# Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Marzband, Mousa, Fouladfar, Mohammad, Akorede, Mudathir, Lightbody, Gordon and Pouresmaeil, Edris (2018) Framework for smart transactive energy in homemicrogrids considering coalition formation and demand side management. Sustainable Cities and Society, 40. pp. 136-154. ISSN 2210-6707

Published by: Elsevier

URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2018.04.010

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/34035/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <a href="http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html">http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html</a>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)





# Framework for Smart Transactive Energy in Home-Microgrids Considering Coalition Formation and Demand Side Management

Mousa Marzband<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>, Mohammad Hossein Fouladfar<sup>e</sup>, Mudathir Funsho Akorede<sup>f</sup>, Gordon Lightbody<sup>a,b</sup>, Edris Pouresmaeil<sup>g</sup>

 <sup>a</sup>Control and Intelligent Systems Group, Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, UCC, College Rd., Cork, Ireland
 <sup>b</sup>SFI Research Centre for Marine and Renewable Energy, MaREI, Ireland
 <sup>c</sup>Faculty of Engineering and Environment, Department of Maths, Physics and Electrical Engineering, Northumbria University Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 8ST, UK
 <sup>d</sup>Dept. of Electrical Engineering, Lahijan branch, Islamic Azad University of Lahijan, Lahijan, Iran
 <sup>e</sup>Dept. of Electrical Engineering, science and research of Bushehr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Bushehr, Iran
 <sup>f</sup>Dept. of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, University of Ilorin, PM.B. 1515, Ilorin, Nigeria.
 <sup>g</sup>Dept. of Electrical Engineering and Automation, Aalto University, 02150 Espoo, Finland

# Abstract

The concept of Transactive energy (TE) been adapted in the regulation of electricity market within the context of economic planning and control for grid reliability enhancement. The objective is to improve productivity and participation of the players in the market that is composed of distributed energy resources (DER). The main goal of implementing a market structure based on TE is to secure permission for the market players so that they could attain a higher payoff. In this study, an optimization-based algorithm in which an objective function premised on economic strategies, distribution limitations and the overall demand in the market structure is proposed. The objective function is solved for near global optima using four heuristically guided optimization algorithms. The proposed algorithm which ensures that none of the independent players has priority and/or advantage over others, emphasizes optimum use of electrical/thermal energy distribution resources, while maximizing profit for the owners of the home Microgrids (H-MGs). Reduction in

Email address: mousa.marzband@northumbria.ac.uk Corresponding author (Mousa Marzband)

the market clearing price (MCP) for further participation and the response of the consumers' responsive loads are also considered in the study. The feasibility of the proposed algorithm is validated in a coalition formation scenario among the existing H-MGs. Results show an increase in the profit attained, enhanced system reliability and a reduction in the electricity cost of the consumers.

*Keywords:* Transactive energy, home microgrids, coalition formation, responsive load, electricity market

# 1 Nomenclature

| Acronyms |  |
|----------|--|
| AEL      | aggregated electrical load   |
| ATL      | aggregated thermal load  |
| CHP      | combined heat and power  |
| DR       | demand response  |
| DR+, DR- | amount of responsive load demand (RLD) that goes/come from/to other time pe- |
|          | riod to/from t   |
| DW       | dish washer  |
| DER      | distributed energy resources   |
| DSO      | distributed system operator  |
| EES      | electrical energy storage  |
| ESP      | electrical solar panel   |
| EV       | electrical vehicle   |
| GB       | gas boiler   |
| HHW      | heat and hot water   |
| H-MG     | home microgrid   |
| МСР      | market clearing price  |
| MO-TE    | market operator based on transactive energy                                  |
| MG       | Microgrid  |
| NG       | natural gas  |
| PV       | photovoltaic   |
| REF      | refrigerator   |

| RET  | retailer   |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| RET+/RET-  | buying/ selling power from/to H-MG $i$ / the retailer              |  |  |
| SBP  | system buy price   |  |  |
| SSP  | system sell price  |  |  |
| SOC  | state-of-charge  |  |  |
| TD   | thermal dump   |  |  |
| TES  | thermal energy storage   |  |  |
| TSP  | thermal solar panel  |  |  |
| TE   | transactive energy   |  |  |
| Indices  |  |  |  |
| $E/h/t/i, i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$   | electricity/ heat/ time steps/ H-MG number                         |  |  |
| $j \in \{\text{CHP}, \text{GB}, \text{TSP}\}$  | thermal DERs   |  |  |
| $k \in \{\text{ESP, CHP}\}$  | electrical DERs  |  |  |
| $m \in \{\text{DW, EV, REF, AEL}\}$  | electrical consumers   |  |  |
| $p \in \{HHW, ATL, TD\}$   | thermal consumers  |  |  |
| Constant values  |  |  |  |
| $\underline{SOC}^{x}, \overline{SOC}^{x}, \overline{P}_{e/h}^{x}, \underline{P}_{e/h}^{x}$ | minimum values/ maximum SOC/ power during X charging and discharg- |  |  |
|  | ing mode   |  |  |
| $x \in \{ES+, ES-, EV+, EV-, TES+, TES-\}$   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

 $E_{Tot}^{x}$ 

4

3

total value of X capacity

| $\underline{T}^{\mathtt{y}}, \overline{T}^{\mathtt{y}}$                | maximum/ minimum value of y temperature   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| $y \in \{\text{REF}, \text{HHW}\}$                                     |   |  |  |  |
| $\overline{P}^{j}_{e/h},  \underline{P}^{j}_{e/h}$                     | minimum values/ maximum electrical thermal power j                              |  |  |  |
| $T_{INI}^{y}$ , $T^{RED}$ , $T^{INC}$                                  | initial temperature/ the amount of temperature reduction each time the          |  |  |  |
|  | REF compressor is turned on/ the amount of temperature increase each            |  |  |  |
|  | time HHW is turned on   |  |  |  |
| $\zeta^{j}_{e/h}$  | electrical and thermal efficiencies <i>j</i>                                    |  |  |  |
| $\underline{T}^{\mathrm{HHW}}, \overline{T}^{\mathrm{HHW}}$            | maximum and minimum values of temperature                                       |  |  |  |
| $\overline{E}^{x}, \underline{E}^{x}$                                  | maximum and minimum values of energy in x                                       |  |  |  |
| $\overline{E}^{x}, \underline{E}^{x}$                                  | maximum and minimum values of z price bids                                      |  |  |  |
| $z \in \{j,k,m,l\}$  |   |  |  |  |
| $\pi_{ m t}^{ m NG}$   | natural gas price   |  |  |  |
| Constant values  |   |  |  |  |
| $\tilde{\lambda}_t^{MCP}$  | MCP prediction value during each time interval $t$ (£/kWh)                      |  |  |  |
| Decision variables   |   |  |  |  |
| $X_t^{\text{Ret}}, X_t^{\text{ES}}, X_t^{\text{TES}}, X_t^{\text{DR}}$ | binary variable of retailer, electrical energy storage, thermal energy storage, |  |  |  |
|  | demand response   |  |  |  |
| $P^{m}_{t,e}, P^{p}_{t,h}$   | Consumed electrical/ thermal power by l/ m at time t                            |  |  |  |
| $P^{j}_{t,e},P^{k}_{t,h}$  | Electrical/ thermal power generated by $k/j$ at time t                          |  |  |  |
| $\pi^z_{t,e}, \pi^z_{t,h}$   | Electrical/ thermal price bids by z at time t                                   |  |  |  |
| $P_{t,e}^{\mathrm{Ret}+,j},P_{t,e}^{\mathrm{Ret}-,j}$                  | The electric power sold/ bought by H-MG i to/from the retailer                  |  |  |  |
| $\lambda_{t,s}^{MCP}$  | Market clearing price by using the S optimization method $(\pounds/kWh)$        |  |  |  |
|  | S=1: particle swarm optimization (PSO)  |  |  |  |
|  | S=2: harmony search (HS)  |  |  |  |
|  | S=3: differential evolution (DE) algorithm                                      |  |  |  |
|  | S=4: bat algorithm (BAT)  |  |  |  |

# 6 1. Introduction

5

7 The ever-increasing global demand for electricity, coupled with the fast deple-

8 tion of the fossil fuels, as well as the environmental impact of burning these fuels

has led to the present restructured electricity industry [1]. The aforementioned fac-9 tors have led to emergence of new technologies for generation, distribution, energy 10 transfer and consumption as well as the need for optimum energy management 11 and energy efficiency improvements [2-5]. To this end, smart grids, evolved from 12 upgrading of the existing electricity grids with these new technologies and services 13 which make them more reliable, optimal and environmentally friendly, have been 14 proposed [6–9]. In contrast to the traditional power grids, smart grids are develop-15 ing rapidly with a structure based on home microgrids (H-MG) with certain desir-16 able features such as self troubleshooting and self repair, as well as comprehensive 17 control [10, 11]. 18

In developing smart grids, the concept of Transactive energy (TE) has become indispensable to enable further participation of different players in the power industry. This concept ensures the security of supply and reduces the need for exchange of personal information among the players [10–22]. Furthermore, TE is a combination of economic and control techniques with the aim of increasing the system efficiency and reliability.

The TE concept is also executable in non-concentrated electrical energy competitive markets [19]. One of the advantages of TE is that it allows the consumers to be supplied from any resource of their choice. The framework of the market structure includes drivers such as: 1) advancement in technology and customer knowledge, 2) need to enhance system productivity 3) depletion of the fossil fuels, 4) quest for more reliable and flexible systems, 5) need for a reduction in air pollution and, 6) further participation of the players in the market [10, 19, 23].

With the energy transfer concept, TE systems help grid reliability and improve 32 both efficiency and interaction among system stakeholders [24]. The consumers' 33 participation in the demand response (DR) load program has a significant role in the 34 market structure based on TE since DR is one of the possible strategies to maintain a 35 balance between the supply and demand in H-MGs. The DR program is designed to 36 shift load demands away from system peak demand towards non-peak intervals. In 37 [22], the effect of DR planning was investigated over the market dynamics based on 38 price. The efficiency of the electricity market and the power grid was demonstrated 39

40 in the study.

It was shown in [24, 25] that implementing DR also removes the problem of 41 predicting flexible loads and the probability of the customer's response to price 42 in the retail market. In the market structure based on TE, the retailers act as a 43 bridge between wholesalers and small customers. On the other hand, the H-MGs 11 are a part of the smart grid on the side of the consumer. H-MGs are considered as 45 another active player in the market structure [26-32]. In the presence of electric 46 vehicles (EV), the studies presented a non-concentrated control method in a bid to 47 minimise the cost of the DERs in the H-MG to reduce the distribution power loss. 48 In this method, a price coordinator was presented to assess the mutual effect of the 49 distribution system operator (DSO) and the collectors in a smart grid. 50

In [33, 34], an H-MG incorporating a photovoltaic (PV) system showed the re-51 sponsiveness of strategies to price for charging EV. While it increases the strength 52 of short-term demand, it significantly reduces the costs of energy for the customers. 53 A solution for the coordinated execution of DR in H-MG by learning the predic-54 tion of power demand based on life style and social-environmental factors was pre-55 sented in [33]. The other important issue in a market based on the TE structure is 56 the possibility of one player forming a coalition with other players. In [35] the H-57 MGs, both grid-connected and off grid configurations, participated in coalition with 58 each other in a market structure. Simulation results showed significant reduction 59 in power losses and a cost reduction in both modes. In [36], it was demonstrated 60 that cooperative algorithms are approximately one hundred percent more profitable 61 than non-cooperative algorithms. In the same vein, using coalition game theory to 62 reduce the power loss in transfer lines, could lead to a reduction in the cost. 63

The following deficiencies regarding creation of an energy management system
for multiple H-MGs based on TE concept have been identified from previous work
and highlighted in this paper:

Lack of an algorithm for exchange of energy and the impossibility of supplying
the consumer load demand through the generating resources of other H-MGs
[10, 11, 36–41];

7

- Non-availability of a demand response program to calculate the MCP [10, 11, 17–22, 35, 42–46].
- Non-existence of optimization algorithms for solving and implementing the optimum clearance of the market process and obtaining pay-off for all market players [18, 20, 34, 47, 48].
- Inability to determine the strategy and behaviour of residential customers as
   prosumer for participating in the market [21, 49–52].

