Geometrically nonlinear polygonal finite element analysis of functionally graded porous plates
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Abstract

In this study, an efficient polygonal finite element method (PFEM) in combination with quadratic serendipity shape functions is proposed to study nonlinear static and dynamic responses of functionally graded (FG) plates with porosities. Two different porosity types including even and uneven distributions through the plate thickness are considered. The quadratic serendipity shape functions over arbitrary polygonal elements including triangular and quadrilateral ones, which are constructed based on a pairwise product of linear shape functions, are employed to interpolate the bending strains. Meanwhile, the shear strains are defined according to the Wachspress coordinates. By using the Timoshenko’s beam to interpolate the assumption of the strain field along the edges of polygonal element, the shear locking phenomenon can be naturally eliminated. Furthermore, the $C^0$–type higher-order shear deformation theory ($C^0$–HSDT), in which two additional variables are included in the displacement field, significantly improves the accuracy of numerical results. The nonlinear equations of static and dynamic problems are solved by Newton-Raphson iterative procedure and by Newmark’s integration scheme in association with the Picard methods, respectively. Through various numerical examples in which complex geometries and different boundary conditions are involved, the proposed approach yields more stable and accurate results than those generated using other existing approaches.
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1. Introduction

Functionally graded materials (FGMs) are categorized as a special class of composite materials [1] because they are formed of two or more constituent phases of distinct materials. As the material properties change continuously and smoothly from one surface to another, FGMs are capable of eliminating stress concentration and delamination phenomena which generally encountered in conventional laminated composites. Based on advantageous properties of ceramic and metal such as resistant to high temperatures and ductility, respectively, FGMs are commonly synthesized from these material phases. Thanks to these striking features, FGMs have been extensively applied to various engineering applications including aerospace, defence, automotive, nuclear power, bio-engineering and other areas [2, 3]. There have been extensive efforts working on the analysis of FG structures in the literature [4-10]. Due to technical issues during the manufacturing process, porosities may appear within the FGMs and cause reduction in the strength of the materials. Wattanasakulpong et al. [11] reported that there are porosities existing in the middle area of the FGM samples which are fabricated by using multi-step sequential infiltration technique. Therefore, it is indispensable to consider porosity effects when investigating the nonlinear static and dynamic responses of FGM structures. In the literature, there are many studies that take into consideration the FGM structures with porosities. However, most of these investigations focus on the vibration behavior of porous FG structures such as beams [12, 13], plate structures [14-16] and shell structures [17]. Additionally, the buckling problems of porous FG beams and plates have also been discussed [18, 19]. Based on the quasi-3D shear deformation theory, Zenkour [20] investigated the bending responses of porous FG single-layered and sandwich plates which are graded using two types of exponential or polynomial laws.

Due to the limitations of the three–dimensional (3D) model when solving practical problems with complex geometries under arbitrary boundary conditions, several two–dimensional (2D) model plate theories have been developed and widely employed to predict appropriately the structural responses. The classical plate theory (CPT), which was devised based on the Kirchhoff–Love assumptions, is the most fundamental plate theory. Even though this theory works well for thin plates in which the length-to-thickness ratio is large, it may lead to inaccurate predictions as it ignores the shear deformations. First-order shear deformation theory (FSDT) [21, 22] which considers the transverse shear deformation effects, has been suitably developed for both thin and thick plates. However, this theory requires an appropriate shear correction factor whose value depends on various factors such as applied
loads, boundary conditions, material coefficients and geometric, to satisfy the traction-free boundary conditions at surfaces of the plate. In order to surmount the limitations in CPT and FSDT, a large number of different higher-order shear deformation theories (HSDTs) have been developed such as third-order shear deformation theory (TSDT) [23, 24], sinusoidal shear deformation theory [25], hyperbolic shear deformation theory (HSDT) [26, 27], refined plate theories (RPT) [28], and some higher-order theories based on Carrera’s Unified Formulation (CUF) [29, 30]. However, the HSDTs require $C^1$–continuity of the generalized displacements that cause challenges in calculation of second-order derivatives of deflections if one uses conventional finite element analysis. In an effort to overcome this obstacle, Shankara and Iyengar [31] proposed the $C^0$–type higher-order shear deformation theory ($C^0$–HSDT) in which two variables are added to the displacements and only the first derivatives of deflection are required.

In 2D finite element analysis, the most frequently used element types are triangles and quadrilaterals. Nevertheless, it was pointed out that when treating complex microstructures modeling or material design, significant troubles in generating quality meshes may remain. For example, in polycrystalline materials, each crystal is usually considered as the polygon. By using the conventional triangular and quadrilateral elements to discretize these microstructures, the high resolution of meshes is required. Fortunately, the drawbacks can be easily treated in PFEM by modeling each crystal as one polygonal element. Therefore, many researchers have been focusing on developing the generalizations of FEM which rely on arbitrary polygonal meshes and include both triangular and quadrilateral elements. In terms of computation, PFEM is more flexible in discretization, useful in mesh transitions and refinement, well-suited for material design and sometimes more accurate and robust in approximating results [32]. In recent years, PFEM has been widely applied in many mechanical problems such as nonlinear behavior of polycrystalline materials [33-35], nonlinear elastic materials [32, 36], incompressible fluid flow [37, 38], crack modeling [39, 40], limit analysis [41], topology optimization [42-44], contact-impact problem [45]. In terms of plate analysis, Nguyen-Xuan [46] firstly proposed a Reissner–Mindlin plate formula that is suitable for both thick and thin plates on arbitrary polygonal meshes based on the Timoshenko’s beam assumptions. In this work, four barycentric shape function types such as Wachspress, mean–value, Laplace and piecewise–linear are considered. Then, using the piecewise–linear shape function, Nguyen et al. [47] extended a polygonal finite element to laminated composite plates based on the $C^0$ approximations ($C^0$–HSDT). Our current study
aims to improve the performance of the original polygonal plate element [46] and we then apply it to nonlinear analysis of porous FG plates.

Compared with the traditional finite elements, the construction of shape functions over arbitrary polygon elements is not easy due to the complexity of the general polygonal element shape. Therefore, numerous approaches have been proposed to determine the shape functions for polygonal elements such as the rational polynomial interpolation functions proposed by Wachspress firstly [48], mean value coordinates by Floater [49], maximum entropy coordinates by Sukumar [50], natural neighbor interpolants by Sukumar et al. [51], moving least squares coordinates [52], the sharp upper and lower bound piecewise-linear functions by Floater et al. [53], etc. The outline the construction of polygonal shape functions is presented by Sukumar and Malsch [54]. Recently, the development to higher-order approximations over arbitrary polygonal elements has been attracting high level of attention. Using pairwise product of linear shape functions, Rand et al. [55] proposed the construction process of the quadratic serendipity shape functions over convex polygonal element based on generalized barycentric coordinates. Herein, the nodal shape functions are only associated with the vertices and the mid-nodes on the edges of polygonal element. Sellam et al. [56] employed the quadratic serendipity polygonal elements for generalized elastic solids subjected to torsion. Heng et al. [57] utilized a general gradient correction scheme for serendipity element in finite elasticity problems. Based on the local generalized barycentric coordinates and triangular coordinates, Floater and Lai [58] presented polygonal splines which Sinu et al. [59] further developed for constructing serendipity shape functions over hexahedra and convex polyhedral. In addition, Sukumar [60] proposed the quadratic maximum-entropy serendipity shape functions for both convex and nonconvex polygons.

