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Abstract

When a voltage difference is applied between a conducting liquid and a conducting (solid) electrode, the liquid is observed to spread on the solid. This phenomenon, generally referred to as electrowetting, underpins a number of interfacial phenomena of interest in applications that range from droplet microfluidics to optics. Here, we present a lattice-Boltzmann method that can simulate the coupled hydrodynamics and electrostatics equations of motion of a two-phase fluid as a means to model electrowetting phenomena. Our method has the advantage of modelling the electrostatic fields within the lattice-Boltzmann algorithm itself, eliminating the need for a hybrid method. We validate our method by reproducing the static equilibrium configuration of a droplet subject to an applied voltage and show that the apparent contact angle of the drop depends on the voltage following the Young-Lippmann equation up to contact angles of ≈ 50°. At higher voltages, we observe a saturation of the contact angle caused by the competition between electric and capillary stresses, similar to previous experimental observations. We also study the stability of a dielectric film trapped between a conducting fluid and a solid electrode and find a good agreement with analytical predictions based on lubrication theory. Finally, we investigate the film dynamics at long times.
and report observations of film breakup and entrapment similar to previously reported experimental results.

Introduction

Electrowetting refers to the spreading of an electrically conducting liquid on a solid electrode when a voltage difference is applied between the two. Because of its ability to control the interaction of liquids with solid surfaces, electrowetting has triggered a number of applications, such as droplet-based microfluidic devices, droplet actuation and mixing, deformable optical apertures and lenses, and electronic paper displays. Broadly speaking, there are two types of electrowetting setups: Electrowetting On Conductor (EWOC), in which the conductive liquid is in direct contact with the solid electrode, and the more popular Electrowetting On Dielectric (EWOD), in which direct contact is removed by coating the electrode with a dielectric layer.

The simplest electrowetting situation, used widely in many EWOC and EWOD setups, is the spreading of a droplet of conductive liquid on a solid in the presence of an ambient dielectric fluid. During the actuation, the ambient fluid forms a thin film underneath the droplet that can become unstable and break up into small “bubbles” that remain in contact with the solid. Such a transition introduces mobile contact lines, which can drastically affect the friction force acting on its overall dynamics. On the other hand, the spreading of a droplet at high voltages can reach a saturation regime, where the apparent contact angle that the droplet forms with the solid settles to a limiting value. At even higher voltages, the edge of the spreading droplet can become unstable, and trigger the breakup of small droplets that form coronal patterns around the mother drop.

Despite these important advances, the rich phenomenology of electrowetting remains to be fully understood. For this purpose, it is essential to develop computational methods that capture the multiphase fluid dynamics and that resolve the effect of electrostatic interactions,
as these can help interpret experiments and inform theory. The Lattice-Boltzmann Method (LBM) has proved to be a powerful tool to study multiphase fluid dynamics\textsuperscript{35}. To implement electrowetting within the LBM, it has been proposed to prescribe the interaction energy of the surface\textsuperscript{36,37}, which leads to an effective contact angle. Such an approach, however, does not capture the underlying coupling between the hydrodynamic and electrostatic fields. As a means to overcome this limitation, hybrid methods that solve the electrostatic field equations separately have been developed\textsuperscript{38}, but these come at the expense of running and coupling two numerical solvers concurrently.

Here we present a lattice-Boltzmann method capable of solving the coupled hydrodynamics-electrostatics equations that govern electrowetting phenomena within a single algorithm. We use the so-called free-energy approach as a starting point to model the multiphase fluid dynamics, and show that the effect of the electrostatic energy can be included explicitly in the corresponding energy functional. We introduce a set of lattice-Boltzmann equations, where the electrostatic potential field is determined by a new set of distribution functions. We validate this “all-in-one” method by comparing the electrowetting-induced spreading of a droplet to the classical theory of Young and Lippman\textsuperscript{39}. To illustrate the utility of the method, we present results of the stability of the thin film separating a conducting droplet and a solid electrode, considering both the linear and non-linear regimes.

Theoretical model and lattice-Boltzmann algorithm

Diffuse-interface model of electrowetting phenomena

Let us consider two incompressible, immiscible fluids: a perfect conductor, corresponding to the spreading liquid, and a dielectric, corresponding to the surrounding phase. We describe the two-fluid system using a diffuse-interface model that identifies each phase using an order parameter, or phase field, $\phi(\mathbf{x},t)$, where $\mathbf{x}$ denotes the position vector and $t$ denotes time. Without loss of generality, we let $\phi > 0$ be the conductive phase and $\phi < 0$ be the dielectric.
The Helmholtz free energy of the fluid-fluid system can be defined as

$$ F_{th}[\phi] := \int_{\Omega} \psi(\phi, \nabla \phi) \, d^3 x + \int_{\partial \Omega} \zeta \phi \, dS. \quad (1) $$

The first term corresponds to the volumetric contribution to the free energy over the region occupied by the fluid, $\Omega$. This consists of the well-known energy density of a binary fluid

$$ \psi(\phi, \nabla \phi) := \frac{3 \gamma}{\sqrt{8 \ell}} \left[ \frac{\phi^4}{4} - \frac{\phi^2}{2} + \frac{\ell^2}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 \right], \quad (2) $$

where the square-gradient term allows the coexistence of the two bulk phases, of equilibrium phase-field values $\phi = \pm 1$, separated by a diffuse interface of thickness $\ell$ and surface tension $\gamma$. The second integral in Eq. (1) corresponds to the surface interaction energy of the fluid with the solid electrode, whose boundary is denoted by $\partial \Omega$, and where the constant $\zeta$ is called the wetting potential.

In equilibrium, and in the absence of an electric field, the fluid-fluid interface is expected to intersect the solid boundary at an angle $\theta_0$ determined by the Young-Dupré relation

$$ \gamma_{sd} - \gamma_{sc} = \gamma \cos \theta_0, \quad (3) $$

where $\gamma_{sd}$ and $\gamma_{sc}$ are the solid-dielectric fluid and solid-conductive fluid surface tensions. This is a standard result that can be obtained from Eqs. (1)–(3), which yield a relation between the wetting potential and the contact angle:

$$ \zeta = \frac{3}{2} \gamma \text{sgn}(\theta_0 - \pi/2) \{\cos(\alpha/3) \left[ 1 - \cos(\alpha/3) \right]\}^{1/2}, \quad (4) $$

where $\alpha = \arccos(\sin^2 \theta_0)$. It can also be shown that, in such a limit, the pressure field, $p(x)$, is uniform in each phase, but jumps across the interface satisfying the Young-Laplace
relation
\[ \Delta p = 2\gamma \kappa, \]  
(5)

where \( \kappa \) is the interface curvature\(^{45} \).

