

Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Buck, Trudi, Greene, Elizabeth, Meyer, Alexander, Barlow, Victoria and Graham, Eleanor (2019) The Body in the Ditch: Alternative Funerary Practices on the Northern Frontier of the Roman Empire? *Britannia*, 50. pp. 203-224. ISSN 0068-113X

Published by: Cambridge University Press

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0068113X1900014X>
<<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0068113X1900014X>>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link:
<http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/39380/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)

The body in the ditch: Alternative funerary practices on the Northern frontier of the Roman Empire?

By Trudi Buck, Elizabeth M. Greene, Alexander Meyer, Victoria Barlow, Eleanor Graham

ABSTRACT

Disarticulated human remains were recovered from a first century fort ditch at Vindolanda on the northwest frontier of the Roman Empire. Ancient DNA analysis revealed the skeleton to be that of a male individual and forensic taphonomic analysis suggested a primary deposition of the body in a waterlogged environment with no obvious evidence of formal burial. Occurrences of disarticulated human remains outside of a cemetery context are often overlooked in Roman bioarchaeology. This discovery adds to the growing body of literature regarding alternative funerary practice in the Empire, highlighting that the concept of burial and disposal of the dead is more complex than ancient historical sources suggest.

Keywords: Vindolanda; Stanegate; Alternative funerary practice; Forensic Taphonomy; Ancient DNA; Roman army.

INTRODUCTION

During excavations at Vindolanda, a Roman military site south of Hadrian's Wall in northern England, human skeletal remains were recovered from the fill layers within a system of ditches dating to the late first or early second century A.D. (Phase 1 in the North Field). Disarticulated bones were found deposited along the length of the ditch for roughly 15 m, situated in differing sediment levels. This depositional context is unusual for human burial in Roman archaeology, though not without precedent.¹ Human remains discovered at archaeological sites, whether in traditional burial grounds or as isolated deposits, provide a valuable source of information about the past. Osteology can directly engage with biocultural data to elucidate questions about past societies² and Roman archaeology in particular can benefit from such an approach as it has the great potential to combine evidence from texts,

¹ See Butler 2006, for example, for a discussion of disarticulated remains from London.

² e.g. Beauchesne and Agarwal 2014; Chenery et al. 2010; Eckhardt et al. 2009; Killgrove 2010; Killgrove and Montgomery 2016; Leach et al. 2009; Müldner et al. 2011; Prowse et al. 2004; Redfern and Bonney 2014; Redfern et al. 2015; Thompson et al. 2016.

art, and material culture along with the skeletal remains to create a more holistic understanding of Roman society and death. Cremation and inhumation burials in Roman Britain were the normative style of disposal of the dead in the province, but new finds and new interpretations challenge this impression.³ This study will integrate principles from archaeology, forensic taphonomy and history as a means of interpreting the North Field skeleton and its depositional context in light of the growing body of literature regarding alternative funerary practices in Roman Britain.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL LANDSCAPE AND CONTEXTS OF SKELETAL FINDS

Vindolanda was a military fort and settlement in the province of *Britannia* near Hadrian's Wall in England, occupied from *ca.* A.D. 85 through the sub-Roman period in the fifth to sixth centuries (FIG. 1).⁴ The fort was an important central point in the defence of the early frontier and remained a key component of the frontier system throughout the construction and use of Hadrian's Wall.⁵ The human remains under discussion were found during excavations in the field to the north of the Stanegate Road (hereafter the North Field), within an early ditch system dating to the first half of the second century AD.⁶ Vindolanda was an active settlement with four different phases of military forts occupying the main settlement area in quick succession between A.D. 85 and 120. Each fort had a series of defensive ditches to provide protection and demarcation of the military context. The stratigraphic sequence and dating of the main Vindolanda site is well understood, with successive forts in the same location constructed on different alignments using slightly different techniques, each one sealing the archaeological contexts of the earlier occupation periods beneath.⁷

FIG. 1. The disposition of forts on the northern frontier in the late first century A.D. in Northern Britain. The location of Hadrian's Wall, constructed in the A.D. 120s, is marked by a dotted line (Copyright: Andrew Birley/The Vindolanda Trust).

³ Smith 2017.

⁴ R. Birley 2009; A. Birley 2013; Blake 2014.

⁵ Birley 2009; Hodgson 2000, 2009, for the specific context of Stanegate forts.

⁶ Greene and Meyer 2017.

⁷ R. Birley 1994; A. Birley 2003; A. Birley and Blake 2005; A. Birley and Blake 2007; R. Birley 2009; A. Birley 2013.

The North Field Project began in 2009 and excavations were completed during the 2017 field season, exploring roughly five percent of the field down to natural boulder clay.⁸ The entire field underwent geophysical (magnetometer and resistivity) survey in 2001, and in 2016 a GPR survey was conducted specifically targeting the depth at which the early ditch system had been found.⁹ The results of both excavation and geophysical investigation suggest that the majority of activity took place in the southern half of the field nearer to the main fort and extramural settlement on the south side of the modern Stanegate Road (FIG. 2). The archaeological phases in the North Field represent three broad periods of activity: stone structures associated with third-century A.D. occupation outside the fort; an industrial site with a kiln and associated features such as wells and pits dating to the later second century; and a series of ditches and a single structure dating to occupation sometime between A.D. 85 and 140.¹⁰ The skeletal remains under discussion here were recovered from the earliest phase of activity detected in the field during these excavations (North Field Phase 1), which was a shallow defensive system containing three ditches in total dating to the first half of the second century A.D. The ditch system indicates that a fort or temporary military accommodation such as a construction camp may have been located in the North Field, on the north side of the ditch system, but the exact nature of that occupation remains unclear.¹¹ There is no evidence that the associated occupation was to the south of the ditches discovered. Extensive work has been carried out in that area both in the North Field and on the main site south of the Stanegate and no remains that appear associated with these early Phase 1 ditches were found in the areas investigated down to the natural boulder clay.¹²

FIG. 2. The North Field at Vindolanda to the northwest of the known fort settlement of the second and third centuries A.D. The shaded box shows the area of North Field excavations (see FIG. 3 for detail) (Copyright: Andrew Birley/The Vindolanda Trust)

The defensive system itself is somewhat different from others at Vindolanda. It has three parallel ditches in total (FIG. 3) with shallow baulks between them and steep cuts on both

⁸ Most of these trenches were located along the road, therefore, excavation has covered about 15-20% of the area that lies directly north of the current line of the Stanegate Road.

⁹ For full discussion of the geophysical surveys with plans, see Greene and Meyer 2017, 201-3.

¹⁰ Greene and Meyer 2017; for dating of the early layers on the main Vindolanda site, see R. Birley 1994, 2009; for discussion of the units and fort occupants, see A.R. Birley 2002.

¹¹ Birley et al. 2016; Greene and Meyer 2017.

¹² Most recently see Blake 2014, 89-93, 107-11 for nearby excavation on the main Vindolanda site; cf. Greene and Meyer 2017, 209-11 for excavation in the North Field south of the ditch system discussed here.

sides of the whole system, especially on the south side (FIG. 4). The defensive system does not appear to be a recut of an earlier ditch, but rather, it is the primary feature in this part of the field, cut into the boulder clay. The shallowness of the ditches, less than 1.0 m in some places, suggests ephemeral and weak defence or even simple demarcation; however, the steep cuts on both sides of the system as a whole and the total of three ditches indicate somewhat more robust defence. Looking north of the ditches to what they may have defended, test trenches spaced across the area did not reveal any stone or timber structures. This combination of evidence indicates that the ditches may have protected a temporary settlement, perhaps one that was occupied for a period of only months, either to house a temporary vexillation from another unit or a construction camp for a unit building a permanent fort on the main site.

