

Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Kalathil, Shafeer, Katuri, Krishna P., Alazmi, Amira S., Pedireddy, Srikanth, Kornienko, Nikolay, Costa, Pedro M. F. J. and Saikaly, Pascal E. (2019) Bioinspired Synthesis of Reduced Graphene Oxide-Wrapped Geobacter sulfurreducens as a Hybrid Electrocatalyst for Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction. Chemistry of Materials, 31 (10). pp. 3686-3693. ISSN 0897-4756

Published by: American Chemical Society

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b00394>
<<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b00394>>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link:
<http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/43984/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)

Bio-inspired synthesis of reduced graphene oxide wrapped *Geobacter sulfurreducens* as a hybrid electrocatalyst for efficient oxygen evolution reaction

Shafeer Kalathil, Krishna P. Katuri, Amira S. Alazmi, Srikanth Pedireddy, Nikolay Kornienko, Pedro M. F. J. Costa, and Pascal E. Saikaly

Chem. Mater., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b00394 • Publication Date (Web): 17 Apr 2019

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on April 25, 2019

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

1 **Bio-inspired synthesis of reduced graphene oxide wrapped *Geobacter***
2
3
4 ***sulfurreducens* as a hybrid electrocatalyst for efficient oxygen evolution reaction**
5
6
7
8
9

10 *Shafeer Kalathil¹, Krishna P. Katuri¹, Amira S. Alazmi², Srikanth Pedireddy¹, Nikolay Kornienko³,*
11
12 *Pedro M. F. J. Costa², Pascal E. Saikaly^{1*}*
13
14
15
16
17

18 *¹Division of Biological and Environmental Sciences & Engineering, Water Desalination and*
19
20 *Reuse Center, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia*
21
22 *23955-6900*
23
24

25 *²Division of Physical Sciences and Engineering, King Abdullah University of Science and*
26
27 *Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia 23955-6900*
28
29

30 *³Department of Chemistry, Université de Montréal, Roger-Gaudry Building, Montreal, Quebec, H3C*
31
32 *3J7, Canada*
33
34
35

36
37
38
39
40 ** To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: pascal.saikaly@kaust.edu.sa*
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Doping/decorating of graphene or reduced graphene oxide (rGO) with heteroatoms provides a promising route for the development of electrocatalysts useful in many technologies, including water splitting. However, current doping approaches are complicated, not eco-friendly and not cost-effective. Herein, we report the synthesis of doped/decorated rGO for oxygen evolution reaction (OER) using a simple approach that is cost-effective, sustainable and easy to scale up. The OER catalyst was derived from the reduction of GO by an exo-electron transferring bacterium, *Geobacter sulfurreducens*. Various analytical tools indicate that OER active elements such as Fe, Cu, N, P, and S decorate the rGO flakes. The hybrid catalyst (i.e., *Geobacter*/rGO) produces a geometric current density of 10 mA cm⁻² at an overpotential of 270 mV vs. the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) with a Tafel slope of 43 mV dec⁻¹, and possesses high durability, evidenced through 10 hours of stability testing. Electrochemical analyses suggest the importance of Fe and its possible role as active site for OER. Overall, this work represents a simple approach towards the development of earth-abundant, eco-friendly and highly active OER electrocatalyst for various applications such as solar fuel production, rechargeable metal-air batteries, and microbial electrosynthesis.

Introduction

The world is facing a rapidly growing demand for energy. Currently, energy production depends mainly on fossil fuels,¹ which are being rapidly depleted and are a major cause of climate change. Hence, there is a need to explore alternative energy sources where the renewable sources stand out as the most attractive.¹ Amongst these, electrons extracted from water via water oxidation can be used to make molecular hydrogen or high-value chemicals/fuels from carbon dioxide (CO₂) reduction.²

Water splitting is a key process in solar fuel production,³ rechargeable metal-air batteries,⁴ and microbial electrosynthesis.⁵⁻⁷ One of the main challenges in water splitting is that water oxidation needs a high energy input due to its kinetically sluggish multi-step reaction pathway.⁸ Hence, stable, highly active and low-cost water oxidation catalysts should be developed for the wide-scale application of this process for energy production. Currently, metal oxide-based compounds such as IrO₂ and RuO₂ show the highest water oxidation activities with low overpotential at 10 mA cm⁻².⁸ However, these materials suffer from multiple disadvantages such as scarcity, high cost and poor stability.⁸ Due to their relatively high conductivity, mechanical stability, widespread availability and low toxicity, graphene flakes bear great promise for electrocatalytic purposes.^{8,9} Whilst the catalytic performance of exfoliated graphene is poor, it can be improved through functionalization with hetero-elements to create highly active catalytic sites.⁹ Recently, the doping/decorating of graphene with non-metals (e.g., S, N, P, F and O)⁹⁻¹³ and metals⁸ (e.g., Fe and Ni) has proven to be a feasible strategy to render it an efficient electrocatalyst for oxygen evolution reaction (OER), hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and oxygen reduction reaction (ORR). In the case of graphene doping with nitrogen (N-graphene),⁹ the substitutional element influences the spin density and charge distribution in the adjacent carbon atoms. Overall, this creates an “activation region” on the graphene surface which can

1
2 subsequently participate in various catalytic reactions.^{14, 15} There are several ways to produce N-
3
4 graphene materials through direct and post-synthesis methods⁹ (summarized in Table S1).

5
6 Sulfur (S) and phosphorous (P) are other elements that can be introduced into graphene.^{10, 13} As
7
8 their size and electronegativity are largely different from carbon, these elements can induce structural
9
10 distortions and locally change the electronic structure of graphene. In the presence of a precursor
11
12 containing S, the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) approach can be used to produce S-doped
13
14 graphene (S-graphene).⁹ P-doped graphene (P-graphene) can be prepared by treating graphene oxide
15
16 (GO) with phytic acid at high temperature.¹⁰ The doping of graphene with several elements at the same
17
18 time, as for example, doping with N and S or N, S and P can further enhance the catalytic activity due
19
20 to synergetic effects.^{12, 16, 17} While not exhaustive, the above-mentioned strategies represent the most
21
22 common approaches to produce doped graphene. Generally, these methods suffer from multiple
23
24 drawbacks such as high cost, use of complicated synthesis procedures (high temperature and use of
25
26 gases) and toxic precursors, and the release of hazardous waste-products (Table S1).

