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Abstract

Ultrafast response/recovery and high selectivity of gas sensors are critical for real-time and online monitoring of hazardous gases. In this work, α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids were synthesized using a facile one-step hydrothermal method and investigated as highly sensitive H$_2$S sensing materials. The nano-ellipsoids have an average long axis diameter of 275 nm and an average short axis diameter of 125 nm. H$_2$S gas sensors fabricated using the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids showed excellent H$_2$S sensing performance at an optimum working temperature of 260 °C. The response and recovery times were 0.8 s/2.2 s for H$_2$S gas with a concentration of 50 ppm, which are much faster than those of H$_2$S gas sensors reported in literature. The α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoid based sensors also showed a high selectivity to H$_2$S compared to other commonly investigated gases including NH$_3$, CO, NO$_2$, H$_2$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and ethanol. In addition, the sensors exhibited high response values to different concentrations of H$_2$S with a detection limit as low as 100 ppb, as well as excellent repeatability and long-term stability.

1. Introduction

Hydrogen sulfide (H$_2$S), one of the highly toxic gases, is widely existed in petroleum industry, natural gas and biological decomposition of organic materials and extensively used in many industrial processes. Leakage of H$_2$S gas will impose tremendous risks on environment and human health even at a very low concentration. Therefore, rapid and selective detection of H$_2$S gas is of great importance. In recent years, many types of H$_2$S gas sensors have been developed, such as resistive sensor, electrochemical sensor and surface acoustic wave sensor. Among these, the resistive sensor based on semiconducting metal oxide nanostructures attracts great attention due to its facile fabrication process and excellent sensing performance.

Various semiconducting metal oxide nanostructures have been explored to detect H$_2$S gas, including ZnO, CeO$_2$, WO$_3$, CuO, MoO$_3$, NiO, SnO$_2$, In$_2$O$_3$ and α-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Among them, the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanomaterials are nontoxic, chemically stable and low cost, which are good sensing materials to detect ethanol, acetone,
trimethylamine,\textsuperscript{19} NH\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{20} and H\textsubscript{2}S.\textsuperscript{21} It was reported that α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanomaterials exhibit good sensing performance for H\textsubscript{2}S.\textsuperscript{16} It is well known that the size, morphology and porosity of α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanomaterials significantly affect their gas sensing properties, such as sensitivity, response/recovery times (\(t_{\text{res}}/t_{\text{rec}}\)), selectivity and stability. Therefore, great effort has been made to prepare different α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanostructures to improve the H\textsubscript{2}S gas sensing performance, such as flute-like porous nanorods,\textsuperscript{22} micro-ellipsoids,\textsuperscript{21} sheaf-like architectures,\textsuperscript{17} hollow urchin-like spheres\textsuperscript{23} and microcubes\textsuperscript{24}, etc. The sensing properties of H\textsubscript{2}S gas sensors based on different Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanostructures reported in literature are summarized in Table 1. It can be found that high response values and ppb level detection limits have been achieved using some Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanostructures. However, the response/recovery speeds of these reported H\textsubscript{2}S gas sensors based on these α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanostructures are not fast enough to timely trigger the alarm. In addition, many of these H\textsubscript{2}S gas sensors do not show a good selectivity to H\textsubscript{2}S gas.\textsuperscript{19} For example, although the porous α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} exhibited fast responses/recovery speeds at 250 °C, there were no significant difference in the response values toward H\textsubscript{2}S and ethanol.\textsuperscript{25}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Working Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Con. (ppm)</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>(t_{\text{res}}/t_{\text{rec}})</th>
<th>Detection Limit</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanoboxes</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>806 s/1100 s</td>
<td>250 ppb</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>250 s/200 s</td>
<td>50 ppb</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} film</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>64 s/670 s</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} micro-ellipsoids</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>78 s/15 s</td>
<td>500 ppb</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanochains</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>10 s/60 s</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanosheets</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>10 s/45 s</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5 s/10 s</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} thin film</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>80 s/180 s</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag/α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanoparticles</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>42 s/26 s</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanoparticles</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100 s/180 s</td>
<td>10 ppm</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/WO\textsubscript{3} nanocrystals</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>60 s/240 s</td>
<td>500 ppb</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/NiO nanoparticles</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100 s/20 s</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In order to enhance the H₂S gas sensing performance, modifications of the α-Fe₂O₃ nanomaterials using noble metals have been widely reported. For examples, Wang et al.³² prepared a gas sensor made of Ag modified α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles, which showed a high response value of 220 and fast response/recovery times of 42 s /26 s toward 100 ppm H₂S and a good selectivity at 160 °C. Balouria et al.³¹ reported that Au modified α-Fe₂O₃ film achieved the response/recovery times of 80 s/180 s to 10 ppm H₂S and a good H₂S selectivity compared to gases of C₂H₅OH, CO, NH₃, CO₂, NO and Cl₂ at 250 °C. Although the response values, selectivity and response/recovery speeds of these α-Fe₂O₃ based H₂S gas sensors have been remarkably improved by modification using noble metal nanoparticles, the response/recovery times are still mostly longer than 40 s. Therefore, it is still a critical challenge to optimize the α-Fe₂O₃ nanostructures for achieving the best sensing performance to H₂S gas with fast response/recovery speeds, high sensitivity and good selectivity.