This conclusion might be further supported by the general lack of domestic debris in the early Phase 1 ditch system. Enough pottery was recovered from within the ditch fills to provide a dateable assemblage, but otherwise there was little occupation debris discarded along the three ditches. This lack of domestic material stands in contrast to the abundance of debris recovered from the large fort ditches on the main Vindolanda site to the south.¹³ In addition, the ditch fill in the North Field was predominantly fine silt, which in combination with the lack of discarded artefacts, suggests that the ditches were filled through natural processes without a great deal of human activity. These details might indicate short-term occupation and a lack of lengthy discard practices in the North Field in this early occupation phase at Vindolanda. The presence of human skeletal remains within the fill of this potentially short-lived ditch system, especially in an area of the site that may have been abandoned for some time after this early occupation period in favour of the primary forts to the south, raises questions about their deposition and subsequent taphonomic history.

FIG. 3. Plan showing the course of the early Phase 1 ditches in the North Field. For detail of the shaded areas where skeletal evidence was discovered, see FIG. 7 (Copyright: Alexander Meyer/The Vindolanda Trust).

¹³ A. Birley and Blake 2005, 22-3.

FIG. 4. Section drawing of the early Phase 1 ditch profile showing the location of the find contexts in 2012. Western trench edge, section faces east (Copyright: Alexander Meyer/The Vindolanda Trust)

The sequence and dating of the features in the North Field was clear, especially in the 2010 excavations on the west end of the North Field. The date of the earliest ditch system in Phase 1 was determined by finds from within the ditch fills from three trenches in different parts of the North Field and by the stratigraphic sequence of features that overlay the defensive system.¹⁴ A comparative analysis of the ceramics from the ditch fills with the assemblages from the main site at Vindolanda, and consideration of the coin evidence from nearby features, suggests that this early ditch system was filled sometime between ca. A.D. 90 and 140.¹⁵ This date is supported by specific ceramic evidence such as the occurrence of carinated bowl fragments, roughcast ware, and grey rustic ware that are typical of pre-Hadrianic assemblages at Vindolanda and suggest a date in the first quarter of the second century.¹⁶ A few contexts in the upper levels of ditch fill carry a slightly later date of A.D. 140 based on a small assemblage of ceramics, but most of the Phase 1 ditch system appears to have been filled around A.D. 120. There were no coins in the early ditch fill, but those in the features that lie above date to after A.D. 119. The sequence may be refined a bit further by the superimposition of layers and features. A second, larger ditch (Ditch system B, Phase 2) investigated in 2010, much deeper and wider than its predecessor, cut through the earlier Phase 1 ditches (FIG. 3 for location; FIG. 5 for section drawing). Ditch system B was on a different alignment and most of its lower fill levels were dated to the late first or early second century A.D. by ceramic evidence and a somewhat worn coin of Trajan minted between 98 and 103.¹⁷ The early Phase 1 ditch system must date before Ditch system B, which cut through it. Filling of the phase 1 ditch, however, may have taken place a short time before

¹⁴ Precise dating has been determined mostly from the excavation of the ditch system in 2010 and 2014, since the 2012 season was unusually wet and problematic. Final ceramic analysis of all North Field pottery is still underway.

¹⁵ E. Birley et al. 1938; R. Birley 1994; R. Birley and Sheehan-Finn 2011; Greene and Meyer 2017.

¹⁶ Gillam 1970.

¹⁷ Greene, in prep. The full report of the North Field excavations is currently underway. The numismatic dating will appear in the North Field report in a contribution by Richard Brickstock. For a preliminary report of this material see Greene and Meyer 2017.

Ditch system B was cut and filled, since both features produced fills with similar broad dates in the late first century and the first quarter of the second century.

A more precise end-date for the filling of Ditch system B is offered by a stone building that was constructed over and subsided into the northern ditch of this defensive system (see FIG. 3 for location). The floor surfaces of the structure produced an unusually high number of discarded ceramics dating to the first half of the second century,¹⁸ including two mortarium stamps of *Felicioles*, a potter active between ca. A.D. 110 and 140.¹⁹ The small amount of Black Burnished Ware from the floor and construction levels, which appears not to have been introduced to the area until sometime in the 120s or slightly later, may suggest a date for the construction of the building in the 120s, before the ceramic type was ubiquitous at Vindolanda.²⁰ Two somewhat worn coins from fills associated with the floor and the foundation construction of the building were Hadrianic, one dating to A.D. 119-125.²¹ The evidence as it stands currently suggests that a date of A.D. 125-135 is reasonable for the construction and initial use of this building. Clay pack and fills over the whole area of Ditch system B were dated to the middle of the second century, around A.D. 150 or 160.

In sum, we must account for these three features—the Phase 1 ditch, the Phase 2 Ditch system B, and the building constructed over it—in the period between ca. A.D. 90 to around A.D. 140. Based on the evidence discussed above, Ditch B and the earlier Phase 1 ditch system should date to before ca. A.D. 125, although exactly when they were cut and filled within this time period cannot be refined much further with current data. Altogether the stratigraphic sequence and associated finds seem to suggest that these three features were cut, filled and constructed in a relatively short period of time at the end of the first century and first half of the second century. It does not appear that the ceramic assemblage will provide any further refinement to that date, nor will scientific dating such as AMS radiocarbon dating applied to the bones found in the early defensive feature.

¹⁸ Greene and Meyer 2017, 216.

¹⁹ Birley and Sheehan-Finn 2011; Hartley 2010.

²⁰ Gillam 1970; Gillam 1976.

²¹ The wear on the coin suggests some use, perhaps pushing the date of deposition of the coin and the building's construction to the second half of the 120s at the earliest or into the 130s.

FIG. 5. Section drawing showing Ditch system B on the left (north) cutting into one of the early Phase 1 ditches on the right (south/south-east). The section is on the eastern trench edge excavated in 2010, west facing profile (Copyright: Alexander Meyer/The Vindolanda Trust).

The human skeletal remains were found within the fill of the Phase 1 early ditch system. There is no evidence in the excavated area of the North Field for the presence of burials.²² Perceived normative conventions of Roman burial dictate that interment took place away from settlement areas, usually beside roadways. It might seem that the North Field could be a possible location of Roman burials considering its position near the Stanegate. However, the large areas that have now been excavated in the North Field, predominantly lying along the roadside, have not yielded any other human remains or indications of cremation practices nearby. Since the early ditch fills that contained the skeletal remains were part of the primary activity in the North Field so far detected, it is quite unlikely that an earlier burial was disturbed during ditch digging. Despite the extensive excavation at Vindolanda there is no evidence of pre-Roman activity in the immediate area under or surrounding the Roman military occupation of the site. The taphonomic processes, discussed in detail below, also do not appear to suggest a secondary deposition, though this possibility cannot be entirely ruled out. It is thought that the Roman period cemeteries were located to the west of the main fort and extramural settlement, along the road that ran out of the fort and met up with the Stanegate further to the west.²³ This road is located much further south of the modern Stanegate (see FIG. 2 for location within site) and the North Field features under discussion. Additionally, cremation was the more common burial practice in military settlements during this time across the Empire.²⁴

A skeleton, even one deliberately buried, is a dynamic entity and the interpretation of the remaining elements is governed by the local ground conditions.²⁵ An understanding of the depositional context is therefore important. The North Field has a sloping landscape with a slight downhill gradient from northwest to southeast.²⁶ All Roman ditches and watercourses in the North Field follow the natural movement of water to the east. The Phase 1 early ditch

²² Greene and Meyer 2017.

²³ R. Birley 2009, 158.

²⁴ Anderson 2009; Cool 2004; Weekes 2008.

²⁵ Robb 2016.