In an effort to improve the numerical results and construct a unified formula for the arbitrary polygonal finite element including triangular and quadrilateral elements, in this study, we propose an effective and novel unified formulation for both thick and thin plate elements on arbitrary polygonal meshes. In order to get results that are more accurate, the quadratic serendipity shape functions are enhanced to the arbitrary polygonal finite element formulation. In addition, based on Soh’s works [61,62] which only were available for triangular and quadrilateral elements, the Timoshenko’s beam theory is applied to interpolate the assumption of strain field along sides of arbitrary polygonal element. As a result, the shear locking phenomenon can be naturally suppressed. According to polygonal elements, the bending strains are computed using the quadratic serendipity shape functions while the shear strains...
employ the Wachspress coordinates at the vertices on polygonal elements. The static and dynamic analyses of porous FG plates including both linear and geometrically nonlinear cases are performed to demonstrate the superiority in terms of the efficiency and accuracy of the present method. Two types of porosity distribution including even and uneven distributions with various porosity volume fractions are considered in numerical examples. The impact of a few specific parameters such as different porosity distribution, porosity volume fraction, material index and thickness ratio on the deflection of porous FG plates, is considered and discussed in detail. As shown in the numerical examples, the proposed element, which based on the quadratic serendipity shape functions, shows more accurate and stable than those of other PFEMs, which were reported in [46]. It should be noted that the mid-side nodes of the quadratic serendipity polygonal element are then eliminated in the calculation process, which leads to the number of DOFs per polygonal element does not increase when compared with the formulation given in [46].

The remaining of this study is organized as follows. A brief review of the FGM with porosities, the C₀-HSDT type as well as a weak form of governing equations of porous FG plate for static and dynamic problems is presented in the next section. The formulations of the PFEM for porous FG plates with the quadratic serendipity shape functions based on the Timoshenko’s beam formulation are given in Section 3. The numerical examples for static and dynamic analysis, which include both linear and geometrically nonlinear cases, of porous FG plates are presented in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 closes this study with some concluding remarks.

2. C₀-type higher-order shear deformation plate theory for porous FG plates

2.1 Functionally graded materials with porosities

The model of an FG plate which consists of metal and ceramic is depicted in Fig. 1a. This FG plate contains porosities in its structure, which can be dispersed evenly or unevenly along the plate thickness direction, as shown in Fig. 1b. Based on the rule of mixtures [63], the modified effective material properties throughout the thickness of the FG plates, which assumed porosities disperse evenly in the metal and ceramic phases can be given as [12]

\[ P(z) = P_m \left( V_m - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) + P_c \left( V_c - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right), \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)
where $\alpha \ (0 \leq \alpha \ll 1)$ is a porosity volume fraction, this parameter is set to zero for FG plate without porosities which is usually called the perfect FG plates. Meanwhile $P_m$ and $P_c$ represent the typical material properties of the metal and ceramic phases, respectively, including the Young’s modulus $E$, the Poisson’s ratio $\nu$ and the density $\rho$. The volume fractions of metal $V_m$ and ceramic $V_c$ phases, respectively, are assumed to vary with respect to the thickness $h$, which are defined as follows

$$V_c(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{z}{h}\right)^n, \quad V_m=1-V_c, \quad -\frac{h}{2} \leq z \leq \frac{h}{2}, \quad (2)$$

in which $n$ is the material index describing the profile of material variation. It is worth noting that setting $n$ to 0 leads to a single-phase ceramic material while a homogeneous metallic material is obtained as $n$ increases towards $+\infty$.

![Diagram of FG plate model](image)

(a) The FG plate model made of ceramic and metal with porosities
(b) Plate cross-section for two porosity distributions.

Fig. 1. (a) The FG plate model made of ceramic and metal with porosities
(b) Plate cross-section for two porosity distributions.

Then, the modified effective material properties for the ceramic-metal FG plates with evenly distributed porosities are given by

$$P(z)=\left(P_c-P_m\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{z}{h}\right)^n + \frac{\alpha}{2}(P_c+P_m). \quad (3)$$

Similarly, the modified effective material properties of ceramic-metal FG plates with unevenly distributed porosities can be expressed as [12]

$$P(z)=\left(P_c-P_m\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{z}{h}\right)^n + \frac{\alpha}{2}(P_c+P_m)\left(1-\frac{2|z|}{h}\right). \quad (4)$$
Fig. 2 illustrates the variation in effective Young’s modulus of the prefect and porous for ceramic-metal FG (Al/Al₂O₃) plates estimated based on the rule of mixtures with a porosity volume fraction $\alpha = 0.3$. It can be seen that Young’s modulus of the perfect FG plate is highest while the porous FG plate with even distribution has the lowest magnitude. Furthermore, the effective Young’s modulus of perfect FG and porous FG with uneven distribution plates coincides at the top and bottom surface of the plate while it coincides at the middle surface of two porous FG plates.

Fig. 2. Variations of Young’s modulus of perfect and porous FG plates with $\alpha = 0.3$:

(a) $n = 0.5$; (b) $n = 1$; (c) $n = 5$.

2.2 $C^0$-type higher-order shear deformation plate theory

Based on the $C^0$-HSDT model [31], the displacement fields at an arbitrary point in the plate can be defined as follows
\[ u(x, y, z) = u_0 + z\beta_x + cz^2(\beta_x + \phi_x), \]
\[ v(x, y, z) = v_0 + z\beta_y + cz^2(\beta_y + \phi_y), \quad \left(-\frac{h}{2} \leq z \leq \frac{h}{2}\right), \]
\[ w(x, y, z) = w_0, \]

where \( u_0, v_0 \) and \( w_0 \) are the displacements of a point on the mid-plane of the plate, respectively; \( \beta_x \) and \( \beta_y \) denote the rotations of the normal to the mid-plane about the \( y \) and \( x \) axes, respectively; and \( c = -4/3h^2 \). Eq. (5) is developed from the higher-order theory by Reddy [23], in which derivative of deflection is replaced by warping function \( \phi = \{\phi_x, \phi_y\}^T \). The generalized displacement vector with 5 degrees of freedom for \( C^1 \)-continuity element can be transformed to a vector with 7 degrees of freedom for \( C^0 \)-continuity element \( u = \{u_0, v_0, w, \beta_x, \beta_y, \phi_x, \phi_y\}^T \).

For large deformation analysis, the in-plane vector of Green’s strain can be written in compact form as follow:

\[ \varepsilon_y = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x_j} \right). \]

(6)

By substituting Eq. (5) into Eq. (6) and adopting the von Kármán assumptions [64], the strain-displacement relations in Eq. (6) can be rewritten as

\[ \varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_y, \gamma_{xy}\}^T = \varepsilon_0 + z\kappa_1 + z^3\kappa_2, \]

(7)

where the membrane strain is given by

\[ \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0^L + \varepsilon_0^{NL}, \]

(8)

in which \( \varepsilon_0^L \) and \( \varepsilon_0^{NL} \) are linear and nonlinear parts of the in-plane strains, respectively. Meanwhile \( \kappa_1 \) and \( \kappa_2 \) are bending strains. The shear strains can be expressed as:

\[ \gamma = \{\gamma_{xz}, \gamma_{yz}\}^T = \varepsilon_z + z^2\kappa_z, \]

(9)

After adopting the derivation of the Hamilton’s principal and weak formulation, the weak form of the nonlinear problem of FG plates under transverse load \( q_0 \) can be briefly expressed as

\[ \int_{\Omega} \delta \hat{\varepsilon}^T D^* \hat{\varepsilon} \, d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \delta \hat{\gamma}^T D_y^* \hat{\gamma} \, d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \delta w q_0 \, d\Omega, \]

(10)

in which \( \hat{\varepsilon} \) includes in-plane and bending strains and \( \hat{\gamma} \) represents shear strains.

