians in addressing and combating Internet plagiarism. While it was viewed as a natural extension of a librarian’s role, there was disagreement on the extent of the role and whether this should be limited to education rather than detection.
- **Skills of the librarian** - A number of relevant skills were identified that have been utilised by librarians to combat Internet plagiarism the most frequently

cited were the librarian's knowledge of correct referencing procedures and their ability to find online information. This guidance was provided by taught sessions, workshops and online tutorials.

- **Active collaboration between librarians and educators** - The potential for active collaboration between librarians and academics was seen as vital by all respondents. There was clear agreement on the distinction between their roles: librarians were viewed as plagiarism educators rather than detectors.
- **Role of technology** - The role of technology proved to be the most divisive in the Delphi study. Agreement could not be reached as to whether digital technology, particularly Turnitin, presented the most effective method to deter plagiarism or whether the introduction of technology could foster a lack of trust between students and academic institutions. However respondents were agreed that plagiarism software did not tackle the root of the problem on plagiarism.