27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37 Green synthesis of reduced GO (rGO) from GO has been demonstrated using pure cultures of
38
39 extracellular electron transfer (EET)-capable bacteria, such as *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1,^{18, 19}
40
41 *Bacillus subtilis* 168,²⁰ *Gluconobact roseus*,²¹ and *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*.²² EET-capable bacteria
42
43 have the ability of coupling the oxidation of substrates (electron donor) in their cytoplasm with the
44
45 reduction of insoluble extracellular electron acceptors for respiration. The molecular mechanism of
46
47 electron transfer to GO by EET-capable bacteria was only reported by few studies, where electron
48
49 transfer to GO was mediated either directly by outer membrane cytochromes as in *Shewanella*
50
51 *oneidensis* MR-1^{18, 19} or indirectly by redox mediator (e.g., vitamin K₃) as in *Bacillus subtilis* 168.²⁰
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59 The reduction of GO to rGO was also been reported using mixed-culture inoculum such as anaerobic
60

1 sludge,²³ river water,²⁴ water channel sediment and paddy soil,²⁴ with the main objective to demonstrate
2 the applicability of GO for the selective enrichment of EET-capable bacteria from the environment.
3
4

5 As living organisms, bacteria are mainly composed of carbon (50% on dry weight basis) with
6 abundant heteroatoms (e.g., N, P, and S) and trace elements.²⁵ Therefore, bacteria can provide abundant
7 heteroatoms to dope carbon materials. The production of carbon dot-heteroatom (N, S, and P) doped
8 rGO for ORR was recently been demonstrated in a two-step process using the EET-capable bacterium
9 *S. oneidensis* MR-1, where GO is reduced to rGO by *S. oneidensis* MR-1 in the first step followed by
10 hydrothermal treatment at 180 °C in the second step.²⁶
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Inspired by these studies, here we developed an eco-friendly and highly efficient OER catalyst by
25 utilizing for the first time the EET capability of the non-pathogenic bacterium, *Geobacter*
26 *sulfurreducens*, to convert GO to rGO while simultaneously doping/decorating graphene with non-
27 metallic (N, S and P) and transition metal species (Fe and Cu) derived from *G. sulfurreducens* in a
28 single step. The rationale for selecting *G. sulfurreducens* is because it is commonly detected as a
29 dominant member of anodic community in microbial electrochemical systems due to its outstanding
30 performance in generating electricity (using conductive electrodes as electron acceptor) through
31 substrate oxidation.^{27,28} The unique ability of *G. sulfurreducens* to make contact with insoluble
32 electron acceptors is because it possesses a vast network of multi-haem containing outer-membrane c-
33 type cytochromes (OM c-Cyts) and nano-filaments (known as microbial protein nanowires).^{27, 29} It
34 employs OM c-Cyts to transfer metabolically generated electrons from its periplasm to the cell exterior
35 through a well-orchestrated EET process.³⁰ Iron is a key component of haem group in c-Cyts. The
36 content of iron in *G. sulfurreducens* cell is three-fold higher compared to *Escherichia coli*, which is
37 the best bacteria reported in terms of iron assimilation.³¹ This is mainly due to the fact that *G.*
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 *sulfurreducens* possesses the highest number of genes coding for c-Cyts (111 in the whole genome).³²
2
3
4 Thus, this bacterium assimilates more iron into their cell for synthesizing c-Cyts required for EET
5
6
7 mechanism. Moreover, in a recent study it was shown that iron and not nickel was performing water-
8
9
10 splitting in a catalyst made of layers of nickel and iron.³³ In addition to c-Cyts, *G. sulfurreducens*
11
12 possesses multicopper containing proteins³⁴ and Fe-S protein clusters³⁰. Taken together, these
13
14 characteristics make *G. sulfurreducens* ideally suited for generating metal and non-metal
15
16
17 doped/decorated rGO for electrocatalysis such as OER.
18
19

20
21 The synthesis protocol of doped/decorated rGO using *G. sulfurreducens* overcomes most
22
23 drawbacks of other existing methods aimed at the production of doped/decorated graphene for
24
25 electrocatalysis (Table S1). The hybrid catalyst (i.e., *Geobacter*/rGO) demonstrated high
26
27 electrocatalytic activity towards OER in alkaline electrolyte, producing a geometric current density of
28
29 10 mA cm⁻² at an overpotential of 270 mV vs. the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE).
30
31
32

33 **Experimental Procedures**

34 **Synthesis of graphene oxide (GO) and hydrothermally reduced graphene oxide (HrGO):**

35
36
37 Graphite powder (Alfa Aesar, <50 μm) was first oxidized using the so-called Improved-Hummers'
38
39 method.³⁵ Typically, 3 g of graphite powder (Alfa Aesar) was added to a mixture of 360 mL H₂SO₄
40
41 (Sigma Aldrich, 99%) and 40 mL H₃PO₄ (Sigma Aldrich, 85 wt %). This was followed by the slow
42
43 addition of 18 g of KMnO₄ (Acros, 99%), taking care that the reaction temperature was maintained at
44
45 <20 °C. Then, the resulting suspension was heated, in an oil bath, to 50 °C and stirred for 12 h. The
46
47 colour of the mixture turned from black to mud-brown. The reaction was allowed to cool to room
48
49 temperature and treated with 400 mL of cold deionized water plus 3 mL of H₂O₂ (Sigma Aldrich,
50
51 30%). Then, the product was diluted with deionized water to a total volume of 2 L. Finally, the
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 suspension was repeatedly washed with water and centrifuged (Hettich U320, 9000 rpm, 10 min) until
2
3
4 the pH was nearly neutral. At this point, the suspension was vacuum dried in the centrifuge tubes (60
5
6
7 °C, 12 h) and the resulting powder collected. The graphene oxide (GO) solution (5 mg ml⁻¹) was
8
9
10 prepared by dispersing the GO powder in deionized water and then the mixture was stirred for 24
11
12 hours. Hydrothermally reduced graphene oxide (HrGO) was prepared according to a previous report.³⁶

13 **Reduction of GO to reduced graphene oxide (*Geobacter*/rGO) by *G. sulfurreducens*: *G.***

14
15 *sulfurreducens* PCA (ATCC 51573) was used as the bacterium for the synthesis of *Geobacter*/rGO.