Lack of an algorithm to achieve the overall profit of the players and address
the stochastic behaviour of the players in the optimization process [19, 33, 53–55].

In this paper, improved versions of the popular optimization techniques, includ-80 ing particle swarm optimization (PSO), harmony search (HS), differential evolution 81 (DE) and the bat algorithm (BAT) are used to solve the non-linear and non-convex 82 Market Operator Transactive Energy (MO-TE) structure problem. It is common 83 knowledge that a simple optimization problem may not provide the level of ro-84 bustness required for multiple H-MGs. In other words, the intricacy of tuning the 85 parameters in optimization algorithms may not give the expected results in such 86 cases. Since the proposed problem in this paper deals with a very large number 87 of combinations and a wider search space, it demands a robust heuristic algorithm. 88 The proposed optimization algorithm exploits the stochastic weight trade-off mech-89 anism amongst previous velocity momentum, cognitive and social components us-90 ing dynamic acceleration coefficients trade-off. This is done to maintain the balance 91 between global and local exploitation, and results in an improved search capability 92 of the algorithm. The incorporation of mechanisms to increase swarm members di-93 versity through lethargy and freak factors could avoid swarm members from being 94 trapped in local minima, thereby alleviating premature convergence which is associated with the conventional optimization algorithms in problems with multiple 96 local optima. 97

A more accurate modeling of the MO-TE problem is carried out by considering

the uncertainty in the inputs and network interaction. Appropriate coalition forma-90 tion functions are incorporated in the fitness function to handle different equality 100 and inequality constraints. The convergence and the solution quality of the pro-101 posed algorithms are affected by the selected acceleration coefficients; relatively 102 high value of these components leads the particles to a local optimum, while rel-103 atively high values of cognitive components leads to wander of the particles over 104 the search space. To improve the solution quality, these coefficients will be updated 105 in a way that the cognitive component is reduced as the social component is in-106 creased with each iteration. The proposed optimization method has the flexibility 107 to enhance both global and local exploration abilities. The results obtained are com-108 pared with one another and the outcome evaluations substantiate the applicability 109 of the proposed optimization techniques for solving constrained electrical/thermal 110 economic dispatch problems with non-smooth cost functions. The efficiency of the 111 proposed algorithm is evaluated using a benchmark test-bed. 112

113 The contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

 Inclusion of neighbourhood grids for the players participating in the market pool. This model is a non-linear one capable of determining the optimum price bid for the power, generation and consumption resources when the players are inclined to form a coalition. For this purpose, a comprehensive mathematical model which can easily be generalized to other structures, is presented.

A new formulation of the specific demand side management strategy for max imizing the total profit of the grid under study is carried out with the load
 demand and market clearing prices.

An increase in pay-off resulting from the participation of the consumers in the
 TE structure due to their inclination to participate in the DR program.

Proposition of a day-ahead scheduling model for a multiple smart H-MG system with the possibility of coalition formation. The problem is formulated to
 minimize the sum value of the overall generation cost while satisfying various

# 128 constraints.

 Development of several hybrid optimization search algorithms with differential evolution to solve the complicated constrained optimization problems.
 The mutation and selection operations for differential evolution algorithms are also modified.

To verify the proposed day-ahead scheduling model and the solution technique, several test H-MG systems are employed on a real test under different fault scenarios.

<sup>136</sup> The rest part of this paper is organized as follows:

Section 2 presents the structure of the proposed market while Section 3 gives
an overview of the structure which includes the uncertainty unit, TE unit and MCP
unit. The description of the power network under study, the objective function
formulation as well as the problem constraints are presented in Section 5. While
simulation results of the case study system are presented and discussed in Section 6,
Section 7 concludes the paper.

# 143 2. Market Operator Transactive Energy (MO-TE) structure

The exchange of information and communication among different players in-144 volved in the MO-TE structure is shown in Figure 1. As observed in this figure, each 145 H-MG contains dispatchable generation units (DGU) (such as diesel generator) and 146 non-dispatchable units (NDU) (such as solar photovoltaic (PV) systems and wind 147 turbine (WT)), energy storage resources (ES) such as battery, non-responsive loads 148 (NRL) and responsive load demand (RLD). The RLD is a composite load which con-149 sists of domestic and commercial types of load, and which can be fully curtailed 150 in accordance with the bilateral contracts signed by the H-MG owner/operator and 151 the customers. Due to the presence of these classes of consumers, MO-TE gives an 152 opportunity for the consumers to participate in the DR program to reduce cost. 153

As depicted in Figure 1, retailers sell electrical energy to the customers through the MO-TE structure. MO-TE encourages investors and DER owners to participate in the market by increasing the profit that results from forming a coalition in order
to share the energy generated in each H-MG. It also encourages the consumers to
follow the DR program.

# **3. Implementation of the MO-TE structure**

A framework of an algorithm designed to increase the participation of the DERs 160 in MO-TE in order to reduce electricity price, to increase the generator's profit as 161 well as to reduce consumer's cost is presented in Figure 2. This framework is pre-162 sented with a view to reducing the power in the equilibrium, managing the demand 163 side optimally considering the possibility of forming coalition among the generators, 164 and reducing the market clearing price. The MO-TE structure consists of three main 165 units: the Taguchi orthogonal test (TOAT) unit, the TE unit and the MCP unit. As 166 observed in Figure 2, the sunlight radiation data and the resulting generated PV 167 power, the load demand, MCP, SBP and SSP are all considered as uncertainty pa-168 rameters for each hour. The TOAT ensures that the testing scenarios provide good 169 statistical information with a minimum number of tests, and significantly reduces 170 the number of the testing burden. TOAT has been proven to have the ability to opti-171 mally select representative scenarios for testing all possible combinations. The MCP 172 unit is presented to calculate the MCP value during each time period in a two-way 173 tender system. 174

# 175 3.1. TOAT unit

The Taguchi orthogonal array test (TOAT) unit generates uncertainty scenarios along with the related probability of occurrence which considers the weather conditions of each NDU in the H-MG, as well as their power demands. This unit first performs the computation of the probability of the scenario created by selecting an orthogonal matrix for the existing uncertainties in the system and then creates n values for the load demand, MCP, SBP and SSP using a normal distribution and the radiation equation for the PV system.

TOAT approach has been used in a number of previous works. For example, references [56] and [57] employed it to obtain robust solutions in the production design of experimental problems. Further, the approach, with minimum number
of scenarios insures that the experimental scenarios present good statistical information and reduces significantly the number of tests [58]. It has been proven that
among all possible scenarios, TOAT has the capability to attain optimum result [59].
Compared with Monte Carlo method, TOAT provides far fewer test scenarios and



Figure 1: Exchange of information among the players in the TE structure



Figure 2: The proposed algorithm structure

leads to shorter computing time [60]. The method has be also employed in solving the load distribution and economic power dispatch problems in power systems
[61].

The uncertainties in the problem and their associated scenarios implemented 193 in the flowchart of Figure 3. This paper takes into account, the stochastic nature 194 of renewable energy (solar power, wind power) penetration and load demand. An 195 increase in the number of sources of uncertainty leads to an increase in the number 196 of sensitivity analyses that need to be carried out, and hence extra terms will appear 197 in the affine variables. If the uncertainty in the grid power is to be considered, then 198 the sensitivity of nodal power injections to variations grid/slack bus power injection 199 would be included in the noise terms of affine power-flow variables. However, the 200 principle remains the same. 201

In addition, constraints are set by the retailer for limiting the grid trade. These 202 constraints could be adjusted by the retailer during peak and off-peak hours, ac-203 cording to his discretion. It indirectly represents the extent to which the upstream 204 grid can be relied on for power balance of the H-MG. In fact, the methodology does 205 consider uncertainties, since: (a) it outputs flexible rules/schedules- not specific 206 set-points for each actor of the H-MG and (b) it comes up with a merit-order dis-207 patch list offering a fall-back, if the most profitable solution cannot be deployed. 208 The uncertainty was accounted for by the forecast for each stochastic actor of the 209 H-MG and covered by the multiple profitability levels. Further explanation regard-210 ing this unit can be found in [33] for interested readers. 211

# 212 3.2. TE unit

Methods for implementing the Transactive energy (TE) unit, such as particle swarm optimization (PSO), harmony search (HS), differential evolution (DE) and the bat algorithm (BAT) have been proposed by various researchers. For example, PSO is a population based evolutionary computational technique inspired by the social behaviour of flocking birds, where the velocity and position of the particles are updated to have additional components directed towards its own best position, and the overall best position [38]. PSO makes use of stochastic weight trade-off mechanism to maintain a balance between the global and local exploitation which improves the search capability. The diversity of swarm members is increased by using lethargy and freak factors to avoid avoid being trapped in local minima and thus premature convergence. In addition, the stochastic trade-off momentum control factor serves to adjust the quality of a candidate solution during the late search process [38].

The authors wish to stress that the stop criterion used in this work is not the max-226 imum number of iterations, but rather an assessment of the information obtained 227 from splitting any of the terminal nodes of the proposed optimization algorithms 228 any further at that point. The proposed optimization algorithms do indeed replace 229 the "bad quality" solutions with the "best" ones they find, and new solutions are 230 generated using operators such as mutations and crossover. The infeasibility of in-231 feasible solutions is determined by the unit commitment algorithm. If the unit com-232 mitment problem with the candidate optimal operation solutions cannot be solved, 233 then new candidate values are generated. It is worth mentioning that there is no 234 loss in performance when employing the de-centralized approach, as the method-235 ology is platform independent. The iteration process is terminated if the best objec-236 tive value is not improved for a certain number of iterations to avoid unnecessarily 237 long iterations. To avoid premature stopping (while the objective function is still 238 evolving when the maximum number of iterations occurs), the iteration count is 239 increased until the objective value is no longer improved. 240

Figure 4 shows the flowchart for the TE unit. Each algorithm, which comprises 241 electrical and thermal parts for the initial values of the variables as presented in Fig-242 ures 4(a)- 4(c). As observed from Figure 4(a), should there be a power shortage in 243 the electrical section, the CHP quickly swings into action to satisfy part of electrical 244 power demand. In the event that the system suffers from further power shortage, 245 then, there is the possibility of discharging the ES. It is worth mentioning that as the 246 modelling of the ES and TES is very complex due to its specific nature, the authors 247 have decided to solve it using four heuristic methods. The reason for this is to carry 248 out a comparative analysis of the results from each one. The information system for 249 the on-line dispatch can be prepared before obtaining the measured data. That is, 250

the optimal power dispatch set points for all possible reserve requirements (corre-251 sponding to all possible uncertainties) can be made available in the database. This 252 data which corresponds to the actual measured data (uncertainty/discrepancy) is 253 selected and communicated to the local controllers in the second stage. In case 254 the possibility of supplying part of the electrical charge demand does not exist, the 255 unsupplied load demand is checked and shifted to another time period in which 256 the value of MCP is much lower. Finally, if there is a power shortage, it is mostly 257 compensated for by buying power through the retailers. 258

At some period, the excess generated electrical power is available in the H-MG 259 under the conditions that the DR constraints are determined at the beginning of 260 DR load demand; the ES is therefore exploited in charging mode. In case there is 261 a shortage of thermal power, first the H-MG is brought into service and, if TES has 262 the capability to discharge, it is discharged; otherwise it is bought from other H-263 MGs. However, if during each time interval, excess thermal power is available for 264 each H-MG, TES is exploited in the charging mode while excess power generation 265 continues The excess power is expended to supply a part of thermal power required 266 by the other H-MGs. 267

The proposed algorithm does not necessarily use the lower, mean and upper 268 values of each input variables. The lower and upper bounds are used to limit the 269 decision variables to reasonable values. The algorithms each generate a set of candi-270 date solutions, each containing a sizing value for each component. Each candidate 271 solution is then evaluated using a fitness function, where the fitness is determined 272 by a unit commitment based on mixed-integer linear programming that returns the 273 operation cost. New solutions are generated by the proposed algorithms (based on 274 the previous solutions, as for classical algorithms) until one of the stopping criteria 275 is met. At the end of the process, the best solution is returned by the algorithm. 276 This solution is the set of component sizes that returns the lowest total operation 277 costs. 278

# 279 3.3. The MCP unit

In the electricity market, the generated/ consumed power of each generation 280 and consumption resource and their proposed price are declared to the market op-281 erator. The energy generated in form of a stepwise function is sorted in ascending 282 order while the amount of energy consumed is sorted in the shape of descending 283 order. In this unit, as with the generators and consumers, the retailers also declare 284 their offer price to buy and sell power. The final value of MCP is determined for 285 the objective functions of each one of the market players in this unit. MCP will be 286 the interaction between consumption and generation curves. Further explanations 287 regarding this unit is presented by the authors in [33]. 288

# 289 4. The advantages and disadvantages of each implemented optimization method

In this section, the advantages and disadvantages of each of the optimizationmethods implemented in this study are examined briefly.

