Analysis of non-derivatised bacteriohopanepolyols by ultrahigh performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry
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**RATIONALE:** Traditional investigation of bacteriohopanepolyols (BHPs) has relied on derivatisation by acetylation prior to gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC/MS) or liquid chromatography-MS (LC/MS) analysis. Here, modern chromatographic techniques (ultrahigh performance liquid chromatography) and new column chemistries were tested to develop a method for BHP analysis without the need for derivatisation.

**METHODS:** Bacterial culture and sedimentary lipid extracts were analysed using a Waters Acquity Xevo TQ-S in positive ion atmospheric pressure chemical ionisation (APCI) mode. Waters BEH C18 and ACE Excel C18 were the central columns evaluated using a binary solvent gradient with 0.1% formic acid in the polar solvent phase in order to optimise performance and selectivity.

**RESULTS:** Non-amine BHPs and adenosylhopane showed similar performance on each C18 column, however, BHPs containing terminal amines were only identified eluting from the ultra-inert ACE Excel C18 column. APCI MS-MS product ion scans revealed significant differences in fragmentation pathways compared to previous methods for acetylated compounds. Fragment ions for targeted multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) are summarised.

**CONCLUSIONS:** UPLC/MS-MS analysis using an ACE Excel C18 column produced superior separation for amine-containing BHPs and reduced run times from 60 to 9 min compared to previous methods. Unexpected variations in fragmentation pathways between structural subgroups must be taken into account when optimising MRM transitions for future quantitative studies.
Bacteriohopanepolyols (BHPs) are microbial membrane lipids occurring ubiquitously in the environment although they are estimated to be produced by less than 10% of bacteria (see Fig. 1 for examples).[1,2] They can be used as biomarkers to indicate specific bacterial populations and/or processes such as aerobic methane oxidation[3-5] or the transport of soil organic matter via rivers or coastal erosion to the marine environment.[6-10] They are also the biological precursors of the geohopanoids (hopanols, hopanoic acids, hopanes) which have been described as the most abundant natural products on earth.[11] Analytical methods for identification and (semi) quantification of complex mixtures of the BHPs have typically utilised acetylation of the functional amine and hydroxyl groups, followed by either gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC/MS) which can only detect a limited number of compounds[12] or reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with ion-trap multiple stage mass spectrometry (MSn) detection.[13-18] The first HPLC method for BHP separation without prior derivatisation involved a simple normal phase HPLC system with a silica 60 column and ternary solvent gradient of n-hexane, propan-2-ol and 0.04% triethylamine in water.[19] This system was able to separate 3 common BHPs: bacteriohpane-32,33,34,35-tetrol (BHT herein; Ia, Fig. 1), BHT-glucosamine (Ib) and BHT-cyclitol ether (Ic). This separation method was later adapted and, when coupled to mass spectrometer using negative ion chlorine addition under atmospheric pressure chemical ionisation (APCI) conditions, was able to identify these compounds in lake sediments.[20] However, subsequent investigation of this method revealed that it was unsuitable for other commonly occurring BHPs with a terminal amine moiety at the C-35 position (such as 35-aminobacteriohopane-32,33,34-triol [aminotriol herein]; Id, Fig. 1) as they were strongly retained resulting in extended analysis times and poor peak shapes.[13] Subsequently analyses by LC/MS were undertaken on peracetlyated samples using reversed phase chromatography with either a ternary or binary solvent system changing linearly from methanol (MeOH):water (90:10) to propan-2-ol:MeOH:water (40:59:1) and with detection via positive ion APCI.[13,15,16,21,22] More recently, Malott et al.[23] reported the identification of non-derivatised BHPs using an ultra-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (UPLC/MS) method based on a Waters (Inc.) application note for lipid analysis.[24] Briefly, samples were separated using a charged surface hybrid (CSH) C18 column (Waters Acquity UPLC CSH C18, 2.1 x 100 mm, 1.7 µm) with a binary solvent system containing 10 mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid eluted at 0.4 mL/min with the column maintained at 55°C. Analysis was via Waters LC-tandem MS (LC-T
MS/MS) system (Acquity I class UPLC with a Xevo G2-S time of flight (TOF) mass spectrometer). Column eluent was ionized by electrospray ionization in both positive and negative-ion mode. However, only BHT cyclitol ether (Ic), the dominant hopanoid from *Burkholderia multivorans*, was reported including the protonated (*m/z* 708.5451) and sodiated form (*m/z* 730.5225). Identification of this structure is in agreement with previous studies of *Burkholderia* spp.. The same UPLC/MS-MS method was also employed by Wu et al. to identify BHT and 2Me-BHT (IIa). These authors also reported significantly reduced ionisation efficiencies for non-acetylated compounds relative to the equivalent mass of the acetylated structures. This was proposed as potentially resulting from the low solubility of these compounds in the solvent used for LC/MS (dilution via sonication in propan-2-ol:acetonitrile:water; 2:1:1).

