Carbonaceous Nanomaterial Reinforced Ti-6Al-4V Matrix Composites: Properties, Interfacial Structures and Strengthening Mechanisms
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ABSTRACT:

For conventional titanium matrix composites (TiMCs), there is always a trade-off issue between enhanced strength and ductility of these materials. In this study, we explore a new design methodology by reinforcing titanium alloy matrix with carbonaceous nanomaterials and investigate the mechanisms for achieving a good balance of their strength and ductility. The TiMCs were synthesized through a low-cost powder metallurgy route using pre-mixed Ti-6Al-4V (TC4) powders and various carbon based nanofillers, including graphite powders (GPs), graphene oxide nanosheets (GONs) and graphene nanoplates (GNPs), and were further rolled at a
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temperature of 1173 K with a deformation of 66.7%. Among these three types of carbon reinforcing sources, the GNPs are more easily reacted with TC4 matrix and form more contents of TiC phases after sintering owing to their larger amounts of defects than those of the GPs and GONs. TiC products are identified to play a bridging role for not only connecting the TC4 matrix but also forming coherent interfaces with the TC4 matrix, thus facilitating a strong interfacial bonding of the composites. The as-rolled GNPs/TC4 composites exhibit a 0.2% yield strength of 1146.36 MPa (with an elongation of ~8.1%), which is 24.6%, 9.22% and 5.62% higher than those of pure TC4, GPs/TC4 and GONs/TC4 composites. The GNPs/TC4 nanocomposites show a better balance of strength and ductility than those of the other two types of nanocomposites. The synergetic strengthening mechanisms are identified to be Orowan strengthening effect, effective load transfer capability of GNPs, and in-situ formation of interfacial TiC structures, which provide optimum interfacial microstructures to achieve good mechanical properties of the TiMCs.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Compared to the conventional pure metals (such as Cu, Al, Mg and Ti) and their alloys, metal matrix composites (MMCs) have attracted great interest in recent years owing to their excellent physical/mechanical properties (including high strength and
elastic modulus, high hardness, good wear resistance, and good thermal/electrical properties) [1-10]. For examples, Cu matrix composites are preferred for electrical and tribological applications owing to their good electrical and thermal conductivities [11-13], whereas Al matrix composites are extensively used in aerospace and automotive industries due to their relatively low density and good workability [2, 14-15]. Titanium matrix composites (TiMCs) have also found wide-range applications in aerospace, automobile and chemical industries due to their light weight, high specific strength and excellent corrosion resistance [16-18], however, in many applications, their mechanical and physical properties need to be further improved.

For this purpose, different 2D or 3D reinforcements such as TiB whiskers, SiC fiber (or particles), TiC, TiN, ZrO2, Ti5Si3, TiB2 nano/sub-micron particles etc. have been applied as reinforcements [19-26]. For example, Maja et al. [27] reported that the sintered Ti-6Al-4V composites with 4 vol.% TiN showed an indentation hardness value of ~ 7.5 GPa and an elastic modulus of 156 GPa, which are significantly higher than those of Ti-6Al-4V matrix. Huang et al. [28] demonstrated that hot pressing sintered 8.5 vol.% TiBw/Ti composite with a network microstructure exhibit a large elongation of 11.8% and a strength increment of 74.6%.

Among various reinforcing micro-/nano-materials, carbon nanomaterials are attractive for development of high performance and smart/functional TiMCs for diverse engineering applications, due to their extraordinary mechanical properties (such as high strength and elastic modulus), superior physical properties (e.g., low density, good thermal and electrical properties) and other optical/electrical properties.
[29-33] (see Table 1). Currently there are a few reports available in literature about the improvement of mechanical properties of pure Ti matrix by introducing graphite powders (GPs), graphene nanoplates (GNPs) and graphene oxide nanosheets (GONs), as listed in Table S1. Previously we added 0.3 wt% GONs into pure Ti powders and reported that tensile strength of the sintered TiMC was increased by 9.7%, compared to that of sintered pure Ti matrix [34]. However, none of the reported studies are focused on the reinforcement of Ti alloy matrix using different nanocarbon materials. It is also unclear which carbonaceous nanomaterial could achieve the best strengthening/toughening effects in the Ti alloys matrix, and what the detailed interfacial reactivity/structures between these carbon sources and Ti alloys matrix could be. Understanding these topics will be beneficial for the optimum design and development of new types of titanium matrix composites with a synergistic effect of high strength and good ductility.

Ti-6Al-4V is dominantly used in the aerospace industry because of its excellent mechanical and physical properties [27, 35]. However, compared with other types of high strength titanium alloys (such as Ti-1300 and Ti-1400 [36-37], which are designed and synthesized by Northwest Institute for Nonferrous Metal Research, China), Ti-6Al-4V alloys with their relatively poor strength and ductility often limit their wide-range applications as structural components [38]. In order to solve the above mentioned issues, in this study, we prepare Ti-6Al-4V matrix composites (TiMCs) reinforced with three different types of carbon sources i.e. GPs, GONs and GNP, using low-cost powder metallurgy, effective spark plasma sintering (SPS) and
hot-rolling processes, and then investigate their microstructural characteristics and mechanical behaviors. This study focuses on an in-depth understanding of interfacial structures and enhancement mechanisms of these TiMCs, which provide a guidance for their successful applications into the industry.