# • **PSO Method** [62–64]

| 293 | – Advantages  |
|-----|---|
| 294 | * It has no overlapping and mutation calculation.                       |
| 295 | * It is a zero order method which does not require complex mathe-       |
| 296 | matical operations such as taking partial derivatives.                  |
| 297 | * Its rate of convergence is fast.                                      |
| 298 | * In contrast to other optimization methods, none of the particles (re- |
| 299 | sponses) are eliminated and only the value of each particle changes.    |
| 300 | * The elements have memory and each element maintains the effect        |
| 301 | of the best previous position.  |
| 302 | * It has a few parameters to handle.                                    |
| 303 | – Disadvantages   |
| 304 | * The efficiency of the algorithm reduces with increase in dimension    |
| 305 | * The method easily suffers from the partial optimism.                  |

| 306 | * It requires more memory and this may cause it to slow down.             |
|-----|---|
| 307 | * It cannot work out the problems of non-coordinate system.               |
| 308 | • <b>DE Method</b> [65–67]  |
| 309 | – Advantages  |
| 310 | * It is capable of finding the true global minimum of a multimodal        |
| 311 | search space regardless of the initial parameter values.                  |
| 312 | * It has fewer control parameters which makes it very powerful.           |
| 313 | * It is very easy to use.   |
| 314 | * Fast convergence.   |
| 315 | – Disadvantages   |
| 316 | * It is easy to drop into regional optimum.                               |
| 317 | * It requires great ability to determine the optimal scale coefficient in |
| 318 | order to reduce the search time.  |
| 319 | * Unstable convergence in the last period.                                |
| 320 | • HS Method [68, 69]  |
| 321 | – Advantages  |
| 322 | * In the genetic algorithm two chromosomes are used to generate a         |
| 323 | new chromosome or solution vector. In HS method all the exiting           |
| 324 | solution vectors are used in the memory to improvise new solution.        |
| 325 | * Its rate of convergence is fast.  |
| 326 | * It shows exceptional problem-solving ability.                           |
| 327 | – Disadvantages   |
| 328 | * It can fall into local optima.  |
| 329 | * It is not efficient enough for solving large-scale problems, which has  |
| 330 | a slow convergence speed and low-precision solution [70].                 |
| 331 | • BAT method [71, 72]   |

| 332 | – Advantages  |
|-----|---|
| 333 | * it is much superior to other algorithms in terms of accuracy and  |
| 334 | efficiency [71].  |
| 335 | * It is relatively straightforward to implement in any programming  |
| 336 | language.   |
| 337 | * It can provide very quick convergence at a very initial stage by  |
| 338 | switching from exploration to exploitation.                         |
| 339 | * It has flexible control parameters.                               |
| 340 | – Disadvantage  |
| 341 | * Implementation is more complicated than many other meta-heuristic |
| 342 | algorithms [22]   |
| 343 | * It can fall in local optima.                                      |
| 344 | * it may lead to stagnation after some initial stage.               |

# 345 5. Problem formulation

The schematic of the grid under study is shown in Figure 5. The grid has n 346 H-MGs of which the electrical and thermal DERs installed in them as well as their 347 consumers are similar. In each one of the H-MGs, there exists the electrical and 348 thermal stores and a set of generation resources such as GB, TSP, ESP, CHP as well 349 as consumers comprising NRL and RLD. In this section, the problem formulation 350 using the key components in the market structure based on Transactive Energy is 351 presented. This framework is easily expandable for other electricity distribution 352 systems with high levels of consumer participation. 353

# 5.1. Objective functions of the participants in MO-TE

The objective function based on maximization of the generator and retailers' profits as well as the minimization of the consumers costs are formulated in Eq. 1, Eq. 2 and Eq. 3, respectively. The objective functions are non-linear in nature which can be solved for near global optima using four different heuristically guided algorithms. The effect of the large number of combinations of uncertainties on the
computational speed does not matter since the first stage is for planning.

$$\max \sum_{\forall t} \sum_{\forall i} \sum_{\forall j} \sum_{\forall j} \left( \mathbb{R}_{t,e}^{k,i} + \mathbb{R}_{t,e}^{\mathsf{ES},i} + \mathbb{R}_{t,h}^{j,i} + \mathbb{R}_{t,h}^{\mathsf{TES},i} - \mathbb{C}_{t,h}^{\mathsf{TES}+,i} - \mathbb{C}_{t,e}^{\mathsf{ES}+,i} - \mathbb{C}_{t,e}^{k,i} \right) \times \Delta t$$

$$(1)$$

362

$$\max \sum_{\forall t} \sum_{\forall i} \left( \mathbb{R}_{t,e}^{\text{Ret},i} - \mathbb{C}_{t,h}^{\text{Ret},i} \right) \times \Delta t$$
(2)

363

$$\min \sum_{\forall t} \sum_{\forall i} \sum_{\forall i} \sum_{\forall l} \sum_{\forall m} (\mathbb{C}_{t,h}^{p,i} + \mathbb{C}_{t,e}^{m,i}) \times \Delta t$$
(3)

where  $\mathbb{R}_{t,e}^{k,i}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_{t,h}^{j,i}$  are respectively the electrical and thermal revenue resulting from DERs k and j in H-MG i.  $\mathbb{R}_{t,e}^{\text{ES},i}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_{t,h}^{\text{TES},i}$  are respectively the revenue resulting from the ES and TES electrical and thermal discharge related to H-MG i at time t. Also,  $\mathbb{R}_{t,e}^{\text{Ret},i}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_{t,e}^{\text{Ret},i}$  are respectively the revenue/ cost resulting from selling/ buying electrical power from/ to retailer H-MG i.  $\mathbb{C}_{t,h}^{p,i}$  and  $\mathbb{C}_{t,e}^{m,i}$  are respectively electricity costs related to p and m consumers at H-MG i.

# 370 5.2. Technical and economic constraints

# 371 5.2.1. Total electrical and thermal equilibrium

Deterministic constraints are imposed on the available and forecasted data of 372 each DER unit, which are considered as inputs to the proposed technique. Further-373 more, the inductive character of the rules of the proposed algorithm allows for flex-374 ibility when some probabilistic constraints (due to RES stochasticity) are reached. 375 There is no need to train the system from actual data, which is one of the merits of 376 the proposed optimization tool, provided that the forecasts and estimations for the 377 data are realistic enough. The authors' previous work, which focused specifically 378 on the tool ([34, 39, 73]) has clearly addressed this concern. 378

$$\sum_{\forall i} \sum_{\forall k} (\mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{k,i} + \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{ES},i} + (1 - X_{t}^{\text{Ret}}) \cdot \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{Ret},i})$$

$$= \sum_{\forall i} \sum_{\forall m} (\mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{m,i} + \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{ES},i} + X_{t}^{\text{Ret}} \cdot \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{Ret},i})$$
(4)

381

$$\sum_{\forall i} \sum_{\forall j} (P_{t,h}^{j,i} + P_{t,h}^{\text{TES-},i} = \sum_{\forall i} \sum_{\forall l} (P_{t,h}^{p,i} + P_{t,h}^{\text{TES+},i})$$
(5)

Eqs. (4) and (5) state that the total power generated by electrical/ thermal generators during each time interval, must be equal to the total demand of the electrical/ thermal consumers.

385 5.2.2. Retailer constraints

Eq. (6) shows the cost resulting from buying electrical power from the retailer into the H-MG i while Eq. (7) presents the retailer's offer price range for buying power into the H-MG i.

$$\mathbb{C}_{t,e}^{\text{Ret-},i} = \pi_{t,e}^{\text{Ret-},i} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{Ret-},i}$$
(6)

$$0 \leqslant \pi_{t,e}^{\text{Ret-},i} \leqslant \lambda_t^{\text{SBP}}$$
(7)

Also presented in Eq. (8) is the revenue resulting from selling electrical power from the H-MG i to the retailer, whereas Eq. (9) shows the price bid range for sales of power by the retailer to H-MG i.

$$\mathbb{R}_{t,e}^{\text{Ret}+,i} = \pi_t^{\text{Ret}+,i} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{Ret}+,i}$$
(8)

$$0 \leqslant \pi_t^{\text{Ret}+,i} \leqslant \lambda_{t,e}^{\text{SSP}} \tag{9}$$

Eqs. (10) and (11) show the exchanged power constraints between H-MG i and retailer.

$$P_{t,e}^{\text{Ret}+,i} \leqslant X_t^{\text{Ret}} \times \overline{P}^{\text{Ret}}$$
(10)

399

400

403

404

405

395

390

$$\mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\operatorname{Ret},\mathfrak{i}} \leqslant (1 - X_t^{\operatorname{Ret}}) \times \overline{\mathsf{P}}^{\operatorname{Ret}} \tag{11}$$

$$\overline{\mathsf{P}}^{\mathsf{Ret}} \leqslant (\mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\mathsf{ESP},i} + \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\mathsf{CHP},i} + \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\mathsf{ES},i})$$
(12)

401 5.2.3. H-MG i constraints

# ES and TES constraints in H-MG i

$$\mathbb{C}_{t,e}^{\mathrm{ES}+,i} = \pi_{t,e}^{\mathrm{ES}+,i} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\mathrm{ES}+,i} \tag{13}$$

$$0 \leqslant \pi_{t,e}^{\text{ES}+,i} \leqslant \lambda_{t,e}^{\text{MCP}} \tag{14}$$

$$\mathbb{R}_{t,e}^{\text{ES-},i} = \pi_t^{\text{ES-},i} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{ES-},i} \tag{15}$$

$$0 \leqslant \pi_{t,e}^{\text{ES-}} \leqslant \lambda_{t,e}^{\text{MCP}} \tag{16}$$

where  $\mathbb{C}_{t,e}^{\text{ES}+,i}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_{t,e}^{\text{ES}+,i}$ ,  $\pi_{t,e}^{\text{ES}+,i}$  and  $\pi_{t,e}^{\text{ES}+,i}$  respectively show the cost, revenue, and price bid resulting from buying/ selling electrical power by ES in H-MG i. Eqs. (17) to (19) present ES maximum and minimum charge/ discharge in H-MG i.

$$\underline{E}^{\mathrm{ES},i} \leqslant \mathsf{E}_{t,e}^{\mathrm{ES},i} \leqslant \overline{\mathsf{E}}^{\mathrm{ES},i} \tag{17}$$

411

$$\mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\mathrm{ES},i} \leqslant \overline{\mathsf{P}}^{\mathrm{ES},i} \times X_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{ES},i}, \ \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\mathrm{ES},i} \geqslant 0 \tag{18}$$

412

416

417

$$P_{t,e}^{\text{ES}+,i} \leqslant \overline{P}^{\text{ES}+,i} \times X_t^{\text{ES},i}, \quad P_{t,e}^{\text{ES}+,i} \geqslant 0$$
(19)

Eqs. (20) and (21) are the charge/ discharge maximum limits for the energy in Eq. (22).