Further development of an UPLC/MS method for the identification and ultimately the quantification of a much wider range of BHP compounds will therefore be beneficial to significantly reduce the use of solvents and chemicals such as acetic anhydride and pyridine, which are currently widely employed for derivatisation, whilst increasing separation potential and/or sensitivity and sample throughput by reducing analysis time (currently 60 min per sample, HPLC method using reversed phase C18 HPLC separation. Here, we report on the first stage of development of a new UPLC/MS-MS method considering a range of columns (including different manufacturers and different phases for enhanced selectivity) and also assess fragmentation patterns to establish optimal precursor-product ion transitions, often with unexpected difference to previously reported hopanoid mass spectra.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials**

Bacterial cultures of *Methylosinus trichosporium* OB3b and *Methylococcus capsulatus* Bath as well as River Tyne (UK) estuary surface sediment were available in house. All samples were freeze dried, ground to a fine powder then extracted (~20 mg for cell mass and ~1 g for sediment) as described previously using a modified Bligh and Dyer method to produce a total lipid extract (TLE; for full details see).
Solid phase extraction

Further clean-up of the TLE is required prior to UPLC/MS-MS analysis therefore aliquots of TLE were pre-treated with aminopropyl solid phase extraction (SPE) to produce a concentrated polar-fraction containing all BHPs. The SPE method used was adapted from a method commonly applied in other studies of complex polar lipids from environmental samples which produces a neutral (chloroform:propan-2-ol; 2:1), acid (2% acetic acid in diethylether) and polar (MeOH) fraction. However, preliminary analysis revealed that although the majority of the BHPs were eluted as expected in the polar fraction, some BHPs such as adenosylhopane (Ie) and related compounds (IIe, If, IIf, IIf') were at least partially recovered in the neutral fraction. Therefore, the method was further adapted as follows. Isolute (Biotage, Uppsala, Sweden) NH$_2$ 1 g/6 mL SPE columns were preconditioned with 2 x 3 mL hexane. Sample TLE was reconstituted in chloroform (200 μL) and loaded onto the column. Fraction 1 (non-polar+acids) was eluted with acetic acid/diethylether (2:98 v/v, 6 mL). Fraction 2 (Polar fraction) was eluted with MeOH (10 mL). All fractions were blown down to dryness under N$_2$. Fraction 1 (non-polar+acids) was not investigated further as it does not contain BHPs. It was also noted that the 5α-pregnane-3β,20β-diol standard which is typically added to the TLE prior to further treatment or analysis (e.g.\cite{32}) elutes in the non-polar fraction if added to the TLE prior to SPE.

Derivatisation and filtering

For comparison of derivatised and non-derivatised polar fractions, aliquots of the polar fractions were evaporated to dryness under N$_2$ and acetylated by adding acetic anhydride and pyridine (0.25 mL each), heated at 50°C for 1 h then left at room temperature overnight to yield acetylated BHPs. The acetic anhydride and pyridine was removed under a stream of N$_2$ and the resulting acetylated sample was dissolved in 1 mL MeOH/propan-2-ol (3:2, v/v). All fractions, derivatised and non-derivatised, were filtered through 0.22 μm PTFE filters (VWR International Ltd., Lutterworth, Leicestershire, UK) prior to analysis by UPLC/MS-MS.

UPLC/MS-MS analysis of non-derivatised BHPs

Separation of the polar fraction BHPs was performed on a Waters (Elstree, UK) Acquity UPLC system fitted with either a Waters Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (1.7 μm, 2.1 mm x 100 mm; PN: 186002352) and an Acquity BEH C18 VanGuard pre-column (all supplied by Waters, UK) or an ACE Excel UHPLC C18 column (2 μm, 2.1 mm x 100 mm; PN: EXL-101-1002U) fitted with an ACE Excel UHPLC Pre-column filter (PN: EXL-PCF10; all ACE columns supplied by...
Hichrom Ltd., Reading, UK). Additional ACE excel phases (AR, Amide, PFP and Super C18) were tested for alternative selectivity using identical column dimensions and particle size to the C18 column. All showed reduced chromatographic performance relative to the C18 for non-derivatised BHPs although the AR column did show slightly improved separation of methylated compounds related to adenosylhopane. The solvent gradient was based on that used previously for derivatised BHPs under HPLC conditions which comprises 90% MeOH, 10% Water at Time 0 followed by a linear gradient to 59% propan-2-ol, 40% MeOH, 1% water in 25 min.\cite{17,18} For UPLC of non-derivatised compounds the more polar solvent phase was modified with 0.1% formic acid (99% ULC/MS grade; Biosolve [Dieuze, France], supplied by Greyhound Chromatography and Allied Chemicals, Birkenhead, UK). The following profile, adapted from that used for HPLC-MS analysis, was found to provide the best compromise between separation of compounds, peak shape and run time: 100% A (Time 0) to 100% B (at 3.5 min), isocratic for 2 min then returning to the starting conditions in 0.5 min and stabilising for 3 min before the next injection (solvent mix A = MeOH:water:formic acid [90:10:0.1 v/v/v] and B = propan-2-ol:MeOH:water [59:40:1 v/v/v]. All solvents were Biosolve ULC/MS grade (supplied by Greyhound Chromatography and Allied Chemicals). The flow rate for all runs was 0.6 mL/min and the column was heated to 40°C. Samples (i.e. one half of the SPE polar fractions) were dissolved in MeOH:propan-2-ol (3:2 v/v) and injected via an Acquity Sample Manager fitted with a 30 µL all PEEK sample needle (Waters Ltd., Elstree, UK; PN: 700002644). Use of the PEEK needle was required to eliminate contamination of samples, as initial tests showed pronounced carryover for the non-derivatised primary amines, particularly 35-aminobacteriohopane-32,33,34-triol (Id), when using the standard (stainless steel) needle.

