

# Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Goldstein, Stephane, Morrison, Chris, Secker, Jane and Walton, Geoff (2015) ECIL 2015: Information literacy in the greenhouse. *Journal of Information Literacy*, 9 (2). pp. 133-135. ISSN 1750-5968

Published by: CILIP Information Literacy Group

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.11645/9.2.2058> <<http://dx.doi.org/10.11645/9.2.2058>>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/25230/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)



**Northumbria**  
**University**  
NEWCASTLE



**UniversityLibrary**

# Journal of Information Literacy

ISSN 1750-5968

Volume 9 Issue 2

December 2015

## Conference corner

Goldstein, S., Morrison, C., Secker, J. and Walton, G. 2015. ECIL 2015: Information literacy in the greenhouse. *Journal of Information Literacy*, 9(2), pp. 133-135.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.11645/9.2.2058>



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/). Copyright for the article content resides with the authors, and copyright for the publication layout resides with the Chartered Institute of Library and Information Professionals, Information Literacy Group. These Copyright holders have agreed that this article should be available on Open Access and licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution ShareAlike licence.

"By 'open access' to this literature, we mean its free availability on the public internet, permitting any users to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of these articles, crawl them for indexing, pass them as data to software, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. The only constraint on reproduction and distribution, and the only role for copyright in this domain, should be to give authors control over the integrity of their work and the right to be properly acknowledged and cited."

Chan, L. et al. 2002. Budapest Open Access Initiative. New York: Open Society Institute. Available at: <http://www.soros.org/openaccess/read.shtml> [Accessed: 18 November 2015].

# ECIL 2015: Information literacy in the greenhouse

Stéphane Goldstein, Business Manager and Research Consultant, Research Information Network. Email: [stephane.goldstein@researchinfonet.org](mailto:stephane.goldstein@researchinfonet.org) Twitter: [@stephgold7](https://twitter.com/stephgold7)

Chris Morrison, Copyright and Licensing Compliance Officer, University of Kent. Email: [c.morrison@kent.ac.uk](mailto:c.morrison@kent.ac.uk) Twitter: [@cbowiemorrison](https://twitter.com/cbowiemorrison) [@UKCopyrightLit](https://twitter.com/UKCopyrightLit)

Jane Secker, Copyright and Digital Literacy Advisor, London School of Economics. Email: [j.secker@lse.ac.uk](mailto:j.secker@lse.ac.uk) Twitter: [@jsecker](https://twitter.com/jsecker) [@UKCopyrightLit](https://twitter.com/UKCopyrightLit)

Geoff Walton, Senior Lecturer in Information Sciences, Northumbria University. Email: [geoff.walton@northumbria.ac.uk](mailto:geoff.walton@northumbria.ac.uk) Twitter: [@GeoffWaltoniLit](https://twitter.com/GeoffWaltoniLit)

## 1. ECIL 2015: Best practice and innovative approaches

Istanbul in 2013, Dubrovnik in 2014, Tallinn in 2015. The [European Conference on Information Literacy \(ECIL\)](#) covered the latest annual stage of its trek across the continent when it met in the Estonian capital on 19-22 October.

Tallinn is a quirky and charmingly careworn little city with medieval buildings, soaring spires, cobbled streets and clanging, Soviet-era trams. There was nothing careworn (or indeed clanging) about this third edition of ECIL. More than 350 participants and a very full, four-day programme were a testament to the liveliness and breadth of the event. Numbers were boosted by the running of the event in parallel with the [COST Action Network](#), which allowed for some interesting exchanges. ECIL continues to cover a lot of ground, and it demonstrates the extent of information literacy's (IL's) relevance to a wide range of settings and environments. There were no fewer than 160 sessions, covering theory and practice (with part of the event devoted especially to best practice and innovative approaches), 20 posters, nine keynote and invited speakers. The many themes included IL learning and instruction; IL in the context of professional environments; compulsory education; higher education and academic libraries; democratic engagement and activism; health; everyday life; and the relationship between IL and other literacies: digital, copyright, media, visual.