$$P_{t,e}^{\text{ES},i} \times \Delta t \leqslant (\mathsf{E}_{t-1}^{\text{ES},i} - \underline{\mathsf{E}}^{\text{ES},i})$$
(20)

$$\mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\mathsf{ES},\mathfrak{i}} \times \Delta \mathfrak{t} \leqslant (\overline{\mathsf{E}}^{\mathsf{ES},\mathfrak{i}} - \mathsf{E}_{\mathfrak{t}-1}^{\mathsf{ES},\mathfrak{i}})$$
(21)

 $\mathsf{E}_{t,e}^{\mathrm{ES},i} = \mathsf{E}_{t-1,e}^{\mathrm{ES},i} + (\mathsf{P}_{t-1}^{\mathrm{ES}+,i} - \mathsf{P}_{t-1}^{\mathrm{ES}+,i}) \times \Delta t \tag{22}$ 

Eq. (23) depicts the cost resulting from buying thermal power by TES in the charging mode while Eq. (24) is the price bid interval for buying thermal power by TES.

$$C_{t,h}^{\text{TES}+,i} = \pi_{t,h}^{\text{TES}+,i} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,h}^{\text{TES}+,i}$$
(23)

422

$$0 \leqslant \pi_{t,e}^{\text{TES}+,i} \leqslant \max(\pi_{t,h}^{\text{HHW},i}, \pi_{t,h}^{\text{TD},i})$$
(24)

<sup>423</sup>  $\mathbb{R}_{t,h}^{\text{TES-},i}$  in Eq. (25) is the revenue resulting from sales of thermal power generated <sup>424</sup> by TES in the discharging mode and  $\pi_{t,h}^{\text{TES-},i}$  in Eq. (26) is the price bid variations <sup>425</sup> range for selling thermal power by TES.

$$\mathbb{R}_{t,h}^{\text{TES-},i} = \pi_{t,h}^{\text{TES-},i} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,h}^{\text{TES-},i}$$
(25)

427

$$0 \leqslant \pi_{t,h}^{\text{TES-},i} \leqslant \min(\max(\pi_{t,h}^{\text{CHP},i},\pi_{t,h}^{\text{GB},i}),\pi_{t,h}^{\text{TSP},i})$$
(26)

In Eqs. (27) to (29), TES maximum and minimum charge/ discharge limitationsare shown.

$$\underline{\mathsf{E}}^{\mathrm{TES},\mathfrak{i}} \leqslant \mathsf{E}_{t,h}^{\mathrm{TES},\mathfrak{i}} \leqslant \overline{\mathsf{E}}^{\mathrm{TES},\mathfrak{i}}$$
(27)

$$\mathsf{P}_{t,h}^{\mathrm{TES},i} \leqslant \overline{\mathsf{P}}^{\mathrm{TES},i} \times X_{t}^{\mathrm{TES},i}, \ \mathsf{P}_{t,h}^{\mathrm{TES},i} \geqslant 0$$
(28)

432

431

$$P_{t,h}^{\text{TES}+,i} \leqslant \overline{P}^{\text{TES}+,i}, P_{t,h}^{\text{TES}+,i} \geqslant 0$$
 (29)

Eqs. (30) and (31) show the discharge/ charge maximum limits for the energy 433 in TES while Eq. (32) presents the energy equilibrium in TES. <del>436</del>

$$\mathsf{P}_{t,h}^{\text{TES},i} \times \Delta t \leqslant (\mathsf{E}_{t-1}^{\text{TES},i} - \underline{\mathsf{E}}^{\text{TES},i})$$
(30)

$$\mathsf{P}_{t,h}^{\mathrm{TES},\mathfrak{i}} \times \Delta t \leqslant (\overline{\mathsf{E}}^{\mathrm{TES},\mathfrak{i}} - \mathsf{E}_{t-1}^{\mathrm{TES},\mathfrak{i}})$$
(31)

437

436

$$\mathsf{E}_{t,h}^{\text{TES},i} = \mathsf{E}_{t-1,h}^{\text{TES},i} + (\mathsf{P}_{t-1,h}^{\text{TES},i} - \mathsf{P}_{t-1,h}^{\text{TES},i}) \times \Delta t$$
(32)

#### EV constraints in H-MG i 430

if 
$$X_{t}^{\text{EV},i} = 1 \Longrightarrow \underline{P}^{\text{EV}+,i} \leqslant P_{t,e}^{\text{EV}+,i} \leqslant \overline{P}^{\text{EV}+,i}$$
 (33)

Eq. (34) states that the  $SOC_t^{EV,i}$  of the automobile battery during each time 440 interval related to H-MG i, must be less than its maximum value. It should be noted 441 that Eq. (35) is the automobile battery power balance constraint. If EV is plugged 442 out or once  $SOC_t^{EV,i}$  is reached to  $\overline{SOC}^{EV,i}$ , then the charging process will be finished 443 .)

$$SOC_t^{EV,i} \leqslant \overline{SOC}^{EV,i}$$
 (34)

$$SOC_{t}^{EV,i} = SOC_{t-1}^{EV,i} - \frac{P_{t,e}^{EV+,i} \times X_{t}^{EV,i} \times \Delta t}{E_{Tot}^{EV,i}}$$
(35)

446

450

457

445

if 
$$X_t^{\text{EV},i} = 0$$
 &  $\text{SOC}_t^{\text{EV},i} = \overline{\text{SOC}}^{\text{EV},i} \Longrightarrow \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{EV}+,i} = 0$  (36)

Eq. (37) is the cost of buying electrical power while Eq. (38) presents the offer 447 price range for buying power by EV. <del>44</del>8

$$\mathbb{C}_{t,e}^{\mathrm{EV+},i} = \pi_{t,e}^{\mathrm{EV+},i} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\mathrm{EV+},i}$$
(37)

$$0 \leqslant \pi_{t,e}^{\text{EV+},i} \leqslant \lambda_{t,e}^{\text{MCP}}$$
(38)

#### ESP constraints in H-MG i 451

The ESP generated power limitation is as shown in Eq. (39). <del>453</del>

$$\underline{P}^{\mathrm{ESP},i} \leqslant P_{t,e}^{\mathrm{ESP},i} \leqslant \overline{\mathrm{ESP},i}$$
(39)

Eq. (40) shows the revenue resulting from generating electrical power by ESP 454 whereas Eq. (41) shows the price bid range for selling power by ESP. 455

$$\mathbb{R}_{t,e}^{\mathrm{ESP},i} = \pi_{t,e}^{\mathrm{ESP},i} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\mathrm{ESP},i}$$
(40)

$$0 \leqslant \pi_{t,e}^{\text{ESP},i} \times \lambda_{t,e}^{\text{MCP},i}$$
(41)

TSP constraints in H-MG i 458

Eq. (42) shows the generated thermal power income of TSP, and Eq. (43) shows the range of price bid for selling power by TSP.

$$\mathbb{R}_{t,h}^{\text{TSP},i} = \pi_{t,h}^{\text{TSP},i} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,h}^{\text{TSP},i}$$
(42)

462

$$0 \leqslant \pi_{t,h}^{\text{TSP},i} \leqslant (\pi_{t,e}^{\text{TES-},i}, \pi_{t,h}^{\text{CHP},i}, \pi_{t,h}^{\text{GB},i}, )$$
(43)

# 463 CHP constraints in H-MG i

Eqs. (44)-(46) presents the power generation limitation for the CHP; where FU<sub>t</sub><sup>CHP,i</sup>,  $\zeta_{e1}^{CHP,i}$  and  $\zeta h^{CHP,i}$  are respectively the fuel, electrical efficiency and thermal efficiency of the CHP.

$$\underline{P}^{\text{CHP},i} \leqslant P_{t,e}^{\text{CHP},i} \leqslant \overline{P}^{\text{CHP},i}$$
(44)

468

$$P_{t,e}^{CHP,i} = FU_t^{CHP,i} \times \zeta_{e1}^{CHP,i} + \zeta_{e2}^{CHP,i}$$
(45)

469

475

476

$$\mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\mathsf{CHP},i} = \zeta_{e1}^{\mathsf{CHP},i} \times \frac{\mathsf{P}_{t,h}^{\mathsf{CHP},i}}{\zeta \mathsf{h}^{\mathsf{CHP},i}} + \zeta_{e2}^{\mathsf{CHP},i}$$
(46)

Eq. (47) is the cost resulting from power generation using CHP. Eq. (48) shows the price bid range for generating power by CHP. Also, Eqs. (49) and (50) state the revenue resulting from selling electrical and thermal powers generated using the CHP.

$$\mathbb{C}_{t}^{CHP,i} = \pi_{t}^{NG} \times FU_{t}^{CHP,i}$$
(47)

$$\mathbb{C}_{t}^{\text{CHP},i} \leqslant \pi_{t}^{\text{CHP},i} \leqslant 2 \times \mathbb{C}_{t}^{\text{CHP},i} \tag{48}$$

$$\mathbb{R}_{t,e}^{\text{CHP},i} = \pi_{t,e}^{\text{CHP},i} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{CHP},i}$$
(49)

$$\mathbb{R}_{t,h}^{\text{CHP},i} = \pi_{t,h}^{\text{CHP},i} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,h}^{\text{CHP},i}$$
(50)

# 478 GB constraints in H-MG i

The limit of the power generated by GB is shown in Eq. (51).

$$0 \leqslant \mathsf{P}_{t,h}^{\mathrm{GB},i} \leqslant \overline{\mathsf{P}}_{t,h}^{\mathrm{GB},i} \tag{51}$$

Eq. (52) shows the cost resulting from generating thermal power by GB while Eq. (53) presents the amount of fuel consumed using GB and Eq. (54) shows the price bid range for selling power through GB.

$$\mathbb{C}_{t,h}^{\text{GB},i} = \pi_{t,h}^{\text{NG}} \times \text{FU}_{t}^{\text{GB},i}$$
(52)

485

$$FU_{t}^{GB,i} = \frac{P_{t}^{GB,i}}{\zeta_{h}^{GB}}$$
(53)

$$\mathbb{C}^{\text{GB},i}_{t,h} \leqslant \pi^{\text{GB},i}_{t,h} \leqslant 2 \times \mathbb{C}^{\text{GB},i}_{t,h}$$
(54)

486

483

$$\mathbb{R}_{t,h}^{\mathrm{GB},i} = \pi_{t,h}^{\mathrm{GB},i} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,h}^{\mathrm{GB},i}$$
(55)

489 5.2.4. Consumer constraints

# 490 DR constraints

Eq. (56) shows that the value of shiftable power must be less than or equal to the difference of the total consumed power and the total generated power. Eq. (58) and Eq. (59) show that the DR limit between two consecutive intervals must not exceed a certain limit.

$$P_{t}^{DR,i} \leqslant (P_{t}^{TCP,i} - P_{t}^{TGP,i}) \cdot X_{t}^{DR,i}$$
(56)

$$\mathbf{P}_{t}^{\text{DR+,i}} \leqslant (\mathbf{P}_{t}^{\text{TGP,i}} - \mathbf{P}_{t}^{\text{TCP,i}}) \cdot (1 - X_{t}^{\text{DR-,i}})$$
(57)

497

$$\mathsf{P}_{t}^{\text{DR+},i} \leqslant k_{\varepsilon} \times \mathsf{P}_{t}^{\text{NRL},i} \times (1 - X_{t}^{\text{DR-},i}) \tag{58}$$

498

$$-k_t \leqslant (P_t^{DR+,i} - P_{t-1}^{DR+,i}) \leqslant k_t$$
(59)

# **ATL and AEL constraints**

Ρ

Eqs. (60) and (61) are the costs resulting from buying electric and thermal power by AEL and ATL. Also, Eqs. (62) and (63) present the price bid interval for buying power by AEL and ATL.