To model the electrostatic behaviour of the fluid mixture we introduce the electrostatic free energy:
\[ F_{\text{el}}[V] := -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |E|^2 \, d^3x, \]  
(6)

which quantifies the potential energy density of the electric field \( E(x) = -\nabla V \), where \( V(x) \) is the electric potential and \( \varepsilon \) is the electric permittivity\(^{46,47} \).

Out of equilibrium, local differences in the total free energy, \( F = F_{\text{th}} + F_{\text{el}} \), give rise to capillary and electrostatic forces. On the one hand, changes in the phase field lead to a chemical potential field
\[ \vartheta(x, t) := \delta F / \delta \phi = \frac{3\gamma}{\sqrt{8\ell}} \left[ \phi\left(\phi^2 - 1\right) - \ell^2 \nabla^2 \phi \right], \]  
(7)

and a corresponding capillary force density
\[ f_{\text{cap}} = -\phi \nabla \vartheta, \]  
(8)

which reduces to Eq. (5) in equilibrium\(^{45} \). On the other hand, changes in the electric potential give rise to the electric charge distribution\(^{47} \)
\[ \varrho_{\text{el}}(x, t) := -\frac{\delta F}{\delta V} = -\varepsilon \nabla^2 V = \varepsilon \nabla \cdot E, \]  
(9)

and to the electric force density
\[ f_{\text{el}} = \varrho_{\text{el}} E, \]  
(10)

which is the Lorentz force in the absence of magnetic fields\(^{47} \).

The chemical and electrostatic force densities, Eqs. (8) and (10), together with the local
pressure gradient, \(-\nabla p\), change the momentum of the fluid. The resulting total force density can be written in terms of a generalised pressure tensor, \(\Pi\), i.e.,

\[
-\nabla \cdot \Pi := -\nabla p + f_{\text{cap}} + f_{\text{el}}. \tag{11}
\]

This leads to the expression

\[
\Pi = (\phi \vartheta - \psi) I + \frac{3\gamma \ell}{\sqrt{8}} \nabla \phi \nabla \phi - \varepsilon \left( EE - \frac{1}{2} |E|^2 I \right), \tag{12}
\]

where the last term in brackets is the Maxwell stress tensor\(^{47}\) and \(I\) is the identity matrix.

The equations of motion of the fluids are obtained as follows. First, imposing the conservation of momentum leads to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations

\[
\rho \left( \partial_t + u \cdot \nabla \right) u = -\nabla \cdot \left[ \Pi - \mu \left( \nabla u + \nabla u^T \right) \right], \tag{13}
\]

where \(u(x, t), \rho\) and \(\mu(x)\) are the velocity field, density and dynamic viscosity of the fluid, respectively, and the superscript \(T\) denotes matrix transposition. To allow viscosity differences between the two phases we impose the local viscosity as

\[
\mu(\phi) := \frac{1 + \phi}{2} \mu_c + \frac{1 - \phi}{2} \mu_d, \tag{14}
\]

where \(\mu_c\) and \(\mu_d\) are the bulk viscosities of the conductive and dielectric fluids.

Imposing the conservation of the phase field leads to a convection-diffusion equation, often referred to as the Cahn-Hilliard equation\(^{48}\):

\[
\partial_t \phi + u \cdot \nabla \phi = M \nabla^2 \vartheta, \tag{15}
\]

where \(M\) is called the mobility.

To complete the formulation of the problem, we need to specify the electrostatic force
density, which is a function of the potential field, $V$. In the following, we assume that both phases are ideal, i.e., the conductor has a vanishing electrical resistivity, while the dielectric has a vanishing electrical conductivity. It then follows that, since the electric field in the conductor is zero, the potential is constant in the bulk of that phase, i.e.,

$$V = V_0 \quad \text{as } \phi \to 1. \quad (16)$$

On the other hand, for a perfect dielectric $\rho_{\text{el}} = 0$, so Eq. (9) reduces to

$$\nabla^2 V = 0 \quad \text{as } \phi \to -1. \quad (17)$$

The boundary conditions for the coupled set of PDEs, equations (13), (15) and (17), are specified as follows. For the velocity field we impose the impenetrability and no-slip boundary conditions:

$$u(x_b) = 0 \quad \text{for } x_b \in \partial \Omega. \quad (18)$$

For the phase field, we impose the natural boundary condition

$$\hat{n} \cdot \nabla \phi(x_b) = -\sqrt{\frac{8}{3\gamma \ell}} \zeta(\theta) \quad \text{for } x_b \in \partial \Omega, \quad (19)$$

where $\hat{n}$ is the unit normal to the solid boundary, and which enforces the wetting behaviour of the fluid-fluid mixture. Finally, for the potential we impose

$$V(x_b) = V_b \quad \text{for } x_b \in \partial \Omega, \quad (20)$$

where $V_b$ is the potential at the boundary.
Lattice-Boltzmann algorithm

In this section we formulate a lattice-Boltzmann algorithm capable of integrating Eqs. (13), (15) and (17), subject to the boundary conditions (18)-(20).

The lattice-Boltzmann method is a computational fluid dynamics solver that iterates the discretised Boltzmann equations

\[ f_q(x + c_q, t + 1) = f_q(x, t) + C[f]_q \]  

and

\[ g_q(x + c_q, t + 1) = g_q(x, t) + C[g]_q, \]  

where \( f_q \) and \( g_q \) are particle distribution functions that represent the average number of fluid particles with position \( x \) and velocity \( c_q \) at time \( t \). Space and time are discretised, and the velocity space is sampled by a finite set of vectors \( \{c_r\}_{r=0}^{Q-1} \), where \( Q \) is the number of directions in which the particle populations can move. Here, we use the D2Q9 model, which consists of a two-dimensional square lattice with \( Q = 9 \) (see Supplementary Information I).