Detection was carried out with a Waters Xevo TQ-S (triple quadrupole with StepWave - a unique, patented off-axis ion transfer device which maximises sensitivity by actively removing neutrals to reduce contamination), operated in positive ion mode fitted with a combined atmospheric pressure (chemical) ionisation and atmospheric pressure photoionisation (API-APPI) source, but operated in API only mode. Tuning and optimisation of parameters was achieved using a standard solution of 5α-pregnane-3β,20β-diol. Conditions were as follows: corona discharge 0.3 µA, cone voltage 30 V, source offset 50 V, gas flow rates (N₂): Desolvation 250 L h⁻¹, Cone 150 L h⁻¹. The nebuliser pressure was set to 4 Bar.

Preliminary determination of parent-product ion transitions for BHT (Ia; parent ion \( m/z \) 529 = [M+H-H₂O]⁺) and aminotriol (Id; parent ion \( m/z \) 546 = [M+H]⁺) was achieved via off-column
loop-injections of aliquots of TLE of *M. trichosporium*. Product ion spectra were obtained at a range of collision energies from 20 to 35 eV. Product ion spectra of other compounds were obtained on-line during chromatographic runs of River Tyne sediment SPE polar fraction. Subsequently, typical values used for multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) transitions were cone voltage 30 eV and collision energy 30 eV unless otherwise stated below.

To assess if observed difference in BHP spectra were due to acetylation (or absence of acetylation) or were due to the differences in instrumentation (ion-trap vs. quadrupole MS-MS) we also obtained comparable product ion scans of acetylated BHPs using the UPLC/MS-MS. The system was set up as described above except without the formic acid solvent modifier in solvent A. The analytical conditions used for analysis of derivatised BHPs by HPLC-ion-trap-MS on a Thermo Finnigan Surveyor-LCQ system have been described in detail elsewhere.[17,18,29,32]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bacteriohopane-32,33,34,35-tetrol (BHT)

Bacteriohopanetetrol (BHT; Ia) is the most commonly occurring of all known BHP structures and has been reported widely in bacterial cultures and modern, recent and ancient samples up to 56 Ma.[3,34-36] The acetylated BHT protonated molecule ([M+H]+ = m/z 715) is unstable under APCI conditions, resulting in rapid loss of one functional group and a base peak ion of m/z 655 ([M+H-CH₃COOH]+). This loss of one functional group to form the base peak ion is seen for all acetylated BHPs that do not contain N unless they contain a heterocyclic O atom resulting in a base peak ion of [M+H]+.[37] The ion-trap MS² spectrum of BHT (from parent ion m/z 655; Fig. 2a), which has been described in detail elsewhere,[15,16] contains ions indicating loss of the functional groups (as CH₃COOH, -60 Da) and ions indicating loss of the A+B ring fragment including an ion of m/z 191 (Fig. 2a). Under electron impact ionisation the m/z 191 ion is the major ion fragment observed indicating ring system cleavage of the C8 –C14 bond and the C-9 to C-11 bond.[38] This ion or the equivalent m/z 205 ion in hopanoids methylated on the A-ring (e.g. IIa, IIIa) are used as characteristic ions during selected ion monitoring of hopanoids during GC/MS analysis.[12] After confirming the presence of BHT in the SPE polar fraction of River Tyne estuary sediment extract via acetylation of a portion of the SPE polar fraction and analysis via the standard HPLC-MS² method,[15-18] product ion scans were also obtained for the acetylated compound under UPLC/MS-MS (examples shown at 30 eV collision energy; Fig. 2b). Although ions indicative of the full (-
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60 Da) or partial loss of the acetylated functional groups (as CH₂CO, 42 Da) were common to both ion-trap and triple quadrupole spectra of the acetylated parent ion (m/z 655; Fig. 2a and b, respectively), the lower mass range of the quadrupole spectrum was different to that expected via comparison of the EI–MS spectrum of BHT. Ions of m/z 369 (indicating charge retention on the ring system after loss of the side chain) and m/z 191 (A+B rings) were present but relatively minor compared to lower mass ions (Fig. 2b).

