

# Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Clayton, John, Donovan, Catherine and Macdonald, Stephen J. (2021) Living with hate relationships: familiar encounters, enduring racisms and geographies of entrapment. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*. ISSN 0263-7758 (In Press)

Published by: SAGE

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1177/02637758211049808>  
<<https://doi.org/10.1177/02637758211049808>>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link:  
<http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/47130/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)

## **Living with hate relationships: familiar encounters, enduring racisms and geographies of entrapment**

### **Abstract**

This paper utilises the concept of 'hate relationships' in conversation with the literature on geographies of encounter to explore experiences of racism for those entrapped by racist encounters with those who are familiar. In so doing we attend to the uneven and harmful risks involved in some forms of everyday urban encounter. We draw upon case notes collated by a hate advocacy service in North East England, UK, to illustrate the cumulative damaging force of enduring hate relationships. By drawing parallels with work on domestic violence, we suggest hate relationships evident in our data exhibit distinct temporalities of routinisation, whereby harmful 'low level' violence, often under the radar of the criminal justice system, gains force through repeated neighbourhood-based encounters. In so doing we also highlight both the situated and relational spatialities at work; localised encounters marked by familiarity, racialised territoriality and experiences of fear and immobility, but also relations of entrenched disadvantage and institutional failures that sustain harm. Concerted acts of resistance look to confront and/or escape these relationships, but as forms of resolution, where additional burdens are placed on victim/survivors, these are constrained by the same violent conditions through which such relationships are allowed to take shape.

### **Keywords**

Hate relationships, familiarity, encounter, racisms, neighbourhood, entrapment

## 1. Introduction

*[She] can't get her mobility scooter out because it is kept in the shed in the back garden, and she is too scared to go past his gate to get to it. They wait until he is out before putting their bins out. They don't feel safe using their own garden. They don't have a TV and keep their voices down in the house for fear of setting him off. (Layla<sup>1</sup>: Women, aged 30-40, 'Other' Ethnic Group<sup>2</sup>)*

Exploring the concept of encounter, Wilson (2017: 452) identifies a 'specific genre of contact' employed to 'document how people negotiate difference in their everyday lives' (p.451). The productive capacity of encounters with people, places and the more-than-human world, speaks to the active and contingent construction of identity and belonging. Beyond such ontological assertions, encounters are seen as practices which hold the potential to disrupt dominant, fixed and racialised hierarchies of belonging through negotiation, but also through the navigation of multicultural *and* multi-racist places. Whilst acknowledging the value of 'contact zones' (Askins and Pain, 2011) as sites of potential conviviality (Gilroy, 2004), this paper addresses the relative lack of attention to harmful 'scratches, bumps, crackles and hisses' (Nayak, 2017: 291) that mark, and in some cases overwhelm, daily experiences for racialised communities.

Racist harassment is often considered in terms of stranger relations, because of the 'affective estrangement' involved (Mason, 2005a). However, significant harmful encounters *also* occur between those who are familiar or at least known; where routines of neighbourhood proximity have implications for how discrimination is experienced as part of a condition of violence that 'burn[s] in the background of daily life' (Laurie and Shaw, 2018: 8). As is illustrated in the opening excerpt which speaks to Layla's fear of the prospect of neighbourhood encounters (related to both everyday racist harassment and impairments resulting from injuries that formed the basis for her asylum application), we emphasise the re-production of stranger-ness through familiarity. In so doing we further understanding of the unevenly distributed risks and burdens of *certain kinds* of encounters (or their prospect), for those who become entrapped in what we term 'hate relationships' (Donovan et al, 2019). We conceptualise hate relationships as undesirable relations re-produced through ongoing spatially concentrated 'low level' acts of violence including forms of non-verbal intimidation and harassment interspersed with threats, verbal abuse and occasionally physical violence, that leave individuals and families anxious, afraid and trapped. The concentrated, cumulative and overwhelming character of these encounters highlights both the situated and relational spatialities at work; localised

---

<sup>1</sup> Names used in excerpts are pseudonyms.

<sup>2</sup> The ethnicity of clients is classified by the advocacy organisation.

encounters marked by familiarity, racialised territoriality, fear and immobility, but in some cases also entrenched disadvantage and institutional failures that sustain harm. We examine such experiences through a qualitative analysis of case notes from a hate crime advocacy project in North East of England, where 'race' was the primary, but not always sole basis for referral.

We begin by highlighting encounters as everyday practices which offer progressive potential for co-existence, but which are also riven with contextual power relations and uneven racialised burdens. We then turn to the concept of hate relationships which helps foreground harmful encounters between those who are in some way known. Following an outline of our methodology, we draw on advocacy case notes to outline how the temporal (enduring and routinised) and spatial (concentrated yet relationally produced) character of hate relationships result in the emotional and physical entrapment of victim/survivors. Given the limits of institutional recognition, we close by considering acts of resistance and specifically the significance of residential mobility as an escape from hate relationships. We suggest that under prevailing conditions, burdens of resolution fall on victim/survivors rather than perpetrators, institutional processes or the structural forces which facilitate hate relationships.

## **2. Geographies of encounter**

The literature on encounter has an established history within the social sciences (Allport, 1954; Goffman, 1961; Schutz, 1973), but has seen increased attention from human geographers as a response to universalizing, psychological and apolitical orientations of established work. With attention to the micropolitics of everyday life Darling and Wilson (2016: 2) argue that what binds this interest is attention to four key areas:

'...the maintenance, production, and re-working of difference; that encounters fundamentally frame urban experiences and subjectivities; that encounters produce and encompass multiple temporal registers; and that encounters offer points of possible transformation and an opening to change.'

The first and last of these points speak to a tension we explore here. While the promise of 'possible transformation' is valued in different ways within this literature, it is also widely acknowledged that the negotiation of identity and difference is complex, fraught and embedded in relations of power. Considering such a recognition, we argue for a heightened awareness of the uneven racialised risks and burdens involved in sustained encounters characterised by both familiarity *and* stranger-ness.

### **2.1 Politics of encounter: conviviality and conflict**

Gilroy identifies an existent 'convivial culture' in Britain which he contrasts with a melancholic nostalgia for lost empire (Gilroy, 2000; 2004). Chiming with Halls' 'multicultural drift' (Hall, 1999) and drawing upon his own experience growing up in London, he recalls 'very complicated forms of interdependence...where one set of habits flows into others and all of them are altered by that encounter' (Gilroy et al, 2019: 176). Conviviality, for Gilroy (2005:58) emerges 'By making racial differences ordinary and banal, even boring' thus drawing on post-structuralist thought regarding the indeterminacy of meaning that can 'never be finally fixed' (Hall, 1997:270), but also 'an alternative understanding of culture that focuses on what people do everyday rather than...their cultural origins' (Back and Sinha, 2016: 522). Such ideas are at the heart of writing on encounter that focuses on multicultural urban settings (Darling and Wilson, 2016)<sup>3</sup>. The 'throwntogetherness' (Massey, 2005) of urban life highlights the ways in which diverse trajectories come together and are actively (re)constituted through an array of interactions (Laurier et al, 2002: 353):

'...people in cities do talk to one another as customers and shopkeepers, passengers and cabdrivers, members of a bus queue, regulars at cafes and bars, tourists and locals, beggars and by-passers, Celtic fans, smokers looking for a light, and of course in this case as neighbours.'