**Table 1** Various properties of nanocarbon materials. [29-33]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>GPs</th>
<th>GNPs</th>
<th>Carbon Nanofibers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity</td>
<td>2.25 g/cm³</td>
<td>1.8~2.2 g/cm³</td>
<td>1.8 (AG)~2.1 (HT) g/cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistivity</td>
<td>6000 Ω cm</td>
<td>50 μΩ cm (in-plane)</td>
<td>55(HT)~1000 (AG) μΩ cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity</td>
<td>24.0 W m⁻¹K⁻¹</td>
<td>5300 W m⁻¹K⁻¹ (in-plane)</td>
<td>20 (AG)~1950 (HT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6~30 W m⁻¹K⁻¹ (c-axis)</td>
<td>Wm⁻¹K⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmittance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;95% for 2nm thick film</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;70% for 10nm thick film</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic modulus</td>
<td>4.8 GPa</td>
<td>0.5~1TPa (in-plane)</td>
<td>0.4 (AG)~0.6 (HT) TPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1×10⁻⁶K⁻¹ (in-plane)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal expansion</td>
<td>0.6~4.3 µm/m⁻⁰°C</td>
<td>29×10⁻⁶K⁻¹ (c-axis)</td>
<td>1×10⁻⁶K⁻¹ (HT; axial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Surface area</td>
<td>1.0 m²/g</td>
<td>Typically 100~1000 m²/g,</td>
<td>10~60 m²/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Up to 2600 m²/g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100~400 GPa</td>
<td>2.7 (AG)~7.0 (HT) GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.34 nm</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal stability</td>
<td>&lt; 500 °C (IA)</td>
<td>450~600 °C (IA)</td>
<td>450~650 °C (IA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IA=in air, AG=as grown, HT=heat-treated (graphitic)

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1 Raw materials

Commercially available Ti-6Al-4V (well-known as TC4) powders with an average size of 75 ~ 150 µm (Figure 3a) were purchased from Baoji Haibao Special Metal Materials Co., Ltd., China. Table S2 lists the characteristics of TC4 powders and carbon sources. Their microstructures were characterized using a field emission...
scanning electron microscope (FESEM, Zeiss GeminiSEM 500) and a high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM, JEOL JEM-2100Plus) with selected area electron diffraction (SAED). A typical morphology of TC4 spherical powder fabricated using a plasma rotating electrode process is shown in Figure 3(a).

Graphene nanoplates (GNPs) and graphene oxide nanoplates (GONs) were purchased from Nanjing Xian-Feng Nano Materials Technology Co. Ltd., China. Graphite powders (GPs) (>98% purity, with an average thickness of ~30 nm as shown in Figure S1, and a length/width of ~ 48 μm as shown in Figures 1(a) ~ 1(c)) were supplied from Tianjin Kemiou Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. China. For comparisons, Figures 1(d) to 1(i) show the morphologies of GONs (with a thickness of ~10 nm and a length/width ratio of 2~5 μm as shown in Figure S1) and GNPs (with a thickness of ~ 2 nm and a length/width ratio of 1~3 μm as shown in Figure S1). The GPs in Figure 1(a) show a rough but thick morphology, nevertheless, the GONs (Figure 1d) and GNPs (Figure 1g) show large-scale, thin-layered and wrinkled structures. Comparing Figures 1(b~c) with Figures 1(d~e) and 1(h~i), we can see that there are many nanoscale defects existed on the edges of GNPs and GONs, as marked by arrows in these Figures.
Figure 1. (a) ~ (b) TEM, HRTEM images of GPs and (c) corresponding SAED pattern, (d) ~ (e) TEM, HRTEM images of GONs and (f) corresponding SAED pattern, (g) ~ (h) TEM, HRTEM images of GNPs and (i) corresponding SAED pattern, respectively.

2.2 Fabrication of carbonaceous/TC4 composites

Three different composites, e.g., 0.15 wt% GPs/TC4, 0.15 wt% GONs/TC4 and 0.15 wt% GNPs/TC4 (hereafter, they are named as samples of GPs/TC4, GONs/TC4 and GNPs/TC4), were synthesized via a powder metallurgy route as shown in Figure 2. The detailed synthesis processes are listed as follows. (1) 0.3 g of carbon sources were added to 300 ml ethanol under an ultrasonic agitation; (2) 200 g of TC4 powders were added into carbon dispersion with the help of ultrasonic and magnetic stirring at
a temperature of 323 K; (3) After the above mixed solution was stirred into a semi-dry state, it was then transferred into a stainless steel jar containing stainless steel milling balls with diameters of 2, 5, and 8 mm and a mass ratio of 3:2:1. The ball-to-powder weight ratio was 3:1; (4) The jar were agitated using a planetary ball mill at 300 r/min for 5 hours; (5) After mixing, the resulted composite powders was dried at 353 K in a vacuum oven at -0.1 MPa and held for 12 hours.

**Figure 2.** Schematic of preparation of carbonaceous nanomaterials reinforced TC4 matrix composites.

**Figure 3** shows morphologies of the prepared composite powders and the initial TC4 powders. From **Figure 3(a)**, the TC4 powders exhibit spherical shapes with smooth surfaces (**Figure 3a1**). However, the obtained composite powders show much coarser appearance as can be revealed from **Figures 3(b, c, d)**, indicating that the surfaces of TC4 powders are wrapped with GPs (**Figure 3b**), or GONs (**Figure 3c**) and GNPs (**Figure 3d**), respectively. An enlarged view shown in **Figure 3(b1)** reveals that thick flakes of graphite are adhered on the spherical TC4 powders. Whereas **Figures 3(c1) and 3(d1)** show that the GONs and GNP s are not only tightly attached onto the surfaces of TC4 powders, but also quite transparent and crumpled if compared with those shown in **Figure 3(b1)**. This clearly indicates that some of the thin-layered and intact structures of GONs and GNPs are kept after the powder
Finally, the dried composite powders were loaded into a TZM (with a composition of Mo-0.5%Ti-0.08%Zr-0.02%C) die with an internal diameter of 50 mm and sintered into the bulk samples in an SPS furnace (SPS-80T-20) at 1173 K for 5 min of holding time under an axial pressure of 60 MPa. The size of the SPS processed cylinder TiMCs billets was Φ 50 mm×23 mm. Afterwards, a hot rolling process was used to modify and improve morphology and interfacial structures of the composites. Before the hot rolling process, 6 mm thick cylinder samples were obtained via the wire-cutting machine. In this work, hot rolling was performed at 1173 K by 66.7% reduction (with four passes) in the thickness direction to obtain fully densified composites with a final thickness of about 2.0 mm.