16
17
18 *G. sulfurreducens* was cultured in an anaerobic serum bottle using acetate (20 mM) as electron donor
19
20 and fumarate (50 mM) as the electron acceptor in defined media.³⁷ The entire culturing was conducted
21
22 in an anaerobic glove box and the bottle was then kept in a shaking incubator (30 °C) for five days.
23
24
25
26 Although strict anaerobic conditions are not required as *G. sulfurreducens* can survive in oxic
27
28 environments,³⁸ we maintained anaerobic conditions for the experiment to avoid electron consumption
29
30 by oxygen which may interfere in the GO reduction.
31
32
33
34

35
36
37 As grown *G. sulfurreducens* suspension (100 ml) having an optical density (OD) of 0.8 was
38
39 centrifuged and the resulting pellet appeared red in colour due to the presence of multi-heme
40
41 containing outer-membrane c-type cytochromes (OM c-Cyts).³⁹ The bacterial pellet was added to an
42
43 anaerobic serum bottle that contains *G. sulfurreducens* growth media (100 ml, except fumarate) with
44
45 20 mM acetate (electron donor) and GO (0.4 mg mL⁻¹) as the sole source of electron acceptor. The
46
47 bottle was kept in a temperature-controlled room (30 °C) for 2 days without shaking. Several batch
48
49 experiments were conducted to reproduce the data. Three serum bottles were kept under similar
50
51 conditions but without inoculation of *G. sulfurreducens* (denoted as abiotic GO). To understand the
52
53 role of OM c-Cyts in the GO reduction, *G. sulfurreducens* was grown with a medium containing 30
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 μM of the iron chelator, 2,2'-bipyridine to suppress the production of OM c-Cyts.⁴⁰ The cells grown
3
4 with bipyridine were then employed for the GO reduction. Heat-killed bacteria were prepared by
5
6 autoclaving bacterial solution. The autoclaved bacterial cells were used as the inoculum to reduce GO
7
8
9
10 to understand the metabolic activity of bacteria in the GO reduction.

11
12 ***Geobacter/rGO* and abiotic GO processing:** After two days of *G. sulfurreducens* incubation with
13
14 GO, a dark colored hydrogel type *Geobacter/rGO* was precipitated at the bottom of the bottle. The
15
16 solution underwent centrifugation (8000 rpm, 6 minutes) to collect the *Geobacter/rGO* material. The
17
18 material was washed six times with MilliQ water by gentle vortexing to remove any media
19
20 contribution on the *Geobacter/rGO*. After the washing process, *Geobacter/rGO* was kept in an oven
21
22 (45 °C) overnight for drying the sample. The dried sample was used for all experiments. There was no
23
24
25
26
27
28
29 GO reduction in the absence of *G. sulfurreducens* under similar conditions even after 2 days of the
30
31 incubation (abiotic GO). The abiotic GO was collected by centrifugation (14000 rpm, 10 minutes) and
32
33 the collected sample was washed with MilliQ water (6 times) to remove any possible media
34
35
36
37 contribution in the sample. The collected abiotic GO sample was dried at 45 °C in an oven overnight
38
39
40 for drying. The dried abiotic GO sample was used for all the experiments.

41
42 **Materials Characterization:** The elements are quantified using an ICP-OES Varian 720-ES
43
44 Spectrometer equipped with a dual detector assembly that covered a wavelength from 165 to 782 nm.
45
46
47 Raman spectra were collected using a WITec Alpha300RA spectrometer at an excitation wavelength
48
49 of 488 nm. The survey and high-resolution X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were
50
51 obtained at fixed analyzer pass energies of 160 eV and 20 eV, respectively (Model: ESCA
52
53
54 3400). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were acquired in bright-field mode with a
55
56
57
58
59 Phillips Tecnai F20 electron microscope with 200 kV accelerating voltage. Samples were prepared by
60

1
2 sonicating in ethanol and drop-casting on an ultrathin carbon grid, followed by drying under ambient
3
4 conditions. Contact angle was measured using a FTA1000 B Class goniometer (First Ten Ångstroms),
5
6 paired with FTA32 software. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were acquired using a
7
8 Zeiss Merlin microscope under an acceleration voltage of 5 keV. The SEM-EDS measurements were
9
10 obtained by using an Oxford EDS X-Max SDD detector attached to the Zeiss Merlin scanning electron
11
12 microscope, and the AzTecEnergy EDS analysis software. Fourier-Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectra
13
14 were obtained by a Thermo Scientific™ Nicolet™ iS50 FTIR Spectrometer at ATR mode.
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Electrochemical tests:** The activity of the *Geobacter*/rGO towards the OER was tested using a
22
23 rotating disc electrode (RDE). The working electrode was prepared by the following procedure: first,
24
25 the *Geobacter*/rGO material (~2 mg) was dispersed in 500 µl of ethanol, 500 µl of water and 15 µl of
26
27 Nafion (as binder). The dispersed solution was sonicated for 30 min. 2 µl of the obtained slurry was
28
29 drop-coated onto a 3 mm glassy carbon disc electrode (GCE; loading concentration ~ 0.05 mg cm⁻²)
30
31 and dried under a lamp for 1 h. The same procedure and loading concentration were used to make
32
33 working electrodes with hydrothermally reduced GO (HrGO), abiotic GO and pure *G. sulfurreducens*
34
35 dried cells. The electrochemical measurement was carried out using a BioLogic VMP3
36
37 electrochemical working station in 1 M KOH (Sigma Aldrich, semiconductor grade, pellets, 99.99%
38
39 trace metals basis) at room temperature using a three-electrodes system, in which Pt coil and
40
41 Mercury/Mercury oxide (Hg/HgO) were used as counter and reference electrodes, respectively. Linear
42
43 sweep voltammetry (LSV) experiments were performed at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ while maintaining
44
45 a constant rotational speed of 1600 rpm. Stability test for the *Geobacter*/rGO OER catalyst was
46
47 performed by conducting chronoamperometry measurement (CA) at an overpotential of 270 mV vs.
48
49 reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) under a constant rotating speed of 1600 rpm. Cyclic voltammetry
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

(CV) analysis was conducted at a scan rate of 5 mV s^{-1} without rotation. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were performed for the *Geobacter*/rGO in 1 M KOH from 1000 kHz to 100 mHz. All the measured potentials vs. the Hg/HgO were converted to RHE by the Nernst equation ($E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Hg/HgO}} + 0.0591 \text{ pH} + 0.140$). All the experiments were done at least in triplicates to reproduce the data.

Results and discussion

An active culture of *G. sulfurreducens*, with acetate (20 mM) as the electron donor and GO (0.4 g L^{-1}) as the electron acceptor, was used (Fig. 1a). The culture was incubated under anaerobic conditions for 2 days at $30 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ to permit the complete reduction of the brownish GO and formation of a black hydrogel (lower inset in Fig. 1c). The formation of a hydrogel was previously reported for a pure culture of *Geobacter* sp. strain R4 when cultivated with acetate and GO (0.67 g L^{-1}) at $28 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$; however it required a longer incubation period (30 d) to form a hydrogel. In contrast, hydrogel formation was not observed with other EET-capable bacteria such as *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1, *Bacillus subtilis* 168, *Gluconobact roseus*, or *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* when incubated with GO ($0.2\text{-}0.5 \text{ g L}^{-1}$) under anaerobic conditions for 1 day^{20, 22} or 3 days.¹⁸

Control experiments were done under similar conditions but in the absence of *G. sulfurreducens* (herewith termed abiotic GO; see details in Supporting Information). These control experiments confirmed that there was no reduction of GO without *G. sulfurreducens* in the solution. We employed scanning electron microscopy (SEM) to investigate the morphology of the as prepared *Geobacter*/rGO hydrogel. SEM images demonstrated that the *Geobacter* cells were embedded in the crosslinked ultrathin sheets of rGO matrix. Moreover,

Geobacter cells were wrapped with rGO sheets indicating strong interaction between *Geobacter* and rGO sheets (Fig. 1c). The dimensions of the cells in the *Geobacter*/rGO hydrogel are in accordance with the size of *Geobacter* cells (Fig. 1b).