The material constant matrices \( D^* \) and \( D_y^* \) can be defined as follows
\[
D' = \begin{bmatrix}
A & B & E \\
B & D & F \\
E & F & H
\end{bmatrix}, \quad D'' = \begin{bmatrix}
A' & B' \\
B' & D'
\end{bmatrix},
\]

in which
\[
(A_y, B_y, D_y, E_y, F_y, H_y) = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} (1, z, z^2, z^3, z^4, z^6)Q_ydz, \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 6),
\]
\[
(A'_y, B'_y, D'_y) = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} (1, z^2, z^4)Q_ydz, \quad (i, j = 4, 5).
\]

For nonlinear transient analysis, the weak form of FG plate under transverse load \( q_0 \) without damping effect can be written as
\[
\int_\Omega \delta \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{m} \mathbf{u} d\Omega + \int_\Omega \delta \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{e} d\Omega + \int_\Omega \delta \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{w} d\Omega = \int_\Omega \delta q_0 d\Omega.
\]
where the mass matrix \( \mathbf{m} \) is given as
\[
\mathbf{m} = \begin{bmatrix}
I_1 & 0 & 0 & I_2 & 0 & c/3I_4 & 0 \\
I_1 & 0 & 0 & I_2 & 0 & c/3I_4 & 0 \\
I_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
I_3 & 0 & c/3I_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
I_3 & 0 & c/3I_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\text{sym.} & & & & & & \\
c^2/9I_7 & 0 & & & & & \\
c^2/9I_7 & & & & & &
\end{bmatrix},
\]
in which \((I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4, I_5, I_7) = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho(z)(1, z, z^2, z^3, z^4, z^6)dz \).

3. A novel polygonal FG plate element

3.1 Construction of quadratic serendipity shape function

In PFEM, a given domain is discretized into arbitrary polygonal elements before the shape functions are constructed over each polygonal element. Recently, the quadratic serendipity shape functions, which are with vertices and the mid-point of each boundary edge, over general polygonal elements have gained increasing attention of many researchers. Rand et al. [55] have presented an outline of construction quadratic serendipity shape functions over arbitrary convex polygons. Then, Floater [58] has provided a construction of serendipity quadratic shape functions over a polygon with any number of nodes based on polygonal splines. In this study, the approach presented in [55] is considered in order to construct the quadratic serendipity shape over arbitrary convex polygons. Accordingly, there are four
essential steps related to this construction of quadratic serendipity shape functions, which briefly expressed and illustrated in Fig. 3.

- Step 1: The shape function \( \phi \), associated with vertices of the polygonal element is set. In this study, the Wachspress coordinate is used to calculate these shape functions \( \phi \).

- Step 2: The pairwise products of generalized barycentric coordinates \( \varphi_{ab} := \phi_a \phi_b \) are determined. A result of the construction leads to \( n(n+1)/2 \) functions in total including mid-edge nodes and nodes inside the polygonal element.

- Step 3: An appropriate linear transformation \( T \), which are exhaustively reported in [55], is applied to the pairwise products of generalized barycentric coordinates \( \varphi_{ab} \) and set of functions \( \xi_{ij} \), associated with vertices and mid-side nodes of the polygonal element. Note that there are only \( 2n \) functions \( \xi_{ij} \) are defined in this step which are indexed over vertices and edge midpoints of the polygon element.

- Step 4: In order to satisfy the Lagrangian property, which are known that each function takes the value 1 at its associated node and 0 at all other nodes, another linear transformation matrix \( \overline{T} \) [55] is used to convert \( \xi_{ij} \) into a basis \( \psi_{ij} \).

![Fig. 3. Construction of quadratic serendipity shape functions.](image)

For further a detail on this construction of quadratic serendipity shape functions for arbitrary polygon element, the interested readers are referred to [55]. Fig. 4 depicts the quadratic serendipity shape functions for a pentagonal element.
Fig. 4. The quadratic serendipity shape functions for a pentagonal element
(a) Vertex node; (b) mid-side node.

3.2 PFEM formulation for FG plates

The physical domain $\Omega$ is decomposed into approximate $\Omega^h$ including non-overlapping polygonal elements. The primeval mesh $\Theta$ contains $n_e$ elements and $n_n$ nodes, such that $\Omega \approx \Omega^h = \sum_{e=1}^{n_e} \Omega^e$. Let $\phi$ be the nodal basis (shape functions) of polygonal element $\Omega^e$. Herein, the finite element solution $u^f(x)$ of the displacement model for FGM plates can be expressed as

$$u^f(x) = \sum_{I} \phi_I \mathbb{I}_I d_I = \sum_{I} \phi_I d_I, \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega^e,$$

(15)

where $n_{ve}$ is the number of vertices of the polygonal element, $\mathbb{I}_I$ is the unit matrix of 7th rank, $d_I = \{u_I, v_I, w_I, \beta_{xI}, \beta_{yI}, \phi_{xI}, \phi_{yI}\}^T$ denotes the displacement vector of the nodal degrees of freedom of $u(x)$ associated with the $I$th vertex of the polygonal element; and $\phi_I$ is the shape function at the $I$th vertex of polygonal element.

Based on the approximation in Eq. (15), the strain components including the membrane, bending and shear strains in Eqs. (7) and (9) can be rewritten in compact forms as
\[ \varepsilon_0 = \sum_{i}^{n_v} \mathbf{B}_i^m \mathbf{d}_i, \quad \kappa_1 = \sum_{i}^{n_v} \mathbf{B}_i^{0_1} \mathbf{d}_i, \quad \kappa_2 = \sum_{i}^{n_v} \mathbf{B}_i^{0_2} \mathbf{d}_i, \]  
\[ \varepsilon_x = \sum_{i}^{n_v} \mathbf{B}_i^{0_0} \mathbf{d}_i, \quad \kappa_x = \sum_{i}^{n_v} \mathbf{B}_i^{1_x} \mathbf{d}_i, \quad \varepsilon_0^N = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}^{n_v} \mathbf{B}_i^{mNL} \mathbf{d}_i, \]  

(16)

where

\[ \mathbf{B}_i^m = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{i,x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_{i,y} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_{i,y} & \phi_{i,x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}_i^{0_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \phi_{i,x} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \phi_{i,y} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}_i^{0_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \phi_{i,x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \phi_{i,y} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \phi_{i,x} & \phi_{i,y} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}_i^{mNL} = \mathbf{N} \mathbf{A}. \]  

(17)

where \( \phi_{i,x} \) and \( \phi_{i,y} \) are the derivatives of the shape functions \( \phi_i \) with respect to \( x \) and \( y \), respectively. The matrices \( \mathbf{H} \) and \( \mathbf{A} \) are expressed by

\[ \mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{0,x} & 0 \\ 0 & w_{0,y} \\ w_{0,y} & w_{0,x} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \phi_{i,x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_{i,y} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \]  

(18)

Now, substituting Eq. (16) into Eq. (10), the governing algebraic equations of the FG plate using in PFEM for nonlinear analysis can be expressed in the following form

\[ \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{d})\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{F}, \]  