**Figure 3.** SEM images of (a) Raw TC4 powders, (b) GPs/TC4 powders, (c) GONs/TC4 powders, (d) GNPs/TC4 powders; (a1), (b1), (c1) and (d1) are enlarged images of corresponding marked region in Figures 3(a), 3(b), 3(c) and 3(d), respectively.

**2.3 Characterization**

Microstructural characterization of the mixed powders and composites was carried out using an optical microscope (OM, Axio Vert A1, ZEISS), an FESEM and a TEM with an energy dispersive spectroscope (EDS). SAED and HRTEM were also conducted using the same TEM instrument. The TEM samples of the composites were
prepared by mechanically polishing and ion milling of the sample using a Gatan-691 precision ion polishing system. Prior to performing morphological characterization, surfaces of the samples were mechanically ground and polished using standard metallographic procedures. After that, the sample surfaces were etched using the Kroll's reagent (1 vol.% HF, 3 vol.% HNO₃, and 5 vol.% H₂O [39]) to reveal the microstructures. The grain sizes of the as-rolled samples were analyzed using the electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) technique. Raman spectroscopy was used to investigate structures and defects of carbon nanomaterials, and this was performed at room temperature using a Laser Raman Spectrometer (LabRAM HR Evolution) with an excitation wavelength of 532 nm. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo Fisher ESCALAB Xi+) were used to observe the chemical composition of the carbon source in composites. The size and morphology of the nanocarbon materials were identified using an atomic force microscope (AFM, Dimension Icon System, Bruker Instruments). Samples for AFM imaging were prepared by drop-casting the dispersions of carbon nanomaterials onto a silicon substrate, which was then allowed to dry in air. Electron probe microanalysis (EPMA, JXA-8100, JEOL) were used to investigate the chemical reactions which have occurred at the interfaces between the nanocarbon sources and TC4 matrix.

Tensile testing specimens were cut along the diameter direction and rolling direction of the as-sintered/as-rolled samples with a gauge length of 50 mm, a width of 11 mm and a thickness of 2 mm (Figure 8b, inset). The tensile tests were carried out at room temperature using an MTS810 universal testing machine with a strain rate
of 1 mm/min. At least three measurements were performed in order to acquire an average value. Morphologies and compositions of the fractured surfaces were characterized using the SEM equipped with EDS. In order to study the micro- and nanoscale fracture behavior of the GNP/TC4 composites, in-situ tensile tests were also performed using a miniaturized deformation device within the SEM. The microscale tensile sample was machined from rolled GNP/TC4 composites into a flat dumbbell shape, which had a gauge length of 6 mm and a cross-section of 2 mm × 1 mm. A tiny notch (with a depth of 0.02 mm) was pre-made using wire-electrode cutting on the side of the samples to prepare the fracture position. The prepared microscale sample was further mechanically and electrochemically polished. During the entire tensile tests, the process was paused several times in order to observe the fracture process in real time using the SEM.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Microstructures of carbonaceous materials

Raman spectroscopy is a powerful nondestructive tool to characterize the bonding structures and electronic properties of carbon materials including their disorder and defect structures, defect density and doping levels [40]. The obtained Raman spectra of composite powders and as-sintered samples are shown in Figure 4. They all present the characteristic peaks of D band (~1350 cm⁻¹) and G band (~1580 cm⁻¹), which are corresponding to structural defects and degree of graphitization, respectively. Retention of graphene structures in the composites can be confirmed
from the presence and intensity of 2D peak. **Figure S2** shows the fitted Raman peaks using a Gaussian function, and the obtained results of detailed Raman parameters are listed in **Table 2**. Raman S3/2D integral area ratio (i.e. $A_{S3}/A_{2D}$, which exhibits a similar tendency with ratio of $I_D/I_G$) is often used to qualitatively and accurately evaluate the defect levels of the carbon based materials [41]. Higher value of $A_{S3}/A_{2D}$ means more defect concentration in the carbon materials, and a lower concentration of graphene structure is retained if a higher $A_{S3}/A_{2D}$ value is obtained based on the Raman analysis results. Based on the results shown in **Figure 4** and **Table 2**, the increased $A_{S3}/A_{2D}$ ratios for the samples of GNPs/TC4 and GPs/TC4 after sintering can be attributed to the reactions of the GNPs and GPs with TC4 matrix. As listed in **Table 2**, the $A_{S3}/A_{2D}$ value of the as-sintered GNPs/TC4 is 0.8746, which is 139.6% higher than that of the corresponding pre-mixed powders (0.3650). For the as-sintered GPs/TC4, its $A_{S3}/A_{2D}$ value (0.7847) is 63.6% higher than that of the pre-mixed GPs/TC4 powders (0.4795). The above results are in a good agreement with their microstructure characteristics shown in **Figure 1**, where more nanoscale defects linking with a higher reaction reactivity are found in the GNPs if compared to that of the GPs. However, for the as-sintered GONs/TC4 sample, a slightly decreased $A_{S3}/A_{2D}$ value (0.8956) is obtained if compared with that of 0.9584 for the pre-mixed powders. This is mainly due to the reduction of the GONs into GNPs structure because of the diminution of oxygen containing functional groups (which has been verified by the XPS analysis shown in **Figure S3**) during ball milling and sintering process [42-45]. This can also be confirmed from the weak and broad 2D peaks
(located at ~ 2700 cm$^{-1}$) of GONs/TC4 sample as shown in Figures 4(a) and 4(b). Furthermore, by comparing Figure 4(c) with Figures 4(a) and 4(b), the defect structures of carbon sources in their as-rolled forms were apparently destroyed after rolling, which can be clearly indicated by the increased values of $A_D/A_G$ and $A_{53}/A_{2D}$ as listed in Table 2 after rolling. It is mainly attributed to the crushed carbon sources under the large rolling forces and formation of TiC after the hot rolling process.

