Thermal shielding of an emerging active region
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1. Introduction

Flux emergence is a process that transports magnetic energy and plasma from the convection zone into the solar atmosphere. The standard models of flux emergence (see reviews by Archontis 2008; Hood et al. 2011) are based (i) on the buoyancy of twisted flux tubes that are generated near the tachocline by the global dynamo action, or (ii) on the generation of a small-scale magnetic field in flux sheet regions in a thin layer near the photosphere. Because the magnetic flux is transported by convective motions, emerging regions are most likely to appear at the centre of supergranular cells where strong radial upflows and/or horizontal flows are observed (Leighton et al. 1962). The emerging flux is first observed in the photosphere as newly formed, highly concentrated magnetic elements. The magnetic elements are then growing in size and moving apart to build magnetic polarity groups. Important features of emerging twisted flux tubes are an increase of the total unsigned magnetic flux, the separation of polarities for increasing flux, and the rotation of magnetic polarities with respect to each other due to the lack of high cadence and wide temperature coverage in terms of magnetic field and EUV emission: (i) the very first steps of the emergence (the first few hours) before pores are formed and the emerged flux has just reached coronal heights, and (ii) the steady and impulsive response of the corona during that period.

AR 11076 was observed to emerge on 30 May 2010 in the southern hemisphere. To determine the initial time of the flux emergence, we used the capabilities of SDO/HMI by combining line-of-sight magnetic field and continuum images to pinpoint the first signature of the emergence. Hence we define 30 May 2010 at 17:00 UT as the start of the emergence. We combined SDO/HMI and SDO/AIA data to study the interaction of the emerging magnetic field and the pre-existing quiet-Sun corona. We restricted the study to the first eight hours of emergence just before the formation of a pore. The sunspots (umbra and penumbra) were formed later on 31 May around 12:00 UT. We also give a physical interpretation of a thermal shield formation.

2. Thermal and magnetic evolution from SDO/AIA and SDO/HMI data

We describe the evolution of the emerging active region 11076 during eight hours between 17:00 UT on 30 May 2010 to 01:00 UT on 31 May 2010. We used the SDO/HMI (Scherrer et al. 2012) and SDO/AIA instruments to study the magnetic and thermal structure of the emerging region. SDO/AIA data are level-1 images using a first approximation of the calibration, which does not change the results reported in this Letter.
The pixel size is 0.56″ and the time cadence for this study is 45 s for SDO/HMI and 36 s for SDO/AIA. SDO/AIA capabilities and thermal responses are described in Lemen et al. (2012; see also O’Dwyer et al. 2010). We accordingly define the temperature of a channel as the temperature of the peak of emission as reported by Lemen et al. (2012), keeping in mind that all broad-band channels are multithermal.

In the top row of Fig. 1, we display the evolution of the photospheric SDO/HMI line-of-sight magnetic field at three different times (17:00 UT, 21:00 UT, and 01:00 UT). The quiet-Sun magnetic-field distribution at 17:00 UT looks like a supergranule magnetic field (large-scale convection cell), and corresponds to the pre-existing coronal magnetic configuration. In the top left of Fig. 1, the supergranular boundaries with the highest magnetic-field strength are highlighted with ellipses. The supergranular-like magnetic field is not unipolar but composed of mixed positive and negative polarities. The bipolar structure of AR 11076 appears clearly in the next two frames with increasing magnetic field strength and area. In the middle top row of Fig. 1, we annotate the different polarities that are important for the evolution: N1, P1 and P3 denote the negative (N) and positive (P) polarities of the pre-existing quiet-Sun magnetic field, and N2 and P2 are the polarities associated with the emerging active region. We note that during the first eight hours of the emergence, the direction between N2 and P2 polarities remained the same along the east-west direction. To complement the evolution of the photospheric magnetic field, we plot in Fig. 2 the total unsigned magnetic flux (black curve), and the positive (in red) and negative (in blue) magnetic fluxes. The magnetic fluxes are computed from the line-of-sight component of the magnetic field transformed into a vertical component. Obviously, the total unsigned flux is increased, as it should for an emerging active region, but the negative flux (+18%) contributes mainly to this increase, whilst the positive flux increases at a slower rate (+8%). This gives an emergence rate for the whole area of $4 \times 10^{19}$ Mx h$^{-1}$ with 2.7 $\times 10^{19}$ Mx h$^{-1}$ for the negative flux and 1.2 $\times 10^{19}$ Mx h$^{-1}$ for the positive flux. The emergence process is not supposed to change the net magnetic flux, but the observed magnetic flux does not take into account the geometry or inclination of the magnetic field (in other words, the transverse components of the magnetic field). The eight hours of this time series are long enough to produce a substantial amount of magnetic flux through the photosphere, which forms magnetic flux concentrations consistent with the recent numerical simulations of emerging active regions by Cheung et al. (2010).

However, according to these authors, pores and sunspots are forming later during the emergence phase. Our observations are supporting the results obtained by Cheung et al. (2010).

In rows 2–6 of Fig. 1, the EUV emission lines show the behaviour of the emerging active region from 50 000 K to several million degrees. The intensity maps of the 304 Å and 171 Å channels show much fine structuring within the emerging flux, including dark material, which is presumably cool material. The emission for the other three channels is more diffuse (and near the noise level for the 94 Å channel). From the time series (Fig. 1), it is clear that hot channels such as 193 Å, 211 Å, and 94 Å show the large-scale magnetic field lines of the emerging flux bundles and thus interface more with the pre-existing magnetic field. We determined the expansion of the emerging flux in the north-south and east-west directions by measuring the full-width at half-maximum of the intensity variation at a given time. As expected, the expansion of the emerged magnetic field is larger in regions of weak magnetic field strength (north-south direction in this case) than along the main axis of the active region (east-west direction):

- the length in the north-south direction is about 18 Mm at 21:00 UT and 28 Mm at 01:00 UT. The rate of expansion in the north-south direction can then be estimated at 2.5 Mm h$^{-1}$. This rate of expansion will give a typical active region of size 120 Mm after two days;
- there is no measurable expansion in the east-west direction.