(19)

in which \( \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{d}) \) is the global stiffness matrix which can be computed as

\[ \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{K}_L + \mathbf{K}_{NL}(\mathbf{d}) + \mathbf{K}_G, \]  

(20)

in which \( \mathbf{K}_L, \mathbf{K}_{NL} \) and \( \mathbf{K}_G \) are the global linear stiffness matrix, the global nonlinear stiffness matrix and the global geometric stiffness matrix, respectively. These global matrices are
respectively assembled from the element matrices $\mathbf{K}_L^e$, $\mathbf{K}_{NL}^e$ and $\mathbf{K}_G^e$ of the element $\Omega^e$, which can be defined as follows

$$
\mathbf{K}_L^e = \int_{\Omega^e} \left( \mathbf{B}_j^T \right)^T \mathbf{D}^* \mathbf{B}_j d\Omega + \int_{\Omega^e} \left( \mathbf{S}_j^T \right)^T \mathbf{D}_s^* \mathbf{S}_j d\Omega_x,
$$

$$
\mathbf{K}_{NL}^e = \int_{\Omega^e} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{B}_j^T \right)^T \mathbf{D}^* \mathbf{B}_j^T + \left( \mathbf{B}_j^T \right)^T \mathbf{D}^* \mathbf{B}_j^T + \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{B}_j^T \right)^T \mathbf{D}^* \mathbf{B}_j^T \right) d\Omega_x,
$$

$$
\mathbf{K}_G^e = \int_{\Omega^e} \left( \mathbf{A}_j \right)^T \bar{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A}_j d\Omega_x,
$$

in which the matrices $\mathbf{B}_j$, $\mathbf{S}_j$, $\mathbf{B}_j^L$ and $\mathbf{B}_j^{NL}$ are defined by

$$
\mathbf{B}_j = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_j^m & \mathbf{B}_j^{b1} & \mathbf{B}_j^{b2} \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad \mathbf{S}_j = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_j^0 & \mathbf{B}_j^{bl} \end{bmatrix}^T,
$$

$$
\mathbf{B}_j^L = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_j & \mathbf{S}_j \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad \mathbf{B}_j^{NL} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_j^{nNL} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T,
$$

and $\mathbf{D}^*$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{D}^* = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}^* & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{D}^* \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The in-plane traction matrix is defined by

$$
\bar{\mathbf{N}} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{N}_x \\ \bar{N}_y \end{bmatrix},
$$

where $\{ \bar{N}_x, \bar{N}_y, \bar{N}_{xy} \} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \{ \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{xy} \} dz$.

The global load vector $\mathbf{F}$ is expressed by

$$
\mathbf{F} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{g} \phi d\Omega + \mathbf{f}^b,
$$

in which $\mathbf{f}^b$ is the complementary term of $\mathbf{F}$ subjected to prescribed boundary loads.

For the transient analysis problem, finite element formulation yields

$$
\mathbf{K} \ddot{\mathbf{d}} + \mathbf{M} \dot{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbf{F},
$$

where $\mathbf{M}$ denotes the global mass matrix, which can be expressed as follows:
\[ M = \int_\Omega \phi^T \mathbf{m} \phi \, d\Omega. \] (28)

It is well known that the shear locking phenomenon will appear when the plate’s thickness becomes smaller. To avoid this shortcoming, a shear locking free polygonal FG plate element approach based on an assumed strain field via the Timoshenko’s beam formulae is addressed. This approach will be presented in the next subsection.

### 3.3 Locking-free polygonal FG plate element

#### 3.3.1 Deformation of the Timoshenko’s beam element

Considering a Timoshenko’s thick beam element as shown in Fig. 5, the deflection \( w(\xi) \), rotation \( \beta(\xi) \) and shear strain \( \gamma(\xi) \) of the thick beam element which based on the Timoshenko’s beam theory are correspondingly expressed as follows [61, 62]

\[
\begin{align*}
  w(\xi) &= w_i (1 - \xi) + w_j \xi + \frac{l}{2} (-\beta_i + \beta_j) \xi (1 - \xi) - \frac{l}{2} \Gamma (1 - 2 \xi) \xi (1 - \xi)(1 - 2 \xi), \\
  \beta(\xi) &= \beta_i (1 - \xi) + \beta_j \xi - 3 \Gamma (1 - 2 \xi) \xi (1 - \xi), \\
  \gamma(\xi) &= 3 \Gamma,
\end{align*}
\] (29)

in which

\[
\Gamma = \frac{2}{l} (w_i - w_j) + \beta_i + \beta_j, \quad \mathfrak{T} = \frac{6 \lambda}{1 + 12 \lambda}, \quad \lambda = \frac{D^h}{D^v l^2},
\] (30)

with \( D^v, D^v \) and \( l \) represent the bending, shear stiffness constants and length of the beam element, respectively. It is seen that when the thickness \( h \) of the plate approaches zeros, \( \lambda \) in Eq. (30) will tend to zeros (\( \lim_{h \to 0} \lambda = 0 \)). Therefore, \( \mathfrak{T} \) will also go toward zeros. As a result, the transverse shear strain \( \gamma(\xi) \) will be eliminated automatically. Consequently, shear
locking issue of the interpolation can be suppressed based on the Timoshenko’s beam theory. Now, this theory is extended for FG plate polygonal elements.

3.3.2 An approximation of assumed shear strains

Considering an FG plate polygonal element $\Omega^e$ with the edges number $n \geq 3$, which has the normal and tangential directions of each edge is described in Fig. 6, the generalized nodal displacement of the polygonal element can be placed in the following vector

$$\mathbf{d}^e = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_{n-1}, d_n\}^T,$$

(31)

where $\mathbf{d}_i = \{u_i, v_i, w_i, \beta_{ii}, \beta_{ti}, \phi_{ii}, \phi_{ti}\}^T$ with $(i=1,2,\ldots,n-1,n)$. Adopting the same approach [61], Nguyen-Xuan [46] devised the interpolation procedure for shear strain fields along the polygonal element edges based on Timoshenko’s beam theory. Accordingly, the assumed shear strains of the polygonal element can be written in the matrix form as follows

$$\mathbf{\dot{\gamma}}^e = \mathbf{\hat{S}}^e \mathbf{d}^e = \left[\mathbf{\hat{B}}^{i0}, \mathbf{\hat{B}}^{i1}\right] \{\mathbf{d}\}^e,$$

(32)

in which the matrix $\mathbf{\hat{B}}^{i1}$ is presented in Eq. (17). And the matrix $\mathbf{\hat{B}}^{i0} = \left[\mathbf{H}^t \mathbf{I}^t \mathbf{G}^t\right]$ with $[\mathbf{H}^t], \mathbf{I}^t$ and $[\mathbf{G}^t]$ can be expressed as, respectively
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{H}^r
\end{bmatrix}_{[2\times n]} = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{b_m \phi_j}{c_i b_m - c_i b_i} & \frac{b_j \phi_k}{c_j b_i - c_j b_j} \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]
(33)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{I}^s
\end{bmatrix}_{[n\times n]} = \begin{bmatrix}
\delta_{ij} \mathcal{J}_i
\end{bmatrix},
\]
(34)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{G}^s
\end{bmatrix}_{[n\times 7n]} = \sum_{i,j} \begin{bmatrix}
-2 c_i, c_i, c_j, -b_i, b_i
\end{bmatrix} + \sum_{i,j} \begin{bmatrix}
2 c_i, c_i, c_j, -b_i, b_i
\end{bmatrix}
\]
(35)

where \( \mathcal{J}_i \) is presented in Eq. (30). Note that \( \phi_i \) is the Wachspress shape function at the \( i \)th vertex of polygonal element \( \mathcal{Q}^r \) and

\[
\begin{cases}
b_i = y_j - y_i, c_i = x_j - x_i \\
\hat{i} = 1,2,\ldots,n-2, n-1, n; \quad \hat{j} = 2,3,\ldots,n-1, n; \\
\hat{k} = 3,4,\ldots,n,1, 2; \quad \hat{m} = n,1,\ldots,n-2, n-1.
\end{cases}
\]
(36)

