# Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Egdell, Valerie and Beck, Vanessa (2020) A Capability Approach to Understand the Scarring Effects of Unemployment and Job Insecurity: Developing the Research Agenda. Work, Employment & Society, 34 (5). pp. 937-948. ISSN 0950-0170

Published by: SAGE

URL: https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017020909042 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017020909042">https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017020909042</a>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/42110/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <a href="http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html">http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html</a>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)





A Capability Approach to Understand the Scarring Effects of Unemployment and Job

**Insecurity: Developing the Research Agenda** 

**Abstract** 

Having a poor start in the labour market has a 'scarring' effect on future employment and

wellbeing. Indeed, unemployment at any point of the life-course can scar. While there is

extensive quantitative research examining scarring effects at the macro- and meso-levels;

evidence regarding scarring from the micro-level that provide insights into individual

perceptions, values, attitudes, and capabilities, and how they shape employment trajectories is

lacking. A qualitative approach which avoids the imposition of values and choices onto

individuals' employment trajectories, and accounts more fully for the contextual constraints

which shape available options and choices, is argued for. In emphasising people's substantive

freedom of choice, which may be enabled or constrained by contextual conditions; the

Capability Approach is proposed as providing a valuable lens to examine complex and insecure

labour market transitions. Such an approach stands in contrast to the supply-side focused

Active Labour Market Policies characteristic of neo-liberal welfare states.

Key words: Capability Approach; Job insecurity; Scarring effects; Unemployment

Introduction

Following the 2008 economic recession, unemployment increased across Europe (Eurostat,

2014), with youth unemployment rates a particular concern for governments (European

Commission, 2013). Alongside rising unemployment, precarious employment became a

1

prominent labour market status, often leading to later long-term unemployment (McTier and McGregor, 2018). Research shows that future employment, job quality and wellbeing may be compromised by periods of unemployment and/or a poor start in the labour market (Brandt and Hank, 2014; Gallo *et al.*, 2006), with the latter characterised by low starting-wages, inadequate job match, employment instability and/or repeated unemployment spells (Cockx and Picchio, 2013). While the short- and medium-term implications of unemployment and/or a poor labour market start should not be downplayed (Bradshaw *et al.*, 1983), it is these longer-term implications that are of concern here.

There is a well-established knowledge base on the 'scarring effects' of unemployment and/or a poor start in the labour market, characterised by a focus on quantitative measures and headline indicators. Some studies consider more subjective reporting of satisfaction and wellbeing (Cutler et al., 2015; Helbling and Sacchi, 2014; Strandh et al., 2014) but do not necessarily reflect on individual perceptions, values, attitudes, and capabilities, and how they shape employment trajectories. This literature does not provide conceptualisations of scarring that include the heterogeneity of experiences or an understanding of individual choice and agency. The need to develop the evidence base on scarring effects, and the contribution that the Capability Approach (CA), particularly through the application of qualitative techniques, can make is argued for in this article. The CA emphasises substantive freedom of choice to achieve well-being (Sen, 1999), taking into account "personal diversities in the possibility of converting primary goods...into achievements of well-being" (Sen, 2003, p. 27). . In differentiating between the availability of choices and the ability to make choices (Bonvin and Orton, 2009), a nuanced consideration of job quality and career prospects is possible. The CA's distinction between, 'true' and 'constrained' choices ([AUTHOR 4]) allows for an examination of complex, insecure and non-linear labour market transitions, looking at why,

when and how scarring is experienced. Such nuances undermine arguments characteristic of active labour market policies (ALMPs) in neo-liberal welfare states that 'any work is better than no work' and that sanctions and compulsions to enforce individual choice are justified (Wright, 2012, 2016; Dörre, 2015; Friedli and Stearn, 2015). However, it can be questioned whether greater real choice in job search prolongs unemployment and deepens disadvantage (Dunn 2010, 2013; Dunn, Grasso and Saunders, 2014) more than the potential skills and jobs mismatches resulting from taking on any job. Given the stigma attached to unemployment and the long-term negative impact that scarring has, such a focus is crucial and highlights the unrealistic nature of much of the workfare state's demands and compulsions ([AUTHOR 1]).