²⁶ Hefford 2005.

system lay on an orientation from southwest to northeast.²⁷ The flow of water, silt, and other material in the ditches, therefore, would have moved in an easterly direction towards a sharp drop in the landscape just before reaching the Chinley Burn, which demarcates the North Field area on the east side (see FIG. 2). The excavations have explored roughly 32 m of this defensive system in total, in three different archaeological trenches on the southwestern, central and eastern areas of the Phase 1 ditch (see FIG. 3). The lowest point of the two parallel ditches in the north-eastern sections of the ditch system where the human remains were recovered lay ca. 1.65-1.75 m below ground level (see FIG. 3 for location). In Table 1 below we distinguish between a northern and southern ditch, both excavated over two seasons in 2012 and 2014. The whole ditch system is generally shallower in the western area of the field, suggesting that the original ground level in antiquity had a sharper drop to the east. There was no disturbance detected in the stratigraphy in the areas where the skeletal remains were recovered that could suggest material had entered the sealed ditch contexts at a later date.

The composition of these stratigraphic layers was heterogeneous, the result of divergent formation processes, causing varied preservation depending on the location within the ditch system and the material of the object entering the fill. Silt was allowed to build up in the early ditches, especially in the area where the skeletal remains lay, with thin bands of organic material above the silt layers found in some sections of the ditches, but not all. The thickest deposit of organic fill (up to ca. 60 cm in places) was found in the westernmost trench, which did not produce human remains. Since the ditches would easily fill with water as a natural low point in the field, especially on the eastern side, there would often have been significant amounts of running water in the ditches. Soil was carried along the course of the ditch and accumulated where water movement slowed and in places of congestion including flat sections. This silting process could happen quite rapidly in certain situations, such as during heavy rainstorms, when we estimate that the bottom of the ditch could be filled with ca. 25cm of silt in a matter of days or weeks. During the recent excavation season a fully excavated Roman ditch filled with ca. 10 cm of silt overnight. The layers of silt found in the early ditch were very soft and permeable, allowing material deposited into the ditch to sink and settle into the levels below, depending on the size and weight of an object (FIG. 6).

²⁷ For landscape survey and associated discussion, see Greene and Meyer 2017.

FIG. 6. Profile of the Phase 1 ditch from the centre of the 2014 trench, section faces east (Copyright: Alexander Meyer/The Vindolanda Trust).

The formation processes of the ditch fills, including the human remains, can therefore be understood. At the very bottom of the individual ditches a layer of wet, gravelly silt accumulated to a depth of ca. 30 cm. Pebbles and heavy material within the ditch fell through the softer silt and accumulated at the bottom (e.g. context V12-35N on FIG. 4), while the fine, sandy, wet silt sits in a higher layer above to a depth of ca. 25 cm (e.g. context V12-29N). Above this silt was a layer of organic accumulation or silt mixed with organic deposits (e.g. context V12-27N). These layers of fine silt and mixed organic included a small number of artefacts that had not sunk into the silt, such as leather, ceramics, and butchered animal remains. A large, heavy object falling into the ditch would most likely have sunk into the sediments, possibly resting on the ditch bottom. Parts of the object still exposed would have been covered subsequently by several different stratigraphic layers that formed around the object as the ditch accumulated sediments from natural movement of water and material.

The exact rate of silt accumulation cannot be calculated with certainty because it is dependent upon unknown factors such as rainfall and water movement. However, based on observation of excavation ditches during recent seasons, an object within a ditch could have been partially or completely covered swiftly. There is an indication that the silt layers in the Phase 1 early ditch built up rather quickly. The silt accumulation contained few artefacts that are the typical by-product of human activity. If the ditch lay open for an extended period of time and silted up slowly one should expect a certain amount of detritus from human occupation to have entered the fill, as is found in other ditch contexts at Vindolanda.²⁸ In the stretch of the Phase 1 early ditch where the human remains were found, the silt layer was subsequently covered rapidly with other debris forming a different stratigraphic layer. In some areas of the early Phase 1 ditches in the North Field a thin layer of organic earth and artefacts from human discard collected over the silt. Therefore, the silt could have accumulated in a short period of time, especially in stormy periods, and anything lying in the ditch would have been quickly covered by silt and obscured by subsequent deposition.

²⁸ For instance the later Period 6 and 6b ditches of the second and third centuries. A. Birley and Blake 2005, 22-3.

Defensive ditches were a standard component of almost every military site in the Roman world,²⁹ and are also a feature of small fortlets and temporary camps to varying degrees. They served as the defensive element outside of the fort walls and were critical to the basic security of a military garrison. Whilst in use, ditches were cleaned out fairly regularly, clearing the area of silt and debris.³⁰ Once a ditch ceased to be maintained, usually towards the end of occupation by a particular garrison, it was allowed to fill with silt from natural movement of water and soil, as well as with discarded material,³¹ resulting in stratigraphic layers that reflect both natural and human activities. Human debris usually includes animal bones, household refuse, and other by-products of light industry and production. The presence of human remains is unusual, but not unique in Roman forts, with possible war trophy skulls having been found in defensive boundary fort ditches at Vindolanda and elsewhere.³²

FORENSIC TAPHONOMY

When human bones are found in an archaeological context, particularly when not in a standard grave cut, it is important to recognise the circumstances by which the remains arrived in the state and situation in which they were discovered.³³ The analysis of a skeleton or partial skeleton should consider fully the taphonomic history of the body and the many dynamic formation processes that have combined to create the context in which the bones are found.³⁴ No one suite of taphonomic characteristics will be found on all excavated skeletal remains since the circumstances of burial or deposition vary so widely in context and duration.³⁵ In a deliberate grave cut the body is within an enclosed space and movement of the bodily articulations is limited by the boundaries of the empty volume of the cadaver. This volume is created by the infilling of the decomposed space of the body with the surrounding sediment. In such an enclosed space separating joints are unlikely to be disturbed from their original resting place as the pressure exerted from the surrounding sediment and lack of large

²⁹ Vegetius, *Epitoma Rei Militaris* 1.24; Jones 2013, 37-47.

³⁰ Webster 1998, 177.

³¹ R. Birley 1994, 17.

³² Loe 2003; Perring 2011.

³³ Knüsel and Robb 2016.

³⁴ Nilsson 2003; Ortiz et al. 2013; Willis and Tayles 2009.

³⁵ Pokines and Baker 2014.

scavenging animals keep the body largely in articulation.³⁶ In contrast, bodies that are left unburied or submerged in shallow water are rarely recovered intact and in anatomical position. Due to the decomposition of the connective and soft tissues the skeletal remains will eventually become disarticulated and are likely to be found dispersed from their original location of deposition.³⁷ Archaeological and palaeontological studies of water transported remains relate the transport and hydrodynamic sorting potentials of different skeletal elements to differences in size, density and shape³⁸ and each body of water will have a unique set of taphonomic circumstances.³⁹ As very little information regarding the impact of specific aquatic environments is available⁴⁰ and much of forensic taphonomic research pertains to bodies dispersing in rivers, the interpretation of remains in this context should be considered with some caution. To answer the question of the ultimate origin of any single set of remains, the accompanying depositional contextual information is crucial.

In unburied bodies disarticulation is thought to occur in a cephalic-caudal direction with the cranium separating first followed by the limbs.⁴¹ The processes of decomposition, disarticulation and skeletonisation vary greatly in accordance with where the body is deposited, for example a body exposed to the open air will become skeletonised much faster than one in an enclosed environment.⁴² The type of anatomical joint is important in the pattern of disarticulation⁴³ and in an aquatic environment water activity will affect more flexible joints more quickly than less flexible ones.⁴⁴ A general disarticulation sequence for bodies in moving water has been observed,⁴⁵ with the bones of the hands, wrists, feet and ankles separating first, along with the mandible and cranium. The bones of the lower legs and arms are thought to be the last elements to disarticulate. Controlled experimental observations of disarticulation patterns have demonstrated that various factors can greatly influence the bone displacement pattern of a human skeleton,⁴⁶ including the depositional environment,

³⁶ Duday 2009.

³⁷ Christensen et al. 2014.

³⁸ For example see Behrensmeyer 1982; Stojanowski 2002; Griffith et al. 2016.