3.3.3 A novel approximation of assumed bending strains

In this study, the assumed bending strains of the arbitrary polygonal element using serendipity shape functions\( \psi \) is firstly presented based on the work of Soh et al. [62], which only were applied for triangular and quadrilateral elements. Accordingly, these strains can be expressed in the following compact form

\[
\hat{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}^s = \hat{\mathbf{B}}^s \mathbf{d}^r = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{B}^n, \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{h1}, \mathbf{B}^{h2}
\end{bmatrix} \{ \mathbf{d} \}^r,
\]
(37)

where \( \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{h1} = \mathbf{B}^{h1} + \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{h1} \). The matrices \( \mathbf{B}^n, \mathbf{B}^{h1} \) and \( \mathbf{B}^{h2} \) can be found in Eq. (17). Meanwhile,

\[
\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{h1} = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{H}_1^b, \mathbf{G}_1^b
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{H}_2^b, \mathbf{G}_2^b
\end{bmatrix}
\]
with the matrices \( \mathbf{H}_1^b, \mathbf{H}_2^b, \mathbf{G}_1^b \) and \( \mathbf{G}_2^b \) are defined as, respectively

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{H}_1^b
\end{bmatrix}_{[3\times n]} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x}, 0, \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial y}
\end{bmatrix}^T, \quad \begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{H}_2^b
\end{bmatrix}_{[3\times n]} = \begin{bmatrix}
0, \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial x}
\end{bmatrix}^T,
\]
(38)
in which \( \bar{j} = n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2n-1, 2n \). And

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{g}^1_i &= \frac{3c_i (1 - 2\delta_i)}{2l_i^2}; \\
\bar{g}^2_i &= \frac{b_i^2 - 0.5c_i^2 (1 - 6\delta_i)}{2l_i^2}; \\
\bar{g}^3_i &= \frac{3b_i c_i (1 - 2\delta_i)}{4l_i^2}, \\
\bar{g}^{i*}_i &= \frac{-3b_i (1 - 2\delta_i)}{2l_i^2}; \\
\bar{g}^j_i &= \frac{3b_i c_i (1 - 2\delta_i)}{4l_i^2}; \\
\bar{g}^k_i &= \frac{c_i^2 - 0.5b_i^2 (1 - 6\delta_i)}{2l_i^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

where \( l_i = \|x_j - x_k\| \) denotes the length of the \( i \)-th edge of polygonal element and \( i, j, k \) is presented in Eq. (36). It is noted that although the quadratic serendipity shape functions, which is added mid-nodes on the edges are employed in this study, the number of DOFs per polygonal element does not increase.

### 3.4 Nonlinear analysis

In this study, the Newton-Rapshon procedure \([64, 74]\) is utilized to solve the nonlinear equation in Eq. (19). These iterations, in which the solutions in the current step are derived from the solutions of previous step, are repeated until the solution converges. For the nonlinear transient analysis of FG plates, which the equations of dynamic are dependent on both unknown displacements and time domain, a combination the Newmark’s integration scheme \([65]\) and Picard methods is adopted in this study. Following this flow chart, at the initial time \( t = 0 \), values of displacement \( \mathbf{d} \), velocity \( \dot{\mathbf{d}} \) and acceleration \( \ddot{\mathbf{d}} \) are prescribed to be zeros. When the displacement at time \( t = (i + 1)\Delta t \) is obtained, the velocities and accelerations, which is first and second derivatives of displacement at this step can be defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\mathbf{d}}_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{\beta (\Delta t)^2} \left( \mathbf{d}_{i+1} - \mathbf{d}_i \right) - \frac{1}{\beta \Delta t} \mathbf{d}_i - \left( \frac{1}{2\beta} - 1 \right) \dot{\mathbf{d}}_i, \\
\ddot{\mathbf{d}}_{i+1} &= \ddot{\mathbf{d}}_i + \Delta t (1-\gamma) \dot{\mathbf{d}}_i + \gamma \Delta t \ddot{\mathbf{d}}_i, \\
\end{align*}
\]
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where the values of $\gamma = 0.5$ and $\beta = 0.25$ following the average acceleration scheme [67]. Now, substituting Eq. (42) into Eq. (27), the following equation can be rewritten as follows

$$\mathbf{K}_{i+1} \mathbf{d}_{i+1} = \mathbf{F}_{i+1},$$

(43)

where

$$\mathbf{K}_{i+1} = \mathbf{K}_{i+1} + \frac{1}{\beta (\Delta t)^2} \mathbf{M},$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{i+1} = \mathbf{F}_{i+1} + \mathbf{M} \left[ \frac{1}{\beta (\Delta t)^2} \mathbf{d}_i + \frac{1}{\beta \Delta t} \dot{d}_i + \left( \frac{1}{2 \beta} - 1 \right) \ddot{d}_j \right].$$

(44)

It should be noted that in Eq. (43), all parameters are known from the previous solutions, i.e. $t = i \Delta t$, except the nonlinearly stiffness matrix $\mathbf{K}_{i+1}$, which depends on the displacements $\mathbf{d}_{i+1}$. Therefore, the Picard method is employed to re-approximate Eq. (43), which can be written as follows

$$\mathbf{K} \left( \mathbf{d}^{/}_{i+1} \right) \mathbf{d}^{/}_{i+1} = \mathbf{F}_{i+1},$$

(45)

in which $j$ is the number of iterations. Eq. (45) is iteratively solved until the error of the displacement is satisfied.

4. Numerical examples

In this section, various numerical examples are investigated to demonstrate the accuracy and stability of the proposed approach and the obtained results are compared to other published ones in the literature. Unless mentioned otherwise, the material properties of FG plates which are employed in all examples are estimated following the rule of mixtures. Numerical examples have been carried out for perfect FG plates including both static and dynamic problems before extending for porous FG plate with various porosity distributions and porosity volume fractions.
4.1 Convergence and verification studies

Firstly, to evaluate the validity and the convergence of the proposed approach, the model of an isotropic square plate under uniform load $q = 1$ with fully simply supported (SSSS) boundary condition will be investigated. The plate has length $a$ and the thickness $h$. The elastic material properties are: Young’s modulus $E = 1,092,000$ and Poisson’s ratio $\nu = 0.3$. Owing to the symmetric properties, only the lower-left corner of square plate is considered. The square plate domain is then discretized using $n$-node polygonal element with four meshes level as depicted Fig. 7. The normalized of deflection and moment at the central of the square plate are defined $\bar{w} = 100Dw / qa^4$ and $\bar{M} = 100DM / qa^2$, respectively with $D = Eh^3 / [12(1-\nu^2)]$. Fig. 8 shows the convergence of the normalized central deflection and moment of SSSS boundary conditions with ratio $a / h = 1000$. The present results are then compared with those of the well-known MITC4 element and those reported in [46] including polygonal Reissner–Mindlin plate element based on Wachspress shape functions (PRMn-W) and piecewise-linear shape functions (PRMn-PL), respectively. It can be seen that the obtained results converge well for both deflection and moment which the analytical solutions are found in [68]. General speaking, the proposed formulation based on the quadratic serendipity shape functions shows more accurate results in comparison with the others.
Fig. 7. Polygonal meshes of a square plate.