The time evolution of the distribution functions, given by Eqs. (21) and (22), consists of a collision step and a streaming step. The collision step, performed by the second term on the right-hand-side in each equation, relaxes the distribution functions local equilibrium values, \( f_q^{\text{eq}} \) and \( g_q^{\text{eq}} \). Here we use the Multi-Relaxation Time scheme (MRT) to model the collision of the \( f_q \), i.e.,

\[ C[f]_q := - \sum_{r=0}^{Q-1} \Lambda_{qr} [f_r - f_r^{\text{eq}}](x, t), \]  

where the coefficients \( \Lambda_{qr} \) determine the relaxation rate to equilibrium. We use the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation procedure to define the coefficients of the collision operator. For the collision of the \( g_q \) we use the single-relaxation time approximation,

\[ C[g]_q := -\Lambda [g_q - g_q^{\text{eq}}](x, t), \]  

where \( \Lambda \) is the relaxation rate to equilibrium.
where we set $\Lambda = 1$, which helps improve the stability of the numerical method without loss of generality.\(^5\)

The connection between the lattice-Boltzmann equations and the hydrodynamic equations is done by relating the moments of the distribution functions to the hydrodynamic fields. The local mass, momentum and phase fields correspond to

$$\rho = \sum_{q=0}^{Q-1} f_q, \quad (25)$$

$$\rho u = \sum_{q=0}^{Q-1} c_q f_q \quad (26)$$

and

$$\phi = \sum_{q=0}^{Q-1} g_q. \quad (27)$$

The equilibrium distributions, $f_q^{eq}$ and $g_q^{eq}$, are constructed to convey the thermodynamic behaviour of the fluid and to ensure the local conservation of mass and momentum. This is done by requiring that their moments satisfy the conditions:

$$\sum_q c_q f_q^{eq} = \rho, \quad \sum_q g_q^{eq} = \phi,$$

$$\sum_q c_q f_q^{eq} = \rho u, \quad \sum_q c_q g_q^{eq} = \phi u,$$

and

$$\sum_q c_q c_q f_q^{eq} = \Pi + \rho uu \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_q c_q c_q g_q^{eq} = 2 M \vartheta I + \phi uu.$$

Suitable expressions of the equilibrium distributions have been reported before\(^{48,51}\). For the $f_q^{eq}$, we use

$$f_q^{eq}(\rho, u, \Pi) = w_q \left[ \frac{1}{c_s} \rho u \cdot H_q^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2 c_s^2} (\Pi + \rho uu) : H_q^{(2)} \right] \quad (28)$$

if $q \neq 0$, and

$$f_0^{eq}(\rho, u, \Pi) = \rho - \sum_{q=1}^{Q-1} f_q^{eq}. \quad (29)$$

For the $g_q^{eq}$, we use

$$g_q^{eq} = w_q \left[ \frac{1}{c_s} \phi u \cdot H_q^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2 c_s^2} (2 M \vartheta + \phi uu) : H_q^{(2)} \right], \quad (30)$$
if \( q \neq 0 \), and
\[
g_0^{eq} = \phi - \sum_{q=1}^{Q-1} g_q^{eq}. \tag{31}
\]

In these expressions, the \( w_q \) are weighting factors determined by the geometry of the lattice, \( H_q^{(n)} = H^{(n)}(c_q) \) is the tensor Hermite polynomial of \( n \)-th degree, and \( c_s = 1/\sqrt{3} \) is a constant that represents the speed of sound \(^{52}\) (see Supplementary Information I for a list of expressions).

Using a Chapman-Enskog expansion, Eqs. (21) and (22), together with Eqs. (23)--(31), reduce to the Navier-Stokes (13) and Cahn-Hilliard (15) equations. From the expansion, the viscosity, \( \mu \), is determined by the coefficients of the collision matrix, \( \Lambda_{qr}^{49} \) (see Supplementary Information I).

The electric potential

To model the effect of the electrostatic potential field, it suffices to introduce an algorithm that solves Laplace’s equation in the dielectric, whilst keeping the potential to a constant value in the conductor.

Hence, we take inspiration from the diffusive dynamics which arises from the LBM itself\(^{53}\), and introduce a third lattice-Boltzmann equation in the following form,
\[
h_q(x + c_q, t + 1) = h_q(x, t) + C[h]_q, \tag{32}
\]
where we use a single-relaxation-time collision operator,
\[
C[h]_q := -\Lambda[h_q - h_q^{eq}](x, t), \tag{33}
\]
where \( \Lambda = 1 \).
This new distribution function is related to the local electric potential, $V$, by the relations
\[ V = \sum_q h_q, \quad \text{(34)} \]

and
\[ h_q^{\text{eq}} = w_q V. \quad \text{(35)} \]

Eq. (35) offers the advantage of setting the electric potential to a prescribed value, by fixing the right-hand side, and thus allows the modelling of a conducting liquid (for which the potential equilibrates to a constant).

We now analyse the long-time, large-lengthscale behaviour of Eqs. (32)-(35). First, we express Eq. (32) in terms of the equilibrium distribution, $h_q^{\text{eq}}$, using Eq. (35). This is done by writing the collision step as a differential operator acting on $h_q^{\text{eq}}$ (for details, see Supplementary Information II), i.e.,
\[ -[h_q - h_q^{\text{eq}}] = (\partial_t + c_q \cdot \nabla) h_q^{\text{eq}} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t + c_q \cdot \nabla)^2 h_q^{\text{eq}} + ... \quad \text{(36)} \]

Applying the summation operator, $\sum_q$, to Eq. (36), and using Eqs. (34) and (35), we find
\[ 0 = \partial_t V - \frac{1}{2} \partial_t^2 V - \frac{1}{2} c_s^2 \nabla^2 V + ... \quad \text{(37)} \]

where we identify $\epsilon = c_s^2/2$. During a relaxation process the first and second terms in Eq. (37) will asymptotically vanish, and thus, $V$ will satisfy Eq. (17) at long times. In the context of electrowetting, one requires that this relaxation is faster than the typical timescales of the hydrodynamic fields, $u$ and $\phi$.