**Figure 4.** Raman spectra of (a) as-received mixture, (b) SPS and (c) hot rolling processed TiMCs reinforced with three types carbon source, respectively.

**Table 2** The detailed Raman spectrum results of samples in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>D band</th>
<th>G band</th>
<th>$A_D/A_G$</th>
<th>2D band</th>
<th>S3 band</th>
<th>$A_{33}/A_{2D}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPs/TC4 powders</td>
<td>1354.19</td>
<td>1587.26</td>
<td>0.4563</td>
<td>2713.34</td>
<td>2951.28</td>
<td>0.4795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GONs/TC4 powders</td>
<td>1349.43</td>
<td>1605.75</td>
<td>1.2102</td>
<td>2683.74</td>
<td>2931.74</td>
<td>0.9584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNP/TC4 powders</td>
<td>1352.60</td>
<td>1588.81</td>
<td>0.7463</td>
<td>2710.65</td>
<td>2953.39</td>
<td>0.3650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPSed GPs/TC4</td>
<td>1355.78</td>
<td>1588.81</td>
<td>0.8437</td>
<td>2703.93</td>
<td>2945.05</td>
<td>0.7847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPSed GONs/TC4</td>
<td>1352.60</td>
<td>1604.21</td>
<td>1.3725</td>
<td>2679.69</td>
<td>2946.08</td>
<td>0.8956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPSed GNP/TC4</td>
<td>1352.60</td>
<td>1587.26</td>
<td>0.8933</td>
<td>2721.39</td>
<td>2946.08</td>
<td>0.8746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolled GPs/TC4</td>
<td>1350.62</td>
<td>1582.31</td>
<td>1.0923</td>
<td>2694.62</td>
<td>2930.73</td>
<td>0.8350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolled GONs/TC4</td>
<td>1350.94</td>
<td>1582.60</td>
<td>1.1156</td>
<td>2693.55</td>
<td>2930.97</td>
<td>0.9267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolled GNP/TC4</td>
<td>1349.35</td>
<td>1587.24</td>
<td>1.2995</td>
<td>2698.94</td>
<td>2932.28</td>
<td>0.9456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EPMA analysis result of the as-sintered composites is shown in Figure 5. The analyzed area is ~ 16 μm × 12 μm, which contains agglomerated nanocarbon materials and in-situ formed TiC phases, all embedded inside the TC4 matrix. For the sample of as-sintered TiMCs, a circle of TiC layer was found to form at the interfaces among carbon source and Ti matrix (Figure 5). In Figure 5(a), briquets of GPs with a size of
~2 \mu m \times 4 \mu m are still remained in the central zone (see carbon mapping in Figure 5a) and they have ~ 30% proportion of the marked region in Figure 5(a), comparable to ~33% proportion of the residual GONs of the marked region in Figure 5(c). Furthermore, the EPMA results of GNPs/TC4 shown in Figure 5(b) has similar patterns with those of the GPs/TC4 in Figure 5(a), except with some unreacted regions (about 1 \mu m \times 1 \mu m, which is ~ 10% proportion of the marked region in Figure 5b). These results clearly show that the GNPs are much reactive to form TiC with Ti matrix than GONs and GPs at the same process conditions, which are consistent with the Raman spectroscopy results shown in Figure 4.

**Figure 5.** Electron probe microanalysis of TiMCs sintered at 1173 K. (a) GPs/TC4, (b) GNPs/TC4 and (c) GONs/TC4, respectively. For quantitative analysis, the area of remained carbon resources can be estimated from C mappings.

### 3.2 Interfacial structures of TC4-carbonous composites

The interface between the reinforcements and matrix plays a significant role in tailoring the properties of MMCs. Figure 6 shows the representative three interfacial structures in the GNPs/TC4 composites. There are overlapped and crumpled GNPs phases embedded inside the Ti matrix (Figure 6a). Distinct lattice fringes of graphene can be observed from the HRTEM image shown in Figure 6b. The lattice parameter was measured to be ~ 0.34 nm, corresponding to the interplanar spacing of graphene (0002) plane. The HRTEM image (Figure 6i) of the white square region in Figure 6a
shows a distinct GNPs-Ti interface, which confirms the existence of remained GNPs in the matrix. Furthermore, the SAED result (Figure 6b, inset) reveals that residual GNPs are nearly intact without apparent defects after the sintering, which are similar to those in the previous reports [46-47].

Figure 6(c) shows that the GNPs structures are completely destroyed in the composite, forming a layer of amorphous carbon film (i.e. destroyed GNPs, confirmed by SAED in Figure 6f and EDS of point B) and TiC nanoparticles (denoted by blue arrows and identified by EDS of point C and SAED in Figure 6e). EDS results (Figure 6d, inset) reveals that the region B contains a high carbon concentration (94.36 at.%, Table 3) and extremely low concentration of Ti (4.87 at.%). However, EDS results of region C show that the concentrations of Ti (45.53 at.%) and carbon (53.07 at.%) are nearly equal, suggesting that the nucleation and growth of TiC are preferred to occur with the destructed GNPs. Furthermore, bright-field and dark-field TEM images presented in Figures 6(c) and 6(d) demonstrate that the second phase particles are embedded in Ti matrix or at the boundary of the amorphous carbon film. The SAED pattern (Figure 6e) obtained from the second phase particles can be indexed as TiC crystals along the [110] direction on the basis of a cubic unit cell.

