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Abstract
To investigate past changes in the Mediterranean Overflow Water (MOW) to the Atlantic, we analyzed the strength of the MOW and benthic $\delta^{13}$C along the last 250 kyr at Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) Site U1389 in the Gulf of Cadiz, near the Strait of Gibraltar. Both the strength of the MOW and the benthic $\delta^{13}$C were mainly driven by precession-controlled fluctuations in the Mediterranean hydrologic budget. Reduced/enhanced Nile discharge and lower/higher Mediterranean annual rainfall at precession maxima/minima resulted in higher/lower MOW strengths at Gibraltar and stronger/weaker Mediterranean overturning circulation. At millennial scale, the higher heat and freshwater loss to the atmosphere during Greenland stadials increased buoyancy loss in the eastern Mediterranean. This enhanced the density gradient with Atlantic water, resulting in a higher MOW velocity in the Gulf of Cadiz. Unlike non-Heinrich stadials, a lower-amplitude increase in velocity was seen during Heinrich stadials (HSs), and a significant drop in velocity was recorded in the middle phase. This weak MOW was especially recognized in Termination I and II during HS1 and HS11. These lower velocities at the depth of Site U1389 were triggered by MOW deepening due to the lower densities of Atlantic intermediate water caused by freshwater released from the Laurentide and Eurasian ice sheets. The intrusion of salt and heat at deeper depths in the Atlantic during HSs and its shoaling at the end could have contributed to drive the changes in the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation during Terminations.

Plain Language Summary
The annual freshwater input to the Mediterranean from rivers and rainfall is lower than the water evaporated to the atmosphere each year. This annual water deficit generates an important outflow of salty water to the Atlantic. The Mediterranean Outflow circulates to the western Atlantic between 500 and 1,500 m, and the salt exported from the Mediterranean promotes the circulation of warm and salty water to the Norwegian sea. This heat circuit maintains relatively warm water in these latitudes. However, over the last 250 kyr, due to the heavy rainfall in tropical Africa, the Mediterranean water deficit decreased on various occasions. This reduced the export of salt to the Atlantic, causing changes in the Atlantic circulation. When the huge ice sheets that grew in Europe and North America started to vanish at the end of the last and penultimate glaciations, the meltwater released to the ocean decreased the salinity of the Norwegian, Greenland, and Labrador Seas. As a result, the density of intermediate waters reaching the Iberian Peninsula also decreased because they sink in these Nordic seas. The mixing of high-density Mediterranean water with low-density Atlantic intermediate water forced a deepening of the Mediterranean water in the Atlantic.

1. Introduction
The Mediterranean can be regarded as a thermodynamic machine driven by the combined action of a freshwater pump and a heat pump. Changes in the mode of operation of these two pumps determine Mediterranean thermohaline circulation through time and water exchange with the ocean (Bethoux &
Gentili, 1999; Bethoux et al., 1999; Millot et al., 2006; Skliris et al., 2007). Today, the MOW at Gibraltar is pumping water, heat, and salt to the Atlantic interior (Figures 1 and 2). It spreads between 500 and 1,500 m and can eventually reach the high latitudes of the North Atlantic (Bashmachnikov et al., 2015; Bryden & Stommel, 1984; Iorga & Lozier, 1999; Mauritzen et al., 2001; Ozgokmen et al., 2001; van Aken, 2000a). Any change in the physicochemical properties of this water mass in response to Mediterranean pumping of heat and salt may have an important impact on the vertical density gradient.

**Figure 1.** Location of the main cores mentioned in this study and hydrographic profiles of the NE Atlantic and Mediterranean. (a) Salinity profile of the northeastern Atlantic, the Strait of Gibraltar, and the westernmost Mediterranean, showing the main water masses in the Alboran Sea, the descending branch of the MOW, and the tongue of MOW in the Atlantic (World Ocean Database, WOD2018, Boyer et al., 2018). (b) Salinity profile showing the zonal Mediterranean overturning circulation formed by the eastward Atlantic inflow formed by the modified Atlantic water (MAW) and the westward Levantine intermediate water (LIW) (data from MedAtlas 2002-Database (Fichaut et al., 2003). (c) Map location of IODP Site U1389 in the Gulf of Cadiz as well as other sites referred to in this study. Legend for water masses (in alphabetic order): ENACW = east North Atlantic central water; LIW = Levantine intermediate water; LSW = Labrador Sea Water, MAW = modified Atlantic water, MOW = Mediterranean Overflow Water; NEADW = northeast Atlantic deep water, and WMDW = western Mediterranean deep water. The software Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, R., Ocean Data View, odv.awi.de, 2018) was used to generate the Atlantic and Mediterranean profiles.
of the Atlantic and consequently on Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) and heat transport to the high latitudes (Bethoux et al., 1999; Ivanovic et al., 2014; Kida et al., 2008; Ozgokmen et al., 2001; Rahmstorf, 1998; Reid, 1979; Rogerson et al., 2006; Swingedouw et al., 2019). On the other hand, changes in the AMOC and the physical properties of the Atlantic intermediate and deep water masses could also affect the MOW settling depth in the Atlantic.

Past changes in the export of salt and heat to the global ocean were mainly driven by variations in water exchange at Gibraltar that were, in turn, controlled by the geometry of the strait and the density difference between the inflowing and outflowing waters (Bethoux, 1979; Bryden et al., 1994). Modeling studies have explored the response of the MOW to several oceanographic parameters such as sea level or changes in the net evaporative flux of the Mediterranean as well as changes in the vertical density gradient of Atlantic water masses (Rogerson, Rohling, et al., 2012).

Because of the net loss of water in the Mediterranean (evaporation exceeding precipitation plus runoff) and the cold winds reaching the northern margins of the basin the density of Mediterranean water at Gibraltar is much higher than that of the Atlantic surface water, resulting in a Mediterranean Outflow at depth and an

Figure 2. (a) Surface circulation in the North Atlantic, showing the Azores Current (AzC) and the North Atlantic Current (NAC) (image from video Perpetual Ocean, NASA Goddard). (b) February temperature at 150 m water depth offshore the Iberian Peninsula and the Gulf of Cadiz (World Ocean Database, WOD2018, Boyer et al., 2018) (The data set includes February temperature measurements from 1873 to 2017). We chose average February temperatures because they illustrate the heat transport from the AzC. The software Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, R., Ocean Data View, odv.awi.de, 2018) was used to generate this figure. Arrows indicate the Portugal Current and the AzC.
Atlantic inflow at surface (Bethoux & Gentili, 1999; Bryden et al., 1994). A series of studies have investigated the evolution of the MOW by analyzing changes in the physical or geochemical properties of the sediments in various sites along the Gulf of Cadiz contourite depositional system (Bahr et al., 2015; Bryden & Kinder, 1991; Bryden & Stommel, 1982; Kaboth et al., 2016; Kaboth, de Boer, et al., 2017; Kaboth, Grunert, et al., 2017; Kaboth-Bahr et al., 2018; Lebreiro et al., 2015, 2018; Llave et al., 2006; Singh et al., 2015; Stow et al., 2013; Toucanne et al., 2007; Voelker et al., 2006, 2015). Most of them point to the straight relationship between the intensity of MOW and Northern Hemisphere climate variability. The longer records suggest a strong correlation with orbital changes in African monsoons (Bahr et al., 2015; Kaboth et al., 2017; Kaboth-Bahr et al., 2018; Llofi et al., 2016; Voelker et al., 2015). However, there are still some features of the MOW variability, that remain poorly understood, especially those associated to MOW behavior during Heinrich stadials (HSs) and the interaction between orbital and millennial-scale variability because most of the works already published about the millennial response of the MOW are focused on the last 60 kyr, where the influence of precession is small. In addition, most studies were more focused on the variability of MOW strength, but changes in its chemical properties are less known.

In this study, we analyze and discuss the evolution of the chemical and physical properties of the MOW and the main mechanisms that have governed its evolution along the last 250 kyr through a detailed analysis of Site U1389 drilled during Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) expedition 339 in the Gulf of Cadiz. We compare the precession-driven changes in MOW strength triggered by Mediterranean freshwater forcing, with the millennial-scale response of the MOW during Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 5 and explore the impact of freshwater release from the European and Laurentide ice sheets on the settling depth of the MOW in the Atlantic.

We will address first the orbital-scale MOW variability along the last 250 kyr to continue with a more detailed analysis of millennial-scale variability during HS1 and the Holocene, MIS 3 to 5 and Termination II.

2. MOW and NE Atlantic Circulation Today

Mediterranean overturning circulation is driven by dense water formation in the northern margins of the Mediterranean and is regulated by heat and freshwater atmospheric forcing due to heat and freshwater exchange with the atmosphere during winter (Jorda, Sanchez-Roman, et al., 2017; Jorda, Von Schuckmann, et al., 2017; Pinardi & Masetti, 2000). The Gulf of Lion-Ligurian seas are the areas of western Mediterranean deep water (WMDW) formation (Millot, 1999; Schott et al., 1996; Schroeder et al., 2008), while surface water from the Adriatic, Aegean and Levantine seas sink to form the eastern Mediterranean deep and intermediate water (Kubin et al., 2019; Lascaratos et al., 1993; Roether & Schlitzer, 1991; Roether et al., 1996; Tsimpis & Bryden, 2000).