A core thread of a 'convivial turn' (Neal, 2013; Wise and Noble, 2016; Nayak, 2017) considers how pragmatic lived experiences challenge 'panicked' (Neal, 2013: 309) and divisive politics through everyday inter-dependencies, solidarities and negotiations of belonging (Amin, 2002; Clayton, 2009; Wise and Velayutham, 2009; Watson and Anamik, 2013; Oosterlynck et al, 2017). These relations are practiced through a variety of temporalities including brief moments that might initiate openness to difference (Lobo, 2015; Laurier and Philo, 2006), but also mundane routinized patterns of encounter as hinted at in Gilroy's account. Progressive encounters might be pro-actively facilitated (Amin, 2002; Valentine, 2008), or generated through 'multiculturalism from below' (Wise, 2009); negotiations evident through spaces of education (Clayton, 2009), work (Rogaley, 2020), leisure (Neal et al, 2019), cafes (Jones et al, 2015) and places of residence (Cook et al, 2011). A focus on the space-times of encounter speaks to reflexive performances of identification/differentiation (Butcher, 2019) and the intensity of affective intimacies involved that have the potential to 'shift understandings of Self and Other' (Askins, 2015: 474).

While this emphasis on the transformational promise of encounters, leads Nayak (2017: 291) to suggest that 'much of the writing...is largely celebratory', it would be misleading to argue that there is

---

<sup>3</sup> This has been subjected to important critiques given the role of rurality in processes of racialisation and the changing geographies of multiculturalism that Neal et al (2019) outline.

not a broad recognition of the limits and situatedness of encounter (Cook et al, 2011) as and the force of harmful relations (Holloway, 2007; Nayak, 2010). The concept of conviviality for example, has been subject to clarification through rejection of a romanticised version of co-habitation, and a recognition of 'living together in unequal relations' (Heil, 2019:8). Gilroy (2004: 40) himself asserts that recognising conviviality does not mean 'an absence of racism', illustrated in work focussing on the experiences of minoritised communities (Back and Sinha, 2016). Geographers such as Valentine, have also sought to highlight those situations where '...proximity does not relate to meaningful contact.' (Valentine, 2008: 334). Whilst Valentine's interpretation of 'meaningful contact' has been critiqued (Wilson, 2017), this work is part of a wider acknowledgment of the forces that shape the terrain through which encounters take place (Hou, 2016). As Valentine (2008: 333) states:

'The danger is that contemporary discourses about cosmopolitanism and new urban citizenship, by celebrating the potential of everyday encounters to produce social transformations, potentially allow the knotty issue of inequality to slip out of the debate.'

The fragility of encounters 'as transformational' is also recognised. Racialised conflict can punctuate encounters that might at other moments offer hope of alternative ways of living (Harris, 2014). In her commentary, Wilson (2017: 457) recognises the simultaneous presence of 'risk, coercion and inequality' *as well as* 'co-constitution, improvisation and interaction'. However, her central contention is that encounters are unpredictable; shot through with chance, risk, shock, and rupture, because they are ultimately 'events of relation' (2017: 464). Rather than acting as a brake on what Valentine (2008) refers to as 'meaningful' encounters, riskiness is seen as the linchpin of potentiality. This speaks to the spontaneity of urban encounters that constitute events sometimes read in playful terms (Stevens, 2007). Indeed, Wilson (2017: 457) suggests that attempts to design out risk 'effectively destroy the very grounds for encounter in the first place'. We argue that more serious attention to the violent inequalities of risk is required, particularly where damaging encounters dominate lives.

## ***2.2 Inequalities of risk and burden***

We stress here that encounters are experienced through differential socially produced positionalities, with very diverse stakes involved. As Nayak (2017) shows, supportive relationships with local allies, can act as a 'salve' for young people experiencing racism. Yet even apparently progressive relationships do not take place on a level playing field of risk and reward. As Bonilla-Silva (2006) argues, for many white people racism is seen as a matter of prejudiced opinion, something to be overcome through knowledge and communication. For those of colour, racism is more likely to be viewed as embedded, institutional and systematic - much harder to pin down and shift. hooks (1992: 23) uses

the example of overhearing young white heterosexual men boasting of prospective sexual and racial 'targets' on a University campus, through which the Other embodies desire as transgression from the safety of the norm; a rite of passage, but also a means of dominance. As she notes, such encounters reveal and reinforce relations of power.

'To make one's self vulnerable to the seduction of difference, to seek an encounter with the Other, does not require that one relinquish forever ones' mainstream positionality'

In other fields we also see how burdens of negotiation and adaptation are unequally weighted. McGhee (2003) and Back et al, (2002) in their analysis of UK 'community cohesion' policy, problematise the whiteness of the 'common ground' to which racialised and migrant communities are expected to assimilate. In work by Moffette and Ridgely (2018) on 'Sanctuary Cities' in Canada, notions of inclusive hospitality reproduce paternalistic tolerance of the guest by the host. And for Butcher (2019), exploring adaptations made by young people in gentrifying Hackney, London, UK, there are classed and raced expectations about who is required to change to fit the 'new' neighbourhood. Questions of power take on further significance when considering everyday racist harassment. In recent research on Islamophobic incidents in North East England (Hopkins et al, 2020) for example, one female participant spoke of conducting reflexive 'risk assessments' before choosing whether to enter certain spaces. Those who are racialised are far from passive passengers, but encounters with people and places are bound up with uneven risks and burdens.

Awareness of these differential positionalities shifts attention from the examination of encounters as a means to a 'more general positive respect...for Others' (Valentine, 2008: 325) (which diverts attention to the attitudes and practices of majoritised groups) towards an exploration of how the unequal terrain of everyday life is navigated by those living 'in the midst of racism's ruins' (Back and Sinha, 2016). Back and Sinha's (2016) work with young adult migrants in London shows how 'convivial worlds' are made using 'multicultural tools' in contexts of racism, immigration surveillance and hostile policing. In a similar sense Peterson (2020) highlights how in Glasgow, ethnic and cultural minorities carve out alternative spaces of belonging through micro connections, amidst micro aggressions. Conviviality is both a means and product of those experiences, but not necessarily an intended goal. We look to build on these contributions by suggesting that for those in our study, the omnipresence of racist harassment becomes overwhelming, or as Nayak (2010: 2374) in his study of 'race encounters' in the English suburbs argues:

'It is through these prosaic encounters that 'white lines' of power are enacted, embodied, and condensed, giving rise to an affective geography that tenuously secures, and thickens, the accumulation of race difference.'