To quantify the transient, let us investigate the solutions of Eq. (37). Since the equation is linear, we proceed in the standard way by proposing the Ansatz $V = X(x)T(t)^{54}$. This
leads to the ordinary differential equation for the temporal part,

\[
2 \frac{dT}{dt} - \left( \frac{dT}{dt} \right)^2 + c_s^2 K^2 T = 0,
\]

(38)

and a partial differential equation for the spatial part,

\[
\nabla^2 X + K^2 X = 0,
\]

(39)

where \( K = \text{const.} \) is the eigenvalue that couples the system of equations.

For the temporal part, Eq. (38), we look for solutions that decay at long times, i.e.,

\[
T(t) = \exp \left[ (1 - \sqrt{1 + c_s^2 K^2}) t \right],
\]

(40)

where the term in brackets is always negative for non-vanishing \( K \).

To better understand the rate of decay of the transient, which is controlled by \( K \), let us focus on the limiting case of a uniform dielectric phase in a rectangular domain of size \( L_x \times L_y \). In such a case, Eq. (39) can be solved analytically, leading to the spectrum of eigenvalues

\[
K^2 = \left( \frac{2\pi l}{L_x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{2\pi m}{L_y} \right)^2,
\]

(41)

where \( l \) and \( m \) are positive integers. Let us now define the transient period, \( \tau_{\text{trans}} \), as the characteristic decay time associated to the smallest eigenvalue,

\[
\tau_{\text{trans}} := \max \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + c_s^2 K^2} - 1}, \quad K \neq 0,
\]

(42)

which, for the uniform rectangular domain, is

\[
\tau_{\text{trans}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\max(L_x, L_y)}{\pi c_s} \right)^2.
\]

(43)
Figure 1: (Colour online) Convergence of the lattice-Boltzmann algorithm to model the relaxation of the electric potential field. (a) Surface plot of the electric potential obtained after an initial relaxation of $n = 16 \tau_{\text{trans}}$ iterations. (b) Semi-log plot of the evolution of the error as a function of the number of iterations. The grey area corresponds to the initial relaxation. Afterwards, evolution of the error is subject to the changes in the boundary conditions and it is represented by curves for different interleaved iterations, $N_{\text{inter}}$. The inset shows the asymptotic behaviour of the error with respect to the drift velocity of the boundaries, $u_0$. The size of the simulation domain is $L_x = 128$ by $L_y = 128$.

The presence of a conductive phase will effectively reduce the domain of Eq. (39), and thus, will shift the spectrum of $K$ to higher values. This implies that, Eq. (43) is an upper bound for the transient from arbitrary initial conditions to a steady state solution.

However, if the initial conditions for the electric field are close to a stationary solution, the transient number of iterations required to relax a small perturbation will be much smaller. For instance, introducing a perturbation of the order of one lattice unit to a stationary solution will lead to $K \approx 2\pi$. Hence, from Eq. (42), the transient reduces to

$$\tau_{\text{trans}} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (2\pi c_s)^2} - 1} < 1. \quad (44)$$

Such a fast relaxation can be particularly useful, for instance, when the bulk electrostatic potential $V_0$ is varied quasi statically to explore stationary wetting configurations, were a single iteration might be enough to update the electrostatic field.

To test the speed of convergence of the method to solve Laplace’s equation, we carried out
a set of simulations of a 2D domain of dimensions $L_x \times L_y$ filled with a single dielectric fluid. We tracked the evolution of the electric potential field, $V(x, y, t)$, subject to the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$V_b(x, y, t) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{L_x}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{L_y}(y - u_0 t)\right)$$

at $y \in [1, L_y]$ if $x = 1, L_x$ and at $x \in [1, L_x]$ if $y = 1, L_y$ (see Fig. 1a). To characterise dynamic changes in the potential field (e.g., due to a moving phase) we introduce the drift velocity $u_0$.

As explained above, the algorithm solves Eq. (37), which is is expected to converge to Laplace’s equation (Eq. (17)) at long times. Henceforth, we define

$$\text{Er} := \frac{\left[\sum_{x,y} |\nabla^2 V|^2\right]^{1/2}}{L_x L_y \max V - \min V}$$

as the relative error per unit simulation node.

We first tested the speed of convergence of the algorithm from a random initial condition, which we allowed to relax for $n = 16 \tau_{\text{trans}}$ iterations. We set the drift velocity to $u_0 = 0$. Fig. 1a shows a surface plot of $V(x, y)$ after relaxation. The error, reported in Fig. 1b, drops below $10^{-4}$ after $n \sim \tau_{\text{trans}}$ and saturates below $10^{-9}$ for $n > 10\tau_{\text{trans}}$.

Introducing a finite drift velocity, $u_0$, is useful to understand how quickly the algorithm “catches up” in dynamic situations. Hence, we tested the convergence of the error by allowing for a different number of interleaved iterations of the potential field within a single timestep, $N_{\text{inter}}$. Fig. 1b shows the convergence curves of the error for $u_0 = 10^{-3}c_S$, which saturate to a maximum error $\approx 10^{-8}$ for $N_{\text{inter}} = 1$. Hence, for a given value of the typical velocity in the simulations, the error can be reduced by increasing $N_{\text{inter}}$. For example, as shown in Fig. 1(b) inset, for $u_0 < 10^{-3}c_s$ (corresponding to a Mach number $\text{Ma} := u_0/c_s < 10^{-3}$), one interleaved iteration is enough to maintain $\text{Er} < 10^{-8}$. Typically, the motion of viscous conducting and dielectric fluids in electrowetting setups is damped by friction forces, corresponding low Reynolds and capillary numbers which also correspond to low Ma.
Simulation setup: initial and boundary conditions

We now describe the simulation implementation to model the dynamics in an EWOD setup. The electric potential and its corresponding distribution function are defined in a simulation box of size $L_x \times L_y$. The two-phase fluid and corresponding distribution functions are defined in a simulation box of size $L_x \times (L_y - 2d)$, where $d$ is a gap used to accommodate for a solid dielectric layer. This has the purpose of isolating the conductive phase from the bounding electrodes on the finite domain, and thus, to avoid divergences in the electric field. The permittivity of the solid dielectric is set equal to the permittivity of the dielectric fluid.