Nanocrystals of α-Fe₂O₃ are usually prepared using multiple processes. For example, the precursor of iron hydroxide is often initially prepared using a hydrothermal method,¹⁶ a sol–gel method³⁶ or an electrospinning method,³⁷ and then the iron hydroxide is calcined to obtain α-Fe₂O₃ nanocrystals. The increases of nanocrystal sizes are often observed during the calcining process, which will influence the sensing performance. However, there are few reports for using one-step synthesis method to produce the α-Fe₂O₃ nanocrystals.

In this work, α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids were directly synthesized using a facile one-step hydrothermal method. The H₂S gas sensors based on these α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids exhibit not only high response values, but also ultrafast response/recovery speeds and good selectivity to H₂S gas.

2. Experimental Section

2.1 Synthesis and characterization of α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids

Iron nitrate nonahydrate (Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O), sodium nitrate (NaNO₃), sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), ethanolamine, urea, ethyl alcohol and n, n-dimethylformamide (DMF)
were obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagents Limited, Shanghai, China, all with the analytical purity.

In a typical hydrothermal process, 1.616 g of Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O and 2.0 g of urea were dissolved into a mixed solution which contained 20 mL of distilled water, 9 mL of ethyl alcohol and 1 mL of ethanolamine under a continuous magnetic stirring. After stirring for 10 min, 0.5 g NaNO$_3$ and 0.5 g SDS were successively added into the above solution to form a homogeneous solution. Then, 5 mL of DMF was added drop by drop into the above solution under a magnetic stirring and the solution was maintained under an ultrasonic agitation for 30 min. The hydrothermal reaction was maintained at 120 °C for 20 hrs in a 50 mL Teflon-lined autoclave in an air oven. After that, the red-brown precipitate in the autoclave was washed using a mix solution of deionized H$_2$O and anhydrous ethyl alcohol for four times. Finally, it were dried at 60 °C for 10 hrs to obtain red-brown α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids.

Crystalline structure of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids was characterized using X-ray diffraction (XRD, D/MAX-2500) with Cu Kα radiation. Their morphologies were observed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Inspect F50, USA) and a transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEM-2200FS, Japan) attached with selected-area electron diffraction (SAED). Chemical binding information of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids was characterized using an X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo Fisher Scientific) with Al Kα radiation. The specific surface area was measured using the N$_2$ physisorption apparatus (JW-BK122W, JWGB SCI. TECH.) and the data was calculated using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrum was recorded using an FT-IR transmittance spectrometer (FT-IR, Nicolet 6700, USA).