The inflow-outflow currents at Gibraltar are mainly linked to the zonal overturning circulation formed by the Atlantic water moving eastward at the surface and the Levantine intermediate water (LIW) moving westward between 150 and 500 m depth, being the main component of the outflow at Gibraltar with a small contribution of the WMDW (Millot, 1999, 2013; Pinardi et al., 2019) (Figure 1).

Dense water formation, is highly dependent on salt preconditioning that is governed by the Mediterranean freshwater budget. Winters with persistent cool and strong northerlies will cause significant heat loss. As a result, a large volume of new, dense water is introduced in the Mediterranean interior, contributing to its ventilation and to increase the MOW density at Gibraltar (Bethoux & Gentili, 1999; Bethoux & Pierre, 1999; Criado-Aldeanueva et al., 2012; Jorda, Sanchez-Roman, et al., 2017; Josey, 2003; Millot, 1999). However, rivers from the northern Mediterranean catchment areas, such as the Rhone in the Gulf of Lion, the Po in the Adriatic or the Black Sea and the Nile in the Levantine basin will deliver freshwater to the sea basins, lowering sea surface salinity in the source areas of deep or intermediate water formation. These freshwater discharges tend to reduce the rates of dense water formation with final implications in the velocity of the MOW at Gibraltar (Bethoux & Gentili, 1999; Bethoux & Pierre, 1999; Criado-Aldeanueva et al., 2012; Josey, 2003; Skliris et al., 2007).

The MOW at the exit of the Strait of Gibraltar is a salty, high-density current formed by a mixture of LIW and WMDW with an average salinity and temperature of 38.4 psu and 13°C, respectively (Figure 1) (Bryden & Stommel, 1982; Garcia-Lafuente et al., 2017; Gasser et al., 2017; Hernández-Molina et al., 2014;
After crossing the Camarinal Sill in the Strait of Gibraltar, at 290 m water depth, this dense MOW cascades down into the Gulf of Cadiz, as an overflow (Legg et al., 2009), accelerating because of its density anomaly and mixes and entrains the overlying fresher eastern North Atlantic central water (ENACW). Because of this mixing, the MOW reduces its salinity and temperature to transform into the MOW that settled within the Atlantic between 500 and 1,500 m (Figure 1) (Ambar & Howe, 1979; Baringer & Price, 1997; Bashmachnikov et al., 2015; Sanchez-Leal et al., 2017; van Aken, 2001). Most of this mixing and entrainment occurs within the first 100 km along the MOW path after the Strait of Gibraltar and as a result the MOW volume transport increases from 0.8 Sv at the strait to more than 2.7 Sv when the MOW reaches its equilibrium depth in the Atlantic (Baringer & Price, 1997; Legg et al., 2009; Sanchez-Leal et al., 2017). During its descent the MOW splits in two cores, the upper one that mixes with the warmer upper waters of the ENACW settle in the Atlantic at a few hundred meters (300–800 m) because of its higher temperatures. In contrast, the lower core settles in the Atlantic at a deeper depth (1,100 to 1,500 m) as it reaches a higher density because of the mixing with and entrainment of a deeper and colder section of the ENACW (Baringer & Price, 1997; Gasser et al., 2017; Hernández-Molina et al., 2014; Sanchez-Leal et al., 2017). This lower core has a slightly different salinity than the upper core but a much colder temperature. The speed of the MOW gradually decreases from up to 300 cm s$^{-1}$ at the Strait of Gibraltar to around 15–20 cm s$^{-1}$ in Cape Saint Vincent (Ambar & Howe, 1979; Gasser et al., 2017; Price et al., 1993; Sanchez-Leal et al., 2017). As a result, sediments at the seafloor were profoundly affected by these bottom currents to form a huge contourite depositional system along the southern and west Iberian Margin (Faugeres et al., 1984; Hernández-Molina et al., 2003, 2014, 2016; Llave et al., 2007; Nelson et al., 1993; Stow et al., 1986).

Surface circulation along the southwest Iberian Margin is mainly driven by eastward advection of subtropical salty water of the Azores Current (AzC) and the southward advection of the less salty and cooler water of the Portugal Current. The convergence of these water masses forms the subtropical front offshore southern Portugal (Peliz et al., 2005) (Figure 2). The Atlantic inflow into the Mediterranean is mainly composed of waters fed from AzC (Peliz et al., 2009; Soto-Navarro et al., 2012).

The MOW mixes in the Atlantic with different water masses (Bashmachnikov et al., 2015; Carracedo et al., 2015, 2016; Roque et al., 2019) (Figure 1). The ENACW that flows between 400 and 700 m over the MOW is composed by the upper ENACW formed in the subtropical region near the Azores front, and the deep ENACW, also referred to as the polar ENACW, formed by winter cooling in the bay of Biscay (Bashmachnikov et al., 2015; Carracedo et al., 2015; Roque et al., 2019; van Aken, 2001) (Figure 1a). The upper ENACW and deep ENACW are the subsurface components of the AzC and the Portugal Current, respectively. More to the south, the MOW mixes with Antarctic intermediate water (AAIW), which flows northward along the Moroccan margin toward the Gulf of Cadiz between 600 and 1,000 m deep (Bashmachnikov et al., 2015; Carracedo et al., 2015; Roque et al., 2019; van Aken, 2000; Voelker, Colman, et al., 2015).

Underlying the MOW, the Labrador Sea Water (LSW) and the northeast Atlantic deep water (NEADW) (Figure 1a), which are formed by water masses originated from the Labrador, Iceland, and Scotland seas in the subpolar region, move deeper than 1,500 m. The density of LSW and NEADW is very similar to that of the MOW (Bashmachnikov et al., 2015; Carracedo et al., 2015).

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Site U1389

IODP Site U1389 is located in the Gulf of Cadiz (36°25.515′N; 7°16.683′W) at a water depth of 644 m and a distance of 100 km from the Strait of Gibraltar (Figure 1c). It was drilled in the Huelva sheeted drift developed along the middle slope under the influence of the main descending branch of the MOW. Bottom water salinities around 37 psu indicate the presence of MOW still not fully mixed with the ENACW (Sanchez-Leal et al., 2017). In consequence, past physicochemical properties at this site would reflect better the original properties of the MOW than the more distal sites. Because Site U1389 was drilled in the middle of the Huelva sheeted drift, which is surrounded by contourite channels, the source of sediment is mainly pelagic or transported/reworked by the MOW (de Castro et al., 2020). We sampled the upper 130 m along the splice with a resolution of 10 cm. A succession of mud and sandy silty contourite beds were observed in this
interval (Stow et al., 2013). Approximately 20 cc of sediment were freeze dried overnight, weighed, disaggregated in tap water and poured into a 62 μm sieve to remove the fine sediment and this residue was dried and dry sieved again using a 150 μm sieve.

3.2. Fine Sand Percentage and Coarse Sand/Total Sand Ratio
To calculate the fine sand content, we used the weight percent of the 63–150 μm fraction relative to the dry bulk sediment. We also estimated the coarse sand/total sand ratio which is the weight% of the fraction >150 μm/(weight% of the fraction 62–150 μm + weight% of the fraction >150 μm). The fraction >150 μm is mainly dominated by planktonic foraminifers. Note that the sand fraction includes biogenic and detrital sand. We also calculated the concentration of coccoliths per gram in the sediment following the method of Flores and Sierro (1997).

3.3. Ice-Rafted Debris
Detrital particles are abundant in the fraction 62–150 μm, however, with the exception of some micas they are absent in the sand fraction. To explore the potential presence of ice-rafted debris (IRD), we counted the lithic grains larger than 250 μm for the interval corresponding to HS1.

3.4. Stable Isotopes
Approximately 30 specimens of Globigerina bulloides from the 250 to 300 μm size fraction and 2–10 individuals of Cibicidoides pachyderma larger than 250 μm were picked to measure oxygen and carbon isotope ratios. Foraminifer tests were soaked in 15% H₂O₂ to remove organic matter, and cleaned sonically in methanol to remove fine-grained particles. Samples of Cibicidoides from 0 to 29.38 mcd were measured in the Godwin Laboratory for Paleoclimate Research at the University of Cambridge. The rest of the samples were measured with a Thermo Finnigan MAT 253 mass spectrometer connected to a Kiel IV carbonation preparation device. The analytical precision of the NBS-19 international standard and three laboratory internal standards was better than ±0.05‰ for δ¹³C and ±0.08‰ for δ¹⁸O. At the Christian-Albrecht University, large foraminiferal samples with more than six individuals were crushed to homogenize the samples before analysis of a representative subsample was undertaken. Results are reported relative to the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite standard.

To estimate the δ¹⁸O of surface seawater at Site U1389, we first calculate the seasurface temperature using the neural networks method based on the planktonic foraminifer assemblages. Then the G. bulloides δ¹⁸O was corrected for the sea surface temperature (SST) effect.

4. Results and Discussion
4.1. Age Model
For the last 120 kyr, the chronology for IODP Site U1389 was established through synchronization of the G. bulloides δ¹⁸O record to Greenland δ¹⁸O ice core record using the Greenland GICC05 chronology (Rasmussen et al., 2014). For older ages we synchronized the G. bulloides δ¹⁸O record to Greenland synthetic record using the absolute chronology of Asian speleothem records based on uranium series datings (Barker et al., 2011) (Figure 3). For some specific events, such as onset and end of HSs at the end of MIS 6 and MIS 8, which are clearly defined in the Asian speleothem records and are not well expressed in the Greenland synthetic record, we used the chronology of Cheng et al. (2016). Our G. bulloides δ¹⁸O record was also correlated with that of MD01-2444/2443 (Hodell et al., 2013; Martrat et al., 2007) in the Portuguese margin that was also synchronized with the Greenland synthetic record (Barker et al., 2011). The depth-age points used to build the chronology of Site U1389 is shown in Table 1.