The velocity field is set to
\[ u(x, t = 0) = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (47)
everywhere in the simulation domain. The phase field, is initialised to
\[ \phi(x, t = 0) = \phi_i(x), \]  \hspace{1cm} (48)
which we specify for the specific configurations reported in the following sections. The electric potential is initialised as follows.
\[ V(x, t = 0) := \begin{cases} V_0, & \text{if } \phi > 0, \\ V_0/2, & \text{if } \phi \leq 0. \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (49)

At subsequent times, and in order to avoid discontinuities in electric field (which would lead to a diverging electrostatic force), we introduce a smoothing algorithm of the electrostatic potential as follows,
\[ V(x, t) = \beta V_0 + (1 - \beta) \sum_q h_q(x, t), \]  \hspace{1cm} (50)
where $\beta$ is an interpolation weight defined as

$$
\beta(\phi(x)) := \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{for } \phi > \phi_{\text{thr}} \\
\phi/\phi_{\text{thr}} & \text{for } 0 < \phi < \phi_{\text{thr}} \\
0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
$$

where $\phi_{\text{thr}} = 0.9$, is a threshold value set to identify the bulk of the conductor. In this way, the potential is fixed to the prescribed value $V_0$ at the bulk of the conductive phase, whereas it evolves according to Eq. (34) in the bulk of the dielectric phase.

Using this setup, we found that the electric potential relaxes to a steady state typically after $L_x^2/8$ iterations. Nonetheless, since transient hydrodynamic flows are slow compared to the speed of sound ($|\mathbf{u}| \ll c_s$), we found that the distribution function $h_q$ could be updated at the same pace as $f_q$ and $g_q$, with only one iteration required to relax the electric potential field.

We impose periodic boundary conditions along the $x$ and $y$ directions, and fix the solid electrode at the top and bottom boundaries of the simulation domain. To implement the no-slip boundary condition at the solid surface we use the bounce-back algorithm. To implement the wettability of the surface, Eq. (19), we compute the gradient and Laplacian of the phase field at near-boundary nodes using finite differences to then fix the corresponding incoming distribution functions from the solid surface. Finally, to implement the boundary condition on the voltage, $V_b$, we follow a similar approach to that of Ledesma-Aguilar, et al. We specify the distribution functions streaming from sites on the solid electrode, of position vector $\mathbf{x}_b$, to sites in the fluid near the solid boundary, of position vector $\mathbf{x}_{\text{nb}}$, according to

$$
h_{\bar{q}}(\mathbf{x}_{\text{nb}}, t) = w_{\bar{q}}V_b,
$$

where the indices $\bar{q}$ correspond to the distribution functions that stream away from the boundary. Specifically, $\bar{q} \in \{q : c_q + c_{q'} = 0, q \in \Gamma\}$, where $\Gamma := \{q : \mathbf{x}_{\text{nb}} + \delta \mathbf{c}_q = \mathbf{x}_b, 0 <$
\( \delta < 1 \) gives the indices of lattice vectors that stream towards the electrode.

In summary, the simulation algorithm is carried out as follows. The hydrodynamic behaviour of the fluid is solved by the first lattice-Boltzmann equation, Eq. (21). The behaviour of the flow is subject to the stresses defined by the pressure tensor \( \Pi \), and are included by the equilibrium distribution function according to Eqs. (28) and (29). As a result, we obtain the velocity field at the next timestep by means of Eqs. (25) and (26). The second lattice-Boltzmann equation, Eq. (22), gives rise to the advection and diffusion of the phase field, \( \phi \). This is done by defining its equilibrium distribution function in Eq. (30) and (31), and by evaluating Eq. (27). In this way, the shape and position of the conducting and dielectric phases is obtained at the next iteration step. The third lattice-Boltzmann equation, Eq. (32), gives the electric potential. This is done by setting Eq. (35) and defining the voltage which is coupled by the phase field according to Eq. (50). Finally, by means of Eqs. (7) and (12), the phase field and electric potential sets the value of the chemical potential and pressure tensor, thus closing the iteration cycle.

Table 1: Parameters for the simulations of the spreading of a droplet and the dielectric film dynamics in Lattice-Boltzmann units.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value in §</th>
<th>Value in §</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simulation box</td>
<td>( L \times L_y )</td>
<td>512 × 288</td>
<td>[418, 1256] × 84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface tension</td>
<td>( \gamma )</td>
<td>6 × 10(^{-3})</td>
<td>8 × 10(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface width</td>
<td>( \ell )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact angle</td>
<td>( \theta_0 )</td>
<td>[160°, 120°]</td>
<td>180°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>( \rho )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic viscosity</td>
<td>( \mu_c, \mu_d )</td>
<td>1/6, 1/6</td>
<td>1/600, 1/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>( M )</td>
<td>1/10</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permittivity</td>
<td>( \varepsilon )</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>1/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric thickness</td>
<td>( d )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial config.</td>
<td></td>
<td>( R_0 = 128 )</td>
<td>( a = 1, H_0 = 20 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2: (Colour online) 2D LB simulations of a droplet in an EWOD set-up. A droplet of conducting liquid sits on top of a dielectric solid of thickness $d$ (grey line). The droplet is set to an electric potential $V_0$ and, on the other side of the dielectric surface, the electric potential is set to zero (black line). The dielectric fluid surrounds the droplet where the electric field, $E$ is shown by the stream plot. The dashed line corresponds to the fitting circle that defines the new contact angle $\theta$.

Results and Discussion

Electrowetting of a droplet

In this section we validate the lattice-Boltzmann algorithm by studying the electrowetting-driven spreading of a droplet in an EWOD setup. We start by reviewing the Young-Lippmann classical theory of electrowetting\textsuperscript{1,39}, before comparing to our simulation results.

Review of the Young-Lippmann Theory

Consider a droplet of a conductive liquid in an EWOD setup as shown in Fig. 2. As the potential difference applied between the droplet and the electrode is increased, the electric charges begin to gather at the interface of a conductive liquid with a higher density near the grounded electrode. This configuration corresponds to a capacitor. Therefore, and neglecting the charges that accumulate on the opposite side of the solid dielectric, the electrostatic energy, per unit surface area of the electrode, is $cV_0^2/2$, where $c$ is the capacitance per unit area of the configuration\textsuperscript{46}. Because the droplet’s surface is compliant, the electrostatic force...
leads to a spreading of the liquid on the solid electrode.