Tallinn: A view of the city. © Stéphane Goldstein, licensed under [CC-BY-ND 2.0](#)

The theme of the conference was IL in the green society, so there was also a strand on sustainability – demonstrating the extent to which ECIL's agenda relates to the [United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals](#) – and perhaps a nod also to the forthcoming major climate change conference, [COP21](#), in Paris at the end of November. More than 50 countries were represented and, in keeping with the international – not just European –

nature of the event, sessions presented a wide range of national perspectives. It was noteworthy that there was less discussion this year about terminology and what IL means, which perhaps signifies that the discipline is maturing.

It is impossible, in a few words, to convey the richness and depth of the event. As part of the contingent of about 20 UK participants, we presented on an eclectic range of themes that reflected the variety of the programme: methodologies to enhance the digital capabilities of school teachers, IL outreach and advocacy beyond the world of higher education, information discernment and misinformation, copyright literacy of information professionals and determining the value of IL in the workplace. Aside from our own contributions, we were all struck by the discussions around the place of IL in the democratic process, and the contribution that it makes to citizenship, empowerment, political activism and overcoming exclusion. Thus, from a Scottish perspective, John Crawford, Bill Johnson and Lauren Smith spoke eloquently of how citizens' use of information can contribute to highly topical debates such as that around the 2014 Scottish referendum campaign and the place of

older people in society. The use and misuse of information will doubtless be a factor in the looming and emotive debates on UK membership of the European Union – a theme for a future edition of ECIL, perhaps.

The social and political implications of IL were also clearly present in other presentations, such as the relationship between digital literacy and the digital divide – and by implication the social divide – in schools in Ghana (James Sunney Quaicoe and Kai Pata); and the role of public libraries in helping to promote digital literacy and inclusion of local communities (Konstantina Martzoukou and Joanneke Elliott). A social and ecological perspective was apparent in a presentation comparing the information habits of farmers in a wealthy country, Sweden, with those of their poorer and less educated counterparts in Turkey (Demet Soylu, Nilay Cevher and Marco Schirone) – an illustration of the green society theme.



The clanging of Soviet-era trams.  
© Stephane Goldstein, licensed under [CC-BY-ND 2.0](#)

## 2. Plenary presentations: reflecting societal challenges

The plenary presentations from keynote and invited speakers also often reflected societal challenges. Sonia Livingstone spoke about digital literacies of children across Europe, drawing on the [EU Kids Online](#) project she has led. Susan Danby shared insights into young children's internet searching. Carol Kuhlthau asked us if we should be rethinking IL for the 21<sup>st</sup> century. Mandy Lupton spoke about the four 'windows' (generic, situated, transformative, expressive) for critically evaluating information, and gave special mention to Geoff Walton's workshop on information discernment. Olof Sundin shared his worrying thoughts on how people's increasing reliance on (and trust of) Google is undermining their critical faculties. These are all big issues and a cause for much reflection.



Jane Secker and Chris Morrison at ECIL. © Jane Secker, licensed under [CC-BY-SA 4.0](#).

Although once again the conference was excellently organised, one aspect which should be considered for future events is the way in which IL might reach out from beyond its traditional home. Unsurprisingly, delegates consisted essentially of information professionals and academics, but given the extent to which the sessions branched across so many domains, it would provide much greater insight and support the wider advocacy of IL if at least some participants came from other backgrounds. For instance, politicians could take part in the discussions on democracy and citizenship; and business leaders to give their views on topics related to professional environments. It is a real challenge to attract anyone from those 'external' perspectives, and we recognise the difficulty of persuading such players that IL really is for them too. However, without doing so the field of IL risks continuing to exist in its own silo (or greenhouse). In their session

Jane Secker and Geoff Walton suggested that IL theorists and practitioners should reach out to other disciplines by attending conferences and events dedicated to other related fields. This has the potential to build a stronger set of connections between IL and other domains. It might be that ECIL and other conferences would benefit from outreach becoming a persistent theme, a standing agenda item in the discourse of IL.

Of course, no conference would be complete without its social programme. ECIL2015 lived up to the reputation of its predecessors in this respect, and participants were treated to the delights of Estonian hand-bell ringing, folk-dancing (Dubrovnik set a precedent for leaping about in quaint traditional costumes; it could become an unavoidable part of future conference); salmon marinated in vodka; and the digestive wonders of the local tippale, Vana Tallinn, whose virtues were not fully appreciated by all the authors of this article. Next stop Prague, in October 2016. Praha, tady se dostáváme!



**Presenting on copyright literacy.**  
© Jane Secker, licensed under [CC-BY-SA 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).