³⁹ Evans 2014.

⁴⁰ Thompson et al. 2011

⁴¹ Pinheiro 2006.

⁴² Pinheiro 2006.

⁴³ Christensen et al. 2014.

⁴⁴ Haglund and Sorg 2002.

⁴⁵ Haglund and Sorg 2002.

⁴⁶ Mickleburgh and Wescott 2018.

positioning of the body as well as the presence or absence of clothing and ante-mortem trauma. Once disarticulated or part-disarticulated, the individual skeletal elements will then be transported along the available space at different speeds. The effect of this differential transportation is that body parts can be spread over a wide area, or along the length of a ditch, and will follow different taphonomic routes as a result. Figure 7 illustrates the horizontal separation of the ditch contexts and the dispersal of the individual skeletal elements along these contexts. The more complete long bones were mainly found together further downstream, with the axial skeleton and the smaller fragments of tibia and radius upstream. No cranium has yet been found, but if present at deposition it would possibly be found further downstream in the unexcavated section of the ditch due to the flow properties of its more rounded shape.

FIG. 7. Plan of the ditches excavated in 2012-14 with separation of contexts. Human skeletal remains found in squares B, F, G, I, J, K. This area corresponds to the shaded sections of ditch in FIG. 3 (Copyright: Alexander Meyer/The Vindolanda Trust)

OSTEOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

Skeletal elements from both the appendicular and axial skeleton are represented (FIGS. 8 - 11), though no cranial bones have been found at this time. Table 1 describes the skeletal elements present, their state of preservation and the ditch context in which they were found. The minimum number of individuals represented is one and the maximum twelve. There is no replication of any of the sided skeletal elements and the similarity in size of the antimeres,⁴⁷ where present, makes an interpretation of the bones coming from one individual the most likely explanation.

The human skeletal elements were found dispersed along the fill of the ditch over a distance of approximately 15 m, (see table 1 and FIG. 7). Following disarticulation, the human bones showed patterns of fragmentation similar to the non-human animal bones found in the ditch system, due to the *in situ* destruction caused by processes such as chemical dissolution and

⁴⁷ i.e. either of a pair of opposite corresponding symmetrical bodily parts.

physical breakage during movement.⁴⁸ Of the long bones only the right humerus has an intact distal epiphysis, which was fully fused, indicating that the individual was an adult. Sex was determined by analysis of nuclear DNA taken from the right femur, indicating that the skeleton was male (see supplementary material). Only the femur was viable for DNA sampling and a moderate to high level of degradation of DNA was found. It was therefore not possible to test using DNA if all bones came from the same individual.

FIG. 8. Skeletal elements represented, siding and level of preservation (Copyright: Trudi Buck/The Vindolanda Trust)

FIG. 9. Diaphysis of the right femur from the North Field skeleton. (Copyright: Trudi Buck/The Vindolanda Trust)

FIG. 10. Diaphysis of the right tibia from the North Field skeleton. (Copyright: Trudi Buck/The Vindolanda Trust)

FIG. 11. Right and left partial humeri from the North Field skeleton. (Copyright: Trudi Buck/The Vindolanda Trust)

⁴⁸ Robb 2016.

Skeletal Element	Context Number	Location	Description and Interpretation
Cervical vertebra	V14-30N	Upper fill of the northern ditch The context was located 1.16 m below the ground level and was 0.44 m thick.	Equivalent to V14-26N and V14-35N and very similar to V14-18N. Very fine sediment, wet silt with some small pebble inclusions. Very few artefacts found, suggesting the layer was deposited naturally, and possibly swiftly, from movement of water and sediment in the ditch. Similar to 2012 context V12-29N.
Tibia (R) missing proximal 1/3 of diaphysis	V14-18N	The upper fill of the northern ditch slightly east of and adjacent to V14-30N. The context was located 1.07 m below the ground level and was .11 m thick.	Very fine sediment, wet silt with some small organic inclusions such as twigs and grasses. Similar to V14-30N and V14-26N but differentiated because of the organic inclusions in this level. There were very few artefacts suggesting the layer was deposited naturally, and possibly swiftly, from movement of water and sediment in the ditch. Similar to 2012 context V12-29N.
Rib (R) missing sternal ends	V14-26N	Still part of upper fill of northern ditch, but under V14-18N, east of and adjacent to V14-30N. The context was located 1.18 m below the ground level and was .50 m thick.	Similar material to V14-30N and V14-35N, but differentiated by the lack of organic inclusions. Very fine sediment, wet silt with little evidence of human debris included suggests layer swiftly covered any material deposited in the ditch. Similar to the 2012 context V12-29N.
Rib (R) missing sternal ends	V14-35N	The upper fill of the northern ditch approximately 4.0m east of the fill of V14-30N. The context was located 1.6 m below the ground level and was .17 m thick	Equivalent to V14-30N and V14-26N. Very fine sediment, wet silt, with some small pebbles. Very few artefacts suggesting the layer was deposited naturally, and possibly swiftly, from movement of water and sediment in the ditch. Similar to 2012 context V12-29N.

Radius (R) Proximal 1/3 of diaphysis	V14-09N	Slightly east and south of V14-35N. It constitutes the fill at the bottom of the southern ditch, which was shallower than the northern ditch, just above the natural clay of the ditch cut. The southern ditch was divided from the northern ditch by a shallow baulk no more than .30 m high. The context was located 1.4 m below the ground level and was .57 m thick.	Coarse gravely silt, quite wet but with heavy inclusions that sunk to the lowest level of the ditch cut. This context is similar to V12-35N.
Humerus (R) missing proximal 1/3 of diaphysis; Femur (R) missing proximal 1/3 of diaphysis; Fibula, (R) diaphysis only; Medial phalanx	V12-35N	Approximately 5.0 m to the east of V14-09N; this is the very bottom layer of the southern ditch, sitting on natural clay from which the ditch was cut. The context was located 1.25 m below the ground level and was .40 m thick.	Course gravely silt, quite wet but with heavy inclusions that sunk to the lowest level of the ditch cut. Heavy material (faunal remains; pottery) settled into this layer at the bottom of the ditch. Similar to V14-09N.
Fibula (L) middle 1/3 of diaphysis	V12-29N	Part of a single thick layer of fine silt that settled across the entire early ditch system. The sediment layer started as high as .65 m below ground level on the edge and 1.05 m below ground in the centre of the ditch and was between .15-.65 m thick variously through the layer.	The silt layer is thick indicating there was a fair amount of water and material carried through the ditch system that settled here. This context ran over the entire length of the ditches in a relatively even thick layer. Similar to V14-30N, V14-26N, and V14-35N.
Humerus (L) distal third of diaphysis,	V12-27N	Discovered originally in the southern ditch, but continues in a thin band over the northern ditch as well. It is confined within the cut of the ditch system as a whole and carries over V12-29N.	This context was made up of organic material and dark earth with the remains of discarded human occupation material. The organic layer is thickest over the southern ditch and was very thin as it progressed north into the

missing epiphysis	The layer was located as high as .80 m below ground level and was between .05-.25 m thick.	centre of the northern ditch. There were other small bands of organic material in the 2014 contexts explored, but these have no stratigraphic connection. Similar to the organic materials included in V14-18N.
-------------------	--	---

Table 1 Find location, skeletal elements present, context description and interpretation. See FIGS. 4 and 6 for section drawings.