4.2. Bottom Current Strength at Site U1389
The Gulf of Cadiz has been a natural laboratory for the study of contourite sediments (Faugeres et al., 1984; Gonthier et al., 1984; Llave et al., 2001; Stow et al., 1986, 2002). Grain size in contourite deposits depends on the size of the particles supplied by rivers or from downslope transport, the size of settling biogenic particles and the velocity of bottom currents (Faugeres et al., 1984; Mulder et al., 2013; Stow et al., 1986). At Site U1389 the vigor of bottom currents is so high that grain size is mainly controlled by changes in velocity through time (de Castro et al., 2020; Stow et al., 1986). Besides the mean grain size of the detrital fraction
10–62 μm, also known as the sortable silt fraction (McCave et al., 1995), other proxies such as median grain size or the percentage of sand (fraction higher than 62 μm, including carbonate or carbonate-free particles) have been used to track past changes in bottom water velocities in the Gulf of Cadiz (Brackenridge et al., 2018; Lebreiro et al., 2018; Llave et al., 2006; Mulder et al., 2013; Toucanne et al., 2007; Voelker et al., 2006). In this study we used the percentage of fine sand (fraction 62–150 μm) (including detrital and biogenic particles) as a proxy for the strength of the MOW at Site U1389 along the last 250 kyr (Figure 4). The higher content of fine sand is due to the action of bottom currents combined with the activity of macrobenthic organisms that continuously bioturbate and resuspend the sediments, resulting in the winnowing of fine-grained particles, mainly detrital clay and silts as well as coccoliths and other fine-grained biogenic material (Brackenridge et al., 2018; Sierro et al., 1999; Stow et al., 1986). This process is also favored by the long time the particles spend in the mixed layer before burial because of the low sedimentation rates in some of the sandy contourite beds (Sierro et al., 1999). As a result, sand particles are concentrated in the sediments. We excluded the coarse sand (fraction >150 μm) because it is mainly dominated by biogenic carbonate shells with almost no detrital grains. The main component of the coarse-sand fraction are planktonic foraminifers, with a small proportion of fragments of gastropods, bivalves, echinoderm spines and ostracods. In consequence, changes in the percentage of this size fraction may be affected by biogenic productivity besides lateral transport. By contrast, fine sand is dominated by detrital particles that may reach more than 90% of the fine sand fraction in the more sandy intervals, although biogenic carbonate tests are also abundant. The content of sand particles in the sediment also depends on sediment input from the continent and downslope transport, but the impact of the bottom currents is so intense that changes in continental input are minimized. In consequence, we assumed that the percentage of fine sand can be used as a proxy for the velocity of the MOW.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (mcd)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Radiocarbon age (yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1930 ± 30 BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>(AMS 14C)</td>
<td>5480 ± 40 BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>(AMS 14C)</td>
<td>6070 ± 40 BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>(AMS 14C)</td>
<td>9980 ± 50 BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>(AMS 14C)</td>
<td>6260 ± 50 BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>13.86</td>
<td>(AMS 14C)</td>
<td>12950 ± 40 BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>14.3345</td>
<td>(AMS 14C)</td>
<td>13330 ± 40 BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>16.37</td>
<td>(AMS 14C)</td>
<td>14030 ± 60 BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.12</td>
<td>23.02</td>
<td>GI2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.62</td>
<td>23.34</td>
<td>Bottom GI2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.17</td>
<td>27.73</td>
<td>Bottom GI3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.98</td>
<td>28.85</td>
<td>Bottom GI4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.98</td>
<td>32.45</td>
<td>Bottom GI5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.35</td>
<td>33.31</td>
<td>Top GI6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.79</td>
<td>33.69</td>
<td>Bottom GI6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>35.43</td>
<td>Bottom GI7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.91</td>
<td>38.17</td>
<td>Bottom GI8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>40.16</td>
<td>Bottom GI9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.57</td>
<td>41.41</td>
<td>Bottom GI10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.92</td>
<td>43.29</td>
<td>Bottom GI11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.63</td>
<td>46.81</td>
<td>Bottom GI12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.53</td>
<td>48.29</td>
<td>Top GI13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.44</td>
<td>49.23</td>
<td>Bottom GI13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.46</td>
<td>54.17</td>
<td>Bottom GI14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.12</td>
<td>55.75</td>
<td>Bottom GI15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.32</td>
<td>58.23</td>
<td>Bottom GI16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.34</td>
<td>59.44</td>
<td>Bottom GI17.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.85</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>Bottom GI18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.32</td>
<td>70.23</td>
<td>Top GI19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.92</td>
<td>72.34</td>
<td>Bottom GI19.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.32</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>Top GI20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.25</td>
<td>76.44</td>
<td>Bottom GI20.c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.56</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>Top GI21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.27</td>
<td>84.76</td>
<td>Bottom GI21.1e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.28</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>Top GI22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>90.04</td>
<td>Bottom GI22.g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.35</td>
<td>104.04</td>
<td>Bottom GI23.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.61</td>
<td>105.4</td>
<td>Top GI24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.84</td>
<td>108.28</td>
<td>Bottom GI24.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.44</td>
<td>110.8</td>
<td>GI25a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.26</td>
<td>115.37</td>
<td>Bottom GI25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.41</td>
<td>129.43</td>
<td>Top HS11 (age Cheng et al., 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68.77</td>
<td>135.60</td>
<td>Bottom HS11 (age Cheng et al., 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.89</td>
<td>146.62</td>
<td>Warming in MIS 6b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>148.55</td>
<td>Warming in MIS 6b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76.03</td>
<td>150.26</td>
<td>Warming in MIS 6b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.59</td>
<td>160.08</td>
<td>Warming in MIS 6d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84.62</td>
<td>163.91</td>
<td>Warming in MIS 6d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.92</td>
<td>169.31</td>
<td>Warming in MIS 6d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>172.70</td>
<td>Warming in MIS 6d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92.26</td>
<td>176.21</td>
<td>Warming in MIS 6d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93.06</td>
<td>179.90</td>
<td>Warming bottom MIS 6d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.61</td>
<td>189.87</td>
<td>Warming in MIS 6e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.77</td>
<td>192.57</td>
<td>Warming in MIS 6e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103.31</td>
<td>198.88</td>
<td>Bottom warming MIS 7a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109.65</td>
<td>216.5</td>
<td>Bottom MIS 7c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112.26</td>
<td>226.75</td>
<td>Warming event in MIS 7d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115.33</td>
<td>234.18</td>
<td>Abrupt cooling in MIS 7d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In addition, we also estimated the ratio of coarse sand/total sand (Figure 5). This ratio changed from 0 (no coarse sand >150 μm) to 1 (all sand particles are larger than 150 μm). It reached the highest values at times of the slowest MOW because the accumulation of pelagic shells (concentrated in the coarse sand) dominates over deposition of fine sand particles transported by bottom currents. In contrast, intense MOW will result in the accumulation of fine sand transported by bottom currents in detriment of pelagic foraminifers (Figure 5a).

Both the record of fine sand and the coarse sand/total sand ratio at Site U1389 (Figures 4b and 5a) show high amplitude changes that are correlated with the interbedding of sandy contourite layers and mud beds. The fine sand content at Site U1389 today is relatively high due to the high speed of the MOW flowing over this site, which is in the order 0.1–0.5 m s\(^{-1}\) (Sanchez-Leal et al., 2017). The overall good correlation between the record of fine sand content and the Zr/Al record published by (Bahr et al., 2015; Kaboth-Bahr et al., 2018) (Figure 4c) support that the fine sand percentage used in this study is a good proxy for the strength of the MOW at this site. The high abundance of detrital particles, including heavy minerals, in the fine sand fraction (Bahr et al., 2015) explains this correlation and supports the existence of a high-velocity bottom current capable of transporting fine sand detrital particles along the slope of the Gulf of Cadiz. Removal of fine-grained particles by winnowing due to this high-speed current was behind the generation of these sandy-rich contourite beds as reported by various authors (Gonthier et al., 1984; Stow et al., 1986).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (mcd)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Radiocarbon age (yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>119.75</td>
<td>242.67</td>
<td>Bottom MIS 7e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>263.83</td>
<td>Top Heinrich (age Cheng et al., 2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Change in strength of the MOW along the last 250 kyr at IODP Site U1389. (a) IODP Site U1389 *Globigerina bulloides* δ\(^{18}\)O record. (b) Percent fine sand (fraction 62–150 μm). (c) Zr/Al record (Bahr et al., 2015; Kaboth-Bahr et al., 2018). Codes at the bottom show MIS stages and substages after Railsback et al. (2015). Gray vertical bars show the intervals with very weak MOW (minimum percent of fine sand).
5. MOW Strength, Mediterranean Overturning, and Sapropels Over the Last 250 kyr

Although MOW velocity at a single site can be affected by changes in its settling depth (Rogerson, Rohling, et al., 2012), the proximity of Site U1389 to the Strait of Gibraltar, its location near the main descending core of the MOW and its salinity of 37 psu (Sanchez-Leal et al., 2017) indicate that bottom water at this site today is a relatively pure MOW, still not well mixed with the colder and less saline ENACW. All this minimizes the impact of the MOW settling behavior after its exit at the Camarinal Sill. Comparing MOW strength records of the more distal sites U1386 and U1387 with those of Site U1389, Bahr et al. (2015) concluded that the velocity patterns in the last site mainly reflect variations in MOW strength in the Strait of Gibraltar. In addition, changes in strength observed in this study are very similar to those recorded in the deeper core MD99-2339 (Voelker et al., 2006) (1,170 m depth), supporting again that changes in MOW velocity at these different depths were mainly driven by MOW behavior in the Strait of Gibraltar. This does not exclude that some of the changes in MOW strength recorded at Site U1389 have been weakly affected by deepening or shallowing of the MOW as discussed below.