Such experiences shift the terrain upon which relations are built and lives lived, where the overwhelming desire is not necessarily 'positive inter-ethnic relations', but freedom from hate. In Nayak's (2017) more recent work with young Bangladeshi women in Sunderland, attention is drawn to how 'race encounters' animate racial difference with alarming frequency in ways which scar lives. Through our own research in this same region, we show how hate relationships materialise through the routine spaces of the home and neighbourhood.

### ***2.3 Hate relationships: stranger-ness through familiarity***

Research on racist violence in the UK (Hopkins et al, 2020) and Sweden (Listerborn, 2015) illustrates the significance of public spaces where strangers seize impromptu opportunities to target those who are unknown, yet simultaneously 'somebody that we recognise as a stranger' (Ahmed, 2000: 49). However, embodied differences deemed threatening, unwelcome, and 'out of place', are also formulated through encounters of routinised proximity. As UK government reports (Hambly et al, 2018) suggest, the majority of racist hate crimes occur outside or near to victims/survivors' homes and racialized conflict between neighbours is commonplace (Walters et al 2016).

Feminist geographers have extensively examined how gendered violence operates through what are considered 'private' home spaces (Pain, 2014; Little, 2019). These spaces of 'intimate geopolitics' (Pain and Staeheli, 2014) characterised by violence which may be hidden, controlling and embodied (Little, 2019), are connected to wider forces and gendered inequalities. This is an understanding of violence as a 'multi-faceted and multi-sited force – interpersonal and institutional, social, economic and political, physical, sexual, emotional and psychological' (Pain & Staeheli, 2014: 344). Whilst proximity alone is no reliable way of assessing the character of relations, the propinquity of familiar yet hostile relationships conditions experiences, particularly in terms of coercive and controlling behaviour. Whilst we do not make a direct equivalence with experiences of domestic violence, we draw parallels in exploring incidents of racism within some neighbourhood contexts. In so doing we foreground experiences of those that are familiar because of their stranger-ness (Ahmed, 2000), but also estranged (Mason, 2005a) through their very familiarity.

A productive discussion of harmful encounters comes through a dialogue with the concept of 'hate relationships' that we outline in detail elsewhere (Donovan et al, 2019). Hate relationships are not just singular moments, nor are they solely inter-personal events. They accrue power through repetition at the intimate scales of the home and neighbourhood, but are also given weight through broader affective economies of hate (Ahmed, 2001) and relational 'violent conditions' (Laurie and Shaw, 2018). This brings our attention not just to moments of encounter but those forces which sustain such relationships and entrap victim/survivors. Ongoing forms of violence perpetrated by 'known'



consistent individuals reinforce hierarchies through 'low level' acts, that feed off and contribute to established inequalities. These acts often fall under the threshold of criminality and in some cases, identification as violent or harmful. By drawing parallels with the domestic violence literature around coercive and controlling behaviour (Donovan and Hester, 2014), we emphasise temporal and spatial dimensions that result in cumulative psycho-social toll, immobility and entrapment.

### **3. The study and methodology**

This paper is based upon research conducted with an advocacy organisation in North East England. Amongst other services, the organisation receives funding from the Police and Crime Commissioner for Northumbria to provide a Hate Crime advocacy service. The service helps those at risk of, or affected by hate crime to speak up, secure their rights and navigate appropriate services or support. The service covers all protected characteristics (under the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and Criminal Justice Act 2003) of 'race', religion, disability, transgender identity and sexual orientation. Individuals can self-refer or may be referred by those concerned about their welfare. The overarching aim of our study was to assess the extent to which cases reported might be defined as 'hate relationships' (Donovan et al, 2021).

We accessed advocates' case notes which document all communication with clients from the period 01/06/2017 - 31/03/2019. 182 cases were referred with 181 accepted<sup>4</sup>. The client profile was evenly balanced in terms of gender, with the majority between the ages of 26 to 50 (72.1%). In terms of ethnicity, although a broad spectrum of ethnic groups were represented, the largest groups identified (making up 74% of clients referred) were White British<sup>5</sup> (25.3%), Black/Black British – African (18.5%), Other Ethnic Group (15.8%) and 'Unknown' (14.4%).

The accounts recorded in the case notes varied between cases and advocates with some including comprehensive detail of key episodes, meetings, and interventions. These notes were interpretations of events by advocates, but in some cases included direct quotes from clients. Case notes were filtered to identify those exhibiting characteristics of 'hate relationships'. This judgement was based on whether there had been repetitive incidents generated by the same perpetrator(s) and suggestive elements, in the description of impacts on clients, of coercive control. This process generated 50 relevant cases (27.6% of overall accepted cases), which were anonymised for thematic analysis utilising NVivo qualitative data analysis software. Out of these 50, some notes did not have overt

---

<sup>4</sup> This related to referrals from 149 clients, 148 of which were accepted.

<sup>5</sup> In the UK 'White British' is a commonly used, yet problematic categorisation, which denotes those who identify (or are identified) as racially 'white' and nationally/ethnically British. Often these identifications are hegemonic and subject to conflation.

evidence regarding the identifiable elements of hate relationships, yet evidence was identifiable in clients' eagerness to achieve resolutions and their desire to be rehoused, to have security equipment installed or to get the police involved.

While it is recognised (as is evident in Layla's account) that experiences of hate are intersectional (Macdonald et al, 2021), the focus of this paper is on cases where the primary basis of the incident has been recorded as 'race' and/or religion, which makes up the majority of the filtered incidents in this period (68%, n=34)<sup>6</sup>. In terms of perpetrators of 'race' based incidents, where this was clear from the notes, 18 were 'neighbours' and 11 'local'. Clients' gender was evenly balanced between males and females<sup>7</sup>. 31 (91.2%) were identified as non 'white British'.<sup>8</sup> 11 (32.4%) were identified as Muslim, 7 (20.6%) Christian, 7 (20.6%) as Other religion, 3 (8.8%) as no religion and 10 (29.4%) as religion unknown. Cases were concentrated in some of the most deprived areas of Newcastle-upon-Tyne – consistent with previous research conducted on the third-party hate reporting in the region (Clayton et al, 2016). However, there were also cases in other parts of the region, including rural Northumberland and more affluent urban areas.

Our methodology contrasts with 'livelier' ethnographic accounts employed in the encounter literature (Wise and Velayutham, 2009; Askins 2015, Nayak, 2010; 2017). Multiple interpretations of referral, advocacy and analysis entail a degree of distance from lived experiences. The focus of advocacy is also on supporting clients through harmful experiences – not always inclusive of wider (potentially non-violent) experiences. However, the value of our approach lies in the power of mediated accounts that have been silenced and ignored. Our research shows how advocacy often provides the first opportunity to be properly listened to and taken seriously, despite in some cases, years of trying to bring these harms to light (Donovan et al, 2021). With the use of these notes also comes a responsibility to respect the confidentiality of clients. In the analysis that follows we have therefore sought a balance between humanising detail whilst ensuring the anonymity of those subjected to hate relationships.