The interfacial structures of amorphous carbon layer and TiC were further studied using HRTEM with one of the obtained images shown in Figure 6(g). Combined with the low magnification TEM image shown in Figure 6(c), it can be concluded that the destroyed GNPs are well bonded with TiC without apparent gaps.
and impurities. The noise-filtered IFFT image is shown in Figure 6(g) (inset), and the obtained lattice inter-planar spacing is measured to be \(~ 0.26\) nm (Figure 6h), which matches the d-spacing of (111) TiC plane. As reported by Chu et al [47], the formed interfacial carbide nanoparticles can effectively improve the load-bearing ability of graphene and thus enhance the mechanical properties of the obtained composites.

A transition layer with an average thickness of \(~ 200\) nm can be clearly observed without apparent micro-voids and gaps around the interfaces as shown in Figure 6(j). Compared with the EDS results listed in Table 3, the carbon concentration in this transition layer is distinctly higher than that in the surrounding matrix. This shows that the GNPs are mostly reacted with Ti matrix to form TiC, which can be further confirmed by EDS analysis (e.g., see points of D, E, F in Figure 6j). The detailed interfacial structures between TiC and matrix of GNPs/TiC4 were further investigated using the HRTEM and the results are shown in Figure 6(k). The HRTEM image reveals that the lattice fringes of TiC (111) intersect with the odd lattice fringes of Ti (102), having an intersection angle of \(~ 77^\circ\). The same intersection angle is also obtained from the Fast Fourier transform (FFT) diffraction pattern (Figure 6k, inset).

A twin-structure like relationship is observed between Ti (102) and TiC (111) as shown in the inset of Figure 6(k). This clearly shows that the Ti (102) plane shares its atomic positions with those of the TiC (111) plane at their interfaces, indicating that the TiC forms a coherent interface with Ti matrix. It was reported that these coherent interfaces have lower interface energies if compared with those of incoherent interfaces [48-49]. Therefore, the formation of these coherent TiC-Ti interfaces should
reduce the interfacial energy and facilitate the generation of strong interfacial bonding between the TiC particles/layers and Ti matrix.

**Figure. 6** TEM and HRTEM images of GNPs/TC4 composites. (a) A bright field TEM image, white arrows indicate the remained GNPs. (b) HRTEM image and SAED (inset) of the remained GNPs located near the Ti matrix (region A). (c) A bright field TEM image showing amorphous carbon (i.e. destroyed GNPs) film and *in-situ* formed TiC nanoparticles in the GNPs/TC4 composites. Blue arrows show the TiC nanoparticles. (d) The corresponding dark-field TEM image of the Figure 6(c), inset showing the EDS result of the TiC (point C) and amorphous carbon (point B). The SAED of (e) TiC and (f) destroyed GNPs (i.e. amorphous carbon) in Figure 6(c). (g) HRTEM images of remarked interfacial region in Figure 6(c) and (h) the corresponding IFFT and lattice spacing measurement recorded at the marked region of TiC in Figure 6(g), (i) HRTEM image of the interface between TiC and TC4 matrix (the white square region in Figure 6a), (j) TEM image of the TiC nanolayer in the composites. (k) HRTEM image of interface and interface relationship between TiC and Ti matrix, inset showing the IFFT of the interfacial region, respectively.

**Table 3** Element compositions and possible phases of the regions marked in Figure 6 (at.%).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Possible phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>GNPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>94.36</td>
<td>Destroyed GNPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>45.53</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>53.07</td>
<td>TiC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>48.39</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>42.75</td>
<td>TiC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>78.08</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>10.65</td>
<td>Ti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>77.13</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>Ti</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Mechanical properties and fracture behavior of the composites

*Figure 7* shows mechanical properties of the TC4 matrix composites reinforced with different carbon sources after the SPS (*Figure 7a*) and HR (*Figure 7b*) processes, and the detailed results are summarized in *Table 4*. Both the 0.2% yield strength (0.2% YS) and ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of samples shown in *Figures 7(a)* and 7(b) are increased when different nanocarbon materials are added into the TC4 matrix.

The 0.2% YS of the as-sintered GNPs/TC4 is ~ 980 MPa, which is 27.78% higher than ~768 MPa of pure TC4 after the SPS process. As a comparison, the as-sintered composites of GONs/TC4 and GPs/TC4 have 0.2% YS values of ~ 898 MPa and ~ 836 MPa, respectively, which are ~ 8.4 and ~ 14.7% lower than that of as sintered GNPs/TC4.

On the other hand, the elongation of as-sintered TC4 is ~ 15.2% and its fractured surface shows ductile fracture features with many dimples (*Figure 8a*) and obvious features of necking (*Figure 8a*, inset). Nevertheless, the elongation values of the as-sintered TiMCs are all sharply decreased (*Figure 7a* and *Table 4*). The significant reduction of elongation values shown in *Figure 7(a)* are attributed to the uncompleted sintering neck formation. The *in-situ* formed TiC product and the remained
nanocarbon materials hinder the rapid diffusion of Ti atoms, thus leading to uncompleted sintering neck formation at the lower temperature. As it is commonly reported [43], formation of these uncompleted sintering necks often results in a low elongation in TiMCs, and relatively poor mechanical properties.

These results can also be confirmed by observing the fracture morphologies. Figures 8(b) to 8(d) show the original spherical shapes of fractured TC4 and cracks (as marked in Figure 8b), also the clusters of nanocarbon materials within the gaps among the TC4 matrices. Results indicate that the main fracture modes of the sintered TiMCs are inter-granular fracture. Furthermore, pulled-out of GNPs can be observed on the fracture surfaces of the as-sintered composites (Figure 8c1), suggesting that during the tensile deformation, the load cannot be effectively transferred from the TC4 matrix to the nanocarbons. The appearance of pulled-out of GNPs or GONs also demonstrates interfacial sliding between nanocarbons and TC4 matrix. In addition, clusters of nanocarbon are also observed on the fracture surfaces of the as-sintered composites, which easily lead to crack generation. Both the pulled-out and agglomeration of nanocarbons will severely weaken the strengthening effect.