The percentage of fine sand in the sediments changed from near 0% to 60% along the last 250 kyr (Figure 4b). Periods of strong bottom water velocity alternate with times of quiet MOW. The similarity between the MOW strength patterns seen at Site U1389 for the last 140 kyr and changes in LIW velocity in the Corsica margin (Toucanne et al., 2012) confirm that the MOW velocity at Site U1389 is mainly controlled by changes in the Mediterranean heat and freshwater budgets. In particular, we identified 10 beds (some of them are double beds) with almost no fine sand (Figure 5f). These beds are linked to times of very weak MOW in the Gulf of Cadiz and are characterized by sand contents lower than 2% and a distinct high
coarse sand/total sand ratio (Figure 5a) due to the high percentages of planktic foraminifers, mostly reflecting a hemipelagic settling. These prominent minima in fine sand content are related to minima in the planktic $\delta^{18}O$ record during MIS 1, 5a, 5c, 5e, MIS 6c, d, and MIS 7a, 7c, 7e (Figures 4 and 5).

While the velocity of the MOW is recorded by changes in the percentage of fine sand, its chemical properties can be characterized by the benthic $\delta^{13}C$ at Site U1389, which mainly reflects the Mediterranean $\delta^{13}C$ signature partially modified during mixing with Atlantic water at Gibraltar. The Mediterranean $\delta^{13}C$ signature is, in turn, the result of the $\delta^{13}C$ of surface waters in the source of intermediate water formation and Mediterranean overturning circulation, which tends to reduce the $\delta^{13}C$ of the MOW dissolved inorganic carbon as Mediterranean overturning weakens.

The overall good correlation between the benthic $\delta^{13}C$ at Site U1389 and the percentage of fine sand or coarse sand/total sand (Figure 5) confirms that MOW strength variability mainly reflects past changes of Mediterranean overturning circulation. Times with higher fine sand contents in the sediment usually show high benthic $\delta^{13}C$ values, whereas periods with low fine sand concentrations display lower benthic $\delta^{13}C$.

As seen in Figure 5, the $\delta^{13}C$ of the MOW follows Earth’s precession, showing depleted $^{13}C$ during precession minima (Northern Hemisphere summer insolation maxima) and high $\delta^{13}C$ at precession maxima (Northern Hemisphere summer insolation minima). The small offsets between the lowest benthic $\delta^{13}C$ and insolation maxima, especially during MIS 6, are due to the different age scales used at Site U1389 and ODP Site 968. We used the Greenland chronology and sapropels of Site 968 were tuned to insolation (Ziegler et al., 2010). Therefore we can conclude that benthic $\delta^{13}C$ at site u1389 is recording the precession-driven changes of Mediterranean overturning circulation with poor ventilation at times of precession minima and higher rates of intermediate water ventilation at precession maxima.

In particular the 10 beds that display the lowest fine sand contents at times of precession minima are characterized by pronounced drops of the benthic $\delta^{13}C$ record (Figure 5e). This points to a strong connection between the events of MOW collapse at Gibraltar, reduced Mediterranean ventilation and higher rates of carbon remineralization at times of precession minima. In consequence, we conclude that the periods with very low benthic $\delta^{13}C$ and collapse of the MOW at Gibraltar registered the times of sapropel deposition in the eastern Mediterranean as reported by (Bahr et al., 2015; Kaboth et al., 2017). The close coherence of the fine sand content at site u1389 and the Ba/Al ratio in sediments from the deep eastern Mediterranean, which records higher biogenic barite contents, confirms the strong coupling between weak MOW at Gibraltar and Mediterranean sapropels (Ziegler et al., 2010) (Figures 5e and 5f).

Northward latitudinal migrations of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) and intense monsoons in Africa and Asia have been related to large summer Nile discharges (Castañeda et al., 2016; Revel et al., 2010) and sapropel formation (Rohling & Hilgen, 1991; Rohling et al., 2015; Rossignol-Strick et al., 1982). Events of enhanced Nile discharge were also synchronous to periods of increase annual rainfall in the northern and southern Mediterranean catchment areas (Bar-Matthews et al., 2000; Toucanne, Minto'o, et al., 2015; Tzedakis, 2005; Tzedakis et al., 2002, 2009; Wagner et al., 2019; Zanchetta et al., 2007), showing the strong coupling between the low and midlatitude hydrological cycle (Bosmans et al., 2015, 2020; Kutzbach et al., 2014; Marzocchi et al., 2019). Our data thus confirms the strong link between precession minima, intense Asian and African monsoons, sapropels and weak MOW at Gibraltar. This finding is significant, because it further links low-latITUDE changes in precipitation to high latitude seawater density.

Nonetheless, rainfall in the tropics occurred in summer, while Mediterranean precipitation probably took place in winter and fall based on pollen records from the Balkans (Tzedakis, 2005; Tzedakis et al., 2009). Regardless of its seasonal origin, this freshwater forcing reduced sea surface salinity and density and prevented intermediate and deep water formation. The low rates of LIW production reduced the density anomaly and the intensity of the MOW at Gibraltar and therefore the strength of the MOW at Site U1389. The higher winter SST due to lower heat loss in the eastern Mediterranean during milder winters could also further reduce the vertical density gradient, contributing to increase water stratification and reduce the annual rates of LIW formation. This lower Mediterranean heat loss is expected because northern Europe was less covered by snow at times of precession minima, especially at times of reduced ice sheet extension and therefore winter air temperature in the Gulf of Lion, the Adriatic and Aegean seas. Pollen records from Southern Europe and Anatolia show that large expansions of the temperate forest are closely associated to
insolation (Litt et al., 2014; Magri & Tzedakis, 2000; Tzedakis et al., 2006, 2009). In consequence, at times of precession minima both the freshwater and heat pumps were working together to decrease the densification of Mediterranean waters.

The sensitivity of MOW strength at site u1389 to changes of Mediterranean freshwater forcing is so high that the time of maximum insolation at around 155 kyr that did not leave any sapropel in the eastern Mediterranean is well expressed in our site by a very weak MOW. However, the weak MOW recorded at this time is also linked to an important meltwater event in the Fennoscandian ice sheets (Boswell et al., 2019; Toucanne, Minto'o, et al., 2015) resulting in IRD deposition (Barker et al., 2015) and a change of the hydrography of deep and intermediate water circulation in the North Atlantic. See sections 7.1 and 8 for a discussion about MOW deepening during HSs.

One period of low MOW flow, during MIS 3 (Figure 5), is related to Sapropel S2, which is rarely expressed in the eastern Mediterranean. This period does not correspond to a peak in Ba/Al in the eastern Mediterranean, although it does date to the time of an important decrease of benthic δ13C in core MD04-2722 related with reduced eastern Mediterranean deep water ventilation (Cornuault et al., 2016). However, the pronounced drop in fine sand and an increase in the coarse sand/total sand record (Figure 5) indicates that Mediterranean density was profoundly reduced at this time in a manner comparable to “true” sapropels. This event is also recorded as one of the most wet phases of north African climate in Libyan speleothems (Hoffmann et al., 2016). This period stands out within the record, as the phasing with respect to precession is different to other periods of low MOW flow. The onset of this low flow period is delayed by about 10 kyr compared to other events. Hoffmann et al. (2016) also found this wet period did not relate to precession but rather to obliquity. This obliquity-forced decline in Mediterranean density seems to be unique within the last 250 kyr (Figure 5). It is further suggestive that this period had different forcing to precession-related peaks in humidity because the two precession peaks within MIS 3 do not have minima in MOW flow (Figure 5). These precession-forced periods in MIS 3 also have rainfall moisture with a significant component from within the Mediterranean according to speleothem fluid inclusion analysis, unlike the obliquity-forced phase (Rogerson et al., 2019).

6. MOW Strength During Termination I and the Holocene

Over the last 20 kyr, three distinct sandy contourite beds were recognized at Site U1389 (Figures 6 and 7). They have been widely recognized throughout the Gulf of Cadiz as sandy contourite Layers I, II, and III (Stow et al., 1986). These sandy contourites (SC) were deposited during the Late Holocene (SCIII), Younger Dryas (SC II) and latest part of HS1 (SC I) (Figure 6). The minimum concentration of Coccoliths/g in these layers confirms the impact of bottom waters at those times through winnowing of fine-grained particles. However, the muddy interval between SC III and SCII characterized by low percentages of fine sand and an anomalously high coarse sand/total sand ratio (Figure 5a) indicates that sediment accumulation during this period was mainly driven by settling of pelagic particles, such as planktic foraminifera and coccoliths (Figures 5a and 6) and low proportions of detrital particles. All this indicates there was no impact of bottom current activity during the early Holocene (Figure 6). This prominent MOW velocity minimum during the early Holocene, which is also seen at deeper water depths (Voelker et al., 2006), is a widespread feature that has already been recognized as the “Holocene contourite gap” (Stow et al., 1986), and to date appears to be common to every core recovered from this margin (Llave et al., 2006; Rogerson, Rohling, et al., 2012; Sierro et al., 1999; Stow et al., 1986; Voelker et al., 2006). The absence of a MOW impact on the sediments at this time is consistent with Mediterranean freshening related to the “African humid period” (deMenocal et al., 2000; Lézine et al., 2011; Shanahan et al., 2015) during the last Northern Hemisphere summer insolation maximum, and the relationship between enhanced runoff from northern Africa and absence of the MOW has previously been modeled by (Rogerson, Rohling, et al., 2012).