 $\mathbb{C}$ 

$$\mathbb{C}_{t,e}^{\text{AEL},i} = \pi_{t,e}^{\text{AEL},i} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{AEL},i}$$
(60)

504

$$\stackrel{\text{ATL, i}}{_{t,e}} = \pi_{t,e}^{\text{ATL, i}} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{ATL, i}} \tag{61}$$

505

$$\lambda_{t,e}^{\text{MCP}} \leqslant \pi_{t,e}^{\text{AEL},i} \leqslant 2 \times \lambda_{t,e}^{\text{MCP}}$$
(62)

506

$$\max(\pi_{t,h}^{\text{TES-},i}, \pi_{t,h}^{\text{CHP},i}, \pi_{t,h}^{\text{GB},i}, \pi_{t,h}^{\text{TSP},i}) \leqslant \pi_{t,h}^{\text{ATL},i} \leqslant 2 \times \max(\pi_{t,h}^{\text{TES-},i}, \pi_{t,h}^{\text{CHP},i}, \pi_{t,h}^{\text{GB},i}, \pi_{t,h}^{\text{TSP},i})$$
(63)

# 507 TD constraints

Eq. (64) is the cost of buying thermal power by TD while Eq. (65) states the offer price range for buying power by TD.

$$\mathbb{C}_{t,h}^{\mathrm{TD},i} = \pi_{t,h}^{\mathrm{TD},i} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,h}^{\mathrm{TD},i}$$
(64)

511

$$0 \leqslant \pi_{t,h}^{\text{TD},i} \leqslant \min(\pi_{t,h}^{\text{TES},i}, \pi_{t,h}^{\text{CHP},i}, \pi_{t,h}^{\text{GB},i}, \pi_{t,h}^{\text{TSP},i},)$$
(65)

532

$$X_{t}^{\text{HHW},i} = 0 \Longrightarrow \begin{cases} \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{HHW},i} = 0\\ \mathsf{T}_{t}^{\text{HHW},i} = \mathsf{T}_{t-1}^{\text{HHW},i} - \mathsf{T}^{\text{INC},i} \end{cases}$$
(77)

 $X_{t}^{HHW,i} = 1 \Longrightarrow \begin{cases} P_{t,e}^{HHW,i} = \overline{P}^{HHW,i} \\ \\ T_{\cdot}^{HHW,i} = T_{\cdot}^{HHW,i} + T^{INC,i} \end{cases}$ (76)

$$\begin{cases} \text{if } \underline{1}^{\text{intro, i}} \leqslant 1_{t}^{\text{intro, i}} \leqslant 1 \\ \text{Otherwise} \\ \end{cases} \begin{array}{l} X_{t}^{\text{intro, i}} = 0 \\ X_{t}^{\text{HHW, i}} = 1 \\ \end{cases}$$

**HHW constraints** 528

The modeling of HHW are presented in Eqs. (75)-(79) <del>53</del>8

for buying

$$0 \leqslant \pi_{t,e}^{\text{DW},i} \leqslant \lambda_{t,e}^{\text{MCP}} \tag{7}$$

**REF constraints** 

$$0 \leqslant \pi_{t,e}^{\text{DW},i} \leqslant \lambda_{t,e}^{\text{MCP}}$$
(74)

(68)

(69)

(72)

$$\mathbb{C}_{t,e} \stackrel{*}{=} \pi_{t,e} \stackrel{*}{\times} \mathbb{P}_{t,e} \stackrel{*}{\times}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_{t,e}^{\mathrm{DW},\mathfrak{i}} = \pi_{t,e}^{\mathrm{DW},\mathfrak{i}} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\mathrm{DW},\mathfrak{i}}$$
(73)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{if } X_{t}^{\text{DW},i} = 1 \Longrightarrow \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{DW},i} = \overline{\mathsf{P}}^{\text{DW},i}, \ \mathsf{DT}_{t}^{\text{DW},i} = \mathsf{DT}_{t-}^{\text{DW},i} \\ \\ \text{if } \mathsf{DT}_{t}^{\text{DW},i} = \overline{\mathsf{DT}}^{\text{DW},i} \Longrightarrow \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{DW},i} = 0, \ X_{t}^{\text{DW},i} \end{array}$$

power.  
if 
$$X_t^{DW,i} = 1 \Longrightarrow P_{t,e}^{DW,i} = \overline{P}^{DW,i}$$
,  $DT_t^{DW,i} = DT_{t-1}^{DW,i} + 1$  (71)

 $0 \leqslant \pi_{\textit{t,e}}^{\text{REF},i} \leqslant \lambda_{\textit{t,e}}^{\text{MCP}}$ (70)DW constraints 520 The n ec-521

 $\mathbb{C}_{\textit{t,e}}^{\text{REF},i} = \pi_{\textit{t,e}}^{\text{REF},i} \times P_{\textit{t,e}}^{\text{REF},i}$ 

$$\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Otherwise} & X_{t}^{\text{REF},i} = 0 \\
X_{t}^{\text{REF},i} = 1 \Longrightarrow \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{REF},i} = \overline{\mathsf{P}}^{\text{REF},i} & \mathsf{T}_{t}^{\text{REF},i} = \mathsf{T}_{t-1}^{\text{REF},i} - \mathsf{T}^{\text{RED},i} \\
X_{t}^{\text{REF},i} = 0 \Longrightarrow \mathsf{P}_{t,e}^{\text{REF},i} = 0 & \mathsf{\&} & \mathsf{T}_{t}^{\text{REF},i} = \mathsf{T}_{t-1}^{\text{REF},i} + \mathsf{T}^{\text{RED},i} \\
\end{array} \tag{68}$$

512

513

<u>51</u>4

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\text{Otherwise} & X_t^{\text{REF},i} = 0 \\
X_t^{\text{REF},i} = 1 \Longrightarrow P_{t,e}^{\text{REF},i} = \overline{P}^{\text{REF},i} & T_t^{\text{REF},i} = T_{t-1}^{\text{REF},i} - T^{\text{RED},i}
\end{cases}$$
(67)

$$\begin{cases}
\text{Otherwise} & X_t^{\text{REF},i} = 0 \\
X_t^{\text{REF},i} = 1 \Longrightarrow P_{te}^{\text{REF},i} = \overline{P}^{\text{REF},i} & T_t^{\text{REF},i} = T_{t-1}^{\text{REF},i} - T^{\text{RED},i}
\end{cases}$$
(67)

516

517

518

519

522

<del>5</del>23

525

526

527

$$X_{t}^{\text{REF},i} = 1 \Longrightarrow P_{t,e}^{\text{REF},i} = \overline{P}^{\text{REF},i} \quad \& \quad T_{t}^{\text{REF},i} = T_{t-1}^{\text{REF},i} - T^{\text{RED},i}$$
(67)

6) Othorwico vREF.i

power by REF and 
$$\pi_{t,e}^{\text{REF},i}$$
 represent the offer price interval for buying power.  

$$\begin{cases} \text{if } \underline{T}^{\text{REF},i} \leqslant T_t^{\text{REF},i} \leqslant \overline{T}^{\text{REF},i} & X_t^{\text{REF},i} = 1 \end{cases}$$
(66)

Eqs. (66)-(70) state the modeling of REF. 
$$\mathbb{C}_{t,e}^{\text{REF},i}$$
 is the cost resulting from buying ver by REF and  $\pi_{t,e}^{\text{REF},i}$  represent the offer price interval for buying power.  
(if  $T^{\text{REF},i} < T^{\text{RET}} < \overline{T}^{\text{REF},i} = 1$ 

the the modeling of REF. 
$$\mathbb{C}_{t,e}^{\text{REF},i}$$
 is the cost resulting from b  
REF, i represent the offer price interval for buying power

$$\mathbb{C}_{t,h}^{\text{HHW},i} = \pi_{t,h}^{\text{HHW},i} \times \mathsf{P}_{t,h}^{\text{HHW},i}$$
(78)

$$0 \leqslant \pi_{t,h}^{\text{HHW},i} \leqslant \max(\pi_{t,h}^{\text{TES},i}, \pi_{t,h}^{\text{CHP},i}, \pi_{t,h}^{\text{GB},i}, \pi_{t,h}^{\text{TSP},i},)$$
(79)

# 535 5.3. Mathematical modelling of PV, WT and load demand uncertainty

Since the market is based on predicted data and generation units are variable,
uncertainty must be considered. In order that the predicted data mimics reality,
probabilistic models are used.

# 539 5.3.1. Modelling of load demand uncertainty

Load uncertainty can be modelled using a normal distribution curve. The mean 540 value in the load normal curve distribution is equal to the predicted load for each 541 time interval. The standard deviation is obtained from the load prediction method 542 based on experience and previous electricity consumption patterns. To simplify our 543 analysis, the normal distribution can be divided into several sections showing the 544 load occurrence probability with the value equal to the mean value of that section. 545 In this study the normal probability distribution curve shown in Figure 6 is used 546 [74, 75]. 547

### 548 5.3.2. WT uncertainty modelling

Bearing in mind that wind supply is stochastic in nature, the calculation of wind speed variability was carried out using the Weibull distribution. The mean value of this distribution is the wind speed prediction datum. The Weibull distribution curve can also be divided into several separate sections. The possibility of occurrence of each interval is determined from the corresponding wind speed and the mode of each section. The wind speed probability distribution curve in this study is divided in the five pieces distribution density function as shown in Figure 7 [76, 77].

Wind output power is determined from the power function based on wind speed according to the following relation.

$$P_{t}^{WT}(\nu) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{P_{r}}{V_{r} - V_{ci}}\right)(\nu - V_{ci}) & \text{if } V_{ci} \leq \nu \leq V_{r} \\ P_{r} & \text{if } V_{r} \leq \nu \leq V_{co} \\ 0 & \text{others} \end{cases}$$
(80)

where  $P_t^{WT}(v)$  is total wind power output at wind speed v, v is the wind speed,  $P_r$  is total rated power of wind turbines,  $V_r$  is the rated wind speed and  $V_{ci}$  turbine cut-in wind speed and  $V_{co}$  is the cut-out wind speed. If the turbine generation starts at the speed  $V_{ci}$ ; the output power will increase proportionally to speed increase from  $V_{ci}$  to  $V_r$  and the nominal power  $P_r$  is generated when the wind speed is varied between  $V_r$  and  $V_{co}$ . For security reasons, the turbine will turn off at speed  $V_{co}$  and the output power will be zero at a speed outside the mentioned limits.

# 565 5.3.3. Modelling of uncertainty in PV system

The amount of solar radiation that reaches the earth, in addition to the external 566 daily and annual rotation of the sun, depends on the geographical position (length, 567 width and height) and climatic conditions (for example cloud cover). The PV output 568 power is dependent on the amount of solar radiation on the PV panel surface. The 569 hourly distribution for solar radiation can be divided into five sections similar to 570 the Weibull distribution model for wind speed, as illustrated in Figure 8 [78]. PV 571 system power distribution is obtained based on the radiation distribution. The PV 572 system output power is calculated as follows: 573

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{t}}^{\mathsf{PV}} = \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{C}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{t}}^{\beta} \tag{81}$$

where  $A_C$  is the area of array surface  $[m^2]$ ,  $I_t^{\beta}$  is the amount of solar radiation over a surface with  $\beta$  slope to the horizon surface  $[kWm^{-2}]$ ,  $\eta$  is the efficiency of PV system at the realistic reporting conditions.