The arguments made in this article speak to, and extend, the growing qualitative research base that examines state manufactured precarity and the resistance emerging from that (Greer, 2016; Dörre, 2015) as well as research which uncovers the micro-level experiences of workfarist social and active labour market programmes (Patrick, 2014). To date, the lived experiences of unemployed persons do not receive much attention in social policy research, nor in government and media debates. The ebb and flow of labour market trajectories are not revealed. Thus, there is limited understanding of the complexities of the motivation, powerlessness and agency of unemployed persons (Patrick, 2014; Wright, 2016; McIntosh and Wright, 2019). As demonstrated in this article, application of the CA could serve to address this gap.

The remainder of this article is structured as follows. The knowledge base on scarring effects is reviewed before outlining the very different approach that the CA brings to this subject matter. The potential of the CA is considered before concluding comments are offered.

#### **Researching Scarring Effects**

The key message emerging from much of the work on scarring effects is that experiencing an unemployment spell is associated with increased likelihood of future unemployment (Helbling *et al.*, 2016). The broader labour market conditions in which an individual enters the labour market (Liu *et al.*, 2016; Summerfield and Theodossiou, 2017) and engaging in 'poor' work (e.g. skills under-utilization, insecure contracts, low paid work) leave their mark (Baranowska, Gebel and Kotowska, 2011; Gebel, 2010; Mavromaras *et al.*, 2015). Scarring may be experienced into mid- and later-working-life (Brandt and Hank, 2014), although in some circumstances it is less permanent (Gebel, 2010). Shildrick *et al.*'s (2012) 'low-pay/no-pay cycle' and McTier and McGregor's 'work-welfare cycling' (2018) demonstrate short-term versions of similar effects.

Scarring can also occur in terms of career development, income, health and wellbeing. Those who experienced early unemployment are more dissatisfied with their career progress (Helbling and Sacchi, 2014) and long-term wage penalties are observed (Arulampalam, 2001; Gregg and Tominey, 2005). Post-unemployment earnings losses are largely permanent and particularly significant for high-wage, older and female workers (Gangl, 2006). In terms of health and wellbeing, those graduating from any type of education in periods of high unemployment have (alongside lower income) lower life satisfaction, greater rates of obesity, and smoke and drink more later in life (Cutler *et al.*, 2015). Others identify scarring in terms of elevated levels of psychological distress (Daly and Delaney, 2013) with negative effects on mental health exacerbated by double or triple exposure to unemployment (Strandh *et al.*, 2014).

There is heterogeneity of scarring effects between groups, with two standing out as especially significant. First, the duration of unemployment is important. Research establishes that

prolonged unemployment decreases the chances of finding employment, although not necessarily starting wages and job stability (Cockx and Picchio, 2013). Others show that in some national contexts, women may be at higher risk of downward mobility the longer the unemployment experience (Evertsson, Grunow and Aisenbrey, 2016). Second, males tend to be more affected than females, with scarring persistent among males and more short-lived or less pronounced amongst females (Gebel, 2010; Gregg, 2001; Mooi-Reci and Ganzeboom, 2015). In terms of other demographic characteristics, there is less distinction between groups including by ethnic background (Birkelund *et al.*, 2016) and age groups (Gallo *et al.*, 2006).

Attention is also paid to potentially protective factors such as education or skills. Adverse effects on later unemployment of early-career unemployment for the unskilled, and the reverse for the more skilled, are identified (Burgess et al., 2003). Cutler *et al.*, (2015) highlight that higher levels of education can mitigate negative health and wellbeing effects of completing education during periods of high unemployment. The value that one attaches to work is also relevant with those with a greater attachment experiencing deeper scars (Laurence, 2015). Experiences of scarring are located within broader (protective) institutional structures. ALMP training, and to a lesser extent ALMP employment participation, mediate scarring effects (Strandh and Nordlund, 2008). Other welfare provision (unemployment benefit systems or strict labour market regulation) also act as a buffer (Gangl, 2006).

Demand- and supply-side factors may be driving mechanisms of scarring effects. However, while there is a good knowledge base on overall scarring effects, and differentiated group outcomes and protective factors, one key methodological challenge is the identification of causal effects (Helbling *et al.*, 2016). Determining the psychological mechanisms through which unemployment affects later well-being presents a challenge (Daly and Delaney, 2013).