2.2 Sensor Fabrication and Measurement

The gas sensors were made on the alumina ceramic tubes, and Ni-Cr heating resistors were used to control the working temperature. The α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids were dispersed into ethanol, and then dip-coated on the surface of the tube to form a uniform sensing layer. The thickness of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoid layer was about 20 µm. This tube with the layer of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids was heated at 500 °C for 2 hrs in air. The
detailed configuration of the gas sensor device has been previously reported. The sensor devices were placed in a chamber of airproof black box (with a volume of 2 liters) for the evaluation of gas sensing performance. The H$_2$S gas was injected into the black box using a microinjector to achieve the required concentration. The electrical resistances of the sensor were measured using a source meter (Keithley 2400), and a computer with a suitable Lab View interface was used to handle the data acquisition. During the measurement, the working voltage was set as 1 V. The response value is defined using the following equation: \( S = \frac{R_a}{R_g} \) (\(R_a\) and \(R_g\) are the sensors’ resistance readings in air and the target gases, respectively). The selectivity coefficient (\(S_{AB}\)) of gas A to gas B is defined as \(S_A/S_B\), where \(S_A\) and \(S_B\) are the response values to H$_2$S gas and to other gases (e.g., C$_2$H$_5$OH, CO, NO$_2$, H$_2$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, NH$_3$ in this study), respectively. The response times (\(t_{res}\)) is defined as the time at which the response value reaches 90% of its maximum one after the injection of H$_2$S, while the recovery times (\(t_{rec}\)) is defined as the time at which the response value is decreased to its 10% of its maximum one after the H$_2$S was replaced by air.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization of the \(\alpha\)-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids

XRD spectrum of the \(\alpha\)-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids is shown in Fig. 1. For the \(\alpha\)-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids obtained from the hydrothermal reaction, all the diffraction peaks in the
spectrum are corresponding to (012), (104), (110), (113), (024), (116), (018), (214), (300), (208), (119) and (220) crystal planes of the rhombohedral phase of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ crystalline structure (JCPDS No. 33-0664), with lattice parameters of $a = b = 5.036\,\text{Å}$, $c = 13.747\,\text{Å}$. No peaks associated with other phases are observed, suggesting that the as-synthesized powders after the hydrothermal reaction are pure $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ crystals. This indicates that the $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocrystals with a rhombohedral crystal structure can be directly prepared using the one-step hydrothermal process in this study.

Fig. 2 (a) SEM image of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids, (b) SEM images of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids after calcination at 500 °C, (c) TEM image of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids after the calcination process at 500 °C and (d) the corresponding HRTEM image. Inset in (d) shows the SAED pattern of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids.

As can be seen from the SEM image shown in Fig. 2a, the morphology of as-prepared $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanocrystals after the hydrothermal process are nano-ellipsoids. These $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids have an average long axis diameter of 275 nm and an average short axis diameter of 125 nm. No other types of morphologies are observed. After these nano-ellipsoids were annealed at 500 °C for 2hrs, the morphology and size of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-
ellipsoids are not significantly changed as shown in the SEM image in Fig 2b, indicating that the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids have good stability when annealed at high temperature. In addition, it can be found from the TEM image (see Fig. 2c) that the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids after calcination at 500 °C have smooth surfaces without any apparent pores. The smooth surface of the annealed α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids can facilitate fast absorption and desorption of H₂S gas molecules, which is beneficial to the fast response and recovery of gas sensor. As observed in Fig. 2d, the lattice fringe analysis shows that the interplanar distance of 0.365 nm is corresponding to (012) planes of α-Fe₂O₃. The SAED pattern shown in the inset of Fig. 2d demonstrates that the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids are crystalline in nature. The BET surface area of the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids obtained by the N₂ physisorption is 12.09 m²/g.

Fig. 3. (a) XPS survey spectrum, (b) Fe 2p and (c) O 1s high-resolution spectra of the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids, (d) FT-IR spectrum of the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids.

XPS survey spectrum of the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids is shown in Fig. 3a. It reveals the presence of Fe, O, and C elements. The binding energy peaks at 724.1 and 710.5 eV
and their corresponding satellite peak at 732.3 and 718.7 eV in the Fe 2p high-resolution XPS spectrum in Fig. 3(b) are attributed to Fe 2p\textsubscript{1/2} and Fe 2p\textsubscript{3/2}, respectively,\textsuperscript{19} which proves that the chemical state of iron element is Fe\textsuperscript{3+}.\textsuperscript{27,33} Two obvious peaks can be observed in the O 1s high-resolution XPS spectrum as shown in Fig. 3(c), which have the binding energy values of 529.2 and 530.7 eV. The peak located at 529.2 eV is associated with the lattice oxygen atoms in \textalpha-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3, and the peak located at 530.7 eV is linked with the chemisorbed oxygen species on the surface of \textalpha-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 nano-ellipsoids. The large peak area of chemisorbed oxygen species in Fig. 3(c) means that there are many chemisorbed oxygen species on the surfaces of \textalpha-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 nano-ellipsoids.\textsuperscript{33}