Individual bones were examined for evidence of trauma and taphonomic changes, including colour change, breakage patterns, carnivore activity and abrasion.⁴⁹ Table 1 gives the find context, position and description of the sediment for the individual skeletal elements. All recovered bones were incomplete but there was no indication of damage from animal activity, for example by rodent or carnivore gnawing,⁵⁰ nor was there any evidence of trauma or cut marks that would indicate human-induced post mortem bone modifications.⁵¹ The colour of the bones ranges from light to dark brown. Each skeletal element was subject to differential preservation, depending on the specific find location (see Table 1) and post-mortem environments. None of the bones show modification or weathering such as cracking or flaking along the diaphysis that would indicate that the skeleton had been exposed to the air for an extended period of time.⁵²

Two indicators of primary significance for these human remains are the preservation of the individual skeletal elements and the differential deposition of the remains through the length of the ditch. These suggest a scenario whereby an articulated body was disposed of in a waterlogged ditch and likely left unburied at, or shortly after, the time of death. The scatter pattern and differential preservation of the bones do not follow the expected pattern for a primary deposit in an enclosed space such as a deliberate grave.⁵³ It cannot be ruled out, especially since the cranium has not yet been located, that the skeleton represents a secondary deposit after the original burial had occurred and the body had undergone decomposition and disarticulation.⁵⁴ However, the presence of smaller skeletal elements including ribs, vertebra and a phalange makes a secondary deposition less likely as these less robust bones are especially vulnerable to post mortem events and are also less likely to be represented in assemblages deliberately curated, for example after exposure, as they are more easily overlooked or displaced.⁵⁵ It is also unlikely that the body was in the open air for an extended period of time as there is no evidence of animal scavenging on the bones as would be

⁴⁹ Behrensmeyer 1978; Lyman and Fox 1997.

⁵⁰ Pokines 2014.

⁵¹ See Holst et al. 2018 for evidence of post mortem corpse manipulation from the 1st century A.D.

⁵² Behrensmeyer 1978.

⁵³ Duda 2006, 2009.

⁵⁴ Robb 2016.

⁵⁵ Pokines 2014; Mollerup et al. 2016

expected on a body subject to sub-aerial exposure,⁵⁶ though the use of negative evidence should be treated with caution here. A lack of rodent or canid modification can indicate the deposition of remains following only a relatively brief period of exposure or none at all,⁵⁷ though consideration is given to the fact that only part of the skeleton has been recovered. The skeleton is likely to have disarticulated and the individual elements transported by water movement following heavy rains and the downhill gradient of the ditch. Decay of tissues occurs differently in flowing water than in standing bodies of water due to different biota and the buffeting of tissues as the body impacts against the ditch bottom during transport.⁵⁸ The interpretation of isolated, water-transported human bones such as these can be challenging due to the long distances that they can move from their original depositional context in addition to the effects of various diagenetic alterations from the substrate in which they are buried.⁵⁹

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Isolated human remains from Roman archaeological sites have been excavated previously but are often given less precedence in analysis in favour of more traditional burials in cemeteries or mausolea.⁶⁰ This discussion analyses the human remains recovered from the Phase 1 early ditch in the North Field by placing them into the larger cultural, environmental and taphonomic context and adds to the growing body of literature on alternative burial practices in the Romano-British archaeological record.⁶¹ Using the principles of forensic taphonomy, our working hypothesis is that the spatial distribution of the skeleton along the length of the defensive ditch, in addition to the absence of post-mortem modification, suggest that the partial skeleton was the result of a primary deposition with no deliberate burial. Soft tissue decomposition of the body occurred in a waterlogged environment, leaving disarticulated body parts spread along the ditch.

If this interpretation of the primary deposition of the corpse is correct then we have to question how this fits in with what is considered normal burial practices in the early Roman

⁵⁶ Perring 2011; Pokines 2014.

⁵⁷ Pokines 2014; Møllerup, et al. 2016.

⁵⁸ Evans 2014.

⁵⁹ Griffith et al. 2016.

⁶⁰ Esmonde Cleary 2000.

⁶¹ Smith 2017; Smith et al. 2018; Pearce 2016; Butler 2006.

Empire and what this means for the further interpretation of the context of death and disposal. Whilst burial in Roman Britain followed a variety of rites derived from across the Empire the general perceived theme is one of respect and care of the corpse, by burial in a shroud or coffin, cremation and by provision of grave goods for the afterlife.⁶² Body disposal methods that have been described as deviant⁶³ are increasingly being excavated from the Roman period, including decapitation burials such as at Driffield Terrace in York and burials located in unpopular or rarely visited areas of the cemetery in the Upper Walbrook valley.⁶⁴ The term ‘deviant’ has been used to refer to individuals who seem, by right of their burial treatment, to have been shunned or excluded outright from society.⁶⁵ These ‘deviant’ burials consist of atypical manipulation of the corpse or skeleton but are usually still located within cemetery contexts. Aspöck demonstrated that a single society can use a variety of burial practices that can all be considered normative.⁶⁶

Alternatively, the North Field skeleton may be part of what have been referred to as ‘the invisible dead’,⁶⁷ that is the products of funerary practices that leave little or no archaeological traces. Disarticulated skeletons and partial skeletons are often found in Roman excavations, with bodies deposited in wells, such as at Verulamium and at Queen Street, London⁶⁸ and isolated cranial and post-cranial remains found in, amongst other places, ditches and rivers.⁶⁹ Large numbers of crania and some post-cranial elements have been found in the Walbrook stream and its tributaries in London with differing interpretations having been put forward for these remains including suicide, violent death, victims of the Boudiccan revolt, fluvial erosion from cemeteries and deliberate ritual deposition in watery contexts.⁷⁰ Excavations at the site of Moor House, City of London, have also uncovered numerous disarticulated human remains in ditch fills.⁷¹ It is thought that at least some of these remains were deliberately deposited in the ditches as part of an excarnation ritual as

⁶² Taylor 2010.

⁶³ Aspöck 2010.

⁶⁴ Harward et al. 2015; Müldner et al. 2011.

⁶⁵ See for example Crerar 2014.

⁶⁶ Aspöck 2009.

⁶⁷ see Smith 2017.

⁶⁸ Niblett 1999; Taylor 2010; see Wilmott 1982 for examples.

⁶⁹ For example Allison 2013; Esmonde-Cleary 2000, 135; Loe 2003; Redfern and Bonney 2014; Schulting and Bradley 2013; Taylor 2010.

⁷⁰ Bradley and Gordon 1988; Edwards et al. 2009; Knüsel and Carr 1995; Marsh and West 1981; Schulting and Bradley 2013; Redfern and Bonney 2014; Perring 2017; Harward et al. 2015.

⁷¹ Butler 2006.

some of the long bones displayed cut marks associated with defleshing.⁷² It is unlikely that the Moor House remains, as those of the North Field skeleton, were the result of fluvial erosion from a grave as no *in situ* inhumation burials were found in the area.

Disarticulated remains are important for interpreting attitudes to the dead in the Roman period.⁷³ The recorded remains of formal burials, whether ‘deviant’ or ‘normative’, may only be a small fraction of the overall population of Roman Britain, particularly in rural areas.⁷⁴ The disarticulated remains found on a variety of Roman sites may represent the ‘invisible dead’⁷⁵ and reflect alternative funerary practices to those found within the more visible cemetery contexts. Of seventy rural settlement sites in southeast England where disarticulated remains were excavated, nineteen contained no evidence for formal burial.⁷⁶ These remains may be evidence for alternative funerary traditions including exposure and excarnation, and may even be the normative rite in some rural areas. It has been proposed that these alternative practices are a continuation of traditional Iron Age funerary rites that left little evidence in the archaeological record,⁷⁷ including the deposition of bodies in or near sources of water such as wells, ditches and rivers.⁷⁸ Harding argues that the fragmentation and dispersal of the dead during the Iron Age is a standard form of disposal that may reflect long standing traditions continuing from the Bronze Age and surviving beyond the Roman occupation.⁷⁹ For example, skeletal remains covered with a layer of natural silt were excavated from pits on Danebury hillfort.⁸⁰ The layer of silt suggests that the pits had been left open whilst the bodies decomposed. Many remains without a burial context date to the late Iron Age and early Roman period.⁸¹ Other disarticulated human remains are known from early Roman Britain, such as the isolated vertebrae from a ditch at Jubilee Villa, Oxfordshire⁸² and a disarticulated skeleton from a ditch in Hockwold-cum-Wilton, Norfolk⁸³.