The equilibrium configuration of the droplet will be determined by the balance between the work done by the electric field against the increase in surface energy. Mechanically, an infinitesimal radial displacement of the contact line, $dR$, results in a net radial force on the interface of the droplet. Hence, mechanical equilibrium is achieved when

$$0 = (\gamma_{sd} - \gamma_{sc} - \gamma \cos \theta + cV_0^2/2)dR. \tag{53}$$

Using Eq. (3) and dividing by $\gamma$, Eq. (53) results in the Young-Lippmann relation\(^1\),

$$\cos \theta(V_0) = \cos \theta_0 + \eta, \tag{54}$$

where

$$\eta := \frac{cV_0^2}{2\gamma} \tag{55}$$

is the electrowetting number.

Therefore, the contact angle of a droplet is reduced with increasing applied voltage. Experimentally, Young-Lipmann’s result has been verified over a range of voltages. However, it has also been observed that at high voltages the contact angle reaches a saturation value, beyond which the theory is no longer valid\(^56,57\).

**Lattice-Boltzmann simulations**

The initial configuration of the system consists of a circular droplet of the conducting liquid suspended in the dielectric fluid. We impose the initial conditions in the simulations using Eqs. (47), (48) and (49); the initial phase field reads

$$\phi_i(x) = \tanh \left( \frac{R_0 - |x - X_0|}{\sqrt{2}\ell} \right), \tag{56}$$
Figure 3: (Colour online) Simulations of droplet spreading using an EWOD setup. (a) Stationary droplet configurations at different applied voltage, $V_0$. At $V_0 = 0$, the shape of a droplet is circular and intersects the solid dielectric at the equilibrium contact angle $\theta_0$. For $|V_0| > 0$, the shape of the droplet close to the solid wall is distorted by the electric field, leading to an apparent contact angle, $\theta(V_0)$. At high applied voltages, the droplet reaches a limiting configuration, where the main drop develops a lip that spreads away from its centre. The region around the lip shows strong fringe fields (inset) and the charge density (dark-red colour map). (b) Variation of the contact angle in response to the electric potential, $V_0$, in lattice-Boltzmann units. The curves show a monotonic decrease in the contact angle with the increasing magnitude of the potential. The inset shows the expected universal collapse as a function of the electrowetting number, $\eta$, predicted by the Young-Lippmann relation (dotted-dashed line) at low electrowetting numbers and a later saturation.

where $X_0 = (L_x/2, R_0)$, is the initial position of the centre of the droplet, and $R_0$ its initial radius. The rest of the simulation parameters are summarised in Table 1.

We first set the potential within the conducting droplet to $V_0 = 0$ and allow the system
to relax for $2 \times 10^5$ iterations. As the droplet relaxes, it spreads on the surface and acquires a circular-cap shape intersecting the surface with the expected equilibrium contact angle, $\theta_0$, predicted by Eq. (4). Then, we increase the voltage by an amount $0.01\sqrt{2\gamma d/\varepsilon}$ and allow the system to relax for a further $10^4$ iterations. Once the relaxation has elapsed, the stationary configuration is recorded. The increment in the applied voltage is repeated until a maximum voltage $V_0 = 3\sqrt{2\gamma d/\varepsilon}$ is reached.

Fig. 2 shows a typical equilibrium configuration of the droplet subject to a non-zero potential. The upper part of the droplet conserves a circular shape that, extrapolated, intersects the surface at an apparent contact angle $\theta(V_0)$. However, near the solid surface, the inclination of the interface is closer to the prescribed equilibrium contact angle. As shown in Fig. 3b, the apparent contact angle decreases with increasing $|V_0|$. Note that reversing the polarity of the applied voltage leads to the same decrease in the apparent angle; this is expected, since Eq. (10) is invariant upon an inversion of the polarity of the electric potential ($V \rightarrow -V$). Therefore, the simulations capture the competition between electrical and capillary forces, as has been reported previously in experimental observations.

Next, we carried out simulations to measure $\theta(V_0)$ for different values of the equilibrium contact angle, $\theta_0$. As shown in Fig. 3b, the $\theta(V_0)$ curves follow the same trend, with only a shift of the maximum to a value imposed by $\theta_0$. As shown in the inset, a plot of $\cos \theta(V_0) - \cos \theta_0$ shows a linear dependence on $\eta$, which is in agreement with the theoretical prediction of Eq. (54). Fitting the simulation data to a straight line gives $c \approx 0.66$.

As the voltage in the droplet is increased, the apparent contact angle reaches a saturation value $\theta \approx 18.43^\circ$. The saturation effect was found to be independent of the wettability of the surface, and begins to occur when the droplet reaches $\theta \sim 50^\circ$. From the simulations, we observe that at the onset of saturation the droplet develops two distinct regions. Close to its centre, the capillary forces smooth out the shape of the interface, which remains circular. However, the region close to the edge is subject to strong fringe fields, and deforms to take the shape of a ‘lip’, spreading away from the main drop (see panel 4 in Fig. 3(a)). The result
is that the bulk profile retains a limiting shape, characterised by the saturation contact angle, while an increase in the voltage results in a further growth of the edge lip.

**Dynamics of a thin dielectric film**

In this section we illustrate the applicability of the lattice-Boltzmann algorithm to resolve the dynamics of electrowetting liquids. Specifically, we study the stability of a thin dielectric film confined between a solid charged wall and a conductive liquid layer. This problem is relevant in many electrowetting setups, where the spreading conductive liquid often entraps a thin film of dielectric fluid. As the dielectric film becomes thinner, it breaks up into small droplets\textsuperscript{25}.

We start by formulating the problem analytically, which yields a prediction of the stability of the film in the linear regime. We then report simulation results which we validate against this prediction, and extend our study to report results of the dynamics of the film at long times, including the regime of film breakup and droplet formation.