As shown in Figure 7 and Table 4, tensile properties of the as-rolled TiMCs are much better than those of the as-sintered composites. Both the strength and ductility of as-rolled TiMCs shown in Figure 7(b) are simultaneously enhanced, which can be attributed to the improvement of bonding between TC4 particles and matrix (Figures 8f, 8g, and 8h) and the reinforcements (Figure S5) and small sizes of the TC4 matrix (Figure S6). For the as-rolled GNPs/TC4 composites shown in Figure 7(b), the
values of 0.2% YS and UTS are increased from ~ 942 MPa and ~ 980 MPa to ~ 1146 MPa and ~ 1269 MPa, which show significant enhancements of 21.7% and 30.5%, respectively, compared with the as-sintered GNPs/TC4 samples. Meanwhile, the elongation is also increased up to ~ 350% compared with as-sintered GNPs/TC4 samples. Similar trends can also be observed for the TC4 composites reinforced with GPs and GONs. The values of 0.2% YS and UTS of GNPs/TC4 composites are increased up to ~24.6% and ~19% as compared to those of the as-rolled TC4, whereas those values are 14.1% (17.9%) and 11.0% (12%) for GPs/TC4 (GONs/TC4), revealing that the GNPs/TC4 composites show a better balance of strength and ductility than those of the other two nanocomposites, at the similar mass fraction of carbon nanofillers. The enhanced strengths indicate that GNPs is effective for the reinforcement in Ti matrix composites.

The fracture surface of the as-rolled GNPs/TC4 shows a typical ductile fracture mode with a lot of large and deep dimples (Figure 8g and inset image), indicating its good ductility. Instead of being pulled out as shown in those of as-sintered composites, the nanocarbons in the as-rolled TiMCs can provide a bridging function to connect TC4 matrix (Figures 8f1, 8g1 and 8h1), which improve the load transfer capability of the composite during deformation.

Figure 7. Engineering stress-strain curves of unreinforced TC4, GPs/TC4, GONs/TC4 and GNPs/TC4 composites after (a) sintering at 1173 K and (b) HR at 1173 K.
Table 4 Tensile properties of as-SPSed and as-Rolled processed TC4 reinforced with GPs, GONs and GNPs, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>As-SPSed</th>
<th>As-Rolled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2% YS (MPa)</td>
<td>UTS (MPa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure TC4</td>
<td>767.50±12</td>
<td>889.90±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPs/TC4</td>
<td>835.79±11</td>
<td>963.22±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GONs/TC4</td>
<td>897.68±10</td>
<td>951.11±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNPs/TC4</td>
<td>941.96±9</td>
<td>979.93±11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. The fracture surface of the TiMCs after (a~d1) sintering at 1173 K and (e~h1) HR at 1173 K. (a, e) TC4, (b, b1, b2, f, f1) GPs/TC4, (c, c1, g, g1) GNPs/TC4 and (d, d1, h, h1) GONs/TC4, respectively. The inset of (b1), (c1) and (d1) shows the EDS mapping of the unreacted nanocarbon materials, and the inset of (f) and (g) exhibits the dimples and tearing ridge, which are the ductile fracture characteristics, the orange inset of (g1) is the EDS result of in-situ formed TiC, respectively.

To further understand the mechanisms for the improved mechanical properties of the composites, the in-situ microscale tensile tests were performed within the SEM in order to observe the real time fracture process of the composites. Figure 9(a) shows the photograph of the miniaturized tensile device for the tensile test. A notch was pre-made on the tensile sample to create the stress concentration site (Figure 9c), which is helpful for the localized crack initiation during the SEM observation. Figure
9(b) presents the load-displacement curve of as-rolled GNPs/TC4 composite with several paused stages of ①~⑥, in each of which the crack propagation was captured using the SEM images, which are shown in Figures 9(c)~(h). It can be seen from Figure 9(c) that there is no visible structural change up to the yielding point (stage ①). After yielding, the plastic deformation becomes significant and a crack is initiated from the pre-made notch (stage ②). The crack propagates along a tortuous path (stage ③~⑤) and ultimately leading to the fracture of the composite (stage ⑥). The similar crack propagation phenomena have also recently been reported in composites of GNPs/Cu and Ni-CN/Ti [50-51, 52]. The deflection effect during the crack propagation helps to dissipate more energy and delay the catastrophic crack propagation, thus contributing to the enhanced toughening effect and improved ductility of GNPs/TC4 composites. The in-situ microscale tensile test (Figure S7) also indicates that the as-rolled GNPs/TC4 composite exhibits a higher strength than that of the as-rolled GPs/TC4 composite, which shows the similar results compared with that from the standard tensile test results shown in Figure 7.

To further study failure modes in the as-rolled GNPs/TC4 composites, the magnified SEM images of cracks are also captured during the in-situ tensile test. Figures 9(i) and 9(j) reveal that the pulled-out graphene nanoplates are strongly adhered on the fractured matrix, and some deformed TiC particles are also attached to the surface of the matrix. Detailed observation of Figure 9(j) shows that that the exposed GNPs are either nearly pulled out from the matrix or display the serrate edges, thus implying the fracture of the GNPs. The pulled-out and fracture phenomena of the
GNPs and deformed TiC particles clearly demonstrate the strong GNPs/TC4 interfacial bonding which can significantly enhance the load transfer capability of GNPs/TC4 composites. Moreover, metal serrations can be observed at the crack tips of the GNPs/TC4 composites (Figure 9k), which is a clear evidence of ductile fracture [53]. These metal serrations can effectively restrain the opening of the crack and delay the catastrophic fracture of the composites [54-55], which contribute for the simultaneous enhancement of their strength and ductility.