To understand the role of OM c-Cyts in the reduction of GO, we suppressed the production of OM c-Cyts by adding bipyridine (an iron-chelator) in the *G. sulfurreducens* growth media. Bipyridine is known to shut down the production of Cyts by chelating with iron.⁴⁰ Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) analysis showed significantly lower Fe in the suppressed cells compared to unsuppressed cells (Fig. S1). The OM c-Cyts suppressed cells did not reduce GO (Fig. S2) suggesting that OM c-Cyts are essential for GO reduction by *G. sulfurreducens*. Also, heat-killed bacteria were not able to reduce GO. This observation indicates that the *G. sulfurreducens* physiological activity was responsible for the reduction of GO as the electrons generated metabolically, via acetate oxidation, were transferred extracellularly to GO (which acts as the terminal electron acceptor) by OM c-Cyts, reducing it to rGO.




Figure 1. Schematic illustration and morphological characterization. (a) Schematic illustration for the reduction of graphene oxide (GO) by *G. sulfurreducens* (conditions: 30 °C, anaerobic, pH 7).

1 (b) Low and high magnification (upper inset) Field-Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FE-
2 SEM) images of dried *G. sulfurreducens* cells and a digital photograph of the suspended *G.*
3 *sulfurreducens* cells (lower inset). The red color is due to the iron-rich content in *G. sulfurreducens*.
4
5

6 (c) Low and high magnification (upper inset) FE-SEM images of *Geobacter*/rGO and a digital
7 photograph of the *Geobacter*/rGO hydrogel (lower inset) at the bottom of the anaerobic serum vial
8 after 48 h of incubation.
9
10
11
12

13 Additional evidence for the successful reduction was acquired through spectroscopic observations
14 (Fig. 2). We thoroughly investigated the *Geobacter*/rGO sample in comparison to GO using X-ray
15 photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis. The high resolution C1S spectra of the *Geobacter*/rGO
16 and abiotic GO (Fig. 2a) were significantly different, with the C=C peak being clearly dominant in the
17 former. The C/O atomic ratio in *Geobacter*/rGO and abiotic GO were calculated to be 5.5 and 2.78,
18 respectively (Figure S3 and S4). The increased C/O ratio in *Geobacter*/rGO confirmed the successful
19 reduction of GO by *G. sulfurreducens*. After the GO reduction, the *Geobacter*/rGO showed presence
20 of nitrogen (5%) (Fig. 2c and Fig. S3) which may be derived from *G. sulfurreducens* (Fig. S5). Further
21 confirmation was provided by Raman analysis. In the Raman spectra of abiotic GO and
22 *Geobacter*/rGO (Fig. 2d), the D band is considerably narrower in *Geobacter*/rGO and the set of peaks
23 at higher wavenumber (2500-3500 cm⁻¹) were more resolved. The I_D/I_G ratio of *Geobacter*/rGO (1.18)
24 was higher than that of abiotic GO (0.93). The increased I_D/I_G ratio implies that there are less in-plane
25 sp² bonds and more out of plane sp³ bonds in the carbon atoms within the material, which may
26 originate from the inclusion of the dopants.⁴¹ On the other hand, the increased peak intensity in the
27 high wavenumber region, corresponding to the 2D, D + G and 2G bands may indicate a higher degree
28 of disruption of the graphitic AB stacking order in *Geobacter*/rGO.⁴² Also, the G band of
29 *Geobacter*/rGO blue-shifted possibly due to defects or N-doping by *G. sulfurreducens* (Fig. 2d) (Fig.
30 2d).⁴³ Taken together, these results confirm the modulation of GO by *G. sulfurreducens*.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 2. Comparative X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and Raman spectra of GO vs. *Geobacter*/rGO. Fitted XPS spectra of GO vs. *Geobacter*/rGO for (a) C 1s region, (b) O 1s region, and (c) N 1s region. (d) Raman spectra of GO and *Geobacter*/rGO.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was utilized to examine the chemical and structural nature of *Geobacter*/rGO (Fig. 3). The low magnification TEM image of *Geobacter*/rGO clearly demonstrated the formation of interlinked network of rGO with embedded *Geobacter* cells (Fig. 3a). Presumably, the majority of the iron species are in the form of small clusters or in an amorphous phase. However, iron oxide (FeO) nanoparticles were occasionally seen (Fig. 3b). The lattice spacing, calculated from the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) image, of 1.3 and 1.1 Å is close to FeO (111) and (200) planes (lower inset of Fig. 3b). The inverse of the FFT is overlaid atop the original image to highlight the FeO particle. The *Geobacter*/rGO consisted of primarily single to few-layer morphology (Fig. 3c-d). The interlayer spacing between the individual sheets shrunk from 4.5 Å for the GO precursor (Fig. S6b) to 3.6 Å (Fig. 3e), pointing to the reduction of GO to *Geobacter*/rGO. The FFT reconstruction of the *Geobacter*/rGO illustrates the honeycomb carbon lattice, as expected for rGO (Fig. 3f).

Multiple points of evidence indicated that the *Geobacter*/rGO was doped/decorated with elements beneficial for efficient OER. The ICP-OES analysis of *G. sulfurreducens* cells revealed that this bacterium was abundant with S, P, Fe and Cu (Table S2). The ICP-OES analysis of the *Geobacter*/rGO also showed the presence of these elements which may be derived from *G. sulfurreducens* (Table S2). XPS analysis showed 11.9 % N (wt. %) in the pure *G. sulfurreducens*, 5 % N in the *Geobacter*/rGO and no N in pure GO (Fig. S3, S4 and S5). It should be noted that S, P, Fe, Cu and N are excellent candidates for the OER.^{17, 44-46}




Figure 3. Structural characterizations of *Geobacter*/rGO using transmission electron microscopy (TEM). (a) TEM image of *G. sulfurreducens* cells embedded in rGO. (b) High-resolution TEM image and Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) pattern of rGO-FeO demonstrate the crystalline FeO. (c, d) Bright field TEM reveals single- to few-layer morphology of the *Geobacter*/rGO. The FFT inset of the single layer *Geobacter*/rGO illustrates the hexagonal arrangement of the carbon lattice. (e) A magnified image of several stacked *Geobacter*/rGO sheets reveals an interlayer spacing of ~ 3.6 Å,