# 577 6. Results and discussion

In this section, the results of simulation of the four methods are presented and discussed. The grid under study has three H-MGs called A, B and C which include

different DER and consuming resources. The specifications of these resources are 580 listed in the appendix. A fault on a H-MG will cause serious consequences to the sys-581 tem and customers' equipment. It requires not only concentrated attention to avoid 582 the fault but also recovery measures to reduce the impact once the fault has oc-583 curred. Constructing a re-configurable scheme for different fault modes will greatly 584 reduce losses and inconvenience. Hence, the proposed optimization algorithm is 585 employed to solve the optimal day-ahead scheduling problem under different fault 586 scenarios, to help verify the robustness of the algorithm. 587

The proposed methodologies provide a number of possible dispatch combina-588 tions. Hence, there is a large number of fallback positions that the optimization 589 algorithm can revert to in the case of any imbalance. When an intra-period im-590 balance occurs, the next most suitable dispatch is applied immediately. A 1-hour 591 resolution rolling-horizon simulation is used to verify the validity of the obtained 592 scheduling solutions. It also helps to adjust the operation scheduling values if re-593 quired, especially as the proposed optimization algorithm input data use a 1-day 594 resolution to improve computation speed. Simulations were carried out on an Intel 595 (R) CoreTM: 5-3320M CPU @2.6GHz computer with 4:00GB RAM. The MATLAB 596 software was used to solve the optimization problems. 597

It is worth mentioning that there are no infeasible dispatches in the problem. 598 A solution/dispatch is considered infeasible if it cannot be realized in real time. 599 The proposed optimization methodologies will produce a number of profitable dis-600 patches at various profitability levels when it is executed in the hour-ahead horizon. 601 However, in real time, it is possible that due to considerable deviations from the 602 forecast, the schedule of the highest profitability may prove to be infeasible; hence 603 the next best profitable schedule will be applied. This method outperforms previous 604 approaches specifically in terms of outputting flexible schedules that cater for the 605 mitigation of deviations of a H-MG. It also takes into account the risk of infeasible 606 solutions through a merit order list of alternative dispatches. 607

The values of all the powers generated by electrical and thermal DERs in each H-MG as well as the total value of electrical powers sold/ bought to/ from H-MGs from/ to retailer are shown in Figure 9. As observed in Figure 9(a), the maximum

power generated by the electrical DERs in H-MG #A is obtained by the HS method. 611 This is why no power is sold from this H-MG to the retailer. For any uncertainty 612 less than or equal to the maximum uncertainty, the corresponding reserve can be 613 directly fetched from the uncertainty versus reserve information. This reduces the 614 computational time of dynamic dispatch to approximately zero (around 0.1ms) due 615 to the absence of recalculation of optimal power-flow for the measured data. The 616 execution time will be the time taken for data selection, fetching and communica-617 tion only. 618

In the proposed method, the sum of the power allocated to DR+ has the least value relative to other methods. The reason for the increase in the amount of generated power in this H-MG is to allow it to sell the generated power to other H-MGs. In this manner, the amount of H-MG #A revenue increases. As for H-MG #B, the conditions are completely different because the power generated using the DE method is higher than that for other methods. The reason for this is basically due to the power purchased from the retailer.

Overall, by comparing Figure 9(b) and 9(c), it is observed that H-MG #B in 626 the PSO optimization method has a better interaction with the retailer compared 627 to other methods. Bearing in mind that the average value of electrical MCP using 628 the PSO method is lower than for other methods, H-MG #B supplies the number of 629 consumers with lower MCP using the power purchased from the retailer. Further-630 more, it is worth noting that the value of the DR+ power sum using this method 631 is 27% of the total consumed DR+ power using other optimization methods. This 632 means that in the MO-TE structure, the HS method attempts to buy more power 633 from the retailer in order to supply more RLD loads. As observed in Figure 9(a) 634 in H-MG #C the value of total power generated using the BAT method is highest 635 compared to other optimization methods. 636

Similarly, from Figures 9(b) and 9(c), the power exchange value of the H-MG with the retailer has its highest limit in this method. The main reason for this is that the value of sum DR- has reached its lowest possible limit compared to other methods which is only 6%. On the other hand, about 26% of the total DR+ power was obtained with the BAT method. This figure is very significant when compared to the other methods. Knowing that the average value of electrical MCP in the BAT
method is lower than the HS and DE methods, provides positive opportunities for
supplying the consumers of this H-MG at lower price.

The total power generated by the thermal DER for each H-MG is shown in Fig-645 ure 9(d). As observed in H-MGs #A and #C, the highest thermal power is generated 646 by the HS method, whereas H-MG #B power is generated using the BAT method. In 647 essence, the average value of thermal MCP using HS and BAT methods is lower than 648 those for other methods. This information is very important to select further power 649 generation by thermal DER resources. In other words, while the minimum value 650 of thermal MCP is obtained in these methods, the maximum value of thermal MCP 651 is obtained in the DE and PSO methods which could lead to a significant increase 652 in the value of thermal power cost generated by these methods. As a result, less 653 thermal power generated by the DE and PSO methods leads to a profit increase for 654 the H-MG owner. Meanwhile the consumer that required maximum total thermal 655 power has also been fulfilled. 656

Figure 10 presents the consumed load demand profile in each H-MG. It can be 657 seen Figure 10(a) that the consumption peak value using the PSO and BAT methods 658 in H-MG #A was shifted to non-peak intervals. Using the fact that the average MCP 659 value during peak intervals is high in all the implemented optimization methods, 660 then the participation of consumers in DR program incurs more expenses to H-MGs 661 owners and/ or retailers in exchange for the supply of its required power. However, 662 the total value of DR+ in the BAT method is about 28% of the total value of DR+, it 663 is expected that the PSO method follows a similar pattern regarding participation 664 of consuming resources to increase the DR+ value. After evaluation, it is observed 665 that about 26% of the DR+ generation among the methods was obtained with PSO. 666 Despite this fact, it is observed that the total values of DR- in the DE and BAT 667 methods are equal to each other, which is about 28% of the total DR- proposed 668 by all the methods. The minimum value of total DR- was obtained from the HS 669 method. This shows the reluctance of this method to shift the load demand from 670

672 for this occurrence is that the value of electricity generation cost by the H-MGs

671

one time period with high price to another with lower price. The main reason

altogether has the highest value for all the methods. This is about a 28% reduction
relative to the DE method that is providing the lowest cost of generating electricity.
Using the HS method, H-MG #B has the maximum value of DR+ while DR- shows
a significant reduction in its value.

As for the maximum electricity generation cost, the proposed algorithm shows 677 a greater desire to reduce the value of the consumed load demand in the H-MG. An 678 important point to make here is that although the electricity generation value in the 679 BAT method was the highest after HS, the total value of DR- has become the lowest 680 relative to other methods. For this reason, the BAT method has increased the DR+ 681 value. In H-MG #C, DR+ and DR- values are maximum relative to other methods 682 using the PSO method. The performance of this method is justified with its lowest 683 cost of electricity after the DE method. 684

In H-MG #C, it is highly desirable that more DR+ be supplied using the BAT method while bringing DR- value to the minimum as was pointed out before. The electricity generation cost in the BAT method is high, as also is the average electrical MCP value compared to other methods during the 24h performance of the grid under study; by supplying the DRs at suitable times, the method therefore tries to reduce the cost paid by the consumers.

The percentage of the electrical power generated by the H-MGs for each optimization technique adopted in this study is shown in Figure 11 while that of thermal power is shown in Figure 12.

The thermal power supply required by the consumer is similar to that of elec-694 trical power. Therefore, the thermal power equilibrium for each H-MGs can be 695 attained by implementing the optimization algorithms. Because supply of thermal 696 power makes the thermal power GB resource to participate in each one the H-MGs. 697 It should be noted that part of the thermal power is supplied by the GB which is 698 brought in operation during the period 16:00-20:00. The pricing strategy by each 699 of presented optimization methods somehow determines the suitable price offer for 700 the GB during the period in which the CHP thermal power value is proportional to 701 the electrical power. As a result, the thermal load requirement difference is satisfied 702 by the GB. 703

The values of electrical and thermal MCPs obtained from simulation using each 704 of the optimization methods are shown in Figures 13(a) and 13(b), respectively. As 705 observed from Figure 13(a), all the methods for reducing electrical MCP relative to 706 thermal MCP have very good performance over the complete time period. At the 707 start of the system's daily performance, the PSO method has a better performance 708 in reducing the MCP relative to the BAT method which during this time interval has 709 the poorest performance. In the morning, the PSO method is the most successful for 710 reducing the MCP. During this time interval the worst is related to the HS method 711 for which the electrical MCP increases for about 83%. 712

HS performance over this latter time period is the worst among all the methods, 713 so much so that it has out-weighed its very good performance at the beginning of 714 the day. The PSO method in this interval obtains less MCP value relative to DE 715 with about 34% of the time during the DERs and consumers proper management. 716 Although PSO has shown the best performance during this time interval, it has the 717 worst performance in the period from afternoon to sunset. The best performance to 718 reduce MCP in this period from afternoon to sunset HS method which has obtained 719 the minimum value of electrical MCP at about 78% of the time when compared 720 with PSO. 721

During the day's last hours, the HS method imposes a higher value of MCP 722 on the consumers for 22% of the time. Altogether, the best method over the 24h 723 performance of the MO-TE structure is obtained for electrical MCP using the HS 724 method relative to the PSO method. This is about 6%, relative to BA, about 9% 725 relative to the DE method; about 62% of the time a reduced MCP is obtained. As 726 observed from Figure 13(b), at the beginning, from midnight until morning, the 727 PSO method has a significant share in reducing the value of the thermal MCP. For 728 this reason, its value is always obtained relative to other optimization methods at 729 minimum value. 730

The worst result during this time interval is related to BAT where for about 77% of the time, a higher thermal MCP value results from using the PSO method. In the morning, the best performance is given by DE but the PSO's performance has reduced so much that there is a reduction in the thermal MCP for about 45% of the time. In the time interval 12:00 to 18:00 the DE method gave the best performance. In contrast to DE, BAT had a poor performance whose operation is related to DE that was 70% weaker. During the last hours of the day in contrast to the previous intervals, BAT had the best performance relative to others. Altogether, for the powers consumed in all the H-MGs, the DE algorithm with less than 2% had better performance relative to BAT, 28% better relative to HS and PSO in reducing the MCP.

The convergence characteristic of the proposed algorithms is compared with 742 each other and depicted in Figure 14. This figure implies that the proposed algo-743 rithm based on the DE method outperforms the other optimization techniques in 744 convergence speed; however the proposed algorithm based on BAT method achieved 745 a better performance from an optimality of objective function point of view. The 746 obtained maximum profit for DE and BAT methods are £8.5 and £9.7, with the cor-747 responding CPU-time of 8.085s and 9.705s (as shown in Table 1), respectively. It 748 can be observed that the PSO method converges to the optimal solution in a greater 749 number of iterations. It is observed from this figure that HS has a better convergence 750 characteristic, in comparison with PSO and BAT. By comparing the convergence 751 properties of the proposed algorithms, both the speed and ability of the proposed 752 approaches to find better solutions can be observed in Figure 14. These imply the 753 capability of the proposed methods for solving such complicated economic dispatch 754 problems. The maximum iteration number for this case is set to 100 iterations. 755

In order to compare the computation, it should be mentioned that both CPU 756 speed and simulation times for all methods are provided in Table 1. Computation 757 time has a direct relation with CPU speed. Relative simulation time is calculated by 758 multiplying relative CPU speed by the reported simulation time. Although the ob-759 tained profit by PSO is £7.9 (i.e., 22.6%) less than the profit obtained by BAT, but the 760 corresponding CPU-time is much less in comparison with the very high CPU-time 761 of BAT. The negligible reduction of profit at the expense of a significant increase 762 of CPU-time may not be desirable from the real-time operation perspective. In it 763 important to mention that in real-time applications, the optimal DER schedule is 764 needed for the next few minutes, subject to the unpredicted uncertainty parame-765

ters in the order of minutes (e.g., 5-min intervals). The results presented in Table 1
substantiate the fact that the proposed methods are well capable of attaining the
optimal solution of offer prices and quantities in a very short time. Hence, the
proposed methods are efficient for solution of economic dispatch in real-time environment.

| Method | CPU speed (GHz) | Absolute time (s) | Relative CPU time (s) |
|--------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| DE     | 3               | 5.39              | 8.085                 |
| HS     | 3               | 5.33              | 7.995                 |
| PSO    | 3               | 5.26              | 7.89                  |
| BAT    | 3               | 6.47              | 9.705                 |

Table 1: Comparison of the absolute and relative CPU time for test system

Table 2 show the minimum, average, maximum and standard deviation of the objective function for different numbers of trial runs. The maximum iteration number for this simulation is selected to be 100. The results justify the applicability of the proposed methods for solving the constrained economic dispatch problem with non-smooth cost functions.