⁷² Butler 2006, 40.

⁷³ Pearce 2017.

⁷⁴ Smith 2017; Esmonde-Cleary 2000.

⁷⁵ Smith 2017.

⁷⁶ Smith 2017.

⁷⁷ Harding 2015.

⁷⁸ Butler 2006; Smith 2017; Pearce 2008.

⁷⁹ Harding 2015.

⁸⁰ Walker 1984; Booth and Madgwick 2016.

⁸¹ Butler 2006; Smith 2017.

⁸² Pine 2005

⁸³ Salway 1967

It is outside of the scope of the present study to speculate exactly what happened to this man but a taphonomic analysis of the skeleton suggests that the body was not formally buried, covered over by the natural fill of the ditch and left to decompose.⁸⁴ The early date of the North Field ditch system could potentially suggest a victim of war, sacrifice or violence. Hope describes how in times of war normal burial practices could be supplanted by practical solutions and war dead would be buried in mass graves.⁸⁵ The presence of a single individual makes this scenario unlikely, though this interpretation could be revised should further human remains be found in this location. Hope also suggests that at certain times a symbolic covering of the body by only a couple of handfuls of earth would satisfy the ritual requirement.⁸⁶ Ritual deposition in a liminal place such as a boundary ditch is another possible interpretation. Some bog bodies from across the Empire have been interpreted as evidence of sacrifice,⁸⁷ though there is no specific evidence at Vindolanda to support these scenarios.

The increasing numbers of deviant or alternative body disposals recognised in Roman Britain, such as the child skeleton found beneath the floor of a barrack building at Vindolanda,⁸⁸ decapitation burials in York⁸⁹ and the crania and post-crania from the Walbrook streams,⁹⁰ highlight the need for expanding analytical approaches to burial in Roman Britain.⁹¹ Even individual skeletons and burials can fill in gaps in our knowledge of Roman burial and challenge the existing status quo. Archaeologically visible burials, whether inhumation or cremation, may not fully represent funerary practice in the province.⁹² An increased reporting and awareness of these alternative or invisible funerary processes can enhance our understanding of Romano-British society. This discovery adds to the growing body of literature regarding alternative funerary practice in the Empire, highlighting that the concept of burial and disposal of the dead is more complex than ancient historical sources suggest.

⁸⁴ Toynbee 1971.

⁸⁵ Hope 1997.

⁸⁶ Hope 2009.

⁸⁷ Aldhouse-Greene 2001; Isserlin 1997; Taylor 2010.

⁸⁸ Buck, forthcoming.

⁸⁹ Müldner et al. 2011.

⁹⁰ Knüsel and Carr 1995; Redfern and Bonney 2014; Schulting and Bradley 2013.

⁹¹ See Crerar 2016; Pearce 2013, 2016.

⁹² Pearce 2016, 344.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Robin Birley, Dr. Andrew Birley and the Vindolanda Trust for the permission and support to conduct the field research presented here. Special thanks to the excavation team for their hard work and the conservation team for the post-excavation efforts. Significant funding to conduct the field research in the North Field at Vindolanda was provided by the Social Science and Humanities Research Council, Canada, and The University of Western Ontario Academic Development Fund. The external funding bodies had no role in study design, collection, analysis or interpretation of data, and had no influence on the written report or choice of publication.

Department of Anthropology, Durham University (T.B.)
t.j.buck@durham.ac.uk

Department of Classical Studies, University of Western Ontario (E.M.G. and A.M.)
egreene2@uwo.ca
ameyer26@uwo.ca

Department of Applied Sciences, Northumbria University (V.B. and E.G.)
victoria.barlow@northumbria.ac.uk
eleanor.graham@northumbria.ac.uk

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Aldhouse Greene, M. 2001: *Dying for the gods: Human sacrifice in Iron Age and Roman Europe*, Stroud

Allison, P. M. 2013: *People and spaces in Roman military bases*, Cambridge

Anderson, L.M. 2009: *The Roman military community as expressed in its burial customs during the first centuries C.E.* Unpub. PhD thesis, Brown University, Providence RI

Aspöck, E. 2010: 'What actually is a 'deviant burial'? Comparing German-language and Anglophone research on 'deviant burials'', in E. Murphy (ed.), *Deviant Burial in the Archaeological Record*, Oxford, 17-34

- Beauchesne, P. and Agarwal, S. C. 2014: 'Age-Related cortical bone maintenance and loss in an imperial Roman population', *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 24.1, 15-30, doi: 10.1002/oa.1303
- Behrensmeyer, A. K. 1978: 'Taphonomic and ecological information from bone weathering', *Paleobiology* 4, 150-62
- Behrensmeyer, A. K. 1982: 'Time resolution in fluvial vertebrate assemblages', *Paleobiology* 8, 211-27
- Birley, A. 2013: *The Vindolanda granary excavations*, Greenhead
- Birley, A. 2003: *Vindolanda Research Report 2003: The excavations of 2001-2002, Volume I*, Greenhead
- Birley, A. and Blake, J. 2007: *Vindolanda Research Report, The Excavations of 2005-2006*. Bardon Mill
- Birley, A. and Blake, J. 2005: *Vindolanda Excavations 2003-2004*, Hexham
- Birley, A., Meyer, A., and Greene, E. M. 2016: 'Recent discoveries in the fort and extramural settlement at Vindolanda: Excavations from 2009-2015', *Britannia* 47, 243-52, doi:10.1017/S0068113X16000088
- Birley, A., Greene, E. M. and Meyer, A. In prep: *A Roman kiln complex in the North Field at Vindolanda*
- Birley, A.R. 2002: *Garrison Life at Vindolanda: A Band of Brothers*, Stroud
- Birley, E. 1938: 'Fourth report on excavations at Chesterholm-Vindolanda', *Archaeologia Aeliana*⁴ 15, 222-37
- Birley, R. 1994: *Vindolanda research report, new series, Vol. 1: The early wooden forts*, Bardon Mill
- Birley, R. 2009: *Vindolanda: A Roman frontier fort on Hadrian's Wall*, Stroud
- Birley, R. and Sheehan-Finn, C. 2011: *Vindolanda's mortaria stamps*, Online publication: <http://www.vindolanda.com/doorway-articles/excavation-reports>.
- Blake, J. 2014: *Vindolanda excavations. The campaigns of 2007-2012 in the vicus or extramural settlement ('Area B')*, Greenhead

- Booth, T. J., and Madgwick, R. 2016: 'New evidence for diverse secondary burial practices in Iron Age Britain: A histological case study', *Journal of Archaeological Science* 67, 14-24, doi: 10.1016/j.jas.2016.01.010
- Bradley, R. and Gordon, K. 1988: 'Human skulls from the River Thames, their dating and significance', *Antiquity* 62, 236, 503-509, doi.org/10.1017/S0003598X00074603
- Buck, T.J. 'Child in the barracks: Murder on a Roman fort?' In prep.
- Buikstra, J.E. and Ubelaker, D. H. 1994: 'Standards for data collection from human skeletal remains', *Archaeological Survey Research Seminar Series* 44, Fayetteville
- Butler, J. 2006: '*Reclaiming the Marsh: Archaeological excavations at Moor House, City of London 1998-2004* PCA Monograph 6
- Chenery, C., Müldner, G. H., Evans, J., Eckardt, H., Leach, S. and Lewis, M. E. 2010: 'Strontium and stable isotope evidence for diet and mobility in Roman Gloucester, UK', *Journal of Archaeological Science* 37.1, 150-163, doi: 10.1016/j.jas.2009.09.025
- Christensen, A. M., Passalacqua, N. V. and Bartelink, E. J. 2014: *Forensic anthropology: Current methods and practice*, Oxford
- Cool, H. 2004: *The Roman cemetery at Brougham, Cumbria: Excavations 1966-67*, London
- Crerar, B. 2016: 'Deviancy in Late Romano-British Burial', in M. Millett, L. Revell and A. Moore (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Roman Britain*, Oxford, 381-405
- Dirkmaat, D. C., and Sienicki, L. A. 1995: 'Taphonomy in the northeast woodlands: four cases from western Pennsylvania', *Proceedings of the 47th Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences* 1:10
- Duday, H. 2009: *The archaeology of the dead: Lectures in archaeoethanatology*, Southampton
- Duday, H., Guillon, M., 2006: 'Understanding the circumstances of decomposition when the body is skeletonised', in A. Schmitt, E. Cunha and J. Pinheiro (eds.), *Forensic anthropology and medicine: Complementary sciences from recovery to cause of death*, Totowa, New Jersey, 117-57