#### **4. The space-times of hate relationships**

For cases filtered as 'hate relationships', there were mutually constitutive temporal and spatial characteristics identified. The encounters which re-produce hate relationships are enduring and

---

<sup>6</sup> This included several cases that identified intersections between 'race' and religion, disability and gender.

<sup>7</sup> With one client identifying as neither male nor female.

<sup>8</sup> This includes 8 (23.5%) identified as Black/Black British-African, 3 (8.8%) as Asian/Asian British-Pakistani, 3 (8.8%) as Asian/Asian British-Indian, 2 (5.9%) as Asian/Asian British-Bangladeshi, 2 (5.9%) as Asian/Asian British-Other, 1 (3.4%) as White-Other, 1 (3.4%) as Mixed-White/Black African, 10 (29.4%) as Other ethnic group and 3 (8.8%) as unknown.

routinised, spatially concentrated yet also relationally produced. We explore these dimensions to illustrate the damage of hate relationships in which clients became entrapped.

#### **4.1 Enduring and routinised encounters**

Established literature on urban multiculturalism explores a spectrum of temporalities from the fleeting (Wilson, 2011) to 'sustained encounters' that may engender forms of empathy (Matejskova and Leitner, 2011). In our work we focus on the impact of harmful encounters where they are constitutive of normalised hate relationships, which do not lead to 'apathy and indifference' (Laurie and Shaw, 2018: 14). Hate relationships take the form of multiple incidents that might be considered 'low level' harassment, committed consistently by the same perpetrators, in these cases, neighbours or 'locals' (Donovan et al, 2019). These acts often do not meet the threshold of a hate crime and in some cases such as Mustafa's, it appears as though acts are committed with an awareness that actions go under the radar.

*"... he has been having constant problems with the next-door neighbour [who] has been shouting, using insulting words, banging on the door or the wall, putting his bin in their front garden, and blocking the shared access path to the back garden so they can't get their bin in or out. He often bangs for about 5 seconds, which is not long enough for client to record or report to the council."* (Mustafa: Man, aged 40-50, 'Other' ethnic group)

The range of acts included the throwing of objects such as stones, mail being stolen, the placing of bins and other objects in the way of houses, leaving dog faeces in front of properties, blocking parking space and flat access areas, the damaging of property and cars, nonverbal intimidation and the use of noise to disturb and upset clients, particularly late at night and early in the morning. Such actions were sometimes interspersed with more explicit verbal abuse and threats as Imani's case makes clear:

*"...adamant that those are the same youths who were verbally abusive towards her last year, when they were heard shouting towards her: "we will drag you and your black bastard (meaning 3-year-old son) on the street and beat you", said that the verbal abuse occurred when client challenged their behaviour (youths were seen throwing stones on the estate from the roof)." (Imani: Woman, aged 30-40, Black/Black British-African)*

Taken as individual acts, some encounters might not be considered as violent or discriminatory. Taken together through repetition and in relation to more explicitly racist language and occasional physical violence, hate relationships, driven by an orientating force of whiteness (Ahmed, 2007), become apparent. The length of time over which such encounters are experienced is variable, but in some cases, as we see below for Fatima, this spans decades *and* was repeated with regularity. Since 2010

she has reported 36 racist incidents to Northumbria Police. Whilst specific perpetrators over this longer timescale may have varied, a relationship was established between Fatima and those identified here as 'lads'. This account suggests a sense of being 'stuck' (Straughan et al, 2020) over an extended period in conditions over which Fatima had limited room for manoeuvre, apart from erecting a fence to prevent incursions.

*"Client has experienced racist abuse in [city] for around 30 years but has gotten worse since her husband died. She says that when she goes out lads shout abuse at her, including threats to cut her up in pieces and threats to rape her - this would happen twice a day sometimes...They were coming into her backyard with their bikes, saying 'we're going to get you', 'there's the n\*\*\*\*\*' and 'why don't you open a corner shop'. She has had tall fence put up since then"* (Fatima: Woman, aged 60-70, 'Other' ethnic group)

Reasons for referral in the case notes of all those experiencing 'hate relationships' are defined by the 'constant' and/or 'ongoing' nature of the harassment. The enduring nature of encounters combine with routinisation, to form what is referred to in the case of Mustafa and his sister as a 'steady stream of harassment'.

*"...these incidents form a steady stream of harassment and bullying that are having an increasingly severe impact on the client's and his sister's lives. To the extent that they genuinely fear that they may be physically attacked and even killed. It is this overall pattern of harassment that is the issue."* (Mustafa: Man, aged 40-50, 'Other' ethnic group)

These normalised (yet not accepted) relationships have a cumulative impact. The ongoing character of violence results in a psycho-social toll, that over time wears victim/survivors down, with significant implications for mental and physical health (Macdonald et al, 2021). In the case of Fatima above, it was recorded that she felt 'degraded, exhausted and very hurt' and would sometimes stay in bed for a week because she 'can't face getting up'. There are several other instances where the mental health of clients is explicitly raised and eight participants (out of 50 filtered cases) reported changes in their physical health such as heart attacks and strokes due to them being subjected to attack, ridicule, but also as we discuss further below; isolation, confinement and inadequate support.

Despite evidence of constancy, there can also be irregular rhythms. As is clear above, the death of Fatima's husband had significant influence on the regularity and severity of this abuse. Incidents may flare up and die down again, due to various interventions, changes in circumstances for either/or victim/survivors and/or perpetrators and even in some cases, changes of season. Yet when they do

begin, they are often repeated with regularity. This can be seen in the case of Aras (Man, aged 30-40, 'Other' ethnic group), where a temporary resolution was achieved through forms of negotiation between perpetrators and victims and apologies were made, but for un-documented reasons, incidents revived: 'They have said sorry, but then they start up again'. In addition, as Laurie and Shaw (2018: 13) suggest, 'violence refuses to be bounded by a temporal event and can haunt the future potentials of subjects.' As seen for Layla at the outset of the paper, even in the absence of violence, the prospect of further incidents hangs heavy, and fear has a disabling effect.

#### ***4.2 Spaces of familiarity and entrapment***

As we write elsewhere, 'hate relationships might not only exist between individuals but with locations associated with individuals' (Donovan et al, 2019:192). We draw attention here to the home and neighbourhood, which become sites of insecurity, immobility and confinement. As Mason (2005b) notes, for those incidents which constitute harassment though repeated patterns of targeting, 'residential localisation' becomes even more important. In our data perpetrators were mainly next-door neighbours, family and friends of those neighbours, those living in the same streets or adjacent roads, or those from the local area who become recognisable (Mason, 2005b) as part of neighbourhood-based routines.