Table 2: Analysis of objective function for different number of trial runs

| Method | Number of runs | Minimum profit (£) | Average profit (£) Maximum profit (£) |      | Standard deviation (£) |  |
|--------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|------|------------------------|--|
| DE     |                | 4.87               | 6.16                                  | 7.5  | 0.98                   |  |
| HS     | 50             | 3.6                | 7.14                                  | 7.64 | 1.23                   |  |
| PSO    |                | 4.66               | 6.15                                  | 6.98 | 0.93                   |  |
| BAT    |                | 4.83               | 6.81                                  | 8.4  | 1.34                   |  |
| DE     |                | 5.87               | 8.16                                  | 8.5  | 0.78                   |  |
| HS     | 100            | 4.6                | 8.34                                  | 8.84 | 1.03                   |  |
| PSO    |                | 5.66               | 7.15                                  | 7.9  | 0.56                   |  |
| BAT    |                | 5.93               | 7.93                                  | 9.7  | 1.23                   |  |

# 776 7. Conclusion

This paper has proposed an algorithm for the optimum use of the existing electrical/ thermal resources in home Microgrids. The proposed framework provided an optimum timing for power exchange among the H-MGs while satisfying the objective functions and technical constraints. Establishing a coalition among the H-MGs,

the method when tested, considered power balancing, demand side management, 781 market clearing price reduction and profit increase of the players in the market. The 782 optimality of the obtained results and the ability of the proposed structure to change 783 the input parameters were compared with each other using several methods. With 784 technical and economic constraints, the timing of connection of appliances and elec-785 trical machines were included. The optimum control of ES resources and demand 786 side management led to a reduction in the exploitation cost of each H-MG which re-787 sulted in profit increase. The proposed algorithm could be exploited to fix different 788 structures with different objective functions. 780

# 790 8. Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the fruitful discussions on game theory and H-MG in-791 teroperability with Prof. Jovica Milanovic from School of Electrical and Electronic 792 Engineering, University of Manchester, Ferranti Building, M13 9PL Manchester, UK. 793 This work was partly funded by European Union's Horizon 2020 research and in-794 novation programme (NobelGrid project) under the grant agreement # 646184. 795 Credence. US-Ireland Research and Development Partnership Program (centre 796 to centre), funded by Science Foundation Ireland (SFI) and The National Science 797 Foundation (NSF) under the grant number 16/US-C2C/3290 is gratefully acknowl-79

799 edged.

# 800 Appendix

H-MG resources specifications and constant parameter values is listed in Table 3.

### 802 References

[1] M. V. Ramesh, A. R. Devidas, A. K., V. Rangan, Using CPS enabled microgrid
 system for optimal power utilization and supply strategy, Energy and Buildings
 145 (2017) 32–43.

| Name of DER | Variable                            | Value     | Name of DER | Variable                            | Value |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------|
|             | $\zeta_h^{GB}$                      | 85%       |             | $\overline{P}_{t,e}^{ES+}$          | 30    |
| GB          | $\overline{P}_{h}^{GB}$             | 12        |             | $\underline{P}_{t,e}^{ES+}$         | 0.34  |
|             | <u>P</u> <sup>GB</sup> <sub>h</sub> | 3.6       | ES          | $\overline{P}_{t,e}^{\mathrm{ES-}}$ | 30    |
|             |                                     |           |             | $\underline{P}_{t,e}^{\text{ES-}}$  | 0.34  |
|             |                                     |           |             | $\underline{P}_{t,e}^{\text{ES-}}$  | 0.34  |
|             |                                     |           |             | SOCES                               | 0     |
|             |                                     |           |             | SOCES                               | 100%  |
|             | $\zeta_{e2}^{CHP}$                  | -94.6916  |             | $\overline{P}_{t,e}^{EV+}$          | 3.2   |
| CLID        | $\zeta_{e1}^{CHP}$                  | 0.358511  | EV          | $\underline{P}_{t,e}^{EV+}$         | 0     |
| CHP         | $\overline{P}_{e}^{CHP}$            | 8         |             | SOCEV                               | 0     |
|             | $\underline{P}_{e}^{CHP}$           | 2         |             | SOCEEV                              | 100%  |
| DW          | $\overline{P}^{DW}$                 | 0.42      |             | P <sup>REF</sup> <sub>t,e</sub>     | 0.12  |
|             | $\overline{P}_{t,e}^{HHW}$          | 0.5       | REF         | $\overline{T}^{REF}$                | 9     |
| 1.11.11.12  | T <sub>INI</sub> <sup>HHW</sup> ,   | 18        |             | $\underline{T}^{\text{REF}}$        | 3     |
| HHW         | T <sub>HHM</sub>                    |           |             |                                     |       |
|             | T <sup>INC</sup>                    | 6         |             | T <sub>INI</sub> REF                | 27    |
|             | $\overline{T}^{HHW}$                | 36        |             | T <sup>INI</sup>                    | 6     |
| Natural gas | $\pi_t^{NG}$                        | 0.0120760 |             | $\overline{P}^{TES+}$               | 14.4  |
| DB          | k <sub>e</sub>                      | 5         | TES         | $\underline{P}^{\text{TES+}}$       | 0     |
|             | kt                                  | 5         |             | $\overline{P}^{\text{TES-}}$        | 14.4  |
|             |                                     |           |             | $\underline{P}^{\text{TES-}}$       | 0     |

Table 3: H-MG resources specifications and constant parameter values

[2] T. AlSkaif, A. C. Luna, M. G. Zapata, J. M. Guerrero, B. Bellalta, Reputationbased joint scheduling of households appliances and storage in a microgrid
with a shared battery, Energy and Buildings 138 (2017) 228–39.

[3] H. Chitsaz, H. Shaker, H. Zareipour, D. Wood, N. Amjady, Short-term electricity load forecasting of buildings in microgrids, Energy and Buildings 99
(2015) 50–60.

[4] Z. Zeng, R. Zhao, H. Yang, Micro-sources design of an intelligent building
integrated with micro-grid, Energy and Buildings 57 (2013) 261–67.

[5] M. Sechilariu, B. Wang, F. Locment, Building-integrated microgrid: Advanced
 local energy management for forthcoming smart power grid communication,
 Energy and Buildings 59 (2013) 236–43.

[6] Y. Wang, B. Wang, C.-C. Chu, H. Pota, R. Gadh, Energy management for a

- commercial building microgrid with stationary and mobile battery storage,
  Energy and Buildings 116 (2016) 141–50.
- [7] E. Shirazi, S. Jadid, Optimal residential appliance scheduling under dynamic
   pricing scheme via hemdas, Energy and Buildings 93 (2015) 40–49.
- [8] D. Balić, D. Maljković, D. Lončar, Multi-criteria analysis of district heating
  system operation strategy, Energy Conversion and Management 144 (2017)
  414–28.
- [9] S. Nojavan, M. Majidi, A. Najafi-Ghalelou, M. Ghahramani, K. Zare, A costemission model for fuel cell/pv/battery hybrid energy system in the presence
  of demand response program: e-constraint method and fuzzy satisfying approach, Energy Conversion and Management 138 (2017) 383–92.
- [10] I. Dusparic, C. Harris, A. Marinescu, V. Cahill, S. Clarke, Multi-agent residential demand response based on load forecasting, in: 2013 1st IEEE Conference
  on Technologies for Sustainability (SusTech), 2013, pp. 90–96.
- [11] Q. Ren, L. Bai, S. Biswas, F. Ferrese, Q. Dong, Demand-supply balancing using multi-agent system for bus-oriented microgrids, in: 2015 Resilience Week
  (RWS), 2015, pp. 1–8.
- [12] F. Calise, R. D. Figaj, L. Vanoli, A novel polygeneration system integrating
  photovoltaic/thermal collectors, solar assisted heat pump, adsorption chiller
  and electrical energy storage: Dynamic and energy-economic analysis, Energy
  Conversion and Management (2017) –.
- [13] L. Yu, Y. Li, G. Huang, C. An, A robust flexible-probabilistic programming method for planning municipal energy system with considering peakelectricity price and electric vehicle, Energy Conversion and Management 137 (2017) 97–112.
- [14] W. Fang, Q. Huang, S. Huang, J. Yang, E. Meng, Y. Li, Optimal sizing of
  utility-scale photovoltaic power generation complementarily operating with

- hydropower: A case study of the world's largest hydro-photovoltaic plant, Energy Conversion and Management 136 (2017) 161–72.
- [15] S. I. Garcia, R. F. Garcia, J. C. Carril, D. I. Garcia, Critical review of the first-law
  efficiency in different power combined cycle architectures, Energy Conversion
  and Management 148 (2017) 844–59.
- [16] S. Baldi, T. L. Quang, O. Holub, P. Endel, Real-time monitoring energy efficiency and performance degradation of condensing boilers, Energy Conversion and Management 136 (2017) 329–39.
- [17] S. Behboodi, D. P. Chassin, C. Crawford, N. Djilali, Electric vehicle participation in transactive power systems using real-time retail prices, in: 2016
  49th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS), 2016, pp. 2400–07.
- 857 [18] A. Ipakchi, Demand side and distributed resource management- a transactive
  858 solution, in: 2011 IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2011, pp.
  859 1–8.
- [19] H. N. Aung, A. M. Khambadkone, D. Srinivasan, T. Logenthiran, Agent-based
  intelligent control for real-time operation of a microgrid, in: 2010 Joint International Conference on Power Electronics, Drives and Energy Systems 2010
  Power India, 2010, pp. 1–6.
- [20] F. Lopes, H. Algarvio, H. Coelho, Agent-based simulation of retail electricity
   markets: Bilateral trading players, in: 2013 24th International Workshop on
   Database and Expert Systems Applications, 2013, pp. 189–93.
- [21] J. Yu, J.-Z. Zhou, J.-J. Yang, W. Wu, B. Fu, R.-T. Liao, Agent-based retail electricity market: modeling and analysis, in: Proceedings of 2004 International
  Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics (IEEE Cat. No.04EX826),
  Vol. 1, 2004, pp. 95–100.

- [22] R. Yang, L. Wang, Development of multi-agent system for building energy and
  comfort management based on occupant behaviors, Energy and Buildings 56
  (2013) 1–7.
- 874 [23] B. Kim, O. Lavrova, Two hierarchy (home and local) smart grid optimization
  by using demand response scheduling, in: 2013 IEEE PES Conference on Innovative Smart Grid Technologies (ISGT Latin America), 2013, pp. 1–8.
- [24] T. Logenthiran, D. Srinivasan, A. M. Khambadkone, Multi-agent system for
  energy resource scheduling of integrated microgrids in a distributed system,
  Electric Power Systems Research 81 (1) (2011) 138–48.
- [25] Y. Zhang, A. Lundblad, P. E. Campana, F. Benavente, J. Yan, Battery sizing and
   rule-based operation of grid-connected photovoltaic-battery system: A case
   study in sweden, Energy Conversion and Management 133 (2017) 249–63.
- R. Amirante, E. Cassone, E. Distaso, P. Tamburrano, Overview on recent devel opments in energy storage: Mechanical, electrochemical and hydrogen tech nologies, Energy Conversion and Management 132 (2017) 372–87.
- [27] F. Rahimi, A. Ipakchi, F. Fletcher, The changing electrical landscape: Endto-end power system operation under the transactive energy paradigm, IEEE
  Power and Energy Magazine 14 (3) (2016) 52–62.
- [28] K. Kok, S. Widergren, A society of devices: Integrating intelligent distributed
   resources with transactive energy, IEEE Power and Energy Magazine 14 (3)
   (2016) 34–45.
- [29] A. Buonomano, F. Calise, A. Palombo, M. Vicidomini, Adsorption chiller operation by recovering low-temperature heat from building integrated photovoltaic thermal collectors: Modelling and simulation, Energy Conversion and
  Management (2017) –.
- [30] J. Hu, G. Yang, H. W. Bindner, Y. Xue, Application of network-constrained
  transactive control to electric vehicle charging for secure grid operation, IEEE
  Transactions on Sustainable Energy PP (99) (2016) 1–1.