Fig. 8. The convergence of a SSSS plate with ratio $a/h = 1000$.

(a) central deflection; (b) central moment.
Next, in order to investigate the effect of mesh distortion on the numerical results, distorted $n$-node polygonal meshes as shown in Fig. 9 with distorted factor $s \in [0,1]$ [69] are investigated. The results the central deflection versus with the distorted factors for a thin plate with ratio $a/h = 1000$ are illustrated in Fig. 10. It is observed that the proposed element always gives accurate and stable results when the meshes are highly distorted. Furthermore, the computational efficiency of the proposed formulation is compared with the others including PRMn-W and PRMn-PL which are reported by Nguyen-Xuan [46]. Fig. 11 illustrates the CPU time for setting up the global stiffness matrix and solving system equation of a SSSS FG square plate versus DOFs. The results are compiled by a personal computer with Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-7200U, CPU – 2.5 GHz and RAM - 8 GB.

Fig. 9. Distorted polygonal meshes of square plate with distorted factors $s = 0; 0.2; 0.4; 0.6; 0.8; 1.0$
Fig. 10. The effects of distorted mesh on the central deflection of a SSSS plate.

Fig. 11. CPU times for setting up the global stiffness matrix and solving system equations of a SSSS FG plate.

Finally, the robustness of the proposed method is further demonstrated for complicated domain geometries in Fig. 12a. The complicated plate domain which has thickness $h = 0.5$ and under uniform load $p = 1$ is discretized into $n$-node polygonal elements as shown in Fig. 12b. The deflection and moment of central point in $x$-direction against the increase in levels of meshes and DOFs for thin plate is illustrated in Fig. 13, respectively. A reference solution of this example can be found in [46], which was obtained based on a fine mesh using four-node MITC4 elements. As can be seen that the proposed formulation using the serendipity shape
functions performs far better than PRMn-W and PRMn-PL elements, respectively, which is reported in [46]. The above numerical results verify the accuracy and reliability of the proposed formulations.

Fig. 12. Model and polygonal mesh of a plate with complicated shape.
Fig. 13. The convergence of a plate with complicated shape
(a) central deflection and (b) central moment.

4.2 Static analysis of FG plates

4.2.1 Linear analysis

The static bending analysis of perfect FG plates will be investigated in this section. Table 1 shows the properties of the FG materials which will be used throughout the examples. A SSSS FG square plate which is made of alumina and aluminum (Al/Al₂O₃) is considered to
study the effects of ratio $a/h$ and material index $n$ on the numerical results. The plate is subjected to a distributed sinusoidal load which is defined as $q = q_0 \sin \left( \pi x / a \right) \sin \left( \pi y / a \right)$, as depicted in Fig. 14. The normalized deflection and axial stress at the central of the plate are defined by $\tilde{w} = 10wE_t h^3 / \left( q_0 a^4 \right)$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{xx} = \bar{\sigma} h / \left( q_0 a^3 \right)$, respectively. The results which are generated from the proposed approach are presented in Table 2 comparing with the Carrera’s unified formulation by Carrera et al. [70], ES-MITC3 by Nguyen et al. [71], HSDT model is reported by Neves et al. [72]. As can be seen, the results which obtained from the proposed approach agree well with other reference values for all ratios $a/h$ and material index $n$. In addition, Fig. 15 depicts the distribution of normalized axial and shear stresses through the thickness of perfect FG square plate under sinusoidal load with $a/h = 4$ and various material index $n$.

![Fig. 14. A square FG plate subjected to sinusoidal load.](image)

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>ZrO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E$ (GPa)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$ (kg/m³)</td>
<td>2707</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2
Comparison of normalized central deflections $\bar{w}$ and axial stress $\bar{\sigma}_{xx}$ of SSSS $\text{Al/Al}_2\text{O}_3$ square plates under sinusoidal load.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Theory</th>
<th>$a/h = 4$</th>
<th></th>
<th>$a/h = 10$</th>
<th></th>
<th>$a/h = 100$</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\bar{w}$</td>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_{xx}$</td>
<td>$\bar{w}$</td>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_{xx}$</td>
<td>$\bar{w}$</td>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_{xx}$</td>
<td>$\bar{w}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Carrera et al. [70]</td>
<td>0.7289</td>
<td>0.7856</td>
<td>0.5890</td>
<td>2.0068</td>
<td>0.5625</td>
<td>20.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES-MITC3 [71]</td>
<td>0.7271</td>
<td>0.5787</td>
<td>0.5874</td>
<td>1.4825</td>
<td>0.5609</td>
<td>14.894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSDT [72]</td>
<td>0.7308</td>
<td>0.5806</td>
<td>0.5913</td>
<td>1.4874</td>
<td>0.5648</td>
<td>14.944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>0.7279</td>
<td>0.5811</td>
<td>0.5882</td>
<td>1.4896</td>
<td>0.5622</td>
<td>14.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Carrera et al. [70]</td>
<td>1.1673</td>
<td>0.5986</td>
<td>0.8828</td>
<td>1.5874</td>
<td>0.8286</td>
<td>16.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES-MITC3 [71]</td>
<td>1.1593</td>
<td>0.4390</td>
<td>0.8795</td>
<td>1.1719</td>
<td>0.8263</td>
<td>11.862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSDT [72]</td>
<td>1.1553</td>
<td>0.4338</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>1.1592</td>
<td>0.8241</td>
<td>11.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>1.1588</td>
<td>0.4391</td>
<td>0.8791</td>
<td>1.1757</td>
<td>0.8280</td>
<td>11.939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Carrera et al. [70]</td>
<td>1.3925</td>
<td>0.4345</td>
<td>1.0090</td>
<td>1.1807</td>
<td>0.9361</td>
<td>11.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ES-MITC3 [71]</td>
<td>1.3896</td>
<td>0.3220</td>
<td>1.0061</td>
<td>0.8730</td>
<td>0.9332</td>
<td>8.8566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSDT [72]</td>
<td>1.376</td>
<td>0.3112</td>
<td>0.9952</td>
<td>0.8468</td>
<td>0.9228</td>
<td>8.6011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>1.3882</td>
<td>0.3218</td>
<td>1.0051</td>
<td>0.87581</td>
<td>0.9354</td>
<td>8.9198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 15. The stresses through thickness of SSSS $\text{Al/Al}_2\text{O}_3$ FG plate under sinusoidal load with $a/h = 4$
(a) axial stress $\bar{\sigma}_{xx}$; (b) shear stress $\bar{\tau}_{yx}$.
Next, the bending behavior of SSSS porous FG (Al/Al₂O₃) plates with different porosity distributions and porosity volume fractions under sinusoidal load are considered. Table 3 depicts the normalized deflection at the central of the porous FG plates various porosity distribution, porosity volume fraction, material index and thickness ratio \( a/h \). In addition, Fig. 16 examines the effects of porosity volume fraction and material index on the deflection of porous FG plates whose thickness ratio is \( a/h = 10 \) with two porosity distributions, respectively. It is found that increasing the porosity volume fraction leads to larger deflections of FG plate since the higher density of internal pores decreases the plate stiffness. For both even and uneven distributions, by increasing the material index \( n \), the bending stiffness of porous FG plate reduces which leads to higher deflection of porous FG plates. Moreover, the porous FG plate with even distribution have higher deflection than the other distribution with the same porosity volume fraction and thickness ratio \( a/h \), especially with high values of \( \alpha \). This is to be expected since the even distribution provides the lowest effective stiffness of plate.