Fig. 3 (d) shows the FT-IR spectrum of the \textalpha-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 nano-ellipsoids. The peaks at 482 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and 574 cm\textsuperscript{-1} are corresponding to the Fe-O vibration modes of \textalpha-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3. The peak at 3428 cm\textsuperscript{-1} can be assigned to O-H stretching vibration mode of the absorbed water molecules, and that at 1632 cm\textsuperscript{-1} can be assigned to O-H bending vibration of absorbed water.

### 3.2 Gas-sensing properties

Fig. 4(a) shows typical current-voltage (I-V) curves measured with the two neighboring platinum electrodes bridged by the \textalpha-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 nano-ellipsoids layer at working temperatures from 100 °C to 340 °C. All the current values are increased linearly with the applied bias voltage increased from -10 V to 10 V. Such a linear behavior reveals a good ohmic contact formed between the \textalpha-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 nano-ellipsoid layer and Au electrodes. Moreover, when the working temperature is increased, the conductivity of the \textalpha-\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 nano-ellipsoid layer is increased, which is consistent with the conduction behavior of a standard semiconductor.
Fig. 4 (a) I-V curves of the $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids based sensor device at different working temperatures. (b) Response values of the sensor to H$_2$S (50 ppm) at different working temperatures (the inset in b is the response/recovery curves of 50 ppm H$_2$S at 26 °C). (c) the corresponding response/recovery times from 100 °C to 340 °C (d) response/recovery curves of the sensor to various gases at a fixed concentration of 50 ppm at 260 °C (the inset in (d) is the response histogram).

The working temperature affects the adsorption/desorption rates of oxygen molecules and target gas molecules on the surface of metal oxides, and thus affects the sensitivity of metal oxide based gas sensor. The response values of the $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids based sensor to 50 ppm H$_2$S have been tested at various working temperatures from 26 °C to 340 °C, and the obtained data are shown in Fig. 4 (b). The gas sensor shows the highest response value of 12.0 at the room temperature of 26 °C. However, as shown in Fig. 4 (b), the long response time (30 s) and recovery time (~ 787 s) of the sensor for sensing 50 ppm H$_2$S make it unsuitable for real-time application for the H$_2$S detection operated at room temperature. Whereas when the sensor was operated at
different working temperature from 100 °C to 340 °C, all the obtained response/recovery times are less than 15 s as shown in Fig 4 (c). With the increase of working temperature from 100 °C to 260 °C, the responses values are increased as shown in Fig. 4(b). However, they are then slowly decreased with the further increase of working temperature. The response value of the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids based sensor achieves a high value of 8.0 at 260 °C, which is higher than many reported values for sensors made of pure α-Fe₂O₃. For example, the response values of the sensors made of porous α-Fe₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃ film were 1.6 to 50 ppm of H₂S and 5 to 100 ppm H₂S operated at 250 °C, respectively. Taking into accounts of both response/recovery times and sensitivity response, the optimum working temperature for this study is 260 °C for the H₂S gas sensor based on α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids.

The high response values of the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids based sensor when operated at room temperature can be explained as follows. Besides the chemical reactions between H₂S molecules and chemisorption oxygen ions, the formation of iron sulphides (i.e. Fe₂S₃) on the surfaces of α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids due to the reaction between H₂S and Fe₂O₃ is another important factor to enhance the response values of the sensor. It was reported that the H₂S molecules can generate ions of S²⁻ and H⁺ on the surfaces of Fe₂O₃ to form iron sulphides, even at room temperature. Therefore, iron sulphides can be easily formed onto the surfaces of α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids, which results in a much higher response value than those operated at a much higher working temperatures. However, the formation rate of these iron sulphides is slow at room temperature, which means that the longer response time is needed. For example, Huang et al reported that the porous-Fe₂O₃ based H₂S gas sensor showed the highest sensitivity of 38.4 but with long response/recovery times of about 180/3700 s when sensing 100 ppm H₂S operated at room temperature.