- [31] D. Forfia, M. Knight, R. Melton, The view from the top of the mountain: Build-
- ing a community of practice with the gridwise transactive energy framework,
  IEEE Power and Energy Magazine 14 (3) (2016) 25–33.
- [32] L. Riboldi, L. O. Nord, Concepts for lifetime efficient supply of power and heat
  to offshore installations in the north sea, Energy Conversion and Management
  148 (2017) 860–75.
- [33] M. Marzband, E. Yousefnejad, A. Sumper, J. L. Domínguez-García, Real time
  experimental implementation of optimum energy management system in
  standalone microgrid by using multi-layer ant colony optimization, International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 75 (2016) 265–74.
- [34] M. Marzband, M. Javadi, J. L. Domínguez-García, M. M. Moghaddam, Noncooperative game theory based energy management systems for energy district in the retail market considering DER uncertainties, IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution, 10 (2016) 2999–3009.
- [35] M. Marzband, A. Sumper, A. Ruiz-Álvarez, J. L. Domínguez-García, B. Tomoiagă, Experimental evaluation of a real time energy management system
  for stand-alone microgrids in day-ahead markets, Applied Energy 106 (0)
  (2013) 365–76.
- [36] M. Marzband, M. Ghadimi, A. Sumper, J. L. Domínguez-García, Experimental
  validation of a real-time energy management system using multi-period gravitational search algorithm for microgrids in islanded mode, Applied Energy,
  128 (0) (2014) 164–74.
- [37] G. Vyas, K. Jha, Benchmarking green building attributes to achieve cost effectiveness using a data envelopment analysis, Sustainable Cities and Society
  28 (Supplement C) (2017) 127–34.
- [38] M. Marzband, Experimental validation of optimal real-time energy manage ment system for microgrids, Phd thesis, Departament d'Enginyeria Elèctrica,

- EU d'Enginyeria Tècnica Industrial de Barcelona, Universitat Politècnica deCatalunya (2013).
- [39] M. Marzband, H. Alavi, S. S. Ghazimirsaeid, H. Uppal, T. Fernando, Optimal
  energy management system based on stochastic approach for a home microgrid with integrated responsive load demand and energy storage, Sustainable
  Cities and Society, 28 (2017) 256–64.
- [40] M. Marzband, F. Azarinejadian, M. Savaghebi, J. M. Guerrero, An optimal
  energy management system for islanded microgrids based on multiperiod artificial bee colony combined with markov chain, IEEE systems journal, PP (99)
  (2015) 1–11.
- [41] M. Marzband, S. S. Ghazimirsaeid, H. Uppal, T. Fernando, A real-time evaluation of energy management systems for smart hybrid home microgrids, Electric Power Systems Research, 143 (2017) 624–33.
- [42] J. Valinejad, M. Marzband, M. F. Akorede, T. Barforoshi, M. Jovanović, Generation expansion planning in electricity market considering uncertainty in load demand and presence of strategic GENCOs, Electric Power Systems Research 152 (2017) 92–104.
- [43] M. Marzband, R. R. Ardeshiri, M. Moafi, H. Uppal, Distributed generation for
  economic benefit maximization through coalition formation based game theory concept, International Transactions on Electrical Energy Systems, (2017)
  1–16.
- [44] M. Marzband, M. M. Moghaddam, M. F. Akorede, G. Khomeyrani, Adaptive load shedding scheme for frequency stability enhancement in microgrids,
  Electric Power Systems Research, (2016) 1–11.
- [45] A. Martinez, J.-H. Choi, Exploring the potential use of building facade information to estimate energy performance, Sustainable Cities and Society 35
  (2017) 511–21.

- [46] M. Marzband, A. Sumper, O. Gomis-Bellmunt, P. Pezzini, M. Chindris, Frequency control of isolated wind and diesel hybrid microgrid power system by using fuzzy logic controllers and PID controllers, in: Electrical Power Quality and Utilisation (EPQU), 2011 11th International Conference on, 2011, pp. 1–6.
- [47] R. Banani, M. M. Vahdati, M. Shahrestani, D. Clements-Croome, The development of building assessment criteria framework for sustainable nonresidential buildings in saudi arabia, Sustainable Cities and Society 26 (2016)
  289–305.
- [48] M. Marzband, N. Parhizi, J. Adabi, Optimal energy management for standalone microgrids based on multi-period imperialist competition algorithm
  considering uncertainties: experimental validation, International Transactions on Electrical Energy Systems, 30 (1) (2015) 122–31.
- [49] J. Si, L. Marjanovic-Halburd, F. Nasiri, S. Bell, Assessment of buildingintegrated green technologies: A review and case study on applications of
  multi-criteria decision making (mcdm) method, Sustainable Cities and Society 27 (2016) 106–15.
- 970 [50] M. Marzband, N. Parhizi, M. Savaghebi, J. Guerrero, Distributed smart
  971 decision-making for a multimicrogrid system based on a hierarchical inter972 active architecture, IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, 31 (2) (2016)
  973 637–48.
- 974 [51] M. Marzband, A. Sumper, Implementation of an optimal energy management
  975 within islanded microgrid, International Conference on Renewable Energies
  976 and Power Quality (ICREPQ), Cordoba, Spain, 2014.
- 977 [52] M. Marzband, A. Sumper, M. Chindriş, B. Tomoiagă, Energy management sys978 tem of hybrid microgrid with energy storage, *The International Word Energy*979 *System Conference (WESC)*, Suceava, Romania, 2012.

- [53] B. gang Hwang, M. Shan, N. N. B. Supaat, Green commercial building projects
  in singapore: Critical risk factors and mitigation measures, Sustainable Cities
  and Society 30 (2017) 237–47.
- [54] M. Marzband, A. Sumper, J. L. Domínguez-García, R. Gumara-Ferret, Experimental validation of a real time energy management system for microgrids in islanded mode using a local day-ahead electricity market and MINLP, Energy Conversion and Management 76 (0) (2013) 314–22.
- <sup>987</sup> [55] M. Moafi, M. Marzband, M. Savaghebi, J. M. Guerrero, Energy management
   system based on fuzzy fractional order PID controller for transient stability
   improvement in microgrids with energy storage, International Transactions
   on Electrical Energy Systems (2016) 1–20.
- [56] B. S. Y. Jin, Trade-off between performance and robustness: An evolutionary
   multiobjective approach, Springer Berlin Heidelberg (2003) 237–51.
- [57] N. Parhizi, M. Marzband, S. M. M. Moghaddam, F. Azarinajadian,
  B. Mohamadi-Ivatlo, Optimal energy management system implementation in
  power networks with multiple microgrids by using multi-period imperialist
  competition, Comput. Intell. Electr. Eng.Accepted.
- [58] R. Chatthaworn, S. Chaitusaney, Transmission network expansion planning
  considering renewable energy target with taguchi's orthogonal array testing,
  IEEJ Trans. Electr. Electron. Eng. 9 (2014) 588–99.
- [59] H. Yu, C. Chung, K. Wong, Robust transmission network expansion planning
   method with taguchi's orthogonal array testing, IEEE Transactions on Power
   Systems, 26 (3) (2011) 1573–80.
- [60] M. Bounou, S. Lefebvre, X. Do, Improving the quality of an optimal power
   flow solution by taguchi method, International Journal of Electrical Power &
   Energy Systems 17 (2) (1995) 113–18.
- [61] M. Klusch, A. Gerber, Dynamic coalition formation among rational agents,
  Intelligent Systems, 17 (3) (2002) 42–7.

- [62] E. Bonabeau, M. Dorigo, G. Theraulaz, in: Swarm Intelligence: From Natural
  to Artificial Systems, 1999, p. 320.
- [63] J. J. Liang, A. K. Qin, P. N. Suganthan, S. Baskar, Comprehensive learning particle swarm optimizer for global optimization of multimodal functions, IEEE
   Transactions on Evolutionary Computation 10 (3) (2006) 281–295.
- [64] W. feng Gao, S. yang Liu, L. ling Huang, Particle swarm optimization with
  chaotic opposition-based population initialization and stochastic search technique, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation
  17 (11) (2012) 4316–327.
- [65] T. Robic, B. Filipi, in: DEMO: Differential Evolution for Multiobjective Opti mization, 2005, pp. 520–33.
- [66] S. Das, A. Abraham, A. Konar, Automatic clustering using an improved
   differential evolution algorithm, IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and
   Cybernetics- Part A: Systems and Humans 38 (1) (2008) 218–237.
- [67] R. Storn, K. Price, Differential evolutio- a simple and efficient heuristic for
  global optimization over continuous spaces, J. of Global Optimization 11 (4)
  (1997) 341–359.
- [68] A. Khazali, M. Kalantar, Optimal reactive power dispatch based on harmony
   search algorithm, International Journal of Electrical Power Energy Systems
   33 (3) (2011) 684–92.
- [69] Z. W. Geem, J. H. Kim, G. Loganathan, Optimal reactive power dispatch based
  on harmony search algorithm, SAGE Publications Ltd STM 76 (2) (2001) 60–
  68.
- [70] S. Tuo, L. Yong, T. Zhou, An improved harmony search based on teaching learning strategy for unconstrained optimization problems, Mathematical
   Problems in Engineering 2013 (2013) 1–30.

- [71] X. S. Yang, A new metaheuristic bat-inspired algorithm, Stud. Comput. Intell.
  76 (2) (2005) 65–74.
- [72] Y. Zhou, L. Li, M. Ma, A complex-valued encoding bat algorithm for solving
   0âĂŞ1 knapsack problem, SAGE Publications Ltd STM 44 (2) (2016) 407–30.
- [73] M. Marzband, M. Javadi, S. A. Pourmousavi, G. Lightbody, An advanced retail
  electricity market for active distribution systems and home microgrid interoperability based on game theory, Electric Power Systems Research 157 (2018)
  187–99.
- [74] R. Billinton, a. Bonaert, a. Koivo, Power System Reliability Evaluation, 5th
  Edition, Vol. 1, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1971.
- [75] M. Fotuhi-Firuzabad, M. Rastegar, A. Safdarian, F. Aminifar, Probabilistic
  home load controlling considering plug-in hybrid electric vehicle uncertainties, in: Reliability Modeling and Analysis of Smart Power Systems, Springer
  India, 2014, pp. 117–32.
- [76] A. Daniel, A. Chen, Stochastic simulation and forecasting of hourly average
   wind speed sequences in jamaica, Solar Energy, 46 (1) (1991) 1–11.
- [77] B. Borowy, Z. Salameh, Optimum photovoltaic array size for a hybrid wind/PV
   system, IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, 9 (3) (1994) 482–88.
- [78] G. Tina, S. Gagliano, S. Raiti, Hybrid solar/wind power system probabilistic
   modelling for long-term performance assessment, Solar Energy, 80 (5) (2006)
   578–88.

45



Figure 3: Uncertainty unit based on TOAT method



(a) The process of proposed algorithm structure



(b) Electrical part related to initial value



(c) Thermal part related to initial value

Figure 4: The proposed flowchart for the TE unit



Figure 5: The schematic of neighbourhood system with several H-MGs (solid black lines show the electrical part, gray dash shows the thermal part and the dash-point is related to gas branch)



Figure 6: Seven-segment normal probability distribution curve



Figure 7: Wind speed probability distribution



Figure 8: Solar radiation probabilistic distribution



(a) The total generated power in each H-MG



(b) The electrical power sold by the H-MGs to the retailers



(c) The electrical power bought by the H-MGs from the retailers



(d) The total generated thermal power in each H-MG

Figure 9: The electrical and thermal powers consumed by each H-MG using different optimization methods



Figure 10: The consumed load demand profile in the H-MGs



(c) HS method

(d) PSO method

18:00

Figure 11: Electrical power percentage generated by the generation resources existing in the H-MGs based on BAT, DE, HS and PSO algorithms





Figure 12: Thermal power percentage generated by generation resources based on BAT, DE, HS and PSO



(b) Thermal MCP

Figure 13: MCP profile for the 24h performance of the system under study using different optimization methods



Figure 14: Convergence characteristics of the proposed algorithms