To obtain product ion scans from the parent ion m/z 529 (= [M+H-H₂O]⁺) of non-acetylated BHT (Ia) (Table 1), loop injection of TLE from the methanotrophic bacterium Methylosinus trichosporium OB3b, which is known to contain BHT, were performed with product ion scans recorded at a range of collision energies (CE). At 20 eV CE fragmentation was limited and the base peak ion was still m/z 529 (Fig. 2c). Other ions included m/z 511 (loss on one additional OH group as H₂O), m/z 369 and m/z 191. However, the major fragment in the lower mass range was m/z 163 (Fig. 2c); also present and more intense than m/z 191 in the spectrum of the acetylated compound under identical conditions (Fig. 2b). The exact structure of this ion is unknown, however an ion of the same m/z value has been observed previously in the APCI-MS² spectrum of acetylated BHT and other related compounds, and was more intense than the m/z 191 ion at all collision energies (e.g. Fig. 2c,d). With increasing collision energy (30 eV, Fig. 2d), the ions m/z 511, 369 and 191 were still present but at even lower relative intensity and low m/z value fragment ions assumed to derive from the ring system dominate the spectrum. At 30 eV the base peak ion in the product ion scan is m/z 95 with m/z 163 approximately 80% of the intensity of the base peak (Fig. 2d). Given the low intensity of the m/z 191 ion which would be the expected choice for an MRM ion transition (m/z 529 to m/z 191) by comparison with EI spectra, we instead suggest that the ion transition m/z 529 to m/z 163 could provide a more intense signal for detection and quantification. Proposed target ion transitions for other common BHPs containing no N atoms are indicated in Table 1 (IIa, Ig, Ih).

35-Aminobacteriohopane-32,33,34-triol (aminotriol)

The tetrafunctionalised 35-amino-bacteriohopane-32,33,34-triol (Id) is the second most commonly reported BHP, found in a wide range of cultured organisms and environments. When acetylated, the parent ion is m/z 714 [M+H]⁺ and when not acetylated, m/z 546 (Table 1). We have previously proposed that the stability of the protonated acetylated amine limits fragmentation under APCI MSⁿ analysis to simple side chain fragmentation i.e. loss of acetylated hydroxyls (Fig. 3a). The APCI-MS-MS product ion spectrum of the acetylated compound (from
parent ion \( m/z \) 714) at 30 eV CE (Fig. 3b) is also dominated by fragments from the side chain but does contain minor ions at \( m/z \) 191 and 163, though much less intense than those seen in the equivalent spectrum of BHT (Figs. 2b and 3b). As for BHT, loop injections of TLE from *M. trichosporium* were performed to obtain product ion spectra of the non-acetylated aminotriol (from parent ion \( m/z \) 546; Id). At 20 eV collision energy only limited fragmentation is observed with one minor ion of \( m/z \) 528 (loss of OH as H\(_2\)O; Fig. 3c). However, at 35 eV CE, (Fig. 3d) more significant fragmentation is observed with a base peak of \( m/z \) 95 (as seen for BHT at 30 eV CE (Fig. 2d). The next most intense ion is \( m/z \) 528 which is accompanied by ions \( m/z \) 510 and 492 indicating loss of a second and third OH, respectively. Loss of the amine is not observed, similar to the ion-trap MS\(^2\) spectrum (Fig. 3a). Again \( m/z \) 163 is observed and is more abundant than \( m/z \) 191 with both of these ions less than 50% intensity of the \( m/z \) 528 ion. We therefore propose that the MRM transition from the parent ion \( m/z \) 546 to \( m/z \) 528 is the best target for aminotriol. However, as loss of water is not highly specific, additional target ions of either \( m/z \) 191 or \( m/z \) 163 (Fig. 3d) should also be employed to support the assignment. More generally for any C-35 amine-containing BHP that the generic transition \[ \text{[M+H]}^+ \rightarrow \text{[M+H-H\(_2\)O]}^+ \] together with a more diagnostic ring-system ion (\( m/z \) 191 or 163 – or equivalent for methylated compounds) should be the target MRMs for these important compounds. The relevant ions for targeted MRM scans for the most commonly occurring structures of this group, including C-3 methylated compounds, are indicated in Table 1 (Id, IId, IIId, IIi, IIIi, Ij, IIIj).

**Bacteriohopanetetrol cyclitol ether (BHT-CE)**

Bacteriohopanetetrol cyclitol ether (BHT-CE; Ic) is the most commonly occurring of a group of compounds termed “composite BHPs” i.e. BHPs with a regular, linear side chain (as in BHT or aminotriol, above) but with a more complex functional group such as an amino sugar attached via an ether bond at the C-35 position. When acetylated, the major protonated molecule \[ \text{[M+H]}^+ \] is an ion of \( m/z \) 1002 (heptaacetate form),\[^{15}\] with a subordinate contribution from the octaacetate \[ ([\text{M+H}]^+ = m/z \ 1044)\,\[^{16}\] The ion-trap MS\(^2\) spectra and MS-MS product ion scans of the heptaacetate are similar (Fig. 4a and b, respectively), with major fragments arising from loss of one or more acetylated hydroxyls (\( m/z \) 942, 882, 822) or loss of the entire terminal group \( m/z \) 655 (and \( m/z \) 595, 535 and 475) after loss of the functional groups at C-32, 33 and 34. Finally, both spectra contain minor ions indicating the terminal moiety of \( m/z \) 330 and 348 (Fig. 4a,b).