7. Impact of Surface and Intermediate Water Circulation on the Settling Depth of the MOW During HS1

During the last deglaciation, cooling of the North Atlantic during HS1 (18–14.9 kyr) was the result of southward shifts of the polar front in response to a weakened AMOC (Barker et al., 2015; Bond & Lotti, 1995; Broecker, 1994; Broecker et al., 1992; Hodell et al., 2017; Peck et al., 2006, 2007) triggered by meltwater
discharge during events of recession of the European and North American ice sheets (Roberts et al., 2008; Toucanne, Minto'o, et al., 2015; Toucanne, Soulet, et al., 2015; Toucanne et al., 2010; Zaragosi et al., 2001, 2006).

During HS1 two stages have been distinguished (Broecker & Putnam, 2012), the first stage (between 18 and 16.2 kyr) seems to be related to weakened AMOC triggered by meltwater derived from Eurasian and/or Laurentide ice sheets (Clark et al., 2001; Grousset et al., 2000, 2001; Hodell et al., 2017; McManus et al., 2004; Peck et al., 2007; Plaza-Morlote et al., 2017; Toucanne et al., 2010; Toucanne, Minto'o, et al., 2015) while the more extreme cooling during the second stage (16.2 to 14.7 kyr) was triggered by additional freshwater derived from large surges of icebergs originated in the Laurentide ice sheet (Broecker et al., 1992; Hodell & Curtis, 2008; Hodell et al., 2017; Plaza-Morlote et al., 2017). This second freshwater perturbation led to a more profound collapse of deep water formation in the North Atlantic and resulted in the formation of Heinrich Event 1 (H1) with deposition of IRD all over the North Atlantic. More recently a detailed study of H1 revealed that it is indeed formed by two distinct Heinrich layers deposited during the second stage of HS1, H1.1 (≈16.2 kyr) and H1.2 (≈15.1 kyr) (Hodell et al., 2017). H1.1 was larger and reached the latitude of the Portuguese margin and the Strait of Gibraltar (Bard et al., 2000; de Abreu et al., 2003; Martins et al., 2013; Plaza-Morlote et al., 2017; Schonfeld et al., 2003; Zahn et al., 1997). There is a lag of around 1,500 yr between the onset of the first freshwater perturbation that triggered the onset of HS1 at around.
18 kyr and the Laurentide iceberg surges of H1 (Barker et al., 2015; Hodell et al., 2017; Ng et al., 2018; Toucanne, Soulet, et al., 2015). The first freshwater release during the early stage of HS1 was rapidly mixed in the ocean and the freshwater anomaly was transferred to middle and deep water depths in the Atlantic, resulting in a decrease in the benthic δ18O preluding the onset of H1 (Dokken & Jansen, 1999; Waelbroeck et al., 2011). However, freshening during H1, which further contributed to shut down Atlantic thermohaline circulation (McManus et al., 2004), remained at the surface for a longer time, spreading all over the Atlantic to reach the Mediterranean (Sierro et al., 2005).

To recognize the sequence of events during HS1 at Site U1389, we analyzed the SST and the number of lithic grains/g during this time period (Figures 6a, 7a, and 7e). We identified a single peak of lithic grains that we assumed were IRD during HS1. This peak was used to place Heinrich layer 1 (HL1) at Site U1389 (Figures 6a and 7a). We relate this event, which coincides with a sharp decrease of G. bulloides δ18O and a decrease in fine sand, with H1.1 in the North Atlantic, though the age of 16.6 kyr is slightly older, but still within the age uncertainty for the radiometric dating of H1.1 at Site U1308 (Hodell et al., 2017) (Figures 6 and 7). In consequence, we relate this H1.1 with the southward expansion of the polar front (Eynaud et al., 2009) and the influence of meltwater derived from the Laurentide ice sheets at the beginning of the second stage of HS1, allowing us to place the U1389 record into the more precise internal stratigraphy of HS1 proposed by (Hodell et al., 2017).

The most likely sources for the freshwater perturbation during the early stage of HS1 are the British Scandinavian and Barents ice sheets in Europe, which drained all northwestern Europe (Dokken & Jansen, 1999; Eynaud et al., 2007; Ménot et al., 2006; Stanford et al., 2011; Toucanne et al., 2010; Zaragosi et al., 2015). At the bottom, a picture of sections U1389B-1H-4, 5, and 6 is shown. The low velocity during early HS1 gradually increased toward the late HS1 with a brief notable decrease during H1.1, which is recorded by a muddy bed of around 30 cm in thickness immediately below SCI. A more detailed picture of this mud bed can be seen in Figure 8. (a) IRD per gram. (b) U1389 bulk density (Stow et al., 2013). (c) Percent fine sand (fraction 62-150 μm). (d) Seawater δ18O at Site U1389, and (e) SST at Site U1389. Note that the data under 8.85 mcd shown in this figure correspond to Hole A, while the section shown in the picture is from Hole B.
et al., 2001, 2006) although the American ice sheets could also contribute (Clark et al., 2001). Intensive meltwater discharge to the NE Atlantic was identified in the Bay of Biscay between 18.3 and 16.7 kyr, during the early stage of HS1 (Toucanne et al., 2009, 2010; Toucanne, Soulet, et al., 2015). This freshening event was recorded by a sharp decrease of more than 3‰ in the δ¹⁸O of surface waters in the Celtic margin, near the British ice sheet and was contemporaneous with a southward migration of the polar front recorded by a significant increase of the polar planktonic foraminifer species *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* sin (Eynaud et al., 2012). However, the largest freshening in the NE Atlantic was recorded during H1 associated to IRD coming from Laurentide ice sheet and once meltwater delivered from the Eurasian ice sheets had ceased (Eynaud et al., 2012; Zaragosí et al., 2001).

In order to see the impact of these two freshwater perturbations in the NE Atlantic we tracked them along the Iberian Margin to the Strait of Gibraltar and the Mediterranean. In the northern and central part of the Iberian margin cold and low saline waters were recorded throughout HS1 although the more negative sea-surface δ¹⁸O anomalies were found during H1 (Cayre et al., 1999; Eynaud et al., 2009; Voelker et al., 2009). At Site U1389 we distinguish three episodes during HS1, similarly to what has been reported for core MD99-2339 (Voelker et al., 2006, 2009): (1) decrease of surface seawater δ¹⁸O and drop of SST at around 18 kyr (Figures 7d and 7e), which correlate well with that observed in MD99-2339 (Voelker et al., 2009), and (2) arrival of warmer surface waters that preceded IRD deposition of H1.1, clearly visible in core MD99-2339 (Voelker et al., 2009) (Figures 6d and 6e) and less evident at Site U1389 (Figures 7e and 3) a second pulse of cool and very low surface seawater δ¹⁸O during H1.1 (Figures 6d, 6e, 7d, and 7e).

Besides the evident similarities with core MD99-2339 (Voelker et al., 2009), this sequence of events is also seen in the SST and planktic δ¹⁸O records at site MD99-2334 in offshore south Portugal and the western Mediterranean (Martrat et al., 2014; Skinner & Shackleton, 2006). We interpret this sequence of events as changes in the physicochemical properties of surface waters along the Iberian Margin and the Atlantic inflow due to the interaction between the Portugal current, which is colder and fresher, and the warmer and high saline waters of the Azores Front near the Strait of Gibraltar, confirming the scenario proposed by (Voelker et al., 2009). A higher influence of the Portuguese margin (lower influence of the AzC) occurred at the onset of HS1, resulting in lower seawater δ¹⁸O and lower temperatures at both sides of the Strait of Gibraltar (Martrat et al., 2004, 2014; Skinner & Shackleton, 2006; Voelker et al., 2009). The warming episode at the end of the early stage of HS1 was caused by the higher influence of the AzC, typically showing higher seawater δ¹⁸O and warmer SST. The imprint of this water mass in the Mediterranean is recorded by the higher δ¹⁸O in *G.bulloides* and the higher SST clearly visible in the middle of HS1 (Hodell et al., 2017; Martrat et al., 2014). The influence of the AzC, however, suddenly diminished when cooler and less saline water entered the Mediterranean again during H1.1, coinciding with IRD deposition of H1.1 at Site U1389 (Figures 6a and 7a) and along the Portuguese margin and the Gulf of Cadiz (Eynaud et al., 2009; Skinner & Shackleton, 2006; Voelker et al., 2009). At Site 976 a bed with low bulk δ¹⁸O, which probably reflects the delivery of fine-grained carbonate by icebergs from North America, is recorded at exactly this time (Hodell et al., 2017), coinciding with a pronounced drop in salinity as reflected in the minimum δ¹⁸O in the western Mediterranean planktic foraminifers (Sierro et al., 2005) (Figures 6g and 6h).