Figure 9. Fracture behaviors of as-rolled GNPs/TC4 composites based on the in-situ microscale tensile test in SEM. (a) Photograph of deformation device installed in the SEM chamber. (b) Load-displacement curve with several paused stages. Inset showing the macrophotograph of the tensile samples before and after in-situ tensile test. (c~h) SEM images of the crack morphology and propagation at the interrupted ①~⑥ stages marked in Figure 9(b). (i) and (j) Magnified image of the fractured surfaces of GNPs/TC4 composites. (k) Presence of metal serrations at the crack tips of the composites, respectively.

4. DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Formation process of interfacial characteristics

Thermodynamic analysis was firstly performed to obtain the Gibbs free energy of carbide formation at the carbonaceous nanomaterials/TC4 matrix interface. For the Ti-C system [56], the possible reactions are:

\[
\text{2.50} \text{Fe} + \text{Ti} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_{2.50} \text{Ti}
\]
Ti(s) + C(s) = TiC(s) \quad (1)

4Ti(s) + 2C(s) = 2Ti_2C(s) \quad (2)

\[ \Delta G_1 = -184571.1 + 41.382T + 2.425 \times 10^{-3}T^2 - \frac{9.31 \times 10^5}{T} - 5.042T \ln T \quad (T < 1939\text{K}) \quad (3) \]

\[ \Delta G_2 = -160311.5 - 186.97T - 2.732 \times 10^{-3}T^2 - \frac{9.31 \times 10^5}{T} + 24.79T \ln T \quad (T > 1939\text{K}) \quad (4) \]

where $\Delta G$ (kJ/mol) and $T$ (K) are the Gibbs free energy and the reaction temperature, respectively. Sintering and rolling were all performed at a temperature of 1173 K, and clearly TiC can be easily formed owing to its lower Gibbs free energy (Figure 10b).

Figures 10(a) and 10(b) schematically show the interface formation mechanisms of the carbonaceous nanomaterial reinforced TC4 matrix composites based on atomic view. Three types carbon sources in this work are used to strengthen the TC4 matrix, but their defects levels and structure integrities of the carbon sources (Figure 4 and Table 2) before and after sintering are totally different. Hence, all the carbon sources in the different samples inevitably react with Ti matrix to form TiC phases, where the amount of TiC and reactive level are quite different according to their defects level (confirmed by Raman results in Figure 4 and Table 2). For example, the GNPs possess the highest defects level after sintering, therefore, they could easily react with TC4 matrix to form TiC if compared with the other carbon sources. For simplicity, herein we will only focus on the discussions of formation of TiC in the GNPs/TC4 composites.

Previous studies about the Cu matrix composites using reduced oxide graphene (rGO) and carbon nanotubues (CNTs) as carbon sources have shown that interfacial
carbides are preferentially formed at the defective sites of the rGO and CNTs, because of the highly reactive nature of carbon atoms in these defects (pristine and produced) regions, as well as easy formation of carbides [45, 57-59]. Therefore, the defect structures and distribution of carbon sources play critical roles in the nucleation and growth of carbides. As shown in Figure 1 and Figure 10(a1), some nanoscale defects are located at edges of the GNPs, which are caused by the oxidation-reduction fabrication process [32]. Furthermore, the defect densities of the GNPs (Figure S2 and Figure 4) are slightly increased during the ball milling process owing to the newly generated defects (such as GNPs deformation, fracture, the expansion of the original defect etc.). When the mixed composite powders are rapidly sintered at 1173 K and held for 5 min, some Ti atoms and carbon atoms are inter-diffused, and Ti atoms are quickly diffused to the highly reactive and amorphous carbon defects region, and then react with active carbon atoms of defects region to form TiC particles (Figure 10b2) or TiC layers (Figure 10b3). Meanwhile, some unreacted GNPs are kept and still distributed inside/around the TC4 matrix (Figure 10b4) owing to the short sintering duration. The formed three morphologies at the interfaces in Figures 10(b2, b3 and b4) in this work result in a good combination of mechanical performance of the TiMCs reinforced with carbonaceous nanomaterials.

Figure 10. The interface formation mechanism of the TC4 matrix composites reinforced with carbonaceous nanomaterials. (a) The defect of the GNPs’ edges based on atomic view, (a1) TEM and calculated d-spacing of original GNPs, showing the
nanoscale defects, (b) The obtained interfacial structure of the GNPs/TC4 based on atomic view, (b₁) comparison of Gibbs free energy of carbides based on HSC chemistry soft 6.0 calculation, (b₂) and (b₃) the reaction products of Ti and carbon (i.e. GNPs) atoms, and (b₄) the remained and distributed GNPs, respectively.

4.2 Strengthening mechanisms

For titanium alloys materials, impurity elements (such as N, O, C) have significant influences on the mechanical properties of the composites, and among them, O and N elements have much higher affinity with Ti matrix than that of C [60]. However, effects of O and N could be neglected in this study. Firstly the low energy milling was employed, and the undamaged spherical shape of the TC4 particles (Figure 3) are observed. Secondly the sintering was carried out in a relatively high vacuum atmosphere (10⁻³–10⁻⁴Pa) during the SPS. Texture of the composite would also significantly affect its strength during the rolling. As-rolled TiMCs has normally produced [0001] texture (Figure S6a-d), which is beneficial to the enhancement of their strength [61]. While in this study, the obtained composites exhibit comparable values of Schmid factors with the pure Ti matrix (Figure S6i), indicating that the GNPs and their in-situ formed microstructures have insignificant influences on the crystallographic structure of the composites [63]. In fact, the effect of GNPs on the texture could become significant only when their contents are over 0.2 wt.% in the TiMCs [62]. Therefore, we can conclude that texture strengthening induced by carbon sources can be neglected in this study. The similar phenomena has also been reported
in other MMCs reinforced with carbonaceous nanomaterials (GNPs, CNTs) in literature [64-65].

Herein, the discussions will be mainly focused on three main strengthening mechanisms of the composites: (1) solution strengthening of carbon atoms; (2) refinement strengthening; and (3) dispersion strengthening and load transfer strengthening of in-situ growth of interfacial TiC products and unreacted carbon source.