- Eckardt, H., Booth, P., Chenery, C., Müldner, G. H., Evans, J.A. and Lamb, A. 2009: 'Oxygen and strontium isotope evidence for mobility in Roman Winchester', *Journal of Archaeological Science* 36.12, 2816-25, doi: 10.1016/j.jas.2009.09.010
- Edwards, Y.H., Weisskopf, A., and Hamilton, D. 2009: 'Age, taphonomic history and mode of deposition of human skulls in the River Thames', *Transactions of the London and Middlesex Archaeological Society* 60, 35–51
- Esmonde Cleary, A. S. 2000: 'Putting the dead in their place: Burial location in Roman Britain', in J. Pearce, M. Millett and M. Struck (eds), *Burial, society and context in the Roman world*, Oxford, 127-42
- Evans, T. 2014: 'Fluvial Taphonomy', in J. T. Pokines and S. A. Symes (eds), *Manual of Forensic Taphonomy*, Boca Raton, 115-43
- Gillam, J.P. 1970: *Types of Roman coarse pottery vessels in northern Britain* (3rd edn), Newcastle-upon-Tyne
- Gillam, J.P. 1976: 'Course fumed ware in North Britain and beyond', *Glasgow Archaeological Journal* 4, 57-80
- Greene, E.M. and Meyer, A. 2017: 'The North Field excavations at Vindolanda: Preliminary report on the 2009-2014 exploratory field seasons', *Mouseion* (Series III) 14, 197-251
- Griffith, S.J., Thompson, C.E.L., Thompson, T.J.U., and Gowland, R.L. 2016: 'Experimental abrasion of water submerged bone: The influence of bombardment by different sediment classes on microabrasion rate', *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 10, 15-29
- Haglund, W.D. 1993: 'Disappearance of soft tissue and the disarticulation of human remains from aqueous environments', *Journal of Forensic Sciences* 38.4, 806-15
- Haglund W.D., and Sorg, M.H. 2002: 'Advancing forensic taphonomy: Purpose, theory, and process', in W.D. Haglund and M.H. Sorg (eds.), *Advances in forensic taphonomy: Method, theory, and archaeological perspectives*, London, 3-30
- Harding, D. W. 2015: *Death and Burial in Iron Age Britain*, OUP Oxford

- Harris, N. J., and Tayles, N. 2012: 'Burial containers – A hidden aspect of mortuary practices: Archaeothanatology at Ban Non Wat', *Thailand Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 31.2, 227-39, doi: 10.1016/j.jaa.2012.01.001
- Hartley, K. F. 2010: 'The stamped mortaria', in M. L. Hird and C. M. Brooks, *Roman and Medieval Carlisle: The Southern Lanes, excavations 1981-2. Fascicule 3: The Roman and Medieval pottery*, Carlisle, 81-3
- Harward, C., Powers, N., and Watson, S. 2015: *The Upper Walbrook Valley Cemetery of Roman London: Excavations at Finsbury Circus, City of London, 1987-2007*, MOLA Monograph 69, London
- Hefford, B. 2005: 'The buried water analysis', in Birley and Blake 2005, 237-45
- Hodgson, N. 2000: 'The Stanegate: A frontier rehabilitated', *Britannia* 31, 11-22, doi: 10.2307/526915
- Hodgson, N.H. 2009: 'The pre-Hadrianic frontier on the Tyne-Solway isthmus and the Stanegate', in M.F.A. Symonds and D.J.P. Mason (eds.), *Frontiers of knowledge. A research framework for Hadrian's Wall*, Durham, 10-12
- Holst, M. K., Heinemeier, J., Hertz, E., Jensen, P., Løvschal, M., Møllerup, L., Odgaard, B. V., Olsen, J., Sørensen, N. E., and Kristiansen, S. M. 2018: 'Direct evidence of a large Northern European Roman period martial event and post-battle corpse manipulation', *PNAS* <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1721372115>
- Hope, V. M. 1997: 'Constructing Roman identity: Funerary monuments and social structure in the Roman world', *Mortality: Promoting the interdisciplinary study of death and dying* 2, 2, 103-21, doi:10.1080/713685858
- Hope, V.M. 2009: *Roman death: The dying and the dead in ancient Rome*, London
- Hunter, J., Simpson, B., and Sturdy Colls, C. 2013: *Forensic approaches to buried remains*, Oxford

- Isserlin, R. M. 1997: 'Thinking the unthinkable: Human sacrifice in Roman Britain', in K. Meadows, C. Lemke and J. Heron (eds.), *TRAC 96, Proceedings of the Sixth Annual Theoretical Archaeology Conference, Sheffield, Oxford*, 91-100
- Jones, R.H. 2013: *Roman Camps in Scotland*, Edinburgh
- Killgrove, K. 2010: 'Identifying immigrants to Imperial Rome using strontium isotope analysis', in H. Eckardt (ed.), *Roman Diasporas: Archaeological Approaches to Mobility and Diversity in the Roman Empire*, Portsmouth RI, 157-74
- Killgrove, K. and Montgomery, J. 2016: 'All Roads Lead to Rome: Exploring Human Migration to the Eternal City through Biochemistry of Skeletons from Two Imperial-Era Cemeteries (1st-3rd c AD)', *PLOS One* 11.2, e0147585, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0147585
- Knudson, K. J. and Stojanowski, C. M. 2008: 'New directions in bioarchaeology: Recent contributions to the study of human social identities', *Journal of Archaeological Research* 16.4, 397-432, doi: 10.1007/s10814-008-9024-4
- Knüsel, C. J. and Carr, G.C. 1995: 'On the significance of crania from the River Thames and its tributaries', *Antiquity* 69, 162-9, doi: 10.1017/S0003598X00064395
- Knüsel, C. J. and Robb, J. 2016: 'Funerary taphonomy: An overview of goals and methods', *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 10, 655-73, doi: 10.1016/j.jasrep.2016.05.031
- Leach, S., Lewis, M. E., Chenery, C., Müldner, G. H. and Eckardt, H. 2009: 'Migration and diversity in Roman Britain: A multidisciplinary approach to immigrants in Roman York, England', *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 140.3, 546-61, doi:10.1002/ajpa.21104
- Lyman, R. L. and Fox, G. L. 1997: 'A critical review of bone weathering as an indication of bone assemblage formation', in W. D. Haglund and M. H. Sorg (eds.), *Forensic Taphonomy: The postmortem fate of human remains*, Boca Raton, 223-47
- Loe, L. 2003: 'The forensic report on the human skull', in A. Birley 2003, 213-49
- Lyman, L. 1994: *Vertebrate Taphonomy*, Cambridge
- Marsh, G. and West, B. 1981: 'Skullduggery in Roman London?'. *Transactions of the London and Middlesex Archaeological Society* 32, 86-102.