**Linear-stability theory**

We consider a thin, two-dimensional dielectric film of local thickness $H(x, t)$. The film lies on top of a conducting solid electrode, located at $y = -d$ which is coated with a thin dielectric solid layer of thickness $d$. At its top, the film is covered by a layer of conducting liquid of negligible viscosity.

To model the dynamics of the thin dielectric layer in the presence of an electric field, we use the lubrication equation\textsuperscript{59},

$$\partial_t H - \partial_x \left( \frac{H^3}{3\mu d} \partial_x p_{\text{film}} \right) = 0. \quad (57)$$

As shown by Eq. (57), the dynamics is driven by variations in the pressure within the film, $p_{\text{film}}$. This is composed of a capillary contribution, $2\gamma\kappa$, and by a contribution due to the
electric stresses on the dielectric fluid, \(-\frac{1}{2} \partial_c V^2\). For a gently curved interface, \(\kappa \approx -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 H\).

Hence,

\[
p_{\text{film}} = -\gamma \partial_x^2 H - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_H c) V_0^2.
\]  

(58)

where we assume that the capacitance \(c\) for a dielectric film in contact with the dielectric solid layer is given by

\[
c = \frac{\varepsilon}{H + d}.
\]  

(59)

We now study the stability of the dielectric film by analysing Eq. (57) using a perturbative approach. Let us consider the sinusoidal interface profile

\[
H(x, t) = H_0 + a \cos(2\pi x/\lambda) \exp(t/\tau),
\]  

(60)

where \(H_0\) is the average height of the film, \(a\) is the amplitude of the perturbation, \(\lambda\) the wavelength and \(\tau\) is the characteristic growth time.

Substituting Eq. (60) into Eq. (57), and assuming \(a \ll H_0\) gives the dispersion relation

\[
\omega = \frac{1}{3} k^2 \left[ 2\eta \left( \frac{H_0}{H_0 + d} \right)^2 - k^2 \right],
\]  

(61)

where \(\omega := \mu_a H_0 / \gamma \tau\) is the dimensionless growth rate, and \(k := 2\pi H_0 / \lambda\) is the dimensionless wave number.

The first term in Eq. (61) corresponds to the destabilising effect of the electric field, which dominates for long-wavelength perturbations. This competes against the stabilising effect of surface tension, which dominates for short wavelengths. Setting \(\omega = 0\), corresponding to the onset of instability, gives the separatrix

\[
\eta = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{H_0 + d}{H_0} \right)^2 k^2,
\]  

(62)

which gives the minimum electrowetting number for which a perturbation of given wave
number leads to instability.

**LB simulations**

We impose the initial conditions in the simulations using Eqs. (47), (48) and (49); we introduce an initial perturbation to the interface between the conductive and dielectric fluids by imposing the phase-field profile

$$\phi_i(x) = \tanh \left( \frac{y - H(x, 0)}{\sqrt{2} \ell} \right),$$

(63)

with corresponds to a sinusoidal perturbation of amplitude $a = 1$ and wavelength $\lambda = L_x$. The rest of the simulation parameters are reported in the last column of Table 1. To allow the thermodynamic relaxation of the phase field from the initial conditions, we let the simulations run for $10^3$ iterations, which we disregard.

Fig. 4(a) shows a typical instantaneous configuration of the film after the transient has elapsed. Henceforth, we track the evolution of the fluid-fluid interface, whose location we take as the level curve $\phi(x, y) = 0$. Once the location of the interface is determined, the amplitude of the perturbation is found by fitting the instantaneous level curves to the sinusoidal function $y(x) = c_0 + c_1 \cos(2\pi x/L_x)$, where $c_0$ and $c_1$ are fitting parameters. We then fit the measured amplitude data, $c_1(t)$, to the exponential function $A(t) = c_2 \exp(t/c_3)$, where $c_3$ gives the characteristic growth time. To obtain the dependence of the dispersion relation, for a given electrowetting number, we repeat the simulation by varying the system length, $L_x$ (see Table 1).

Figs. 4(b) and 4(c) show the dispersion relations obtained from the simulations for $\eta = 0$ and $\eta = 0.03$. The data in the figures is reported in the dimensionless units of Eq. (61), where $\mu_d$, $\gamma$, $H_0$ are fixed using the values reported in Table 1. For $\eta = 0$, we observe the expected power-law decay, $\omega \propto -k^4$, predicted by the linear stability analysis. For $\eta = 0.03$, the dispersion relation shows a range of unstable wave numbers. In both cases, we find a
quantitative agreement with Eq. (61), which is superimposed to the simulation data as a dashed line.

We measured the growth rate of the perturbation for $21 \times 21$ points in the $\eta-k$ space. Fig. 4(d) shows the simulation results, which we present as a contour plot of $\omega$ vs $\eta$ and $k$. The separatrix, corresponding to the curve $\omega(k, \eta) = 0$, was estimated from the data using bilinear interpolation (solid line in the figure). Overall, there is a good agreement with Eq. (62) (shown as a dashed line). We attribute the small discrepancy between the theory and the simulation results to the charge distribution at the diffuse fluid-fluid interface, which is dispersed in a region of the order of the interface thickness $\ell$. This effect would then alter the capacitance of the dielectric film. Indeed, by fitting the separatrix obtained from the simulations to Eq. (62), we obtain an effective value for $H_0$, which is displaced by a small amount ($\sim 0.08\ell$) into the bulk of the conductive phase.

We now turn our attention to the growth of the perturbation at long times, when $a/H_0 \sim 1$. This regime, which is not accessible by the linear theory, is revealed in detail by the simulations. As shown in Fig. 5(a), at large perturbation amplitudes inhomogeneities in the electric field become apparent. The simulations capture the increase in charge density in regions where the interface curvature is higher. This effect leads to a stronger electrostatic attraction in regions of the interface which lie closer to the solid electrode. As a result, the perturbation grows faster than predicted by the linear theory, and the interface is deformed to an asymmetric shape.