(1) Strengthening by solution strengthening

Interstitial carbon is an effective strengthening element and carbon atoms are preferably confined to α-phase in an α+β titanium alloy. However, further additions of carbon will have minor contribution to the enhancement of strength when the carbon concentrations are above its limit (~ 0.05 wt% for α-Ti at room temperature [66]). As reported in literature, solid solution strengthening (\( \sigma_s \)) by carbon interstitial atoms contributes up to 7 MPa per 0.01 wt% carbon [67]. Based on this, strengthening contribution in 0.2% YS of TiMCs by carbon additions can be calculated as 35 MPa for all TC4 composites containing carbon sources above 0.05 wt%. All the results about the strengthening effects in TiMCs have been summarized in Table 5.

(2) Strengthening by grain refinement

A reduction of average grain size after sintering improves the strength of metals, which can be described using the Hall-Petch relationship, and the increase of strength (\( \Delta \sigma_{GR} \)) can be calculated via the following formula [68]:

\[
\Delta \sigma_{GR} = K(D_{c}^{\alpha} - D_{m}^{\alpha})
\] (5)
where $K$ is the Halle-Petch coefficient, and usually shows the average effect of the grain boundaries in the polycrystal, $K=0.68$ MPa·m$^{1/2}$ [34], $D_c$ and $D_m$ are the average sizes of TiMCs and monolithic TC4 (shown in Figure S6), respectively. The calculated $\Delta\sigma_{GR}$ of TiMCs in comparison with that of the pure TC4 alloy are listed in Table 5.

(3) Strengthening by TiC and GNPs

As discussed in section 3, there are significant amounts of TiC phases formed during the sintering and rolling process, which consumes the carbon sources in the composite. Therefore, Orowan strengthening and load transfer mechanisms can be used to explain the direct interactions between GNPs or in-situ formed TiC particles with the matrix or dislocations in GNPs/TC4 composites (named as $\sigma_{LT-O}$), which can be regarded as synthetical contribution from GNPs and TiC. For simplicity, if the tensile strength of TiMCs ($\sigma_c$) is regarded as the summation of pure TC4 strength ($\sigma_m$), grain refinement ($\sigma_{GR}$), solution strengthening ($\sigma_s$) and load transfer ($\sigma_{LT-O}$), then we can obtain:

$$\sigma_{LT-O} = \sigma_c - \sigma_{GR} - \sigma_m - \sigma_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The obtained strengthening contribution of the $\sigma_m$, $\sigma_{GR}$, $\sigma_s$ and $\sigma_{LT-O}$ are summarized in Figure 11. It can be seen that the grain refinement is contributed to a small portion (2.3 ~ 6.7 MPa) to the composites, and the Orowan strengthening and load transfer of TiC and carbon sources are dominant for the enhanced strength. When the GNPs are introduced in TC4 matrix, the enhanced strength by the Orowan strengthening and load transfer strengthening is 184.6 MPa (obtained using Eq. 6),
substantially higher than that of GONs/TC4 (128.06 MPa) and GPs/TC4 (92.19 MPa).

Therefore, it can be concluded that the GNPs show the maximum strengthening effect in TiMCs. The defects of GNPs edges (Figure 1 and Figure 4) can provide the most active sites for the formation of TiC particles/layers, thus resulting in coherent interfaces and good interfacial bonding as well as high strength of GNPs. GONs also shows the similar nanoscale defects sites, however their defect levels are decreased during the sintering process [43] (shown in Figure 4 and Table 2). As for GPs/TC4 composites, the GPs have a layered structure with multiple graphene sheets bonded by a weak Wan der Waals force. Moreover, their mechanical and physical properties across the basal plane (i.e., through thickness direction) are inferior to those obtained along the basal plane [69], leading to weaker bonding compared with TiMCs reinforced using GNPs as carbon sources. Therefore, the GPs/TC4 composites possess the lowest strength among three TiMCs.

Figure 11. Comparisons of strengthening factors TiMCs in composites.

Table 5 Mechanical strengthening mechanism of as-rolled TiMCs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Grain size (μm)</th>
<th>Grain refinement (MPa)</th>
<th>Orowan strengthening and load transfer by TiC and residual carbon sources (MPa)</th>
<th>Strengthening by carbon solution strengthening (MPa)</th>
<th>0.2% YS (MPa)</th>
<th>Increased 0.2% YS (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TC4</td>
<td>3.009</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>920.03</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15GPs/TC4</td>
<td>2.516</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>92.19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1049.56</td>
<td>129.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15GNPs/TC4</td>
<td>2.434</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>184.60</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1146.36</td>
<td>226.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15GONs/TC4</td>
<td>2.538</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>128.06</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1085.40</td>
<td>165.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. CONCLUSIONS
In summary, graphite powders, graphene oxides and graphene nanoplates were employed as reinforcements to fabricate the TiMCs using powder metallurgy and hot rolling processes. The residual carbon sources, TiC nanoparticles/layer are simultaneously found in the composites, while their proportions depend on internal defects and reaction activity with TC4 matrix. All the three types carbonaceous nanofillers significantly improve the mechanical properties of the TiMCs. Especially, GNP/TC4 composites show a better balance of strength and ductility than the other two composites, at the similar mass fraction of carbon nanofillers. The as-rolled GNP/TC4 composites exhibits a 0.2% YS and UTS of ~1146 MPa and 1269 MPa, which have been increased by ~ 24.6% and ~ 19% as compared to those of the as-rolled TC4, as well as a good elongation of 8.1%. The enhanced strength is linked closely with the defect density and the formation of coherent TiC-Ti interfaces. The synergetic strengthening effect due to the Orowan strengthening and load transfer of GNP and in-situ formation of interfacial TiC phases have significantly contributed to the enhanced strength of the TiMCs.
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