- Mickleburgh, H. L., and Wescott, D. J. 2018: 'Controlled experimental observations on joint disarticulation and bone displacement of a human body in an open pit: Implications for funerary archaeology'. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 20, 158-67, doi: 10.1016/j.jasrep.2018.04.022
- Mollerup, L., Tjellden, A. K. E., Hertz, E., and Holst, M. K. 2016: 'The postmortem exposure interval of an Iron Age human bone assemblage from Alken Enge, Denmark'. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 10, 819-27, doi: 10.1016/j.jasrep.2016.06.021
- Müldner, G., Chenery, C., Eckardt, H. 2011: 'The 'Headless Romans': multi-isotope investigations of an unusual burial ground from Roman Britain', *Journal of Archaeological Science* 38, 280-90, doi: 10.1016/j.jas.2010.09.003
- Nawrocki, S.P., Pless, J. E., Hawley, D. A., and Wagner, S. A. 1997: 'Fluvial transport of human crania', in W. D. Haglund, M. H. Sorg (eds.), *Forensic Taphonomy: The Postmortem Fate of Human Remains*, Boca Raton, 529-52
- Niblett, R. 1999: *The excavation of a ceremonial site at Folly Lane, Verulamium, Britannia* Monograph series 14, London
- Nilsson, L. 2003: *Embodied rituals and ritualised bodies: Tracing ritual practice in Late Mesolithic burials*, Acta Archaeological Lundensia 46, Lund
- Ortiz, A., Chambon, P., and Molist, M. 2013: "'Funerary bundles" in the PPNB at the archaeological site of Tell Halula (middle Euphrates valley, Syria): Analysis of the taphonomic dynamics of seated bodies', *Journal of Archaeological Science* 40.12, 4150-61, doi: 10.1016/j.jas.2013.06.014
- Pearce, J. 2008: 'Burial evidence from Roman Britain: the un-numbered dead' in J. Scheid (ed.), *Pour une archéologie du rite. Nouvelles perspectives de l'archéologie funéraire*. Collection de l'Ecole Française de Rome, vol. 407, Ecole Française de Rome, Rome, 29-42
- Pearce, J. 2013: *Contextual Archaeology of Burial Practice: Case Studies from Roman Britain*, Oxford
- Pearce, J. 2016: 'Status and Burial', in M. Millett, L. Revell and A. Moore (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Roman Britain*, Oxford, 341-362

- Pearce, J. 2017: 'Introduction', in Pearce, J. & Weekes, J. (eds.) *Death as a process in Roman funerary archaeology*. Oxford: Oxbow Books, p. 1-26
- Perring, D. 2011: 'Two studies on Roman London. A: London's military origins. B: Population decline and ritual landscapes in Antonine London', *Journal of Roman Archaeology* 24, 249-82, doi: 10.1017/S1047759400003378
- Perring, D. 2017: 'London's Hadrianic War?' *Britannia* 48, 37-76, doi.org/10.1017/S0068113X17000113
- Pine, J. 2005: 'Early Roman occupation at Jubilee Villa, 21 The Moorlands, Benson, Oxfordshire' *Oxoniensia* <http://oxoniensia.org/volumes/2005/pine.pdf>
- Pinheiro, J. 2006: 'Decay process of a cadaver', in A. Schmitt, E. Cunha and J. Pinheiro (eds.), *Forensic anthropology and medicine: Complementary sciences from recovery to cause of death*, Totawa NJ, 85–116
- Pokines, J. T. 2014: 'Faunal dispersal, reconcentration, and gnawing damage to bone in terrestrial environments', in J. T. Pokines and S. A. Symes (eds.), *Manual of forensic Taphonomy*, Boca Raton, 201-248
- Pokines, J. T. and Baker, J. E. 2014: 'Effects of burial environment on osseous remains', in J.T. Pokines and S.A. Symes (eds.), *Manual of forensic Taphonomy*, Boca Raton, 73-114
- Prowse, T., Schwarcz, H. P., Saunders, S., Macchiarelli, R., and Bondioli, L. 2004: 'Isotopic paleodiet studies of skeletons from the imperial Roman-age cemetery of Isola Sacra, Rome, Italy', *Journal of Archaeological Science* 31.3, 259-72, doi: 10.1016/j.jas.2003.08.008
- Redfern, R. and Bonney, H. 2014: 'Headhunting and amphitheatre combat in Roman London, England: new evidence from the Walbrook Valley', *Journal of Archaeological Science* 43, 214-26
- Redfern, R.C., DeWitte, S. N., Pearce, J., Hamlin, C., and Dinwiddy, K.E. 2015: 'Urban-rural differences in Roman Dorset, England: A bioarchaeological perspective on Roman settlements', *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 157.1, 107-20, doi: 10.1002/ajpa.22693
- Robb, J. 2016: 'What can we really say about skeletal part representation, MNI and funerary ritual? A simulation approach', *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 10, 725-34

- Rohland, N. and Hofreiter, M. 2007: 'Ancient DNA extraction from bones and teeth', *Nature Protocols* 2.7, 1756-62, doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.247
- Salway P. 1967: 'Excavations at Hockwold-cum-Wilton, Norfolk, 1961-62' *The Proceedings of Cambridge Antiquarian Society* 40, 39-80
- Schulting, R. J. and Bradley, R. 2013: '“Of human remains and weapons in the neighbourhood of London”: New AMS ¹⁴C dates on Thames ‘River Skulls’ and their European context', *Archaeological Journal* 170, 30-77, doi:10.1080/00665983.2013.11021001
- Seaward, M.R.D. 1976: *The Vindolanda Environment*, Haltwhistle
- Smith, A. 2017: 'Romano-British rural burial practices in south-east England', in J. Bradbury and C. Scarre (eds.), *Engaging with the dead: Exploring Changing human beliefs about death, mortality and the human body* (Studies in Funerary Archaeology) Oxbow Books
- Smith, A., Allen, M., Brindle, T., Fulford, M., Lodwick, L., and Rohnbogner, A. 2018: *Life and death in the countryside of Roman Britain* (Britannia Monograph Series No.31) The Society for the Promotion of Roman Studies
- Stojanowski, C.M. 2002: 'Hydrodynamic sorting in a coastal marine skeletal assemblage', *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 12.4, 259–78, doi: 10.1002/oa.620
- Taylor, A. 2010: 'Aspects of Deviant Burial in Roman Britain', in E. Murphy (ed.), *Deviant Burial in the Archaeological Record*, Oxford, 91-114
- Thompson, C.E.L., Ball, S., Thompson, T.J.U., and Gowland, R. 2011: 'The abrasion of modern and archaeological bones by mobile sediments: The importance of transport modes' *Journal of Archaeological Science* 38, 784-793, doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2010.11.001
- Thompson, T.J.U., Szigeti, J., Gowland, R.L., and Witcher, R.E. 2016: 'Death on the frontier: Military cremation practices in the north of Roman Britain', *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 10, 828-36, doi: 10.1016/j.jasrep.2016.05.020
- Toynbee, J.M.C. 1971: *Death and Burial in the Roman World* (London)

Walker, L. 1984: The deposition of the human remains. In: B. W. Cunliffe, (ed.) *Danebury: an Iron Age Hillfort in Hampshire. Vo. 2. Excavations 1968-78: the Finds*. Council for British Archaeology, London. 442-462.

Webster, G. 1998: *The Roman Imperial Army of the First and Second Centuries AD* (3rd edn.), Norman OK

Weekes, J. 2008: 'Classification and analysis of archaeological contexts for the reconstruction of early Romano-British cremation funerals', *Britannia* 39, 145-60, doi:10.3815/006811308785916827

Willis, A. and Tayles, N. 2009: 'Field anthropology: Application to burial contexts in prehistoric southeast Asia', *Journal of Archaeological Science* 36.2: 547-54, doi: 10.1016/j.jas.2008.10.010

Wilmott, T. 1982: 'Excavations at Queen Street and Roman wells in London' *Proceedings of the London & Middlesex Archaeological Society* 33, 1-78.