1 pointing to a near-complete reduction of the GO precursor. (f) An inverse FFT of single
2
3 *Geobacter*/rGO sheet showing the honeycomb carbon lattice.
4
5
6

7 After thoroughly characterizing the *Geobacter*/rGO, the electrocatalytic OER properties of
8
9 *Geobacter*/rGO were evaluated using linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) (Fig. 4). To validate and
10
11 compare its OER activity, control experiments were performed utilizing hydrothermally reduced
12
13 graphene oxide (HrGO)³⁶ and abiotic GO. Working in 1M KOH electrolyte, the *Geobacter*/rGO
14
15 exhibited some OER activity while the other electrodes (built from HrGO and abiotic GO) showed
16
17 minimal OER currents (Fig. 4a). Chronoamperometry (CA) experiments illustrated that the OER
18
19 current generated by the *Geobacter*/rGO gradually increased, stabilising after ~20 h (Fig. 4b). By
20
21 contrast, there was no current produced with the HrGO and abiotic GO samples using similar mass
22
23 loadings of 0.05 mg cm⁻² (Fig. 4b). The *Geobacter*/rGO reached the highest OER performance with
24
25 an overpotential of 270 mV (vs. RHE) to produce a geometric current density of 10 mA cm⁻² (Fig.
26
27 4c). The Tafel slope of 43 mV dec⁻¹ for *Geobacter*/rGO (Fig. 4d) is amongst the best for alkaline OER
28
29 catalysts.⁴⁷ The stark differences in Tafel slopes between the abiotic GO and *Geobacter*/rGO point to
30
31 significant differences in per-site catalytic activity. There was no change in the OER activity of the
32
33 *Geobacter*/rGO even after changing the used electrolyte for a fresh one (Fig. S7). The electrocatalytic
34
35 inertness of HrGO and abiotic GO (even after the CA experiment) added to the absence of a significant
36
37 change in the OER activity of the *Geobacter*/rGO after changing the electrolyte, rules out any possible
38
39 role of impurities or platinum dissolution from the counter electrode. Also, impurities were not
40
41 detected in the KOH (semiconductor grade) supporting electrolyte (Table S2) avoiding any possible
42
43 electrolyte contamination during electrochemical experiments. Moreover, we did not observe any
44
45 heteroatoms in the electrolyte after the CA experiment which confirms the robustness of the
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 doped/decorated elements originated from the *Geobacter*/rGO (Table S2). The OER activity measured
3
4 for the *Geobacter*/rGO is therefore solely attributed to this material. The low overpotential of 270 mV
5
6 demonstrates the OER activity of *Geobacter*/rGO is much higher than that of recently reported
7
8 electrocatalysts and benchmark metal oxides such as IrO₂ and RuO₂ catalyst (Table S3). In addition,
9
10 *Geobacter*/rGO catalyst was stable even after 10 hours of CA experiment (Fig. 4e). Control
11
12 experiments performed with pure *G. sulfurreducens* cells showed negligible OER activity, even after
13
14 an equivalent CA experiment (Fig. S8). As previously mentioned, multiple sources of evidence (Table
15
16 S2 and Fig. 2c) demonstrate that the *Geobacter*/rGO was doped/decorated with species promoting the
17
18 high OER activity of *Geobacter*/rGO electrocatalyst. In the *Geobacter*/rGO OER catalyst, *G.*
19
20 *sulfurreducens* provided necessary OER elements to the rGO support which is distinct from HrGO
21
22 and other rGO produced by various methods. To investigate the origin of this high activity in
23
24 *Geobacter*/rGO electrocatalyst, we washed *Geobacter*/rGO sample with 1 M HCl solution to remove
25
26 cell debris, organic matter and transition metals (such as Fe) as corresponding chlorides. Interestingly,
27
28 acid washing diminished the catalytic activity of *Geobacter*/rGO electrocatalyst (Fig. 4c-d) indicating
29
30 that *Geobacter* cells are crucial for attaining such a high catalytic performance.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55





Figure 4. Electrochemical oxygen evolution reaction (OER) performance of GO, *Geobacter*/GO and HrGO deposited glassy carbon electrodes in 1M KOH (pH ~ 14) solution. (a) Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) plots normalized to geometric area of electrodes before chronoamperometry experiment at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹, (b) Chronoamperometry plots at an applied potential of 1.5 V vs RHE, (c) LSV plots after chronoamperometry experiment at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹, (d) Tafel plots of the used catalysts and (e) Stability test for *Geobacter*/rGO OER catalyst at an overpotential of 270 mV in 1M KOH. The rotating speed for all experiments was kept as 1600 rpm.

We performed several experiments to decipher the enhanced OER activity of *Geobacter*/rGO catalyst after the CA. SEM image of *Geobacter*/rGO catalyst after the CA showed the formation of several nanoparticles on the surface of the catalyst (Fig. 5a). A line-profile analysis using energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) confirmed that these particles are made of FeO (Fig. 5b). The direction of the EDS line scan is denoted by a white arrow across the nanoparticle. The profile analysis indicates that Fe and oxygen atoms are distributed uniformly throughout the particle. Moreover, the intensity of

1
2 the Fe component was higher compared to oxygen, and also oxygen concentration at the rims of the
3
4 nanoparticle was slightly higher, suggesting surface oxidation is responsible for the formation of FeO.
5
6 ICP-OES analysis further confirmed that the hetero elements are intact with the catalyst even after the
7
8 CA (Table S2). Also, the contact angle measurement and Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) analysis
9
10 showed that the *Geobacter*/rGO catalyst became more hydrophilic after the CA (Fig. 5c and 5d).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42