When not acetylated, the protonated molecule \[ \text{[M+H]}^+ \] is \( m/z \) 708 (Table 1). At 20 eV collision energy, the product ion scan only contains one significant fragment ion at \( m/z \) 162 (Fig. 4c). This
ion fragment is the terminal group (corresponding to $m/z$ 330 in the heptaacetate; Fig. 4a,b). At higher collision energy the relative intensity of the $m/z$ 162 ion increased and an ion of $m/z$ 180 was also observed (Fig. 4d), corresponding to the ion $m/z$ 348 in the heptaacetate (Fig. 4a,b). The structure of the ion $m/z$ 222 is unknown (Fig. 4d). As the ions $m/z$ 162 and 180 will be present in the spectra of all compounds of this type (from parent ion [M+H]$^+$), either with methylation in the ring system or with additional hydroxyls at C-31 (e.g. I$k$) or C30 and C31 (I$m$), these ions are good targets for MRM transitions as indicated in Table 1.

**30-(5'-Adenosyl)hopane (adenosylhopane)**

Adenosylhopane (I$e$) is an important BHP as it is a biosynthetic intermediate in the addition of the side chain to the precursor $C_{30}$ hopanoid diploptene. Unlike the structures described above, the side chain in adenosylhopane is cyclised containing a heterocyclic oxygen atom. When acetylated this structure has been found to produce both di, tri and even tetra acetate (adduct) forms, with protonated molecules of $m/z$ 746, 788 and 830, respectively (Table 1). Examples of the mass spectra of the triacetylated form (from parent ion $m/z$ 788) from ion-trap (see also) and quadrupole MS-MS product ion scan are shown (Fig. 5a,b). In both cases the fragment ion $m/z$ 611 [M+H-adenine]$^+$ dominates the spectrum followed by $m/z$ 178 which represents the acetylated adenine moiety.

The non-acetylated protonated molecule [M+H]$^+$ is $m/z$ 662 (Table 1). Only one peak was detected in the $m/z$ 662 mass chromatogram of the Tyne sediment SPE polar fraction. APCI product ion scans of ion $m/z$ 662 at 20 eV was surprisingly simple with the only ions observed being $m/z$ 662 and 136 (Fig. 5c; similar to that of BHT-CE at 20 eV collision energy; Fig. 4c). The ion $m/z$ 136 is consistent with the protonated adenine moiety after cleavage between of the C-35 to N bond. Increasing the collision energy to 35 eV only increased the intensity of the $m/z$ 136 ion and reduced the $m/z$ 662 ion; (Fig. 5d) no other fragments were observed even at 40 eV. This observation was unexpected given the more complex spectra of the acetylated compounds from either instrument (Fig. 5a,b), and may be due to stability of the protonated aromatic adenine moiety upon cleavage of the C-N bond. The dominance of a single fragment ion in the product ion spectrum of adenosylhopane (Fig. 5c,d) will produce a strong response during MRM analysis, potentially much higher than for other BHPs which produce multiple ion fragments.
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A methylated homologue of adenosylhopane is known (IIe)\textsuperscript{[17]} with [M+H]\textsuperscript{+} 14 Da higher at m/z 676 but with the same terminal group (adenine; Table 1). Two other related pairs of methylated and non-methylated homologues are known with each pair comprising a different terminal group (TG; f and f') at the C-35 position.\textsuperscript{[29,41]} APCI/MS\textsuperscript{a} analysis has indicated that the equivalent TG ion to m/z 136 in diacetyl-adenosylhopane or m/z 178 in triacetyl-adenosylhopane (Fig. 4a,b) for these compounds are m/z 151 (from parent ion m/z 761, terminal group not acetylated) and m/z 192 (from parent ion m/z 802, terminal group with 1 acetylated functionality), respectively. The exact TG structure of these compounds is currently unknown meaning that they are known simply as “Adenosylhopane Type-2” (If, IIf) and “Adenosylhopane Type-3” (If', IIf'), respectively.\textsuperscript{[6,7]}

The equivalent [M+H]\textsuperscript{+} ions for the non-acetylated structures as well as the proposed terminal group ions (by analogy with adenosylhopane; Fig. 4c,d) to be targeted in MRM are indicated in Table 1.