Unlike some writing on conviviality and neighbourliness (Gilroy, 2005; Heil, 2014) the cases referred to here are not always situated within what might be described as ethnically diverse neighbourhoods. Practices of community-based security and support (Phillips, et al, 2007) seem absent in many cases and the sense of isolation from proximate support networks is implicit. Whilst some clients mention positive relations with other neighbours, others experience their localities as what Spicer (2008) calls 'excluding neighbourhoods'; limiting the ability to develop affective ties which as Méndez and Otero (2018) suggest might insulate people from neighbourhood conflict. This has implications for the situational vulnerabilities we discuss in the sense that unwanted attention is directed towards clients who become hyper visible in neighbourhoods characterised by whiteness, deprivation and socio-economic marginalisation (Clayton, 2008). The consequence of this is racialized territoriality at both local (see Saabir's account) and national (see Rose's account) scales:

*"On the 8th November he was having some work done to the house when the kids threw stones over the wall, cracking a window. Client took photos and reported this to the police.*

*He also went to speak to one of the kid's parents. The kid said: 'this is Leighton Estate<sup>9</sup>; you shouldn't be here'.*" (Saabir: Man, aged 40-50, Asian British-Indian)

*"She went outdoor and start recording and taking pictures of them. They called her a 'fucking bitch' and told her 'to go back to your fucking country'"* (Rose: Woman, aged 30-40, Black/Black British-African)

Many of the incidents documented are proximate to the victim's home, or on occasions the home becomes the target. This reduces the ability to avoid, escape, or rework the basis of these relationships. Encounters with those who are familiar *but also* violent, compromises the safety and security that homes should, but often do not, provide (Tyner, 2016). The position of the home within the neighbourhood is also significant. Whilst the home may provide some respite from exposure to harmful encounters beyond its walls, violence cannot be forgotten or entirely escaped. As referenced above in the case of Layla, if the situation remains unresolved, clients continue to be haunted. This fear also means that behaviour is self-regulated. In the following example Faizan, whose family were seeking asylum in the UK and were already struggling with PTSD, became hyper vigilant of their behaviours (such as his young children crying) to avoid 'igniting the neighbours' who had recently moved in below them. The quality of the built environment is significant here. The type (often flats and terraced housing) and tenure of housing means neighbours often live cheek by jowl, where walls, ceilings and floors are thin and where the lives are not as 'private' as they would be in more affluent housing contexts (Koch, 2018).

*"... the new neighbours from downstairs- 4 occasions of banging on the door, shouting abuse ('P\*\*\*' and other words which he couldn't catch), complaining about the noise. Family has been keeping the noise to a minimum and 'feel like thieves in their own home', creeping around to avoid igniting the neighbours.'* (Faizan: Man, aged 40-50, Asian/Asian British-Pakistani)

In other cases, racism is perpetuated within the home. There are examples (as seen for Fatima above) of perpetrators physically entering the home-space of the backyard but hate relationships can also develop through intimate familial relations. While Valentine et al (2015) highlight that in some families defined by racial diversity, there is a need (based on love and obligation) to find a 'moral proximity', it is also true that difference can be treated as a threat, disrupting 'idealised imaginings of how family 'ought to be'' (p. 292). In our study there is evidence of racism directed at family members. Living with difference is literally played out in ways that expose the situational vulnerabilities of clients and

---

<sup>9</sup> This is relatively self-contained housing estate of mostly newer properties set within a larger multi-ethnic residential urban area in one of the most deprived parts of this city.

intersections of racialised and gendered violence (Hopkins, 2019). For example, Nala, continued to share a house with an ex-partner who was physically abusive towards her and her children as well as overtly racist towards them and others. This stark hate relationship appears akin to post-separation abuse that can result from a domestically violent and abusive relationship.

*“Ex-partner’s racist attitudes and behaviour became worse and worse throughout the last two years. This included things like humiliating black waiting staff at Nando’s, talking about ‘immigrants’ and ‘wanting his country back’, praising Trump and his racist attitudes, humiliating her older son for his choice of (black) music and dance styles.”* (Nala: Woman, aged 40-50, Black/Black British-African)

There is also a dangerous ambiguity at work in some experiences of home life and the ‘outside world’. For those subjected to hostility, there is a fear of leaving the home in anticipation of confrontations (see Fatima’s account above and below). In other examples (see Ali below), the reverse was the case – where he would avoid returning home. In both cases, the home space become a source of fear. Such risks were actively navigated (Hall and Bates, 2019), but in so doing, a further layer of unfreedom materialises; a sense of carcerality (Moran et al, 2018).

*“Client says that she feels like a prisoner in her home...If she goes out she has to check that there’s no-one around, and she ends up not going out at all.”* (Fatima: Woman, aged 60-70, ‘Other’ ethnic group)

*‘I’m trying to keep myself at work rather than staying at home’* (Ali: Man, aged 40-50, unknown ethnic group)

#### **4.3 Relations of entrapment**

Drawing attention to the entrapments that hate relationships produce, requires consideration of the forces that give weight and longevity to these experiences. This moves our attention away from direct forms of intentional violence perpetrated by identifiable offenders, to think through how hate relationships are also constituted in relation to ‘intimate violence [that] may be tacitly or explicitly sanctioned by states and institutions. (Pain and Staeheli, 2014: 344). The case notes do not always speak to direct forms of institutional violence – but in all cases constitute part of a landscape and atmosphere of harm. The cumulative toll on health and wellbeing is exacerbated by the (in)actions of key local institutions which includes not taking clients claims seriously, being dismissive and failing to adequately record incidents; ‘where doing nothing is a cause of harm’ (Laurie and Shaw, 2018: 9). For Jo and her family, the fact the Police have failed to take seriously their experiences has stretched this hate relationship out for years.

*'The effects of all this over the past 4 years have been immense. Husband has faced huge amounts of stress and humiliation in visiting court, solicitors, police stations etc. 'Son's mental health and wellbeing have been hugely affected.'* (Jo: Female, aged 40-50, White British, husband also identifies White British but racially targeted due to 'darker tone')

Some clients are more explicitly forced into and trapped within hate relationships because of limits on freedom of movement. Those subject to the asylum system, for example, have no control over where they live and the nature of their accommodation (Kissoon, 2010). Forced dispersal across the country into often inadequate accommodation can occur at short notice. In one such case the family had been moved from a city in the English Midlands (where they were relatively happy) to a city in North East England. For those seeking asylum, housing is privatised (Darling, 2016) and there has been much controversy over this provision in the region amidst an explicitly 'hostile environment' policy context (Cassidy, 2020). Upon their relocation they have experienced '...problems everyday going to school or shopping, with neighbours swearing at them and being racially abusive'. After several months, the family were re-housed again, (in another northern English city) despite their appeals. .