At longer times, the troughs of the perturbation approach the solid surface. In this regime, we found that the wettability of the solid has a strong effect on the dynamics. For $\theta_0 < 180^\circ$ the fluid-fluid interface touches the solid surface, breaking the film into droplets. The subsequent dynamics of the fluid-fluid interface is similar to the dewetting dynamics observed by Edwards, et al. 60: the retracting edges collect fluid to form dewetting rims, which eventually merge to form a single circular droplet (see Fig. 5(b)). As soon as the conducting fluid reaches the solid surface the spreading begins (frame 2 in Fig. 5(b,c)). Initially, the
spreading occurs at a constant pace (frame 3) and ends in an asymptotic relaxation (frame 4). For $\theta_0 = 180^\circ$, the conducting fluid cannot wet the surface and, hence, the dielectric film does not break up. Therefore, the film takes the shape of a series of ‘bumps’ which remain connected by a thin film (of a thickness set by the range of the wetting potential in the simulations). This situation is reminiscent of the oil entrapment regime reported by Saticu et al.\textsuperscript{25}, who used an EWOD setup to spread water droplets immersed in silicone oil on Teflon-coated electrodes.

**Conclusions**

We have introduced a lattice-Boltzmann algorithm capable of solving the coupled hydrodynamics and electrostatics equations of motion of a two-phase fluid as a means to model electrowetting phenomena. Our method uses a set of distribution functions to solve the electrostatics equations within the lattice-Boltzmann algorithm itself, eliminating the need for concurrent methods to model the electric field, such as finite differences or finite element methods. We have used a diffuse-interface approach to model the dynamics of immiscible conducting and dielectric fluid phases, thus eliminating the need to track the fluid-fluid interface at every iteration step. Instead, the electric potential field in our method is coupled to the multiphase fluid domain, with electric stresses arising at the diffuse interface between conducting and dielectric phases.

We have validated our algorithm by presenting numerical simulations of the electrowetting of a droplet in an Electro Wetting On Dielectric (EWOD) setup. Our results reproduce the dependence of the apparent contact angle of the droplet on the applied voltage predicted by the Young-Lippman theory. We also observe a saturation of the contact angle at high voltages. The saturation of the contact angle has been reported in experiments, and remains an open question in the field of electrowetting. In the simulations, the effect is linked to a saturation of the interface curvature, which triggers the formation of a ‘lip’ at the droplet’s
edge. Such a balance between the electric and capillary stresses in the simulations might explain the saturation effect observed in experiments, but further experimental evidence is needed to reach a conclusion in this regard.

We have also used our algorithm to study the stability and dynamics of a thin dielectric film in an EWOD setup. For small perturbations, our simulations results agree well with the prediction of lubrication theory. Beyond this small-perturbation regime accessible by theory, we studied the long-time dynamics of the film. Our simulations show that as the film is destabilised and the interface approaches the solid surface. On wettable surfaces, the film breaks up and forms droplets that dewet from the surface. On non-wettable surfaces, we observe the entrapment of the dielectric film and the stabilisation of mound-shaped structures.

Here we have focused on droplet electrowetting as a situation of broad importance to validate our model. However, our method is amenable to study other situations of both fundamental and applied interest. For example, the scheme presented here could be used to study the stability of moving contact lines subject to electrowetting actuation, a situation that has been reported to lead to the formation of micron-sized “bubbles” of the non-conducting phase which remain adhered to the solid\textsuperscript{24,25}. Because of the ability of lattice-Boltzmann to incorporate solid boundaries of arbitrary geometry, our model could also be used to understand the interplay between electrowetting actuation in patterned surfaces\textsuperscript{60} or within micro-channels. Here we have studied fluid phases of uniform density, whose dynamics can be captured using an orthogonal decomposition method to determine the collision operator in the lattice-Boltzmann equation. However, our method could also be tested with recently developed non-orthogonal schemes\textsuperscript{62} to model situations where the fluids have different densities. Such a situation is relevant to the electrowetting of a gas phase, e.g., to manipulate bubbles. Finally, here we have restricted ourselves to study the electrowetting of a perfect conductor surrounded by a perfect dielectric. However, by including a source in the equation for the electric potential, our method could be extended to model imperfect conductors,
where the charge density inside the conducting fluid is redistributed due to the osmotic pressure and therefore inducing a non-vanishing electric field inside\textsuperscript{61}, or imperfect dielectrics, which exhibit breakdown or leakage currents\textsuperscript{33}.

Supporting Information. Details of the lattice-Boltzmann algorithm.
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Figure 4: (Colour online) Simulation results of the linear stability of a thin dielectric fluid film in an EWOD setup. (a) Close-up of the interface configuration. The conducting liquid (light blue region) is kept at a constant voltage $V_0$, whilst the solid electrode (grey rectangle) remains grounded. The thin dielectric fluid film (white region), of initial average thickness $H_0$, is subject to a sinusoidal perturbation of amplitude $a$ and wavelength $\lambda$. Direct contact between the dielectric fluid and the solid electrode is prevented by a thin dielectric film (black line). The stream lines depict the electric field. (b) and (c): Dispersion relations for $\eta = 0$ and $\eta = 0.03$, respectively. The solid symbols correspond to the simulation results. The dashed lines correspond to a fit to the analytical model. The shaded envelopes represent the error from the curve fitting analysis. The inset shows the expected $|\omega| \sim k^4$ scaling predicted by the linear theory. (d) Colour map of the growth rate as function of $\eta$ and $k$. The solid line corresponds to the separatrix calculated from the simulation results using linear interpolation. The dashed line corresponds to the theoretical prediction.
Figure 5: (Colour online) Entrapment and break-up of unstable dielectric films. (a) Instantaneous configuration of an unstable dielectric film at large perturbation amplitudes. The initial simulation parameters are $\lambda = 60$, $H_0 = 20$ and $\eta = 1.1$. The charge distribution, shown in dark red, is highest in the regions closer to the solid electrode, and the equipotential curves, perpendicular to the electric field, increase in density. (b) Long-time evolution of the dielectric film for $\lambda = 512$, $H_0 = 20$, and $\eta = 0.1$; and $\theta_0 = 120^\circ$ (left) and $\theta_0 = 180^\circ$ (right). On a wettable surface, the dielectric fluid breaks into isolated films that dewet to form droplets. On a non-wettable surface the wetting potential prevents the breakup of the film, leading to its entrapment. (c) Plot of the evolution of area fraction, $\Delta L/L_x$, covered by the conducting phase. The numbers represent the time of the snapshots in (a).