**Optimisation of chromatography for non-acetylated BHPs**

Having identified suitable target ions for MRM transitions for non-acetylated BHPs (Table 1), a comparison of 2 commonly used UPLC C18 columns was undertaken. The columns chosen were the Waters BEH C18 (1.7 µm particle size, 2.1 x 100 mm) and the ACE Excel C18 (2.0 µm particle size, 2.1 x 100 mm). The latter was chosen as this column is highly base deactivated to facilitate analysis of compounds containing primary amines (e.g. aminotriol, Id). Conversion of the standard 60 min gradient used for HPLC analysis of acetylated BHPs on the Phenomenex Gemini C18 (5µ, 3 x 150 mm; 0.5 mL/min)\textsuperscript{[17,18]} to the UPLC columns suggests a linear gradient of 100% solvent mix A (see Methods) to 100% solvent mix B in 3.47 min which we adjusted to 3.5 min. A subsequent isocratic period at 100% B of 2 min duration proved sufficient to allow elution of all commonly occurring BHPs discussed above. The ACE Excel column showed good separation of all major BHPs discussed above in the SPE polar fraction of Tyne River sediment including major (IIa) and minor (IIIa) methylated isomers of BHT (from parent ion m/z 529 and 543; Fig. 6a). However, we have yet to investigate samples containing other minor isomers or unsaturated structures.\textsuperscript{[18]} Using the same sample, and running under identical chromatographic conditions and detection parameters, but with the Waters BEH C18 column (Fig. 6b) it was possible to detect BHT, both methylated homologues, a related composite BHP similar to BHT-CE but without any N atoms in the structure (BHT-pseudopentose; parent ion m/z 691, In) and adenosylhopane (parent ion m/z 662, Ie) with near identical chromatographic separation to the ACE column (except for
partial co-elution of the C-2 and C-3 methylated isomers of BHT; Fig. 6a,b). However, we were unable to detect either the C-35 amines (aminotriol [Id], aminopentol [Ij]; parent ions m/z 546 and 578 respectively; Fig. 6b) or the amine-containing composite structure BHT-CE (Ic; parent ion m/z 708) via the Waters BEH C18 column (Fig. 6b), attesting to the excellent base-deactivated properties of the ACE column.

Previously, the full suite of adenosylhopane and related compounds have been observed in River Tyne SPE polar fractions, including adenosylhopane (Ie), Type -2 (If) and Type-3 (If') and their C-2 methylated homologues (Ile, IIf, IIf'), although the adenosylhopane Type-3 compounds were present only at very low levels (If', IIf'; Fig. 7a). Here, using UPLC/MS-MS and selecting MMRs based on the product ion scan of adenosylhopane at 30 V collision energy (Fig. 5d), we were able to also detect the adenosylhopane Type-2 and Type-3 compounds as one strong peak in each MRM trace (m/z 677 to m/z 151 and m/z 676 to m/z 150 respectively; Fig. 7b). However, the MMRs for the methylated structures (m/z 676 to m/z 136, m/z 691 to 151 and m/z 690 to 150, respectively; Fig. 7b), each showed a cluster of closely eluting peaks. In all cases the major peak (indicated as the II homologue in Fig. 7b) co-eluted with the non-methylated compound. The assignment of this peak as the C-2 methylated isomer is based on comparison of the relative position of BHT (Ia) and the major methylated homologue (IIa) in the River Tyne sediment (Fig. 6a) together with prior knowledge that the C-2 isomer is significantly more abundant in this setting than the C-3 isomer based on multiple analyses of samples taken from the same location using the HPLC-MS^n method for acetylated BHPs. A number of possibilities are considered for the identities of the other peaks. This could include C-3 methylated structures which elute later than the C-2 compounds under these conditions, confirmed via analysis of the SPE polar fraction of a sample of *Methylococcus capsulatus* Bath which is known to biosynthesise C-3 homologues of the primary amines compounds (Fig. 8). Another possibility is a structure related to the novel side-chain methylated compounds recently identified in extracts from the Eocene Cobham Lignite. Although the exact position of the side chain methylation could not be determined in that study, it was proposed that it is most likely to be located at C-31 by comparison with other studies. The observation of so many peaks in the putative methylated-adenosylhopanes chromatograms is, however, unexpected as this had not been identifiable in previous analyses via HPLC/MS^n.
Towards quantitative analysis

This work has shown that different BHP structures demonstrate very different fragmentation behaviour when acetylated versus not acetylated. This was particularly evident for non-acetylated adenosylhopane which produce only one fragment ion in the MS-MS product ion scan (Fig. 5c,d) promising superior sensitivity to other BHPs which produce multiple fragments under identical conditions and collision energies (Figs. 2, 3, 4). Until such time as authentic standards are available for a wide range of non-acetylated BHP structures, it will not be possible to fully test the sensitivity of the system for quantitative analysis, although we believe this configuration has some advantages over the Malott et al.[23] method. For example, the use of APCI avoids production of sodium adducts and clearly the choice of column is also very important when dealing with compounds containing amines. Other authors reported problems with the dissolution of BHPs in the injection solvent used in their studies. Here, we found that this problem was most significant for the C-35 amine-containing BHPs which also caused problems by contaminating the injection system leading to carry over from one run to the next; however, use of the all PEEK injection needle appears to have resolved this issue. The recently published method for large scale biosynthesis and purification of BHT (I\textsubscript{a}) and 2-methyl-BHT (II\textsubscript{a})[26] is an excellent step towards full quantification, although representative standards of non-derivatised compounds from a range of structural subgroups are still lacking at this time.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we have developed a new reversed phase UPLC/MS-MS based method using an ultra inert, base deactivated ACE Excel UHPLC column for the identification of BHPs in lipid extract from an estuary sediment and bacterial cultures, but which can also be applied to lipid extracts from other matrices. MS-MS product ion spectra of non-derivatised BHPs are significantly different to ion trap MS\textsuperscript{2} spectra of the equivalent peracetates. Optimising transitions for MRM detection for maximum selectivity shows that sensitivity for adenosylhopane (and related compounds) is significantly enhanced relative to other BHPs and relative to previous methods due to the dominance of a single fragment ion in the APCI spectrum. Separation of some methylated homologues can be enhanced using ACE Excel AR column, although, it has a deleterious effect on the peak shape of other compounds. Authentic non-derivatised pure standards are now required to optimise sensitivity and for quantitative analysis.
Acknowledgements

This work was funded by a Starting Grant (No. 258734) awarded to HMT for project AMOPROX from the European Research Council (ERC). We also thank the Science Research Investment Fund (SRIF) from HEFCE for funding the purchase of the ThermoFinnigan LCQ ion trap mass spectrometer.