The emphasis of scarring effects research to date is on headline indicators such as un/employment outcomes and/or quantifiable measures (Arulampalam, 2001; Burgess *et al.*, 2003; Cockx and Picchio, 2013; Mavromaras *et al.*, 2015). Even those reporting on satisfaction and wellbeing (e.g. Daly and Delaney, 2013; Helbling and Sacchi, 2014) do not explore lived experiences<sup>1</sup>. As such individual perceptions, values, job quality, and capabilities remain under-investigated. This is important because a qualitative judgement of individual situations is crucial. For example, the combination of scarring and a low-paid job may result in cycling in and out of the labour market, involving difficulties with welfare benefits and potential use of food banks (Shildrick *et al.*, 2012; McBride *et al.*, 2018; McTier and McGregor, 2018). In turn, in a dual-earner household with previous spells of unemployment, undertaking a low-paid job may be a valued individual/household strategy for reasons of flexibility that enable care for dependents (Leßmann and Bonvin, 2011) rather than a clear-cut reflection of scarring. Yet the distinctions between a positive or 'true' choice and the absence of choice or availability of 'constrained' choices only, are not considered in the scarring literature. This nuanced level of understanding is not revealed, which could lead to a misclassification of situations as scarring.

## The Capability Approach

The CA is concerned, and critically engages, with notions of the individual's 'substantive' freedom of choice to live a life that they value, set against the context of their personal, environmental and social conditions (Sen, 1985, 2003, 1999). It offers a framework to develop a contextualised understanding of scarring. Initially developed as an approach to welfare-economics by Amartya Sen, it draws attention to individual differences which, as outlined above, are important to understanding the way in which scarring occurs. The CA frames individuals as autonomous persons who should be able to decide what they wish to achieve,

based on their own understanding of a 'good life' (Sen, 1985, 2003). While the CA and human rights have a common focus on dignity and freedom, the language of capability provides a powerful tool that draws attention to what is involved in securing rights (Nussbaum, 2000; Vizard, Fukuda-Parr and Elson, 2011). (In)equality is understood in terms of the potential to achieve valued functionings. Individuals need to have agency, rather than being told how to think (Sen, 1985).

How do individuals achieve a life that they have reason to value? The CA considers the commodities (the material and non-material resources) to which the individual has access to, alongside their functioning (what they do and are) ([AUTHOR 5]; [AUTHOR 7]; Goerne, 2010). However, the approach moves beyond resources and functionings to consider an individual's capability-set (all that they can do and be). Capabilities are the combinations of functionings that the individual has and the real (not just formal) opportunity to achieve them (Sen, 2003: 40). In considering an individual's capabilities, the conversion factors (personal, environmental and social conditions) mediating the way that commodities are transformed into functionings are accounted for ([AUTHOR 5]; [AUTHOR 7]; Goerne, 2010). Finally, and featuring an area in the scarring literature that is not well developed, the CA highlights that an individual's understanding of a 'good life' will shape the choices they make. However, individuals cannot always realize their capabilities, or may be constrained in their choices, because of structural inequalities, low expectations and/or circumstances leading to 'adaptive preferences'. As Nussbaum (1997: 283) details "we are especially likely to encounter adaptive preferences when we are studying groups that have been persistent victims of discrimination, and who may as a result have internalized a conception of their own unequal worth". The longterm implications and consequences of adaptive preferences and, ultimately, the absence of choice ([AUTHOR 1]), is not developed in the scarring literature. This is especially important in the context of the psycho-social compulsions inherent in many workfare systems (Friedli and Stearn, 2015).

Sen does not outline in detail how the CA might be applied empirically and maintains that there is no need to define a core set of capabilities. However, Nussbaum (2003) contends that Sen's 'perspective of freedom' stance is too vague and that the complexities of ideas of freedom need to be understood. Nussbaum (2003) argues that an understanding of what core capabilities are is necessary to construct a normative understanding of social justice; and develops a list of ten central interrelated capabilities - bodily health; bodily integrity; senses, imagination and thought; emotions; practical reason; affiliation; other species; play; and control over one's environment (Nussbaum, 1997, 2000, 2003). These ten capabilities are in turn categorised into three different types of capability: (1) basic capabilities (the innate equipment necessary in the development of more advanced capabilities); (2) internal capabilities (states of the person themselves); and (3) combined capabilities (internal capabilities combined with external conditions for the exercise of a function) (Nussbaum, 2000: 78-80, 84-85). While the list is abstract and open-ended, leaving room for interpretation depending on the context ([AUTHOR 5]), some argue against such a list as the CA is operationalised for different purposes (Robeyns, 2005). Indeed, guidance is provided for the development of context specific lists (e.g. Burchardt and Vizard, 2007). Therefore, those using the lens of the CA for the study of scarring effects need to decide whether to use a pre-determined or bespoke capability list.