When the working temperature is increased to above 100 °C, the chemical reactions between H₂S molecules and chemisorption oxygen ions becomes dominant. More active oxygen ions can form and the chemical activation potentials of sensing materials have been increased with the increase of working temperature, all of which can enhance the response values of the gas sensor. However, at a very high working temperature, the
adsorbed gas molecules can be quickly released from the surface of materials before the chemical reaction happens. Therefore, with the further increase of the working temperature, the quantities of the adsorbed H₂S molecules are decreased significantly, thus resulting in the decreased responses. Clearly, there is an optimum working temperature for these resistive H₂S gas sensors, such as those based on Fe₂O₃ film, α-Fe₂O₃ micro-ellipsoids, α-Fe₂O₃ nanochains and Au/Fe₂O₃ thin films, whose optimum working temperatures were reported to be 250, 350, 285 and 250 °C, respectively.

Good selectivity is another important requirement of gas sensors in order to avoid the interference from the other gases during gas sensing. The response/recovery curves of the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoid based gas sensor to different gases (including C₂H₅OH, CO, NO₂, H₂, CH₂Cl₂, NH₃) were measured at the same concentration of 50 ppm at 260 °C and these obtained results are shown in Fig. 4(d). It can be found that the gas sensor shows insignificant responses toward NO₂, CH₂Cl₂ and NH₃, and the response values toward C₂H₅OH, CO and H₂ are also very small, e.g., 1.5, 1.1 and 1.05, respectively. The response value of 8.0 towards H₂S for this gas sensor is remarkable higher than the values towards other types of gases. The selective coefficients (S₁₂, which is defined as the ratio of response values of gas sensor for H₂S and other gases) are 5.3, 7.0 and 7.6 for C₂H₅OH, CO and H₂, respectively. Generally, when the selective coefficient of a gas sensor is higher than 3, this gas sensor is regarded as having a good selectivity. For examples, the H₂S gas sensors made of porous ZnFe₂O₄ nanosheets and porous ZnO thin film showed H₂S selective coefficients of 5.3 and 4.1 to ethanol, respectively. The nanocrystalline ZnO thin films based H₂S gas sensor showed the H₂S selective coefficient of 3.48 to NH₃ and 2.92 to ethanol. All these above-mentioned H₂S gas sensors are regarded to have a good selectivity to H₂S. For the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids based H₂S gas sensor in this study, its high selective coefficient value reveals its excellent H₂S selectivity.

Various factors influence the selectivity of gas sensor. Firstly, the different responses of gas sensor toward different gases are mainly due to the chemical properties of gas molecules. The bond dissociation energy of H-S in H₂S molecules is only 381 kJ/mol,
which is smaller than those of other interfering gases. Consequently, compared to those of the other gases, the H-S bonds in H$_2$S molecules can be easily broken due to the chemisorbed oxide ions on the surface of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids, thus achieving a high response. On the other hand, the higher response of the sensor to H$_2$S than those to the other gases is also attributed to the formation of iron sulphides on the surface of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids, which can improve the response due to its higher conductance value than that of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$. Whereas there is no such effect when the sensor is used for the other types of gases. Therefore, the gas sensor based on α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids based H$_2$S gas sensor displays a good selectivity to H$_2$S gas.

Fig. 5 (a) Response/recovery curves of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoid sensors toward various H$_2$S concentrations (100 ppb–400 ppm) at 260 ºC, (b) the corresponding response values and (c) the corresponding response/recovery times, (d) the high-resolution response/recovery curve toward 50 ppm H$_2$S at 260 ºC.

Fig. 5(a) shows the response/recovery curves of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoid sensors toward various H$_2$S concentrations (100 ppb–400 ppm) operated at 260 ºC, and the
corresponding response values are shown in Fig. 5 (b). For all different concentrations of H₂S, this gas sensor can be fully recovered (e.g., returning back to the baseline) after the H₂S gas is quickly replaced with air, which indicates that the sensor made of the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids has an excellent reversibility. It also show high responses as can be seen in Fig. 5(b), e.g., the response is as high as 33.1 for detecting 400 ppm H₂S. Even when the H₂S concentration is as low as 100 ppb, the sensor still exhibits obvious response/recovery phenomena with a response of 1.2, indicating that the sensor can detect a low H₂S concentration down to ppb-level.