Table 3
The normalized central deflections \( \bar{\delta} \) of porous FG (Al/Al₂O₃) square plates under sinusoidal load.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( a/h )</th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( n = 0 )</th>
<th>( n = 0.1 )</th>
<th>( n = 0.5 )</th>
<th>( n = 1 )</th>
<th>( n = 0 )</th>
<th>( n = 0.1 )</th>
<th>( n = 0.5 )</th>
<th>( n = 1 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3784</td>
<td>0.4161</td>
<td>0.5651</td>
<td>0.7279</td>
<td>0.3784</td>
<td>0.4161</td>
<td>0.5651</td>
<td>0.7279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4292</td>
<td>0.4788</td>
<td>0.6969</td>
<td>0.9929</td>
<td>0.3950</td>
<td>0.4361</td>
<td>0.6040</td>
<td>0.8011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4958</td>
<td>0.5637</td>
<td>0.9186</td>
<td>1.6831</td>
<td>0.4137</td>
<td>0.4587</td>
<td>0.6505</td>
<td>0.8968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2956</td>
<td>0.3274</td>
<td>0.4531</td>
<td>0.5882</td>
<td>0.2956</td>
<td>0.3274</td>
<td>0.4531</td>
<td>0.5882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3353</td>
<td>0.3711</td>
<td>0.5634</td>
<td>0.8182</td>
<td>0.3054</td>
<td>0.3395</td>
<td>0.4793</td>
<td>0.6409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3874</td>
<td>0.4448</td>
<td>0.7532</td>
<td>1.4502</td>
<td>0.3160</td>
<td>0.3527</td>
<td>0.5096</td>
<td>0.7086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2802</td>
<td>0.3109</td>
<td>0.4323</td>
<td>0.5622</td>
<td>0.2802</td>
<td>0.3109</td>
<td>0.4323</td>
<td>0.5622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3179</td>
<td>0.3582</td>
<td>0.5385</td>
<td>0.7858</td>
<td>0.2888</td>
<td>0.3216</td>
<td>0.4562</td>
<td>0.6113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3672</td>
<td>0.4226</td>
<td>0.7224</td>
<td>1.4069</td>
<td>0.2979</td>
<td>0.3330</td>
<td>0.4835</td>
<td>0.6739</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 16. Effects of (a) porosity volume fraction and (b) material index on the normalized central deflections of porous FG plate \((a/h=10)\) under sinusoidal load.

4.2.2 Geometrically nonlinear analysis

Firstly, a fully clamped (CCCC) isotropic square plate which is subjected to uniform loading is considered in this section. The aspect ratio \(a/h=100\) is considered. The material properties are given as follows: Young’s modulus \(E=3\times10^7\) psi and Poisson’s ratio \(\nu=0.316\). For the efficient presentation of the results, the normalized central deflection \(\bar{w}\), load parameter \(P\) and normal stress in the nonlinear analysis can be defined as

\[
\bar{w} = \frac{w}{h}, \quad P = \frac{q_0 a^4}{E_m h^3}, \quad \sigma = \frac{\sigma a^2}{E_m h^2}.
\]  

(46)

Table 4 describes the normalized central deflection and normal stress of square isotropic plate for both linear and geometrically nonlinear cases. These results are then compared with those of other researchers including Levy’s analytical solution [73], Nguyen et al. based on a refined quasi-3D IGA based on modified couple stress theory [74], Kant and Kommineni’s \(C^0\)-FEM based on a higher-order theory [75], Urrthaler and Reddy’s mixed FEM based on FSDT [76]. As can be observed, the obtained results agree well with the analytical solutions and other reference results for both normalized deflection and normal stress. For further illustration, Fig. 17 performs the normalized deflections and normal stress at the central of plate for both linear and geometrically nonlinear cases. As expected, the deflection of geometrically nonlinear analysis becomes much smaller than that of linear analysis.
Table 4

Comparison of normalized central deflection $\bar{w}(a/2,a/2,0)$ and normal stress $\bar{\sigma}_z(a/2,a/2,h/2)$ of CCCC isotropic square plate under uniform load with $a/h = 100$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Nonlinear</th>
<th>Analytical</th>
<th>IGA-RPT</th>
<th>$C^0$-FEM</th>
<th>MXFEM</th>
<th>Linear</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>MXFEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>[73]</td>
<td>[74]</td>
<td>[75]</td>
<td>[76]</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>MXFEM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.79</td>
<td>$\bar{w}$</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.2365</td>
<td>0.2328</td>
<td>0.2441</td>
<td>0.2465</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_x$</td>
<td>2.5788</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.5602</td>
<td>2.6733</td>
<td>2.414</td>
<td>2.4849</td>
<td>2.387</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>$\bar{w}$</td>
<td>0.4704</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.4692</td>
<td>0.4725</td>
<td>0.4738</td>
<td>0.5255</td>
<td>0.5307</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_x$</td>
<td>5.3568</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.3256</td>
<td>5.5733</td>
<td>5.022</td>
<td>5.3498</td>
<td>5.138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>$\bar{w}$</td>
<td>0.6927</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>0.6908</td>
<td>0.6948</td>
<td>0.6965</td>
<td>0.8699</td>
<td>0.8785</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_x$</td>
<td>8.1326</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.0973</td>
<td>8.4867</td>
<td>7.649</td>
<td>8.8558</td>
<td>8.510</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>$\bar{w}$</td>
<td>0.9049</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>0.9024</td>
<td>0.9065</td>
<td>0.9087</td>
<td>1.3034</td>
<td>1.3163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_x$</td>
<td>10.855</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>10.8248</td>
<td>11.3500</td>
<td>10.254</td>
<td>13.27</td>
<td>12.745</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134.9</td>
<td>$\bar{w}$</td>
<td>1.1093</td>
<td>1.121</td>
<td>1.1060</td>
<td>1.1100</td>
<td>1.1130</td>
<td>1.8509</td>
<td>1.8692</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_x$</td>
<td>13.54</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>13.5187</td>
<td>14.1700</td>
<td>12.850</td>
<td>18.843</td>
<td>18.099</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184.0</td>
<td>$\bar{w}$</td>
<td>1.3049</td>
<td>1.323</td>
<td>1.3008</td>
<td>1.3046</td>
<td>1.3080</td>
<td>2.5245</td>
<td>2.5495</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_x$</td>
<td>16.185</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>16.1771</td>
<td>16.9367</td>
<td>15.420</td>
<td>25.701</td>
<td>24.686</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245.0</td>
<td>$\bar{w}$</td>
<td>1.4978</td>
<td>1.521</td>
<td>1.4926</td>
<td>1.4963</td>
<td>1.5010</td>
<td>3.3614</td>
<td>3.3947</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_x$</td>
<td>18.897</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>18.9019</td>
<td>19.7633</td>
<td>18.060</td>
<td>34.222</td>
<td>32.869</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318.0</td>
<td>$\bar{w}$</td>
<td>1.6846</td>
<td>1.714</td>
<td>1.6784</td>
<td>1.6820</td>
<td>1.6880</td>
<td>4.363</td>
<td>4.4062</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_x$</td>
<td>21.657</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>21.6744</td>
<td>22.6367</td>
<td>20.741</td>
<td>44.418</td>
<td>42.664</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402.0</td>
<td>$\bar{w}$</td>
<td>1.8625</td>
<td>1.902</td>
<td>1.8552</td>
<td>1.8590</td>
<td>1.8660</td>
<td>5.5155</td>
<td>5.5702</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}_x$</td>
<td>24.435</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>24.4624</td>
<td>25.5367</td>
<td>23.423</td>
<td>56.152</td>
<td>53.933</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Next, the proposed method is further studied for simply supported Al/ZnO$_2$ square plates subjected to uniformly distributed load. The obtained results for various values of the material index $n$ are compared to those of Nguyen et al. using isogeometric approach [74] and Praveen and Reddy [77] using FEM based on FSDT. It can be observed that a good agreement between the results obtained from the present approach and other reference solutions is obtained. The comparison of the nonlinear central deflection of simply supported square plates with different values of the material index $n$ is depicted in Fig. 18.
Fig. 17. Comparisons of nonlinear: (a) normalized central deflection and (b) normalized normal stress of fully clamped isotropic square plates with other published works.
This section investigates the effects of the porosity volume fraction and the porosity distribution on the nonlinear normalized central deflection of porous FG (Al/ZnO$_2$) plates. Fig. 19 shows the nonlinear normalized central deflection of porous FG with the thickness ratio $a/h = 100$ and material index $n = 0.1$. It is clear that the porous FG plates have higher the deflection than a perfect FG plate. As evidently demonstrated in Fig. 19, at the same porosity volume fraction, the deflection of FG plates with even porosity distribution is much larger due to the reduction in the bending of the plate. Generally, the even porosity distribution significantly affects the deflection of porous FG plate when the porosity volume fraction reach to high value, $\alpha = 0.6$ in this study. Conversely, the uneven porosity distribution is less sensitive to the porosity volume fraction since porosities vanish at the top and bottom surface of plate.
4.3 Transient analysis of FG plate