REFERENCES


UPLC/MS-MS of non-derivatised bacteriohopanopolyols


UPLC/MS-MS of non-derivatised bacteriohopanopolyols


Figure Legends

Figure 1. Ring system and side chains of BHPs discussed in the text and listed in Table 1.

Figure 2. (a) Ion-trap APCI MS² spectrum of peractylated BHT (see also [14,15]); (b) UPLC/MS-MS APCI product ion scan of peracetylated BHT; (c and d) UPLC/MS-MS APCI product scans of non-derivatised BHT at 20 eV and 30 eV collision energy (CE) respectively. Major fragmentation pathways are indicated on structures.

Figure 3. (a) Ion-trap APCI MS² spectrum of peracetylated aminotriol (see also [15]); (b) UPLC/MS-MS APCI product scan of peracetylated aminotriol; (c and d) UPLC/MS-MS APCI product scans of non-derivatised aminotriol at 20 eV and 35 eV collision energy (CE) respectively. Major fragmentation pathways are indicated on structures.

Figure 4. (a) Ion-trap APCI MS² spectrum of BHT cyclitol ether (CE) heptaacetate (see also [14,15]); (b) UPLC/MS-MS APCI product scan of peracetylated BHT-CE; (c and d) UPLC/MS-MS APCI product scans of non-derivatised BHT-CE at 20 eV and 30 eV collision energy (CE) respectively. Major fragmentation pathways are indicated on structures.

Figure 5. (a) Ion-trap APCI MS² spectrum of triacetylated adenosylhopane (see also [17,29]); (b) UPLC/MS-MS APCI product scans of triacetylated adenosylhopane; (c and d) UPLC/MS-MS APCI product scans of non-derivatised adenosylhopane at 20 eV and 30 eV collision energy (CE) respectively. Major fragmentation pathways are indicated on structures.

Figure 6. Selected UPLC APCI MS-MS MRM chromatograms of non-derivatised BHPs on (a) ACE Excel C18 column and (b) Water BEH C18. MRMs: BHT (Ia; m/z 529 to m/z 191), methylated BHT (IIa and IIa; m/z 543 to m/z 205), BHT pseudopentose (In; m/z 691 to m/z 163), adenosylhopane (Ie; m/z 662 to m/z 136), amiotriol (Id; m/z 546 to m/z 528), aminopentol (Ij; m/z 578 to m/z 560) and BHT-CE (Ic; m/z 708 to m/z 162).

Figure 7. (a) HPLC APCI-MS⁶ mass chromatograms of adenosylhopane (combined di, tri- and tetraacetates) (m/z 746+788+830), methylated adenosylhopane (combined di, tri- and tetraacetates) (m/z 760+802+844), adenosylhopane Type-2 diacetate (m/z 761), methylated adenosylhopane Type-2 diacetate (m/z 775), adenosylhopane Type-3 triacetate (m/z 802) and methylated adenosylhopane Type-3 triacetate (m/z 816). For explanation of identification of the different, but as yet unknown, terminal groups (g and g’) (see [29,41]) (b) UPLC APCI MS-MS MRM chromatograms of adenosylhopane (Ie; m/z 662 to 136), methylated adenosylhopane
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(IIe; m/z 676 to m/z 136), adenosylhopane “Type 2” (If; m/z 677 to m/z 151), methylated adenosylhopane “Type 2” (IIf; m/z 691 to m/z 151), adenosylhopane “Type 3” (If'; m/z 718 to m/z 150) and methylated adenosylhopane “Type 3” (IIf'; m/z 732 to m/z 150).