Furthermore, the response value (S) and concentration of H₂S (C_{H₂S}) are found to have a power law function relation (as listed in equation (1)) with a good correlation coefficient (R = 0.9974). This is beneficial for precisely detecting the concentrations of H₂S gas.

\[
S = 1.07C_{H₂S}^{0.57} \quad (R = 0.9974) \quad (1)
\]

The fast response/recovery speeds play a vital role for real-time detection of the toxic gases. For all response/recovery curves of the sensors based on the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids to various H₂S concentrations, the resistance value of the sensor is decreased sharply after injection of H₂S gas, whereas it quickly returns to the baseline quickly after the release of H₂S. This indicates that the H₂S gas sensor has very fast response/recovery speeds. The obtained response/recovery times are shown in Fig. 5 (c), and all the response times are less than 9 s and all the recovery times are less than 5 s. When the H₂S concentrations are increased from 1 ppm to 200 ppm, the response/recovery times are all less than 5 s. Fig. 5 (d) shows the response/recovery curve toward 50 ppm of H₂S with a sampling interval of 0.1 s. It is found that the response and recovery times to 50 ppm H₂S are only 0.8 s and 2.2 s respectively, showing an ultra-fast response/recovery behavior of the sensor.

Compared with the other reported Fe₂O₃ based H₂S gas sensors listed in Table 1, it is worthwhile to note that the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoid based H₂S gas sensor developed in this study exhibits much faster response/recovery speeds (for examples, compared to those based on Fe₂O₃ nanostructures,^{21,25-30} noble metal modified Fe₂O₃^{31-33} and hybrid Fe₂O₃ nanostructures^{34-35}). Furthermore, compared with other semiconducting metals
oxides based H$_2$S gas sensors reported in the literature (some of them are listed in Table 2), the response/recovery speeds of the H$_2$S gas sensor developed in this study are the fastest values as far as we have searched in the literature. For examples, the H$_2$S gas sensors based on various nanostructures of Co$_3$O$_4$, SnO$_2$, α-MoO$_3$, ZnO, WO$_3$ and CuO, all showed much longer response/recovery times than those of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids reported in this study.

Table 2 Sensing properties of H$_2$S gas sensors based on other semiconducting metal oxide nanomaterials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Working Temp.(°C)</th>
<th>Con. (ppm)</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>$t_{\text{res}}$/t$_{\text{rec}}$</th>
<th>Detection Limit</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-MoO$_3$</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>15 s/23 s</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>810 s/1080 s</td>
<td>100 ppb</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>240 s/1341 s</td>
<td>100 ppb</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>180 s/150 s</td>
<td>10 ppm</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO$_3$</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>~120 s/~300 s</td>
<td>120 ppb</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co$_3$O$_4$</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>46 s/24 s</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>500 s/6000 s</td>
<td>0.5 ppm</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>113.5</td>
<td>16 s/820 s</td>
<td>10 ppm</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>150 s/2500 s</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/SnO$_2$</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>99 s/111 s</td>
<td>100 ppb</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/CuO</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>69.04</td>
<td>700 s/120 s</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe/SnO$_2$</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>90 s/98 s</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd/α-MoO$_3$</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>23 s/45 s</td>
<td>5 ppm</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnO$_2$/CuO</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>10 s/42 s</td>
<td>10 ppm</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO/NiO</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>18 s/29 s</td>
<td>10 ppm</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO/ZnO</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>270 s/720 s</td>
<td>5 ppm</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>390 s/480 s</td>
<td>20 ppm</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.8 s/2.2 s</td>
<td>100 ppb</td>
<td>this work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is well known that α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ is an n-type semiconducting metal oxide and the surface chemical reactions between the adsorbed oxygen species and target gases are
responsible for the gas sensing performance. Fig. 6 shows a schematic illustration of H$_2$S sensing process on the surface of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids. The possible reactions that have taken place on the surfaces of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids are listed as follows:\(^{47}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O}_2(\text{ads}) + 2\text{e}^- & \rightarrow 2\text{O}(\text{ads})^- \quad (100 \sim 300 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}) \quad (2) \\
\text{H}_2\text{S} + 3\text{O}(\text{ads})^- & \rightarrow \text{SO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 3\text{e}^- \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]

Fig. 6 Schematic illustration of H$_2$S sensing mechanism on the surface of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids.