#### Potential Capability Approach contributions to scarring

The CA is an ideal framework for qualitative investigation of scarring due to its emphasis on empowerment, process freedom and choice. McRae (2003a, 2003b) demonstrates the need to

consider the constraints on the enactment of lifestyle preferences, not confusing voluntary action with genuine or unconstrained choice. Preferences are mediated by circumstances and "to adduce genuine choice from an outcome (behaviour) is too simple and may produce trivial information" (McRae, 2003a: 586). In this context, authors disenchanted with 'traditional' employment measures, propose the CA as an alternative that frames a person as disadvantaged if they do not have the opportunity to achieve what they value ([AUTHOR 1]; [AUTHOR 3]; [AUTHOR 4]; [AUTHOR 6]). As such, and of relevance in terms of scarring, whether a transition to work is positive depends how it is valued by the individual (Bartelheimer et al., 2012). 'True' versus 'constrained' choice scenarios are also revealed, highlighting that agency is usually bounded ([AUTHOR 4]).

The CA differs from exisiting supply-side oriented approaches to ALMPs characteristic of neoliberal welfare states, by revealing the contextual constraints shaping available options and choices ([AUTHOR 3]; [AUTHOR 4]). In operationalising the CA, attention is paid to employment possibilities (not merely openings), social environments, employers, and individuals (Leßmann and Bonvin, 2011). The CA is therefore a stark contrast to the neo-liberal underpinnings of workfare-states, which purport to raise self-inititative (Dörre, 2015) whilst attaching value only to income generating activities, using sanctions and compulsions to compel and enforce individual choice (Wright, 2012, 2016; Friedli and Stearn, 2015). Such contradictions make agentic and individually valued decision making impossible, and problematicially frame paid work as a panacea (Shildrick *et al.*, 2012; McTier and McGregor, 2018). This is a key contribution of the CA, in that it highlights the role of demand-side issues in an individual's labour market experiences, while ALMPs to date have largely been supplyside focused ([AUTHOR 3]; [AUTHOR 4]). The development of a qualitative methodology to research scarring effects is necessary to investigate the contextual causes and effects of scarring and to consider individual values and preferences. Such a differentiated understanding of scarring over the life-course leads to a revised conceptualisation which does not exclude scenarios in which positive choices are made that result in individuals undertaking activities that are meaningful to them, but which may not be positively evaluated by the workfare state. In turn, qualitative investigations would also highlight the extent of scarring that occurs as a result of individuals not being in control of their own choices and agency (Sen, 1985). The CA moves away from ALMP approaches that frame paid work as a cure-all and as a way of achieving equality and social citizenship. The CA speaks to calls within the social policy literature for a more nuanced understanding of agency, alongside more variety in the definition of successful outcomes, when examining experiences of work-fare states (Wright 2012, 2016).

This is not to say that the use of a qualitative, CA-based investigation of scarring is straightforward. Researchers first need to decide whether to apply a pre-determined capability list (e.g. Nussbaum, 1997, 2000, 2003) or develop their own context specific list. Second, finding data that can address the complexities of the approach, with regards to employment opportunities in particular, is difficult ([AUTHOR 2]). The value of the CA is that it invites researchers to conceptualise and examine labour market transitions beyond quantifiable measures. While it is possible to observe outcomes (functionings), observing an individual's freedoms (capabilities) is much harder (Leßmann and Bonvin, 2011; Verd and Andreu, 2011). Capability variables are often lacking in existing large-scale datasets ([AUTHOR 2]). There may be indicators of job quality and satisfaction, but in-depth, qualitative work is required to understand differentiated forms of scarring, to establish causal relationships and options available to scarred individuals and to distinguish between 'true' and 'no'/'constrained'

choices. Finally, as [AUTHOR 2] detail in relation to undertaking capability framed research with young people, participants may not be used to expressing their views and may find the open questions required in research applying the CA hard to answer. Using mixed-method approaches when operationalising the CA could be useful with qualitative methods complimenting quantitative investigations to provide in-depth insights into the quality of opportunities and job satisfaction, as well as individual experiences of scarring and motivations ([AUTHOR 2]; Leßmann and Bonvin, 2011; Lugo, 2007).