Most, although not all, cases examined took place in deprived neighbourhoods and social housing (21 out of 34 cases). For these residents, our data suggests Housing Associations (HAs) play a crucial role in re-producing both the likelihood of, and harm caused by, hate relationships. As a key part of the UK social housing landscape, HAs are not-for-profit organisations that own, let, and manage rented housing, often to those on low incomes. They have a responsibility to maintain properties and ensure the safety of homes that are fit for habitation. One the main obstacles in allowing HAs to adequately respond to incidents is that they do not have the authority to intervene, yet as mentioned above, the Police are often unwilling to recognise the situation as serious enough to pursue. There is evidence to suggest that in some situations HAs have not taken seriously justified requests of tenants which would help them to better deal with harassment. For example, requests for a walled garden to prevent unwanted incursions were turned down and in another case the client's request for help paying for insulation to be fitted in alcoves to prevent noise-based harassment was unsuccessful.

In other cases, there is evidence that local authority Anti-Social Behaviour teams and the Police, have colluded with perpetrating neighbours exacerbating isolation, for example, to minimise the chances that the surveillance technology would pick up the level of disturbance being experienced. There is an emphasis here on the pacification of offenders, which speaks to institutional indifference and arguably institutional racism. Again, we can see parallels with the domestic violence literature which emphasises the collusion with and condonement of men's violence by the criminal justice system (Hanmer, 2004). The result for those who are victimised is a further sense of entrapment as routes to recognition and



support are narrowed. This excerpt from an e-mail sent by Raha whose family had experienced multiple incidents, including incursions onto her property, provides evidence of feelings of frustration and distrust. She had been logging incidents with the Neighbourhood Housing Team, but reached a point where she has stopped reporting due to a lack of action

*'From being a victim of hate on several occasions and the police refusing to put CCTV cameras up and now gaining access to my property back garden without my consent has added fear stress and invaded my privacy. I have reported this once again to the council who have said they can't do anything about it as it is a private landlord and to contact the police... I am feeling very vulnerable at this moment of time and embarrassed I am trapped in my own home and the council and the police are doing nothing'. (Raha: Woman, aged 30-40, Asian/Asian British-Bangladeshi)*

Clients become entrapped in enduring and spatially concentrated relationships that produce vulnerabilities, not due to their own identities, nor through problems innate to neighbourhoods, but through the relations that sustain fertile ground for hate relationships to take hold. While not all institutional responses were perceived as negative, the sense of not being believed and not possessing adequate evidence for a concerted response that would alter the situation, was clear.

### **5. Resistance, negotiation and escape**

Clients were not entirely reliant on local institutions in their responses. As Browne et al, (2011) illustrate in relation to LGBT safety, community led responses emerge through recognition of inadequate formal responses. Willingness to become involved in the advocacy process already signifies a challenge to the direction of stigmatisation (Donovan et al, 2019). Despite the habitual and normalised status of hate relationships, and the manner in which structural violence might become taken for granted (Laurie and Shaw, 2018), experiences of hate, are not deemed acceptable by victim/survivors.

In addition to the self-regulation noted above in Faizan's case, there is evidence of clients attempting to negotiate with perpetrators. We have noted that everyday negotiations of difference are central to the encounter literature. However, there is a dearth of work considering the type of negotiations seen in our case notes, pro-actively initiated by the victim/survivor with the aim of de-escalating situations and altering the terms of the relationship. The case notes speak to some courageous efforts to confront perpetrators, their families and acquaintances, despite the clear risks involved. For example, we saw in the case of Saabir, that the client took photos of a cracked window, reported the incident to the police and spoke to the parents of the perpetrators. In some cases, such as for Gloria, this form

of victim led negotiation yielded relative success in allowing for a less tense co-existence when confronting parents of a young neighbour consistently targeting them and their property.

*“They have spoken to his mother, who did offer to pay for the damage, but told her they simply wanted his behaviour to stop. Since then things have been quieter, and they have been experimenting with parking closer to the house. However, they are deeply affected by this.”* (Gloria: Female and male, aged 50-60, Black/Black British-African)

However, such action rarely brought about longer-term resolutions, keeping the attention at bay before harassment re-commenced. Nor did it prevent the longer-term damage of being ‘deeply affected’. A further way in which clients look to respond is through making adjustments to their home by installing protective and surveillance equipment which both allow for a record of (visible) acts and as a preventive device to discourage harassment. Some take these actions on the advice of the Police, HA or local authority, while others act independently. This is a demonstration of pro-active resistance, but also evidence of the additional burdens placed on victim/survivors to resolve these situations.

In extending this point, we finally turn to the most evident manoeuvre out of/away from hate relationships. Many of the cases analysed do not end in a clear resolution and records often cease when contact is lost or when the client indicates they no longer require such services. However, one of the main ways in which resolutions do seem to occur is through physical movement. Where the client is living in privately owned or rented properties this may take place without the knowledge of the advocacy service and is only discovered through unanswered calls, letters or emails. However, for those in social housing these processes are more clearly documented.

For some clients, such as Mustafa and sister, experiences of the region are alienating and exclusionary, including, but extending beyond experiences in and around the home. This necessitated a movement away, in a similar way that Vickers et al (2018) discuss moving away as a possible route to improving employment prospects for new migrants.

*“Client is beginning to think about moving South as he feels that the people and police in Newcastle are racist in general - he has been spat at in the shops and feels very unwelcome at the doctors etc.”* (Mustafa: Man, aged 40-50, ‘Other’ ethnic group)

For others, it is specific neighbourhoods and housing arrangements they wish to move away from. For Nyasha, over time with diminishing prospects for things improving and with the help of a supportive housing officer, movement to an alternative home in the same city is seen as effective in bringing about a more hopeful future for her and her son.

*“The lady from [HA] came out to see her the day before and was very supportive; she wishes she'd known about their Victim Support service sooner. The lady has put her down as a priority to move home, which the client has decided she would like to do. She said she feels that she has a future now”* (Nyasha: Female, aged 40-50, ‘Other’ ethnic group)

As noted in relation to the experiences of asylum seekers, some clients have no influence over such re-location. Others can exercise a degree of choice but may be hampered by the lack of recognition that characterises hate relationships. Such an absence of evidence restricts escalation and prioritisation by local authorities and HAs. Others may need to balance additional considerations and commitments. Some clients may have established support networks, employment and/or school places locally. . Nyasha, for example, originally expressed reluctance to moving is tied to the potential disruption caused and a reticence to upend lives because of the actions of others.