43 **Figure 5. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS),**
44 **contact angle and Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) characterization to evaluate changes in**
45 ***Geobacter*/rGO catalyst after chronoamperometry (CA) measurement.** (a) High magnification
46 SEM image shows the presence of nanoparticles on the surface of *Geobacter*/rGO catalyst after the
47 CA (b) EDS line profile analysis confirms that these nanoparticles are made of iron oxide (c) Contact
48 angle and (d) FTIR spectroscopy measurement of *Geobacter*/rGO electrode before (black) and after
49 (red) the CA.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 Complementary electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) analysis was conducted on the
3
4 *Geobacter*/rGO electrode before and after the CA. The impedance spectra (Fig. S9) were fitted using
5
6 a standard Randles circuit with EC-Lab V11.12 (Bio-Logic). Based on the Randles circuit analysis,
7
8 the charge transfer resistances (R_{ct}) were 10.3 (before CA) and 0.76Ω (after CA) for the tested
9
10 *Geobacter*/rGO sample. This result demonstrates that the conductivity of *Geobacter*/rGO was
11
12 significantly enhanced after the CA experiment. Furthermore, cyclic voltammetry (CV) plot of
13
14 *Geobacter*/rGO, done after the CA, showed a reversible oxidation peak (Fig. S10). This redox peak
15
16 likely corresponds to the oxidation of Fe (decorated on the *Geobacter*/rGO, Fig. 5a and 5b) into its
17
18 catalytically active Fe(III) and Fe(IV) states because this peak occurs just prior of OER catalysis and
19
20 corresponds to previous reports.⁴⁸ Note that the pair of redox peaks were absent in the corresponding
21
22 CV before the CA (Fig. S10). The *Geobacter*/rGO exhibited a double-layer capacitance (C_{dl}) of 14.29
23
24 and 2.14 mF cm^{-2} after and before CA, respectively calculated from the CVs measured at non-Faradic
25
26 region (Inset, Fig. S10). The enhanced C_{dl} suggests that electrochemical surface area (ECSA) of the
27
28 *Geobacter*/rGO electrode after the CA was significantly enhanced indicating higher degree of
29
30 accessibility of catalytic sites.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 Catalyst wettability is crucial in heterogeneous catalysis.⁴⁹ Catalytic activity not only depends on
43
44 the active sites, but also on the adsorption and desorption of the reactants and products. Hydrophilic
45
46 surfaces can significantly improve catalysis by promoting a more catalytically favorable interaction
47
48 between the electrode and electrolyte. A recent report proposed a strategy to enhance OER activity of
49
50 NiFe hydroxide by simply improving surface hydrophilicity via phosphorylation.⁵⁰ Zhou et al.
51
52 observed a gradual increase in the wettability of fluorine and nitrogen co-doped carbon microsphere
53
54 electrode with continuous CV measurements which improved the electrode capacitance.⁵¹ Such
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 observations demonstrate that continuous electrochemical measurements can facilitate electrode
2 wettability and supports the enhanced wettability of *Geobacter*/rGO electrode after the CA. All the
3 above results (Fig. 5, Fig. S9 and S10) showed that after the CA, the interaction between the electrolyte
4 and *Geobacter*/rGO electrode greatly improved due to the increased ECSA and surface hydrophilicity
5 on the electrode. Furthermore, the CA treatment opened the OER catalytic active sites to the
6 electrolyte, evidenced by the appearance of a pair of redox peaks in the CV of *Geobacter*/rGO (Fig.
7 S10). On the other hand, TEM and Raman analyses of the *Geobacter*/rGO electrode showed no
8 morphological changes after the CA measurements (Fig. S11 and S12) demonstrating that the
9 structural character of the *Geobacter*/rGO catalyst was largely unchanged.

28 **Conclusions**

31 In summary, we have successfully demonstrated a unique single step approach for synthesizing
32 doped/decorated rGO by *G. sulfurreducens*. Further, we demonstrated that the resulting
33 *Geobacter*/rGO worked efficiently as an OER electrocatalyst with an overpotential of 270 mV vs RHE
34 producing a current density of 10 mA cm⁻². This overpotential of 270 mV is lower than those typically
35 reported in studies using expensive benchmark metal oxide electrocatalysts such as IrO₂ and RuO₂.
36 The approach used in this study is green and sustainable as it does not involve the use of toxic
37 precursors and solvents. The OER activity observed in this report broadens the application of *G.*
38 *sulfurreducens* as a cost-effective component of electrocatalyst manufacturing for large-scale energy
39 conversion. Also, our work can inspire the use of other efficient EET-capable bacteria for synthesizing
40 high-performing and low-cost electrocatalysts for various energy-related applications.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Competitive Research Grant (URF/1/2985-01-01) from King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST).

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website. The Supporting Information contains the details of ICP-OES data (Table S2 and Fig. S1), XPS analysis (Fig. S3-5 and Fig. S13), HRTEM images (Fig. S6 and Fig. S11), electrochemical data (Fig. S7-10) and Raman data (Fig. S12).

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Phone: (+966) 544700129; e-mail: pascal.saikaly@kaust.edu.sa

ORCID

Pascal Saikaly: 0000-0001-7678-3986

Authors Contributions

S.K, K.P.K and P.E.S. conceived the idea and designed the project. All the authors wrote the paper. S.K synthesized Geobacter/rGO, conducted electrochemical tests, FTIR and contact angle measurements. K.P.K. cultured bacteria. A.A produced GO and HrGO and performed ICP-OES and Raman. S.P performed and analyzed XPS, SEM, EDS, designed graphical abstract, TOC and schematic illustrations in Fig. 1, Fig.3, and Fig. S2. N.K. captured TEM and SEM. P.E.S and P.C. supervised the work. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

1. Roger, I.; Shipman, M. A.; Symes, M. D., Earth-abundant catalysts for electrochemical and photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **2017**, 1, (1).
2. Jung, S.; McCrory, C. C. L.; Ferrer, I. M.; Peters, J. C.; Jaramillo, T. F., Benchmarking nanoparticulate metal oxide electrocatalysts for the alkaline water oxidation reaction. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2016**, 4, (8), 3068-3076.
3. Zhao, Y.; Swierk, J. R.; Megiatto, J. D., Jr.; Sherman, B.; Youngblood, W. J.; Qin, D.; Lentz, D. M.; Moore, A. L.; Moore, T. A.; Gust, D.; Mallouk, T. E., Improving the efficiency of water splitting in dye-sensitized solar cells by using a biomimetic electron transfer mediator. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2012**, 109, (39), 15612-6.