Qualitative CA investigations of scarring bring empirical and theoretical benefits and innovation. It is empirically useful to understand scarring from the perspective of those who experience unemployment and/or poor work, particularly at the start of working life. Theoretically, there are two potential innovations. First, the differentiated approach could lead to a conceptualisation of scarring effects that includes different experiences and, potentially, forms of scarring. Secondly, the issue of long-term scarring and life-time effects of early problems of transitioning into the labour market will allow a development of the CA in its focus on choice and lack of attention to 'no'/'constrained' choice scenarios.

#### **Conclusions**

In conclusion, this article details that while there is an extensive research base examining the scarring effects of having a poor start in the labour market, and/or experiencing periods of unemployment, at the macro and meso-level, evidence from the micro-level is lacking. Quantitative research on scarring effects does not provide insights into individual perceptions, values, attitudes, and capabilities, and how they shape the trajectories of 'scarred' individuals. By resorting to quantitative approaches to study scarring effects, we risk falling in line with

rigid neo-liberal policies which attach value only to income generating activities (Friedli and Stearn, 2015). Such policies do not allow for individually controlled decision making, nor do they pay attention to the value attached by the individual to the outcomes they have, or have not, achieved. They do not consider the contextual constraints informing choices, values and motivations.

This article argues for the need to develop the qualitative evidence base on scarring effects and considers the contribution of the CA in applying these methods. CA informed qualitative approaches are capable of reflecting individuality, choice and values; addressing questions not considered in the scarring literature to date. For example, do individuals who have experienced unemployment feel this has affected their labour market behaviour? Do they perceive that they subsequently experienced poor employment and wellbeing outcomes? How, and in what ways, do these experiences shape job quality, progression opportunities, and capabilities to engage in valuable work? Does having a poor start in the labour market or experiencing past unemployment, shape an individual's current experience of, and attitudes towards, their own labour market status and career expectations? How are individuals who have a poor start in the labour market able to carve out progressive transitions, even in unpromising circumstances?

While this is not a straightforward proposition, the value of this approach is that in emphasising the individual's substantive freedom of choice within the context of external and internal constraints, it stands in direct contrast to the neo-liberal underpinnings of workfare states. It extends the qualitative research base examining state manufactured precarity and workfarist policies by focusing on the motivations, powerlessness and agency of 'scarred' individuals. The CA provides a valuable lens to examine the complex, insecure and non-linear labour market transitions, looking at why, when and how scarring is experienced. By emphasising

individual choice and the backdrop against which choices are made, it provides a nuanced level

of understanding that can reveal scenarios in which positive choices are made, but which may

not be positively evaluated by the workfare state, leading to a potential mis-classification of

situations as scarring.

**Notes** 

<sup>1</sup> It should be acknowledged that there are some qualitative longitudinal studies of welfare

reform that reveal the lived experiences of unemployment over time (e.g. Patrick, 2014).

However, this research is not framed, nor is premised, in terms of exploring scarring effects. It

does not follow participants over an extended period in order to get a picture of longer-term

experiences of scarring effects.

References

Arulampalam W (2001) Is unemployment really scarring? Effects of unemployment

experiences on wages. The Economic Journal 111(475): 585-606.

[AUTHOR 1]

[AUTHOR 2]

[AUTHOR 3]

[AUTHOR 4]

13

## [AUTHOR 5]

### [AUTHOR 6]

## [AUTHOR 7]

Baranowska A, Gebel M and Kotowska IE (2011) The role of fixed-term contracts at labour market entry in Poland: Stepping stones, screening devices, traps or search subsidies? *Work, Employment and Society* 25(4): 777-793.

Bartelheimer P, Verd JM, Lehwess-Litzmann R, Lopez-Andreu M and Schmidt T (2012) Unemployment, intervention and capabilities. A comparative study of Germany and Spain. Transfer: European Review of Labour and Research 18(1): 31–44.

Birkelund GE, Heggebø K and Rogstad J (2016) Additive or multiplicative disadvantage? The scarring effects of unemployment for ethnic minorities. *European Sociological Review* 33(1): 17-29.

Bonvin J-M and Orton M (2009) Activation policies and organisational innovation: The added value of the capability approach. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy* 29(11–12): 565–74.

Brandt M and Hank K (2014) Scars that will not disappear: Long-term associations between early and later life unemployment under different welfare regimes. *Journal of Social Policy* 43(4): 727–743.