Figure 8. (a) UPLC APCI MS-MS MRM chromatograms from TLE of Methylococcus capsulatus Bath showing of aminotetrol (II; m/z 562 to m/z 544), 3-methylaminotetrol (IIIi; m/z 576 to m/z 558, aminopentol (Ij; m/z 578 to m/z 560) and 3-methylaminopentol (IIIj; m/z 592 to m/z 574).
Table 1. Commonly occurring BHPs and methylated homologues showing observed and predicted product ions for MRM detection. Base peak ion observed for peracetylated structures are indicated to aid comparison with previous studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>n°</th>
<th>[M+H]+</th>
<th>[M+H-2(H2O)]+</th>
<th>[M+H-n(H2O)]+</th>
<th>[M+H-n(H2O)-NH3]+</th>
<th>A+B rings</th>
<th>Other ions</th>
<th>TGOH2b+</th>
<th>TG+c</th>
<th>Base peak when acetylated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BHT</td>
<td>Ia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>529†</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>191±</td>
<td>163±</td>
<td>655</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-BHT</td>
<td>Ia, IIIa</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>205±</td>
<td>177±</td>
<td>669</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentol</td>
<td>Ig</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>191±</td>
<td>163±</td>
<td>713</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexol</td>
<td>Ih</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>191±</td>
<td>163±</td>
<td>771</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminotriol</td>
<td>Id</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>546†</td>
<td>528†</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>475±</td>
<td>191±</td>
<td>714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-Aminotriol</td>
<td>Id, IIId</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>489±</td>
<td>205±</td>
<td>728</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminoterol</td>
<td>Ii</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>473±</td>
<td>191±</td>
<td>772</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-Aminoterol</td>
<td>IIIi</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>487±</td>
<td>205±</td>
<td>786</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminopentol</td>
<td>Ij</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>471±</td>
<td>191±</td>
<td>830</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-Aminopentol</td>
<td>IIIj</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>485±</td>
<td>205±</td>
<td>844</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHT Glucosamine</td>
<td>Ib</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>191±</td>
<td>180±</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHT-Cyclitol ether</td>
<td>Ic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>191±</td>
<td>222±</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-BHT Cyclitol ether</td>
<td>IIc, IIIc</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>205±</td>
<td>180±</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhpentol Cyclitol ether</td>
<td>Ik</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>191±</td>
<td>180±</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-Bhpentol cyclitol ether</td>
<td>IIk, IIIk</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>205±</td>
<td>180±</td>
<td>1074</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhhexol-cyclitol ether</td>
<td>Im</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>191±</td>
<td>180±</td>
<td>1118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-Bhhexol cyclitol ether</td>
<td>IIm, IIIm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>205±</td>
<td>180±</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHT pseudopentose</td>
<td>In</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>191±</td>
<td>163±</td>
<td>943</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-BHT pseudopentose</td>
<td>In</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>205±</td>
<td>177±</td>
<td>957</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenosylhopane</td>
<td>Ie</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>662</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>136±</td>
<td>746/788/830±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-Adenosylhopane</td>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>676</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>136±</td>
<td>760/802/844±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenosylhopane Type 2</td>
<td>If</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>677</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>151</td>
<td>761±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-adenosylhopane Type 2</td>
<td>IIIf</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>691</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>151</td>
<td>775±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenosylhopane Type 3</td>
<td>IIf</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>676</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>802±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Methyl-adenosylhopane Type 3  III  2  690  150  816

a N indicates number of OH groups in intact structure
b TG = Terminal Group (at C-35 position; Fig. 1)
c ions indicated in bold font are base peak ions under positive ion APCI and should be used as parent ions for MRM
ions with single underline are potential product ion targets for MRM transitions
di-, tri- and tetraacetate forms are known for these structures[29]

f diacetate only
g triacetate only
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Ia

IIa

IIIa

Ib

R₁ = R₂ = H: Ic
R₁ = CH₃, R₂ = H: IIc
R₁ = H, R₂ = CH₃: IIIc

Id

R₁ = R₂ = H: Ie
R₁ = CH₃: Ile

IIe

R = CH₃: If and If' (R = unknown)

Iff

R = CH₃: If and If' (R = unknown)

Ig
Figure 1. Ring system and side chains of BHPs discussed in the text and listed in Table 1.
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(a) APCI MS$^2$ of $m/z$ 655 @ 30% CE

(c) APCI product ions of $m/z$ 529 @ 20 eV CE

(b) APCI product ions of $m/z$ 655 @ 30 eV CE

(d) APCI product ions of $m/z$ 529 @ 30 eV CE

Figure 2
UPLC/MS-MS of non-derivatised bacteriohopanepolyols

(a) APCI MS² of m/z 714 @ 30% CE

(b) APCI product ions of m/z 714 @ 30 eV CE

(c) APCI product ions of m/z 546 @ 20 eV CE

(d) APCI product ions of m/z 546 @ 35 eV CE

Figure 3
UPLC/MS-MS of non-derivatised bacteriohopanepolyols

(a) APCI MS² of m/z 1002 @ 30% CE

(b) APCI product ions of m/z 1002 @ 30 eV CE

(c) APCI product ions of m/z 708 @ 20 eV CE

(d) APCI product ions of m/z 708 @ 30 eV CE

Figure 4
UPLC/MS-MS of non-derivatised bacteriohopanopolyols

(a) APCI MS² of m/z 788 @ 30% CE

(b) APCI product ions of m/z 788 @ 30 eV CE

(c) APCI product ions of m/z 662 @ 20 eV CE

(d) APCI product ions of m/z 662 @ 30 eV CE

Figure 5
UPLC/MS-MS of non-derivatised bacteriohopanepolyols

(a) ACE Excel C18 MRM

(b) Waters BEH C18

Figure 6
UPLC/MS-MS of non-derivatised bacteriohopanepolyols

(a) HPLC/MS

(b) UPLC/MS-MS

MRM

Figure 7
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Figure 8