Various authors have shown that the formation of the AzC is caused by the Mediterranean overflow that sinks in the Gulf of Cadiz and generates a west-east zonal circulation cell with an eastward circulation (the AzC) that reach the Gulf of Cadiz and the Southern Iberian Margin and a westward deeper flow (the Azores countercurrent) that transport the saline MOW to the western Atlantic basin (Afanasyev et al., 2012; Carracedo et al., 2015; Comas-Rodriguez et al., 2011; Jia, 2000; Kida et al., 2008; Ozgokmen et al., 2001; Volkov & Fu, 2010). This circulation carries heat and salt to the western Iberian Margin and the Atlantic inflow through the AzC (Figure 2), which is the southernmost branch of the North Atlantic Current (NAC). This system was active during large parts of the glacial periods transporting heat to south Portugal and the Gulf of Cadiz as deduced from the high SST and the strong meridional SST gradients along the Iberian Margin (Martin-Garcia et al., 2015; Voelker & de Abreu, 2011; Voelker et al., 2009). However, during HS1, especially at the onset of HS1 and during H1.1 this system ceased to bring warm subtropical water to the Gulf of Cadiz, favoring the southward migration of the subtropical front and the advection of subpolar waters with the Portuguese current to the Gulf of Cadiz and the Mediterranean.
7.1. Deepening of the MOW During the Early Stage of HS1 and H1

Between 18 and 17.2 kyr, during the early stage of HS1 MOW strength at Site U1389 was lower than the average values of the last glacial maximum, and the velocity start to increase after 17 kyr parallel to the gradual weakening of Asian monsoons (Figures 6b and 7c). During the latest part of HS1, higher MOW velocities were reached at Site U1389, resulting in the formation of SCI (Figures 6b and 7). However, this gradual increase of MOW velocity was briefly interrupted by a short MOW weakening event during H1.1 (Figures 6b and 7c). These two MOW weakening events during the early stage of HS1 and H1.1 coincide with the two major freshening events in the Atlantic. In particular, the relationship between the intrusion of freshwater and weak MOW during H1.1, is clearly recorded by the decrease in grain size and bulk density (Stow et al., 2013) coinciding with a sharp drop of seawater $\delta^{18}O$ and SST at Site U1389 (Figures 6 and 7).

A thin bed of more muddy sediments rich in IRD and characterized by lower bulk densities and a lower fine sand content is clearly visible (Figures 6 and 7), recording this weak MOW during H1.1. A detailed picture of this layer is shown in Figure 8.

During the second stage of HS1, the expansion of sea ice in the North Atlantic triggered by H1.1 caused extreme cool and arid conditions in the eastern Mediterranean and Red sea (Arz et al., 2003; Castaneda et al., 2010, 2016) and led to the weakest monsoon event in east Africa, India and China (Cheng et al., 2016; Shakun et al., 2007). As a result, the Mediterranean heat loss should be maximum and the Nile discharge minimum. In consequence, eastern Mediterranean heat and freshwater forcing could explain the gradual increase in MOW strength during the late stage of HS1 triggered by the higher density contrast at Gibraltar, but not the MOW weakening during H1.1 and the early stage of HS1 (Figures 7b, 7c, and 8). If this change in strength arose from altered density of outflowing Mediterranean Water, in a manner similar to velocity minima during sapropels, this would require a large freshwater discharge into the Mediterranean during H1.1. In principle, this could have come from the Fennoscandian ice sheets via the Caspian-Black Sea corridor (Bahr et al., 2005; Denton et al., 1999; Major et al., 2002, 2006; Martinez-Lamas et al., 2020; Ryan et al., 2003; Soulet et al., 2013; Tudryn et al., 2016) or from the Alps (Braakhekke et al., 2020; Monegato et al., 2017; Ravazzi et al., 2014; Tombo et al., 2015). However, within radiocarbon dating uncertainties, the timing and pattern of freshwater discharge to the Mediterranean reported by these authors does not fit with the MOW weakening events observed in this study. Consequently, we look at oceanographic changes occurring in the Atlantic as the main drivers of the MOW strength fluctuations at Site U1389. In particular we investigated the impact of Atlantic middepth circulation on the settling depth and the strength of the MOW at Site U1389, following the behavior already described in core and model data sets by Rogerson, Bigg, et al. (2012). This behavior directly links the settling depth of MOW to changes in Atlantic density gradient, and through that to AMOC strength. Near Gibraltar, a pronounced decline of North Atlantic intermediate and deep water ventilation was recorded in the Portuguese margin during HS1 using $^{231}$Pa/$^{230}$Th (Gherardi et al., 2005). This reduced ventilation of Atlantic intermediate waters coincides exactly with the period of weak MOW at Site U1389. We therefore relate the two MOW weakening events observed at Site U1389 with deepenings of MOW settling depth triggered by freshwater perturbations in the North Atlantic and the resulting lower densities of middepth Atlantic water at the onset of HS1 and during H1.1. In contrast, we argue that the rising velocities starting at around 17.2 and especially after H1.1 were the result of a combination of MOW shoaling due to rising densities of middepth Atlantic waters as meltwater input decreased and cooling and drying of the eastern Mediterranean as the monsoon weakened. Similar interactions between surface and Atlantic intermediate water circulation were described in the Celtic margin (Mojtahid et al., 2017).
The MOW today spreads westward in the Atlantic below the ENACW and above the LSW and NEADW, all of them originated in the high latitudes of the north Atlantic (Figure 1) (see section 2 for references). The MOW plume flows between the northern sourced waters mentioned before and the modified AAIW that flow northward at the same depth. This scenario is the result of the competition between the Nordic overflows that sustain the high density of the intermediate and deep water, the Mediterranean overflow and the southern sourced AAIW (Bower et al., 2002; Racape et al., 2019). The relatively high density of the northern sourced waters today maintains the MOW, which is warm (>7°C) and salty, flowing over the LSW and NEADW at relatively shallow depths and block the flow of the AAIW to the north (Carracedo et al., 2016).

We interpret that the lower salinities of the Labrador, Greenland, and Norwegian seas due to the large freshwater inputs from the ice sheets, especially at the onset of HS1 and during H1.1, abruptly reduced the density of northern sourced waters, while the MOW was more dense than today (Toucanne et al., 2012) due to the negative water budget of the Mediterranean during HSs. All this forced the MOW to flow at deeper depths in the Atlantic. This decrease in density of the northern source Atlantic intermediate waters and the subsequent deepening of the MOW probably favored the northward advection of the AAIW.

The deeper flow of the MOW probably ended when the freshwater perturbations in the North Atlantic ceased and the density of the intermediate and deep water gradually recovered, pushing the MOW upward and the AAIW southward. The formation of SCI was the result of this MOW shoaling and the more extreme negative Mediterranean water budget during the last stage of HS1.

Abrupt changes of middepth circulation in the Atlantic during Heinrich events have been widely reported (Pahnke et al., 2008; Rickaby & Elderfield, 2005; Willamowski & Zahn, 2000; Yasuhara et al., 2019; Zahn & Stuber, 2002). These authors found abrupt increases in nutrient-rich waters and decrease in δ13C of benthic foraminifera along the northern Moroccan margin and the western Atlantic that linked to enhanced northward advection of the southern source high nutrient AAIW at times of reduced North Atlantic overturning, pointing to a competition between the glacial North Atlantic intermediate water and AAIW at intermediate water depths.

Evidence of a deeper settling of MOW in the Gulf of Cadiz during the early stage of HS1 was presented by (Rogerson et al., 2005). A large increase in fine sand content in core D13900 between 19 and 15.5 kyr records an intense MOW at around 1,300 m water depth. Similarly, Schonfeld and Zahn (2000) identified enhanced MOW activity along the Portuguese margin at 1,600–2,200 m water depth, shoaling to the current depths of 600–800 m deep near the deglaciation, probably coinciding with the gradual increase of MOW activity at Site U1389 at the end of HS1. Enhanced advection of fine-grained silty particles at 2,646 m water depth in the southern Portuguese margin was also associated to a deepening of the MOW during the first stage of HS1 (Magill et al., 2018).

During HS1 salinity must have been accumulating at intermediate depth, but shoaling of the MOW at the end of HS1 moved the flow of salt and heat upward and enhanced the northward salt transport through the NAC, preconditioning the Atlantic to return to strong overturning as suggested by (Rogerson et al., 2006). On the other hand, the injection of warm and salty water at deeper depths could have contributed to store heat in the deep North Atlantic and favored the final destabilization of the water column and abrupt overturning through the “thermobaric effect” at the base of the Bolling/Allerod as suggested by Adkins et al. (2005). The presence of warm and salty water below cold water in the deep North Atlantic during HS1 was reported by Thiagarajan et al. (2014). Although these authors assumed a Southern Ocean source for the warm and salty water in the deep North Atlantic due to its depleted radiocarbon content, a Mediterranean origin cannot be completely excluded.