Burchardt T and Vizard P (2007) Developing a capability list: Final recommendations of the Equalities Review steering group on measurement. LSE STICERD Research Paper No. CASE121. Available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=1159352

Burgess S, Propper C, Rees H and Shearer A (2003) The class of 1981: The effects of early career unemployment on subsequent unemployment experiences. *Labour Economics* 10(3): 291–309.

Cockx B and Picchio M (2013) Scarring effects of remaining unemployed for long-term unemployed school-leavers. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A* 176(4): 951–980.

Coulter, S. (2016) The UK labour market and the 'great recession'. In: Myant M, Theodoropoulou S, Piasna A (eds) *Unemployment, Internal Devaluation and Labour Market Deregulation in Europe*. Brussels: European Trade Union Institute, 197–227.

Cutler DM, Huang W and Lleras-Muney A (2015) When does education matter? The protective effect of education for cohorts graduating in bad times. *Social Science and Medicine* 127(February 2015): 63–73.

Daly M and Delaney L (2013) The scarring effect of unemployment throughout adulthood on psychological distress at age 50: Estimates controlling for early adulthood distress and childhood psychological factors. *Social Science and Medicine* 80(March 2013): 19–23.

Dörre K (2015) Tests for the Underclass: The Social Effects of Activating Labour Market

Policy in Germany. In: della Porta D, Silvasti T, Hänninen S, Siisiäinen M (eds) *The New Social Division: Making and Unmaking Precariousness*. London: Palgrave: 83-100.

Dunn A (2010) The 'dole or drudgery' dilemma: Education, the work ethic and unemployment. *Social Policy & Administration 44*(1): 1-19.

Dunn A (2013) Activation workers' perceptions of their long-term unemployed clients' attitudes towards employment. *Journal of Social Policy* 42(4): 799-817.

Dunn A, Grasso MT and Saunders C (2014) Unemployment and attitudes to work: Asking the 'right' question. *Work, Employment and Society* 28(6): 904-925.

European Commission (2013) Working Together for Europe's Young People. A Call to Action on Youth Unemployment. Brussels, COM (2013) 447 Final.

Eurostat (2015) Being Young in Europe Today - Labour Market - Access and Participation.

Available at: <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Being-young-in-Europe-today-labour-market-access-and-participation">http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Being-young-in-Europe-today-labour-market-access-and-participation</a>

Eurostat (2014) Impact Of The Economic Crisis On Unemployment - Statistics Explained

Available at: <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Archive:Impact of the economic crisis on unemployment#Gender differences">http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Archive:Impact of the economic crisis on unemployment#Gender differences</a>

Evertsson M, Grunow D and Aisenbrey S (2016) Work interruptions and young women's career prospects in Germany, Sweden and the US. *Work, Employment and Society* 30(2): 291-308.

Friedli L and Stearn R (2015) Positive affect as coercive strategy: Conditionality, activation and the role of psychology in UK government workfare programmes, *Medical Humanities*, 41(1): 40-47.

Gallo WT, Bradley EH, Dubin JA, Jones RN, Falba TA, Teng H-M *et al.* (2006) The persistence of depressive symptoms in older workers who experience involuntary job loss: Results from the Health and Retirement Survey. *The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences* 61(4): S221–S228.

Gangl M (2006) Scar effects of unemployment: An assessment of institutional complementarities. *American Sociological Review* 71(6): 986–1013.

Gebel M (2010) Early career consequences of temporary employment in Germany and the UK. Work, Employment and Society 24(4): 641-660.

Goerne A (2010) The capability approach in social policy analysis - yet another concept? SSRN Electronic Journal doi: 10.2139/ssrn.1616210

Greer I (2016) Welfare reform, precarity and the re-commodification of labour. *Work, Employment and Society* 30(1): 162-173.

Gregg P (2001) The impact of youth unemployment on adult unemployment in the NCDS. *The Economic Journal* 111(475): 626–653.

Gregg P and Tominey E (2005) The wage scar from male youth unemployment. *Labour Economics* 12(4): 487–509.

Helbling LA, Imdorf C, Ayllon S and Sacchi S (2016) *Methodological Challenges in the Study* of Scarring Effects Early Job Insecurity. NEGOTIATE working paper no. 6.1.

Helbling LA and Sacchi S (2014) Scarring effects of early unemployment among young workers with vocational credentials in Switzerland. *Empirical Research in Vocational Education and Training* 6(12): 1–22.