![Fig. 1. Time series of images showing the emergence of the active region at three different times during the eight hours: the line-of-sight magnetic field from SDO/HMI (top row) scaled between −800 G and 800 G, chromospheric and coronal response from SDO/AIA at 304 Å, 171 Å, 193 Å, 211 Å and 94 Å (from top to bottom). The field-of-view is 90″ in both directions centred on (−370″, −305″) at 17:00 UT. See text for details. Movies of the time evolution of the emergence are provided as online material.](image-url)
The EUV brightenings in the south-east part of the active region (coordinates $X = -320''$, $Y = -320''$ in Fig. 1 rows 4 and 5 at 01:00 UT) show the interaction between the pre-existing coronal field and the emerging flux, which creates a magnetic connection between the two polarities (P3 and N2) as shown by the EUV loops.

To investigate the location and process of the heating, we plot the intensity variation for five SDO/AIA channels averaged over three hours (from 22:00 to 01:00 UT). In the top of Fig. 3, the intensity variations in the east-west direction along the axis between the negative and positive polarities of the emerging region are plotted. All five curves have basically the same shape with a clear double peak structure where the edges of the emerging flux region are located. The peaks are not symmetric: the peak near the negative polarity (left-hand side) is at least 20% higher than the peak associated with the positive polarity (right-hand side). This asymmetry is important for determining the processes responsible for heating the emerging configuration. Because the magnetic field strength is similar in both polarities (about 800 G), the asymmetry indicates two different processes: (i) magnetic reconnection of magnetic field lines of different orientations that converts the magnetic energy into kinetic and thermal energy, and (ii) magnetic compression due to the increase of magnetic pressure when the geometry of the field does not allow magnetic reconnection to occur. In Fig. 4, we draw a 2D sketch that summarises the processes at play during the emergence of the active region, and which produce the asymmetry in the double peak emission. As indicated in the top row of Fig. 1, N2 and P2 form the emerging bipolar region corresponding to the field lines in red. We notice that on the right-hand side, the field lines are anchored in the positive polarities (P1 and P2) with the same orientation, which is more favourable for a heating mechanism such as magnetic compression. On the left-hand side of the emerging flux, the magnetic topology is more complex and it is more likely to produce magnetic reconnection. The highest peak being on the left-hand side is explained by the impulsive nature of the magnetic reconnection compared to the slow process of magnetic compression. In the movie that shows the evolution in the 171 Å channel, we observe that magnetic field lines originating in N2 are reconnected from P2 to P3.

In the bottom of Fig. 3, we plot the intensity variation in the south-north direction perpendicular to the axis of the active region. We find again the double peak structure, but the intensity variations are symmetric: this probably indicates a heating mechanism such as magnetic compression. A common feature to both intensity variation plots is the double-peak structure: two peaks on the side that can be explained by either magnetic reconnection or magnetic compression, and an emission dip. The dip is caused by emerging cool plasma that appears as dark material in the EUV images of Fig. 1. These observations of a newly emerged flux show similar time-scale and spatial-scale as the simulation of Cheung et al. (2010), only the magnetic field strength is noticeably different (800 G in the observation, 3000 G in the simulation).

3. Discussion and conclusions

We observed the first eight hours of an emerging active region. The peculiarities of this emerging flux are (i) that the new polarities emerge near a supergranular-like boundary; and (ii) that no rotation of the polarities that form the emerging active region
Fig. 4. Sketch of the magnetic field structure of the emerging active region as deduced from the distribution of polarities in the middle top row of Fig. 1. The field lines in red characterise the emerging magnetic field. East is on the left-hand side.

(i) On the west side, the build-up of magnetic pressure in a system of magnetic field lines with the same orientation is responsible for the increase of temperature at the shield.

(ii) On the east side, magnetic reconnection can be invoked to heat the shield owing to the opposite orientation of field lines and the complex geometry of the field.

We were able to characterise the thermal structure of an emerging active region in the quiet Sun. In addition, the magnetic flux emergence process also generates impulsive events such as external magnetic reconnection between the emerging and pre-existing magnetic fields, and internal magnetic reconnection within the emerging flux bundles. These processes and the way they contribute to the emergence will be discussed in a forthcoming paper.
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Appendix A: Time evolution of the emerging active region

Fig. A.1. Still image of the SDO/AIA movie at 21:30 UT on 30 May 2010: 304 Å (top left), 171 Å (top right), 193 Å (bottom left), 211 Å (bottom right). The field-of-view is 180″ × 132″.

A movie of the evolution of the emerging active region during eight hours (17:00 UT on 30 May 2010 to 01:00 UT on 31 May 2010, 36 s time cadence) is supplied as online material.

Fig. A.2. Still image of the SDO/HMI line-of-sight magnetic field at 21:30 UT on 30 May 2010. The field-of-view is 180″ × 180″ centred at (-320″, -280″) at this particular time (reference frame for the cross-correlation).

The movie of the evolution of the magnetic field is also provided using a larger field-of-view to clearly see the supergranule pattern. The movie is for the same period of eight hours and with a cadence of 45 s.