8. Millennial-Scale Changes in MOW Strength and Mediterranean Overturning During MIS 3

Based on the record of fine sand, changes in MOW strength at millennial scale are evident at Site U1389. The comparison of the G. bulloides δ18O record with the content of fine sand shows a clear link between Dansgaard-Oestcher events and variations in fine sand content (Figures 9 and 10). All D/O interstadials from G12 to G123 typically show very low sand contents. By contrast, Greenland stadials display high sand contents, supporting notable increases in the velocity of the MOW at Site U1389 (Figures 9c and 10).
With the exception of the HSs, the patterns of Dansgaard-Oesterstadial-interstadial changes in MOW strength at Site U1389 are very similar to those recorded for the LIW in the Corsica margin in the western Mediterranean (Minto'o et al., 2015; Toucanne et al., 2012) (Figure 9f). This unambiguously revealed that MOW strength at Site U1389 was mainly driven by changes at Gibraltar that were, in turn, driven by variations in the rate of formation of the LIW in the eastern Mediterranean with little influence of Atlantic oceanographic conditions. The coupling between MOW strength at Site U1389 and the benthic δ¹³C (Figure 9e) support a strong link between Mediterranean overturning circulation and the strength of the MOW at Gibraltar, with low δ¹³C and weak overturning during Greenland interstadials and strong overturning and high δ¹³C during stadials (Figure 9).

We interpret that these changes in MOW strength were triggered by the varying density gradients between the Mediterranean and Atlantic water at the Strait of Gibraltar, which is higher during stadials and lower during interstadials. Intense MOW typically occurs at times of cooling events in the Northern Hemisphere as was already reported by other authors (Bahr et al., 2015; Llave et al., 2006; Toucanne et al., 2007; Voelker et al., 2006). Changes in the velocity of the MOW at Gibraltar as well as in the Corsica margin must be related with Mediterranean heat and freshwater forcings, especially in the eastern Mediterranean.

A clear correspondence between high velocities of the MOW and weaker monsoon events as recorded in China speleothem records (Cheng et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2001) can be seen in Figure 9, while intense monsoon events are unambiguously linked to weak MOW at Gibraltar, suggesting a close relationship between both events.

Figure 9. Millennial-scale changes in MOW strength and Mediterranean overturning at Site U1389 during MIS 3. (a) δ¹⁸O NGRIP2 (‰ V-SMOW) (North Greenland Ice Core Project members, 2004) with the GICC05 timescale (Rasmussen et al., 2014). (b) Globigerina bulloides δ¹⁸O from IODP Site U1389. (c) Percentage of fine sand (fraction 62–150 μm). (d) δ¹³C from Asian speleothems (Cheng et al., 2016) (red). (e) Cibicidoides pachyderma δ¹³C at Site U1389 (3 moving average). (f) Mean SS in the Corsica margin (MD01-2434, Toucanne et al., 2012). Gray bands show Greenland interstadials. Blue bands show HSs. G12 to G17 denote Greenland interstadials. GS3 to GS13 denote Greenland stadials. S2 denotes Sapropel 2.
During stadials, the lower rates of annual rainfall in the catchment areas of the northern Mediterranean (Sancez Goñi et al., 2002) and the expected lower Nile water discharge due to the southward shifts of the ITCZ in Africa (weaker monsoon events in Asia, Cheng et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2001), increased surface Mediterranean salinities. This more negative freshwater budget together with enhanced heat loss during winter in the areas of Mediterranean intermediate water formation triggered by a higher influence of northerly, cool winds led to higher rates of dense water formation in the Mediterranean and consequently to increasing the density gradient with Atlantic water at the strait. By contrast, during interstadials, the combination of freshwater and thermal forcings increased buoyancy and substantially reduced the formation of dense, intermediate water in the western and eastern Mediterranean (Cacho et al., 2000; Sierro et al., 2005), which finally reduced the Mediterranean density gradient at Gibraltar. In consequence, parallel changes in heat and freshwater forcing seem to have governed the velocity of the MOW at Gibraltar and Mediterranean thermohaline circulation, at least at middepth, both in the eastern and western Mediterranean (Cacho et al., 2000; Frigola et al., 2008; Minto'o et al., 2015; Sierro et al., 2005; Sprovieri et al., 2012; Toucanne et al., 2012; Tripsanas et al., 2016) because both processes changed the density of Mediterranean intermediate water and therefore the density contrast at Gibraltar.

However, the strong-weak MOW activity during stadial-interstadial events described before shows notable exceptions during HSs. MOW intensity during HSs at Site U1389 is lower than in other non-HSs, in spite of being the coldest and driest periods in the Mediterranean (Figure 9). During HSs, the MOW typically shows three phases (Figures 9c and 10b), enhanced MOW at the onset and end of each HS and a weak MOW in the middle of the stadial. Each HS is characterized by silty-sandy contourite beds at the bottom and top and a finer-grained, muddy contourite bed in the middle, as shown in the picture of Figure 10b for HS5. A similar slowdown of the MOW was seen in other records from the Gulf of Cadiz (Llave et al., 2006; Toucanne et al., 2007; Voelker et al., 2006) in cores ranging from 1,170 m to 582 water depth. The strong anticorrelation between the strength of the Asian monsoons and the strength of the MOW at Site U1389 only breaks during these middle phases of HSs (Figures 9c and 9d). In addition, the parallelism between LIW velocity in the Mediterranean (Toucanne et al., 2012) and MOW strength at Site U1389 also breaks during HSs (Figure 9e). While the LIW reached maximum intensities in the Corsica margin during HSs, we
registered a weak MOW at Site U1389. Similarly, the benthic $^{13}$C, which normally changes in phase with the velocity of the MOW, keeps with high values during HSs and does not register the drop in velocity seen in the middle of HSs. This suggests that the weak velocity seen in the middle of HSs is not related to Mediterranean forcing.

The drop in MOW velocity observed in the middle of each HS is clearly related to the highest planktic $^{18}$O values and the invasion of polar species to the Gulf of Cadiz, reflecting the low SST due to the southward penetration of the subpolar water. In consequence, we assume that, as already described for HS1, freshwater perturbations during HSs of the Northern Hemisphere ice sheets changed the density of middepth and deep North Atlantic waters, forcing a deepening of the more dense MOW. The characteristic three stage pattern observed in each HS at Site U1389 is the result of a delicate balance between an enhanced density of the outflow that tends to increase the velocity of the MOW in the Strait of Gibraltar and the density decrease of the Atlantic intermediate and deep water that tends to decrease the velocity of the upper core of the MOW, pushing it to higher depths. In non-HSs, the density of intermediate and deep Atlantic waters remains relatively constant and hence it is the higher density of the outflow that drives the increase of MOW speed at all depths. In HSs, however, the velocity of the MOW should be maximum due to the extreme Mediterranean negative water budget but its sinking to a higher water depth reduced the velocity in the shallower depths, such as at Site U1389. In fact the velocity during HSs is lower than in non-HSs. The enhanced MOW typical of all stadials, which is also visible at the onset and end of each HS, is counterbalanced by a deepening of MOW near the middle of each HS forced by the flow of the low-density northern sourced Atlantic waters.

Abrupt warming events recorded at core MD01-2444 (2,637 m deep) in the middle of HSs 4 and 5 and in other HSs can be an evidence of the influence of MOW and its associated heat flow at deeper depths along the Portuguese margin (Skinner et al., 2003; Voelker & de Abreu, 2011).

9. Impact of Orbital and Millennial Climate Changes on MOW Strength and Mediterranean Overturning During MIS 4–5 and the Origin of Sapropels S3 and S4

Changes in MOW strength during MIS 5 were the result of the interaction between orbital and millennial-scale Mediterranean climate variability. Three periods of very weak MOW during MIS 5a,c,e are linked to summer insolation maxima and the deposition of sapropels S3, S4 and S5 in the eastern Mediterranean (Figure 11) as discussed in section 5. During insolation minima, however, the velocity of the MOW increased in response to the progressively more negative water budgets in the Mediterranean, but MOW intensity was clearly punctuated by stadial-interstadial climate oscillations, following the same patterns already described for MIS 3, with more intense MOW during Greenland stadials and reduced MOW in Greenland interstadials (Figure 11). The three phase pattern of MOW velocity during HS6 and in the middle of GS22 follows the characteristic trend of HSs described in the previous section (Figure 11d).

However, MOW velocity change does not correlate with the amplitude of cooling or warming in Greenland. On the contrary, the amplitude of the millennial response of the MOW is modulated by precession, being the maximum velocities of the MOW recorded at the times of minimum NH summer insolation, regardless of the amplitude of the cooling events (Figure 11). Indeed, the coolest events in Greenland were recorded between 60 and 70 kyr during MIS 4 but MOW velocity decreased during this period, probably due to the lower summer insolation.

The high resolution record of MOW strength presented in this study allows to establish a strong connection between Greenland climate variability and sapropel formation in the eastern Mediterranean. We can conclude that the stagnation events of sapropels S3 and S4 were triggered during the abrupt warming of interstadial events GI21.1 and GI24.2, respectively (Figure 11). The sudden drop in MOW velocity seen at 84,760 and 108.280 yr can be used to accurately date the onset of sapropels S3 and S4 in the eastern Mediterranean using the Greenland chronology. They are also related to sudden northward shifts of the Asian monsoons as may be seen in Figure 11, pointing to the combination of freshwater and heat forcing at times of abrupt warming in the Northern Hemisphere as the final trigger of sapropel formation. Only the interstadial events occurring at times of precession minima caused stagnation in the eastern Mediterranean. Other interstadial events such as GI19, GI20, GI22, or GI25C, even though they were of higher amplitude, never caused stagnation because they occurred during insolation minima and the average Mediterranean net freshwater...
degradation was not favorable for water stratification. However, the velocity of the MOW and Mediterranean overturning substantially drop at these times as may be seen by the decreases of fine sand and drops of benthic δ13C (Figures 11d and 11f). By contrast, Greenland stadials occurring during insolation maxima, such as during S4 only caused small-amplitude increases of the MOW velocity, as it occurred during GS23.2 and GS24.1, but led to a notorious increase of Mediterranean ventilation as inferred from the high benthic δ13C during GS23 that broke Mediterranean stagnation in the middle of S4.