- 1 4.Zheng, X.; Han, X.; Liu, H.; Chen, J.; Fu, D.; Wang, J.; Zhong, C.; Deng, Y.; Hu, W., Controllable
2 Synthesis of Ni_xSe (0.5 ≤ x ≤ 1) Nanocrystals for Efficient Rechargeable Zinc-Air Batteries and
3 Water Splitting. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* **2018**, 10, (16), 13675-13684.
- 4 5.Bian, B.; Alqahtani, M. F.; Katuri, K. P.; Liu, D.; Bajracharya, S.; Lai, Z.; Rabaey, K.; Saikaly, P.
5 E., Porous nickel hollow fiber cathodes coated with CNTs for efficient microbial electrosynthesis of
6 acetate from CO₂ using *Sporomusa ovata*. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2018**, 6, (35), 17201-17211.
- 7 6.Katuri, K. P.; Kalathil, S.; Ragab, A. a.; Bian, B.; Alqahtani, M. F.; Pant, D.; Saikaly, P. E., Dual-
8 Function Electrocatalytic and Macroporous Hollow-Fiber Cathode for Converting Waste Streams to
9 Valuable Resources Using Microbial Electrochemical Systems. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, 30, (26),1707072.
- 10 7.Alqahtani, M. F.; Katuri, K. P.; Bajracharya, S.; Yu, Y.; Lai, Z.; Saikaly, P. E., Porous Hollow Fiber
11 Nickel Electrodes for Effective Supply and Reduction of Carbon Dioxide to Methane through
12 Microbial Electrosynthesis. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2018**, 28, (43), 1804860.
- 13 8.Cui, X.; Ren, P.; Deng, D.; Deng, J.; Bao, X., Single layer graphene encapsulating non-precious
14 metals as high-performance electrocatalysts for water oxidation. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2016**, 9, (1),
15 123-129.
- 16 9.Wang, H.; Maiyalagan, T.; Wang, X., Review on Recent Progress in Nitrogen-Doped Graphene:
17 Synthesis, Characterization, and Its Potential Applications. *ACS Catal.* **2012**, 2, (5), 781-794.
- 18 10.Lai, J.; Li, S.; Wu, F.; Saqib, M.; Luque, R.; Xu, G., Unprecedented metal-free 3D porous
19 carbonaceous electrodes for full water splitting. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2016**, 9, (4), 1210-1214.
- 20 11.Qu, K.; Zheng, Y.; Dai, S.; Qiao, S. Z., Graphene oxide-polydopamine derived N, S-codoped
21 carbon nanosheets as superior bifunctional electrocatalysts for oxygen reduction and evolution. *Nano*
22 *Energy* **2016**, 19, 373-381.
- 23 12.Paraknowitsch, J. P.; Thomas, A., Doping carbons beyond nitrogen: an overview of advanced
24 heteroatom doped carbons with boron, sulphur and phosphorus for energy applications. *Energy*
25 *Environ. Sci.* **2013**, 6, (10).
- 26 13.Wang, J.; Ma, R.; Zhou, Z.; Liu, G.; Liu, Q., Magnesiothermic synthesis of sulfur-doped graphene
27 as an efficient metal-free electrocatalyst for oxygen reduction. *Sci Rep* **2015**, 5, 9304.
- 28 14.Groves, M. N.; Chan, A. S. W.; Malardier-Jugroot, C.; Jugroot, M., Improving platinum catalyst
29 binding energy to graphene through nitrogen doping. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2009**, 481, (4-6), 214-219.
- 30 15.Zhang, L.; Xia, Z., Mechanisms of Oxygen Reduction Reaction on Nitrogen-Doped Graphene for
31 Fuel Cells. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2011**, 115, (22), 11170-11176.
- 32 16.Zhao, J.; Liu, Y.; Quan, X.; Chen, S.; Zhao, H.; Yu, H., Nitrogen and sulfur co-doped
33 graphene/carbon nanotube as metal-free electrocatalyst for oxygen evolution reaction: the enhanced
34 performance by sulfur doping. *Electrochim. Acta* **2016**, 204, 169-175.
- 35 17.Zhang, J.; Dai, L., Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Fluorine Tri-doped Graphene as a Multifunctional
36 Catalyst for Self-Powered Electrochemical Water Splitting. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2016**, 55,
37 (42), 13296-13300.
- 38 18.Salas, E. C.; Sun, Z.; Lüttge, A.; Tour, J. M., Reduction of Graphene Oxide via Bacterial
39 Respiration. *ACS Nano* **2010**, 4, (8), 4852-4856.
- 40 19.Jiao, Y.; Qian, F.; Li, Y.; Wang, G.; Saltikov, C. W.; Gralnick, J. A., Deciphering the Electron
41 Transport Pathway for Graphene Oxide Reduction by *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1. *J. Bacteriol.* **2011**, 193, (14), 3662-
42 3665.

- 1 20.Liu, T.; Jiang, L.-L.; He, M.-F.; Zhu, Z.; Wang, D.-b.; Song, T.-S.; Tan, W.-m.; Ouyang, P.; Xie,
2 J., Green synthesis of reduced graphene oxide by a GRAS strain *Bacillus subtilis* 168 with high
3 biocompatibility to zebrafish embryos. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, (74), 60024-60032.
- 4 21.Rathinam, N. K.; Berchmans, S.; Sani, R. K.; Salem, D. R., Rewiring the microbe-electrode
5 interfaces with biologically reduced graphene oxide for improved bioelectrocatalysis. *Bioresour.*
6 *Technol.* **2018**, *256*, 195-200.
- 7 22.Song, T.-S.; Tan, W.-M.; Xie, J., Bio-Reduction of Graphene Oxide Using Sulfate-Reducing
8 Bacteria and Its Implication on Anti-Biocorrosion. *Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology* **2018**,
9 *18*, (8), 5770-5776.
- 10 23.Yoshida, N.; Miyata, Y.; Mugita, A.; Iida, K., Electricity Recovery from Municipal Sewage
11 Wastewater Using a Hydrogel Complex Composed of Microbially Reduced Graphene Oxide and
12 Sludge. *Materials* **2016**, *9*, (9), 742.
- 13 24.Yoshida, N.; Miyata, Y.; Doi, K.; Goto, Y.; Nagao, Y.; Tero, R.; Hiraishi, A., Graphene oxide-
14 dependent growth and self-aggregation into a hydrogel complex of exoelectrogenic bacteria. *Sci. Rep.*
15 **2016**, *6*, 21867.
- 16 25.Wei, L.; Karahan, H. E.; Goh, K.; Jiang, W.; Yu, D.; Birer, Ö.; Jiang, R.; Chen, Y., A high-
17 performance metal-free hydrogen-evolution reaction electrocatalyst from bacterium derived carbon.
18 *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2015**, *3*, (14), 7210-7214.
- 19 26.Zhou, L.; Fu, P.; Wang, Y.; Sun, L.; Yuan, Y., Microbe-engaged synthesis of carbon dot-decorated
20 reduced graphene oxide as high-performance oxygen reduction catalysts. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2016**, *4*,
21 (19), 7222-7229.
- 22 27.Malvankar, N. S.; Vargas, M.; Nevin, K. P.; Franks, A. E.; Leang, C.; Kim, B. C.; Inoue, K.; Mester,
23 T.; Covalla, S. F.; Johnson, J. P.; Rotello, V. M.; Tuominen, M. T.; Lovley, D. R., Tunable metallic-
24 like conductivity in microbial nanowire networks. *Nat Nanotechnol* **2011**, *6*, (9), 573-9.
- 25 28.Kalathil, S.; Pant, D., Nanotechnology to rescue bacterial bidirectional extracellular electron
26 transfer in bioelectrochemical systems. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, (36), 30582-30597.
- 27 29.Malvankar, N. S.; Lovley, D. R., Microbial nanowires: a new paradigm for biological electron
28 transfer and bioelectronics. *ChemSusChem* **2012**, *5*, (6), 1039-46.
- 29 30.Kumar, A.; Hsu, L. H.-H.; Kavanagh, P.; Barrière, F.; Lens, P. N. L.; Lapinsoinière, L.; Lienhard
30 V, J. H.; Schröder, U.; Jiang, X.; Leech, D., The ins and outs of microorganism–electrode electron
31 transfer reactions. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **2017**, *1*, 0024.
- 32 31.Estevez-Canales, M.; Kuzume, A.; Borjas, Z.; Füeg, M.; Lovley, D.; Wandlowski, T.; Esteve-
33 Núñez, A., A severe reduction in the cytochrome C content of *Geobacter sulfurreducens* eliminates its
34 capacity for extracellular electron transfer. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* **2015**, *7*, (2), 219-226.
- 35 32.Busalmen, J. P.; Esteve-Nunez, A.; Berna, A.; Feliu, J. M., C-type cytochromes wire electricity-
36 producing bacteria to electrodes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **2008**, *47*, (26), 4874-7.
- 37 33.Hunter, B. M.; Thompson, N. B.; Müller, A. M.; Rossman, G. R.; Hill, M. G.; Winkler, J. R.; Gray,
38 H. B., Trapping an Iron(VI) Water-Splitting Intermediate in Nonaqueous Media. *Joule* **2018**, *2*, (4),
39 747-763.
- 40 34.Holmes, D. E.; Mester, T.; O'Neil, R. A.; Perpetua, L. A.; Larrahondo, M. J.; Glaven, R.; Sharma,
41 M. L.; Ward, J. E.; Nevin, K. P.; Lovley, D. R., Genes for two multicopper proteins required for Fe(III)
42 oxide reduction in *Geobacter sulfurreducens* have different expression patterns both in the subsurface
43 and on energy-harvesting electrodes. *Microbiology* **2008**, *154*, (Pt 5), 1422-35.