In air, the chemisorbed oxygen ions on the surfaces of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids are mainly O$^-$ ions at the working temperature of 260 °C.\(^{60}\) Oxygen molecules are adsorbed onto the surfaces of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids, and then transfer into O$^-$ ions by gaining electrons from the conductive bands of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ to form O$^-$ ions (as shown in reaction equation (2)). Therefore, an electron depletion layer with a high-resistance state is formed on the surface of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids as shown in Fig. 6. When the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids are exposed to H$_2$S gas, H$_2$S molecules react with the chemisorbed oxygen (O$^-$), as listed in reaction equation (3). The reaction releases electrons to the electron depletion layers and thus reduces the resistance of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids as shown in Fig. 6.

In addition, the H$_2$S molecules can react with the lattice oxygen on the surface of the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nano-ellipsoids to form iron sulphides (Fe$_2$S$_3$), based on the reaction equation (4).\(^{28}\) Fe$_2$S$_3$ has a lower band gap than Fe$_2$O$_3$, which can cause the increase of surface conductance.\(^{61}\) When the H$_2$S gas is replaced with the air, the recovery of Fe$_2$O$_3$ from Fe$_2$S$_3$ will occur due to the reaction of the Fe$_2$S$_3$ with the active oxygen ions of O$^-$ at
the working temperature of 260 °C as shown in the reaction equation (5).\textsuperscript{28, 39}

\begin{align*}
H_2S + Fe_2O_3 & \rightarrow Fe_2S_3 + 3H_2O \quad (4) \\
Fe_2S_3 + 9O^− & \rightarrow Fe_2O_3 + 3SO_2 \quad (5)
\end{align*}

The excellent H\textsubscript{2}S gas sensing performance of the α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nano-ellipsoids based sensor can be attributed to their homogeneous and smooth surface nanostructures, which promote the rapidly adsorptions of the O\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}S molecules.

![Graph](image)

Fig. 7 (a) Repeatability of α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nano-ellipsoids based sensors for 200 ppm H\textsubscript{2}S at 260 °C, (b) long-term stability of α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nano-ellipsoids to H\textsubscript{2}S with the concentration of 100 ppm (black), 50 ppm (red) and 10 ppm (blue), respectively.

The repeatability of the gas sensors was further investigated by measuring the 200 ppm of H\textsubscript{2}S at the optimum operating temperature of 260 °C, and the obtained results are shown in Fig. 7 (a). It is found that all the response/recovery curves are repeatable without any significant changes, and the sensor maintains the similar responses and ultrafast response/recovery speeds within 13 cycles, indicating its good repeatability.

In order to prove its long-term stability, the sensor has been tested repeatedly for 30 days. The response values are shown in Fig. 7 (b). In the repeated tests for 30 days, the responses of the sensor toward the H\textsubscript{2}S gas with various concentrations (100 ppm, 50 ppm and 10 ppm) only show minor fluctuations within a small range. Moreover, comparing the response/recovery curves of 50 ppm H\textsubscript{2}S measured on the first day and the last day as shown in the Fig. 7 (b), the response/recovery curves have not shown apparent differences, and the response/recovery times are 0.8 s/2.2 s and 0.9 s/2.6 s,
respectively. Therefore, the H₂S gas sensor based on α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids has shown a good long-term stability.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, a facile one-step hydrothermal method can be used to prepare the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids. The uniformly formed α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids have smooth and dense surfaces. Such α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids based gas sensor exhibits excellent H₂S gas sensing performance with fast response/recovery speeds, good selectivity and a low detection limit at an optimum temperature of 260 °C. Moreover, this sensor shows a good repeatability and stable recycling performance as well as a good long-term stability. Therefore, the α-Fe₂O₃ nano-ellipsoids based gas sensor can be successfully applied in detection H₂S gas.
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