It is important to point out that even if insolation changes are gradual and symmetrical and the MOW respond to insolation, the pattern of MOW response is completely asymmetrical. The drops in MOW velocity tend to be abrupt, while the increases of MOW strength are more gradual. Indeed, MOW velocity trends follow the behavior of Dansgaard-Oestcher climate variability, characterized by abrupt warmings and more gradual coolings and, in part, the trends of Asian monsoons controlled by Northern Hemisphere climate.

The end of stagnation events in the eastern Mediterranean is recorded by a subtle increase in MOW velocity at Site U1389 and an evident increase in the benthic δ13C. For sapropels S3, S4 and S5, the end of stagnation approximately occurred at glacial inceptions, as seen by the gradual increase of G. bulloides δ18O.

10. MOW During Termination II

The gradual increase of MOW strength between 150 and 138 kyr was coeval with the progressive weakening of the Asian Monsoon (Figure 12) that enhanced the rate of dense water formation in the eastern
Mediterranean and the higher density contrast at Gibraltar. This trend toward higher surface water densities in the eastern Mediterranean should have reached maximum values during the pronounced weak Asian monsoon event of HS11 (Cheng et al., 2006, 2016; Kelly et al., 2006) (Figure 12) triggered by the collapse of the AMOC in the Atlantic (Oppo et al., 2006). However, during the early stage of HS11 the MOW velocity decreases at Site U1389 as recorded by the lower fine sand percentages between 136 and 132 kyr (Figure 12), similarly to what has been described for HS1. This weaker MOW at Site U1389 coincided with a significant freshwater anomaly that reached the Southern Portuguese margin and entered the Mediterranean sea as can be seen in the surface water $\delta^{18}O$ drop at sites MD01-2444–2445 (it was calculated using the oxygen isotope and SST data from Hodell et al., 2013; Martrat et al., 2007), and (c) surface water $\delta^{18}O$ in ODP 977 from the western Alboran Sea (it was calculated using the isotopes and SST data published by Martrat et al., 2004, and Perez-Folgado et al., 2004). Both surface water $\delta^{18}O$ records were corrected for ice volume using the sea level record of Grant et al. (2014) and a correction of 0.009‰/m. (d) $\delta^{18}O_{speleothem}$ from Cheng et al. (2016). (e) Percent fine sand (fraction 62–150 μm). Gray and blue bands mark the weak and strong MOW phases during HS11.

Figure 12. Relative change of MOW strength during Termination II estimated from variations in the percentage of fine sand (fraction 62–150 μm). A slower MOW is observed between 136 and 131 kyr during HS11, coinciding with the weakest Monsoon event in Asia and a large drop of the surface water $\delta^{18}O$ in the Portuguese margin (pink) and the western Alboran basin (blue). (a) Globigerina bulloides $\delta^{18}O$ from IODP Site U1389. (b) Surface water $\delta^{18}O$ from core MD01-2444–2445 (it was calculated using the oxygen isotope and SST data from Hodell et al., 2013; Martrat et al., 2007), and (c) surface water $\delta^{18}O$ in ODP 977 from the western Alboran Sea (it was calculated using the isotopes and SST data published by Martrat et al., 2004, and Perez-Folgado et al., 2004). Both surface water $\delta^{18}O$ records were corrected for ice volume using the sea level record of Grant et al. (2014) and a correction of 0.009‰/m. (d) $\delta^{18}O_{speleothem}$ from Cheng et al. (2016). (e) Percent fine sand (fraction 62–150 μm). Gray and blue bands mark the weak and strong MOW phases during HS11.

Mediterranean and the higher density contrast at Gibraltar. This trend toward higher surface water densities in the eastern Mediterranean should have reached maximum values during the pronounced weak Asian monsoon event of HS11 (Cheng et al., 2006, 2016; Kelly et al., 2006) (Figure 12) triggered by the collapse of the AMOC in the Atlantic (Oppo et al., 2006). However, during the early stage of HS11 the MOW velocity decreases at Site U1389 as recorded by the lower fine sand percentages between 136 and 132 kyr (Figure 12), similarly to what has been described for HS1. This weaker MOW at Site U1389 coincided with a significant freshwater anomaly that reached the Southern Portuguese margin and entered the Mediterranean sea as can be seen in the surface water $\delta^{18}O$ drop at sites MD01-2444–2445 (sea water $\delta^{18}O$ calculated from $\delta^{18}O$ and SST data published by Hodell et al. (2013) and Martrat et al. (2007) and ODP 977 in the Alboran Sea (seawater $\delta^{18}O$ calculated using $\delta^{18}O$ data and SST published by Perez-Folgado et al. (2004) (Figure 12). This freshwater anomaly was accompanied by IRD deposition along the Portuguese margin (Skinner & Shackleton, 2006) and a similar freshwater perturbation in the deep-water $\delta^{18}O$. This Atlantic freshwater intrusion was also detected in the western Mediterranean (Jimenez-Amat & Zahn, 2015; Marino et al., 2015; Martrat et al., 2014; Perez-Folgado et al., 2004) and eastern Mediterranean (Grant et al., 2016).
As for HS1, we conclude that these low MOW velocities at the depth of Site U1389 were the result of a MOW deepening triggered by a decrease in density of the Atlantic intermediate and deep waters to the west of the Camarinal sill. This scenario started to change at the end of HS11 when the gradual recovery of the density of intermediate and deep water in the NE Atlantic forced the MOW to shoal, reaching again the depth of Site U1389. This shoaling of the MOW probably increased the injection of salt and heat at shallower depths in the Atlantic 2 kyr earlier than the reinitiation of overturning in the Atlantic. The long duration of HS11 could have favored a larger accumulation of heat and salt in the intermediate Atlantic leading to a more rapid deglaciation during Termination II (Clark et al., 2020).

11. Conclusions

The analysis of sediment cores at IODP Site U1389 revealed the presence of sandy silty contourite beds interbedded in more muddy intervals. An increase in strength of the MOW at Site U1389 washed the bottom sediments, winnowing the fine-grained particles and concentrating the coarse ones, resulting in the formation of sandy silty contourite beds. In contrast, a weakening of the MOW led to more hemipelagic sedimentation characterized by a higher accumulation of pelagic-rich calcareous sediments. Over the last 250 kyr, 10 muddy, more hemipelagic intervals record pronounced drops of MOW strength at Gibraltar at times of Northern Hemisphere summer insolation maxima and were correlated with the deposition of sapropels S1 to S9 in the eastern Mediterranean. Besides the low MOW velocity, the pronounced drops of the benthic δ¹³C record the weak Mediterranean overturning circulation at times of sapropel deposition. The higher annual rainfall in perimediterranean areas and the northward shift of the ITCZ in equatorial Africa increased the freshwater discharge to the Mediterranean and decreased the dense water formation in the Levantine seas and the density gradient between the Atlantic and Mediterranean water at Gibraltar. In contrast, between these more hemipelagic intervals, sandy silty contourite beds were formed due to the higher velocities of the MOW at Gibraltar. They were associated to strong Mediterranean overturning circulation as reflected by the high benthic δ¹³C. The formation of these sandy silty contourites were the result of higher density gradients between the outflow and inflow at Gibraltar due to the more negative water budgets in the Mediterranean at summer insolation minima when African monsoons were weak.

Millennial-scale oscillations in Mediterranean net freshwater loss with likely additional effects from heat forcing on the northern margin were also responsible for the changes in MOW strength during MIS 3 and MIS 5. The stagnation events of sapropels S3 and S4 were triggered during the abrupt warming of interstadial events GI21.1 and GI24.2, respectively. These events are recorded in the Gulf of Cadiz by a sudden drop in MOW velocity and a decrease of benthic δ¹³C at 84,760 and 108,280 yr, based on the Greenland chronology.

The higher net freshwater loss during Greenland stadials, which was also linked to weak African monsoons, triggered higher speeds of the MOW at Gibraltar due to the higher density contrast between the outflow and inflow. However, a notable exception to this pattern was recognized during HSs. Although they are characterized by the weakest African monsoons and the most extreme net freshwater losses in the Mediterranean, the MOW speed at Site U1389 declined, especially in the middle of the HSs. We concluded that these drops of the MOW velocity in the middle of HSs at Site U1389 were not triggered by MOW strength weakenings but with deepenings of the MOW settling depth in the Atlantic. These deepenings were especially notable during HS1 and HS11 at Terminations I and II and were always associated to significant drops of sea surface δ¹⁸O in the Gulf of Cadiz and western Mediterranean. The events of freshwater discharge from the Eurasian and North American ice sheets during HSs reduced sea surface salinity in the Labrador, Greenland, and Norwegian seas and thus the density of the intermediate and deep waters of the North Atlantic. This reduced the vertical density gradient to the west of Gibraltar, resulting in a deepening of the higher density MOW. The intrusion of salt and heat at deeper depths in the NE Atlantic at times of injection of low-salinity waters in the northern latitudes probably modified the meridional density gradient with consequences for the AMOC. The shoaling of the MOW and thus the shallower injection of salt and heat observed at the end of HS1 and HS11 could have favored the reinitiation of the Atlantic overturning, especially at the end of HS1 and HS11.
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