- 1 35. Marcano, D. C.; Kosynkin, D. V.; Berlin, J. M.; Sinitskii, A.; Sun, Z.; Slesarev, A.; Alemany, L.
2 B.; Lu, W.; Tour, J. M., Improved Synthesis of Graphene Oxide. *ACS Nano* **2010**, 4, (8), 4806-4814.
3
- 4 36. Alazmi, A.; Rasul, S.; Patole, S. P.; Costa, P. M. F. J., Comparative study of synthesis and reduction
5 methods for graphene oxide. *Polyhedron* **2016**, 116, 153-161.
- 6 37. Bond, D. R.; Lovley, D. R., Electricity Production by *Geobacter sulfurreducens*
7 Attached to Electrodes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2003**, 69, (3), 1548-1555.
- 8 38. Lin, W. C.; Coppi, M. V.; Lovley, D. R., *Geobacter sulfurreducens* Can Grow with
9 Oxygen as a Terminal Electron Acceptor. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2004**, 70, (4), 2525-2528.
- 10 39. Bansal, R.; Helmus, R. A.; Stanley, B. A.; Zhu, J.; Liermann, L. J.; Brantley, S. L.; Tien, M.,
11 Survival During Long-Term Starvation: Global Proteomics Analysis of *Geobacter sulfurreducens*
12 under Prolonged Electron-Acceptor Limitation. *J. Proteome Res.* **2013**, 12, (10), 4316-4326.
- 13 40. Estevez-Canales, M.; Kuzume, A.; Borjas, Z.; Fueg, M.; Lovley, D.; Wandlowski, T.; Esteve-
14 Nunez, A., A severe reduction in the cytochrome C content of *Geobacter sulfurreducens* eliminates its
15 capacity for extracellular electron transfer. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **2015**, 7, (2), 219-26.
- 16 41. Krishnamoorthy, K.; Veerapandian, M.; Yun, K.; Kim, S. J., The chemical and structural analysis
17 of graphene oxide with different degrees of oxidation. *Carbon* **2013**, 53, 38-49.
- 18 42. Pimenta, M. A.; Dresselhaus, G.; Dresselhaus, M. S.; Cançado, L. G.; Jorio, A.; Saito, R., Studying
19 disorder in graphite-based systems by Raman spectroscopy. *PCCP* **2007**, 9, (11), 1276-1290.
- 20 43. Gangadharan, P. K.; Unni, S. M.; Kumar, N.; Ghosh, P.; Kurungot, S., Nitrogen-Doped Graphene
21 with a Three-Dimensional Architecture Assisted by Carbon Nitride Tetrapods as an Efficient Metal-
22 Free Electrocatalyst for Hydrogen Evolution. *CHEMELECTROCHEM* **2017**, 4, (10), 2643-2652.
- 23 44. Zhao, Y.; Nakamura, R.; Kamiya, K.; Nakanishi, S.; Hashimoto, K., Nitrogen-doped carbon
24 nanomaterials as non-metal electrocatalysts for water oxidation. *Nat Commun* **2013**, 4, 2390.
- 25 45. Yu, X.; Zhang, M.; Chen, J.; Li, Y.; Shi, G., Nitrogen and Sulfur Codoped Graphite Foam as a
26 Self-Supported Metal-Free Electrocatalytic Electrode for Water Oxidation. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2016**,
27 6, (2).
- 28 46. Liu, M.; Zhang, R.; Chen, W., Graphene-supported nanoelectrocatalysts for fuel cells: synthesis,
29 properties, and applications. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, 114, (10), 5117-60.
- 30 47. Suen, N.-T.; Hung, S.-F.; Quan, Q.; Zhang, N.; Xu, Y.-J.; Chen, H. M., Electrocatalysis for the
31 oxygen evolution reaction: recent development and future perspectives. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2017**, 46, (2),
32 337-365.
- 33 48. Zhou, H.; Yu, F.; Sun, J.; He, R.; Chen, S.; Chu, C.-W.; Ren, Z., Highly active catalyst derived
34 from a 3D foam of Fe(PO₃)₂/Ni₂P for extremely efficient
35 water oxidation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2017**, 114, (22), 5607-5611.
- 36 49. Wang, L.; Xiao, F.-S., The Importance of Catalyst Wettability. *ChemCatChem* **2014**, 6, (11), 3048-
37 3052.
- 38 50. Li, Y.; Zhao, C., Enhancing Water Oxidation Catalysis on a Synergistic Phosphorylated NiFe
39 Hydroxide by Adjusting Catalyst Wettability. *ACS Catal.* **2017**, 7, (4), 2535-2541.
- 40 51. Zhou, J.; Lian, J.; Hou, L.; Zhang, J.; Gou, H.; Xia, M.; Zhao, Y.; Strobel, T. A.; Tao, L.; Gao, F.,
41 Ultrahigh volumetric capacitance and cyclic stability of fluorine and nitrogen co-doped carbon
42 microspheres. *Nat Commun* **2015**, 6, 8503.
- 43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

Table of contents