Laurence J (2015) (Dis)placing trust: The long-term effects of job displacement on generalised trust over the adult lifecourse. *Social Science Research* 50(March 2015): 46–59.

Leßmann O and Bonvin J-M (2011) Job-satisfaction in the broader framework of the Capability Approach. *Management Revue* 22(1): 84–99.

Liu K, Salvanes KG and Sørensen EØ (2016) Good skills in bad times: Cyclical skill mismatch and the long-term effects of graduating in a recession. *European Economic Review* 84(May): 3-17.

Lugo MA (2007) Employment: A proposal for internationally comparable indicators. *Oxford Development Studies* 35(4): 361–378.

McBride J, Smith A and Mbala M (2018) 'You end up with nothing': The experience of being a statistic of 'in-work poverty' in the UK. *Work, Employment and Society* 32(1): 210–218.

McIntosh I and Wright S (2019). Exploring what the notion of 'lived experience' offers for social policy analysis. *Journal of Social Policy* 48(3): 449-467.

McTier A and McGregor A (2018) Influence of work–welfare cycling and labour market segmentation on employment histories of young long-term unemployed. *Work, Employment and Society* 32(1): 20-37.

McRae S (2003a) Choice and constraints in mothers' employment careers: McRae replies to Hakim. *The British Journal of Sociology* 54(4): 585-592.

McRae S (2003b) Constraints and choices in mothers' employment careers: A consideration of Hakim's preference theory. *The British Journal of Sociology* 54(3): 317-338.

Mavromaras K, Sloane P and Wei Z (2015) The scarring effects of unemployment, low pay and skills under-utilization in Australia compared. *Applied Economics* 47(23): 2413–2429.

Mooi-Reci I and Ganzeboom HB (2015) Unemployment scarring by gender: Human capital depreciation or stigmatization? Longitudinal evidence from the Netherlands, 1980–2000. *Social Science Research* 52(July 2015): 642–658.

Nussbaum MC (1997) Capabilities and human rights. *Fordham Law Review* 66(1997-1998): 273-300.

Nussbaum MC (2000) Women and Human Development: The Capabilities Approach.

Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Nussbaum M (2003) Capabilities as fundamental entitlements: Sen and social justice. *Feminist Economics* 9(2-3): 33-59.

Patrick R (2014) Working on welfare: Findings from a qualitative longitudinal study into the lived experiences of welfare reform in the UK. *Journal of Social Policy* 43(4): 705-725.

Robeyns I (2005) Selecting capabilities for quality of life measurement. *Social Indicators Research* 74(1): 191-215.

Schmelzer P (2011) Unemployment in early career in the UK. *Acta Sociologica* 54(3): 251–265.

Sen A (1985) Well-being, agency and freedom: The Dewey lectures 1984. *The Journal of Philosophy* 82(4): 169-221.

Sen A (1999) Development as Freedom. New York: Knopf Press.

Sen A (2003) *Inequality Reexamined*. Oxford Scholarship Online. Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/0198289286.001.0001">https://doi.org/10.1093/0198289286.001.0001</a>

Shildrick T, MacDonald R, Webster C and Garthwaite K (2012) *Poverty and Insecurity. Life in Low-Pay, No-Pay Britain.* Bristol: Policy Press.

Strandh M and Nordlund M (2008) Active labour market policy and unemployment scarring: A ten-year Swedish Panel Study. *Journal of Social Policy* 37(3): 357–382.

Strandh M, Winefield A, Nilsson K and Hammarstrom A (2014) Unemployment and mental health scarring during the life course. *The European Journal of Public Health* 24(3): 440–445.

Summerfield F and Theodossiou I (2017) The effects of macroeconomic conditions at graduation on overeducation. *Economic Inquiry* 55(3): 1370-87.

Verd JM and Andreu ML (2011) The rewards of a qualitative approach to life-course research. Forum: Qualitative Social Research 12(3).

Vizard P, Fukuda-Parr S and Elson D (2011) Introduction: The capability approach and human rights. *Journal of Human Development and Capabilities* 12(1): 1-22.

Weisshaar K (2018) From opt out to blocked out: The challenges for labor market re-entry after family-related employment lapses. *American Sociological Review* 83(1): 34–60.

Wright S (2012) Welfare-to-work, agency and personal responsibility. *Journal of Social Policy* 41(2): 309-328.

Wright S (2016) Conceptualising the active welfare subject: Welfare reform in discourse, policy and lived experience. *Policy and Politics* 44(2): 235-252.