*“Advocate explains that the client doesn’t want to move, because “her house is there, and it is close to her son’s school, where she also works”.”* (Nyasha)

In some cases, the difficulties involved in moving, may result in financial penalties, practical difficulties and unwanted effort and additional investments (e.g. specially adapted homes, decorated homes). For others, such as Rose, moving home offered little hope that scenarios would improve due to the limited options within both private rental sector and the social housing system where residents would be placed in poor housing or neighbourhoods deemed ‘unsafe’:

*“She was offered a house by [HA], but she doesn’t want to move because it has one bedroom less than the current home and she doesn’t want to get rid of furniture it has cost her to buy or to start everything (in terms of decorating) from scratch... Police have advised her that the area she has been offered is unsafe and not a good area. She would rather not move at all.”* (Rose: Woman, aged 30-40, Black/Black British-African, Newcastle)

Escape through mobility highlights that harmful encounters are experienced as enduring situated relationships concentrated in and around the home. It also speaks to additional burdens placed on those already subjected to racism: a requirement to adapt to their own marginalisation and move away, rather than a concerted systemic effort to deal the practices that sustain such relationships.

## **6. Conclusions**

Much of the literature dealing with urban encounters focuses on how risky and fraught interactions speak to the contingency of identity and belonging, with a central concern for progressive

potentialities. Whilst we value the counter-politics of thinking through identities that are subject to re-working, our research highlights that the terms, risks and burdens of different forms of encounter require further consideration. We highlight the unevenly distributed risks that the 'throwntogetherness' (Massey, 2005) of specific urban places might present, where power geometries condition the manner of negotiations. We draw attention to how patterns of encounters form in neighbourhoods through routines of familiarisation, in violent, exclusionary and racialised forms. We have illustrated this through cases recorded by a hate crime advocacy organisation in North East England to show that for a significant number of clients, enduring and often what is seen as 'low level' violence accrues in and around the home in the form of 'hate relationships'.

Through 'hate relationships', we address the call from Laurie and Shaw (2018: 10) to 'better comprehend violence in its multiple forms'. We draw upon the domestic violence literature to suggest there are parallels with patterns of coercion and control, which are often unidentified, mis-identified or condoned by those in positions of authority. Akin to experiences of domestic violence, the temporal and spatial dimensions of such relationships include a drawn-out psycho-social toll, feelings of insecurity and experiences of entrapment. We recognise our study brings attention to specific manifestations of racism, and that discrimination is not solely experienced through such relationships. We also acknowledge the experiences discussed in this paper are not representative of all racialised lives in the region and do not capture the full and complex experience of those working with the advocacy organisation. At the same time, given these are often hidden experiences which intensify marginalisation in often unbearable ways, there is a vital specificity to accounts which we can reasonably assume speak to a wider phenomenon.

Employing the language of a relationship not only allows us to recognise the temporal nature of these forms of racist violence, but also the socio-spatial connections between individual actions, places and wider relations that frame the possibility of harmful encounters. As such there is a need to recognise the power of both situated moments of encounter as violent everyday realities and the relational connections *seemingly* beyond those moments. Despite evidence of active and courageous forms of negotiation, the forces at work through everyday encounters condition resistant acts. We draw attention to embedded inequalities of 'race' and class, but also institutional practices that facilitate entrapment. We explore situations in which victim/survivors are concentrated in often marginalised neighbourhoods and residualised housing tenures where tensions are heightened, but where institutional responses are too often characterised by lack of proof, disbelief, and forms of collusion. This seems particularly important when considering the dynamics of racisms experienced in places that have been most adversely affected by a 'chronic urban trauma' (Pain, 2019).

Crucially, any efforts to thin out 'the accumulation of racial difference' Nayak (2010: 2374) must deal with the concentrated, enduring and cumulative nature of harmful encounters and their relations to broader power geometries of 'race' and racism. We suggest that closer attention must be paid to the violent force of encounters which cumulatively build through space and time, re-producing racialised power relations as a slow psycho-social toll and an overwhelming force. These are encounters that are hard to escape and which restrict more progressive possibilities. Perhaps more than a bump, hiss or scratch (Nayak, 2017) our research speaks to experiences defined by a persistent and sometimes deafening white noise. Action to prevent hate relationships and the encounters that constitute them, requires progress on multiple fronts that are sensitive to place based dynamics. This includes, but is not limited to practices of housing, criminal, racial and social justice – with the desire to be free from harmful encounters at the very centre.

## References

- Ahmed S (2000) Who knows? Knowing strangers and strangeness. *Australian feminist studies*, 15(31): 49-68.
- Ahmed S (2001) The organisation of hate. *Law and Critique* 12(3): 345-365.
- Ahmed S (2007) A phenomenology of whiteness. *Feminist theory* 8(2): 149-168.
- Allport GW (1954) *The nature of prejudice*. Cambridge MA: Perseus Books.
- Amin A (2002) Ethnicity and the multicultural city: living with diversity. *Environment and Planning A* 34(6): 959-980.
- Askins K (2015) Being together: Everyday geographies and the quiet politics of belonging. *ACME: An International Journal for Critical Geographies* 14(2): 470-478.
- Askins K and Pain R (2011) Contact zones: participation, materiality, and the messiness of interaction. *Environment and Planning D: society and space* 29(5): 803-821.
- Back L, Keith M, Khan A, Shukra K and Solomos J (2002) New Labour's white heart: politics, multiculturalism and the return of assimilation. *The Political Quarterly* 73(4): 445-454.
- Back L, and Sinha S (2016) Multicultural conviviality in the midst of racism's ruins. *Journal of Intercultural Studies* 37(5): 517-532.
- Bonilla-Silva E (2006) *Racism without racists: Color-blind racism and the persistence of racial inequality in the United States*. Lanham MY: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

- Browne K, Bakshi L and Lim J (2011) 'It's Something You Just Have to Ignore': Understanding and Addressing Contemporary Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Trans Safety Beyond Hate Crime Paradigms. *Journal of Social Policy* 40(4): 739-756.
- Butcher M (2019) Re-working encounter: the role of reflexivity in managing difference. *Social & Cultural Geography* 20(9): 1198-1217.
- Cassidy K (2020) Housing, the hyper-precarization of asylum seekers and the contested politics of welcome on Tyneside. *Radical Housing Journal* 2(1): 93-117.
- Clayton J (2008) Everyday geographies of marginality and encounter in the multicultural city in Dwyer C and Bressey C (eds) *New geographies of race and racism*, pp. 255-268.
- Clayton J (2009) Thinking spatially: towards an everyday understanding of inter-ethnic relations. *Social & Cultural Geography*, 10(4): 481-498.
- Clayton J, Donovan C & Macdonald S J (2016) A critical portrait of hate crime/incident reporting in North East England: The value of statistical data and the politics of recording in an age of austerity. *Geoforum*, 75: 64-74.
- Cook J, Dwyer P and Waite L (2011) 'Good relations' among neighbours and workmates? The everyday encounters of Accession 8 migrants and established communities in urban England. *Population, Space and Place* 17(6): 727-741.
- Darling J (2016) Privatising asylum: Neoliberalisation, depoliticisation and the governance of forced migration. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 41(3): 230-243.
- Darling J and Wilson HF (eds). (2016) *Encountering the city: Urban encounters from Accra to New York*. London: Routledge.
- Donovan C, Clayton J, Macdonald SJ, Ungureanu C and Knight, M (2021) *Exploring 'hate relationships' through Connected Voice's Hate Crime Advocacy Service: Final project report*
- Donovan C and Hester M (2014) *Domestic Violence and Sexuality: What's Love Got to Do with It?* Bristol: Policy Press.
- Gilroy P (2000) *Against race: Imagining political culture beyond the color line*. Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.
- Gilroy P (2004) *After empire: Melancholia or convivial culture?* London: Routledge.
- Gilroy P (2005) Melancholia or conviviality: The politics of belonging in Britain. *Soundings* 29: 48-59.