In order to prove the reliability and the convergence of the present approach for transient problems, numerous numerical examples have been investigated to deal with the transient analysis of FG plates in this section. In all examples, the transverse load is sinusoidally distributed in short time $t_i = 3$ milliseconds which is defined as follows:

$$q = q_0 \sin(\pi x / a) \sin(\pi y / a) F_0(t),$$

where $q_0 = 15$ MPa and values of force $F_0(t)$ are presented in Fig. 20 depends on loading types such as step, triangular, sinusoidal and explosive blast, respectively.

$$F_0(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 & 0 \leq t \leq t_i, \\
0 & t > t_i, \\
1 - t / t_i & 0 \leq t \leq t_i, \\
0 & t > t_i, \\
\sin(\pi t / t_i) & 0 \leq t \leq t_i, \\
0 & t > t_i, \\
e^{-\gamma t}, & \text{Explosive blast load}
\end{cases}$$

(47)

where $\gamma = 330$ s$^{-1}$. The chosen time step $\Delta t$ is $5 \times 10^{-5}$ s in this study.
4.3.1 Linear dynamic analysis

The linear dynamic response analysis of the SSSS Al/Al₂O₃ square plate with $n = 1$, which is subjected to a distributed sinusoidal load is considered in this section. The geometry of the square FG plate employed in this study is 0.2 m in length and 0.01 m in thickness. Its transient response according to the normalized central deflection $\bar{w}$ is depicted in Fig. 21. It is observed that the results obtained from the present approach agree well with those of IGA reported in [78].
4.3.2 Geometrically nonlinear dynamic analysis

This section aims to verify the reliability of the proposed approach for the geometrically nonlinear transient analysis. An orthotropic SSSS square plate under a uniform step load with $q_0 = 1\text{MPa}$ is conducted. The material properties and the geometry of plate are considered as follows: Young’s modulus $E_1 = 525\text{GPa}; E_2 = 21\text{GPa}$, shear modulus $G_{12} = G_{23} = G_{13} = 10.5\text{GPa}$, Poisson’s ratio $\nu = 0.25$, mass density $\rho = 800\text{ kg/m}^3$, length of the plate $L = 250\text{ mm}$ and thickness $h = 5\text{ mm}$. Fig. 22 presents response of the plate to the loading. As expected, the obtained results are in an excellent agreement with the obtained from the finite strip method [79].

![Normalized nonlinear transient central deflection of a square orthotropic plate under uniform load.](image)

Next, the normalized geometrically nonlinear transient response of deflection of the Al/Al$_2$O$_3$ plates without porosities which has length $L = 0.2$ and the thickness $h = 0.01$ are investigated. Fig. 23 illustrates time history of the normalized central displacement of perfect FG plate with $n = 1$ which is subjected to the step, triangular, sinusoidal and explosive blast load, respectively. As seen, the geometrically nonlinear transient responses to all types of loading give nearly same period of normalized central deflection, except for sinusoidal loading. Furthermore, the plates still deflect and vibrate harmonically after removing the applied load. The transient responses of linear and nonlinear of perfect FG plate with $n = 2$ under triangular are also depicted in Fig. 24.
Fig. 23. Normalized nonlinear transient central deflection of perfect FG plate with $n = 1$ under various loading types.

Fig. 24. Normalized linear and nonlinear transient deflection of perfect FG plate under triangular load with $n = 2$.

The influences of the material index $n$ on the geometrically nonlinear transient of normalized central deflection of a perfect FG plate with step load are described in Fig. 25. As can be seen, the increase of the material index $n$ leads to the reduction of stiffness of plate due to more metal phrase in the plate volume. Consequently, the plates will vibrate with higher magnitude and period of the deflection.
Fig. 25. Normalized nonlinear transient deflection of perfect FG plate under step load with various values of the material index.

In the last example, forced vibration responses of porous FG plate which is composed of Al and Al$_2$O$_3$ under explosive blast load with $n=1$ are investigated. The time histories of the normalized central displacement of porous FG plate with varying porosity volume fraction and distribution are displayed in Fig. 26. As previously discussed, an increase in the porosity volume fraction causes larger dynamic deflections. Moreover, this effect is more pronounced for porous FG plates with even porosity distribution.

Fig. 26. Nonlinear transient deflection of porous FG plate under explosive blast load with $n=1$. 
5. Conclusions

In this study, an efficient and novel unified PFEM formulation associated with quadratic serendipity shape functions and $C^0$-type HSDT model has been proposed to investigate static and dynamic problems of FG plates with porosities. The quadratic serendipity shape functions associated in vertices and mid-side nodes of the polygonal element were used to interpolate the bending strains while shear strains were calculated according to Wachspress coordinates at vertices of the polygonal elements. On the other hand, by using $C^0$-HSDT theory, the numerical results are more accurate and describe exactly the distribution of shear stress of FG plates without employing shear correction factors. Through the proposed formulations and numerical examples, several main conclusions are pointed out as follows:

- A novel unified PFEM associated with quadratic serendipity shape functions and $C^0$-type HSDT model for static and transient analyses which include both linear and geometrically nonlinear cases of FG plates with porosities was introduced.
- The effects of two porosity distributions and various porosity volume fractions on the deflection of porous FG plates are presented.
- Shear locking issue can be suppressed based on the Timoshenko’s beam theory.
- With the same DOFs per polygonal element, the results which are generated from the proposed approach are stable and more accurate than other PFEMs which were based on the Wachspress and piecewise–linear basis functions.
- Finally, the proposed method could be extended to shell structures for future research work.
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