- Gilroy P, Sandset T, Bangstad S and Høibjerg GR (2019) A diagnosis of contemporary forms of racism, race and nationalism: a conversation with Professor Paul Gilroy. *Cultural Studies* 33(2): 173-197.
- Goffman E (1961) *Encounters: Two studies in the sociology of interaction*. Indianapolis IN: Bobbs Merrill.
- Hall E and Bates E (2019) Hatescape? A relational geography of disability hate crime, exclusion and belonging in the city. *Geoforum* 101: 100-110.
- Hambly O, Rixom J, Singh S and Wedlake-James T (2018) *Hate crime: a thematic review of the current evidence*. London: UK Home Office.
- Harris A (2014) Conviviality, Conflict and Distanciation in Young People's Local Multicultures, *Journal of Intercultural Studies*, 35(6): 571-587.
- Heil T (2014) Are neighbours alike? Practices of conviviality in Catalonia and Casamance. *European Journal of Cultural Studies* 17(4): 452-470.
- Heil T (2019) Conviviality on the Brink. Mecila Working Paper Series, No. 14, São Paulo: The Maria Sibylla Merian International Centre for Advanced Studies in the Humanities and Social Sciences Conviviality-Inequality in Latin America.
- hooks, b (1992) *Black looks: race and representation*. Boston, MA South End Press.
- Hopkins P (2019) Social geography I: Intersectionality. *Progress in Human Geography* 43(5): 937–947.
- Hopkins P, Clayton J and Tell MAMA (2020) *Islamophobia and Anti-Muslim Hatred in North East England*, Newcastle University. <https://www.tellmamauk.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/ISLAMOPHOBIA-AND-ANTI-MUSLIM-HATRED-IN-NORTH-EAST-ENGLAND-090620.pdf>
- Hou J (2016) Deadly and Lively Encounters. In: Darling J and Wilson H (eds) *Encountering the City*, London: Routledge, pp. 221-228.
- Jones H, Neal S, Mohan G, Connell K, Cochrane A and Bennett K (2015) Urban multiculturalism and everyday encounters in semi-public, franchised cafe spaces. *The Sociological Review* 63(3): 644-661.
- Kissoon P (2010) From persecution to destitution: a snapshot of asylum seekers' housing and settlement experiences in Canada and the United Kingdom. *Journal of Immigrant & Refugee Studies*, 8(1): 4-31.

- Koch I (2018) From welfare to lawfare: environmental suffering, neighbour disputes and the law in UK social housing. *Critique of Anthropology* 38(2): 221-235.
- Laurie EW and Shaw IG (2018) Violent conditions: The injustices of being. *Political Geography* 65: 8-16.
- Laurier E, Whyte A and Buckner K (2002) Neighbouring as an Occasioned Activity: "Finding a Lost Cat". *Space and Culture* 5(4): 346-367.
- Laurier E and Philo C (2006) Possible geographies: a passing encounter in a café. *Area* 38: 353–363.
- Listerborn C (2015) Geographies of the veil: Violent encounters in urban public spaces in Malmö, Sweden. *Social & Cultural Geography*, 16(1): 95-115.
- Little J (2019) Violence, the Body and the Spaces of Intimate War. *Geopolitics*. DOI: 10.1080/14650045.2019.1567498.
- Lobo M (2015) Gestures of judgement and welcome in public spaces: hypervisible migrant newcomers in Darwin, Australia. *Journal of Cultural Geography* 32(1): 54-67.
- McGhee D (2003) Moving to 'our' common ground—a critical examination of community cohesion discourse in twenty-first century Britain. *The Sociological Review* 51(3): 376-404.
- Macdonald, S. J., Donovan, C., & Clayton, J. (2021). 'I may be left with no choice but to end my torment': disability and intersectionalities of hate crime. *Disability & Society*, DOI: 10.1080/09687599.2021.1928480.
- Mason G (2005a) Being hated: stranger or familiar? *Social & Legal Studies* 14(4): 585-605.
- Mason G (2005b) Hate crime and the image of the stranger. *British Journal of Criminology* 45(6): 837-859.
- Massey D (2005) *For space*. London: Sage.
- Matejskova T and Leitner H (2011) Urban encounters with difference: The contact hypothesis and immigrant integration projects in eastern Berlin. *Social & Cultural Geography* 12(7): 717-741.
- Méndez ML and Otero G (2018) 'Neighbourhood conflicts, socio-spatial inequalities, and residential stigmatisation in Santiago, Chile.' *Cities* 74: 75-82.
- Moffette D and Ridgley J (2018) Sanctuary City Organizing in Canada: From Hospitality to Solidarity. *Migration and Society* 1(1): 147-155.



- Moran D, Turner J and Schliehe AK (2018) Conceptualizing the carceral in carceral geography. *Progress in Human Geography* 42(5): 666-686.
- Nayak A (2010) Race, affect, and emotion: young people, racism, and graffiti in the postcolonial English suburbs. *Environment and Planning A*, 42(10): 2370-2392.
- Nayak A (2017) Purging the nation: Race, conviviality and embodied encounters in the lives of British Bangladeshi Muslim young women. *Transactions of the institute of British geographers* 42(2): 289-302.
- Neal S, Bennett K, Cochrane A and Mohan G (2013) Living multicultural: understanding the new spatial and social relations of ethnicity and multiculturalism in England. *Environment and Planning C: government and policy* 31(2): 308-323.
- Neal S, Bennett K, Cochrane A and Mohan G (2019) Community and conviviality? Informal social life in multicultural places. *Sociology* 53(1): 69-86.
- Oosterlynck S, Schuermans N and Loopmans M (eds) (2017) *Place, diversity and solidarity*. London: Routledge.
- Pain R (2014) Everyday terrorism: connecting domestic violence and global terrorism. *Progress in Human Geography* 38: 531-550.
- Pain R and Staeheli L (2014) Introduction: Intimacy-geopolitics and violence. *Area*, 46(4): 344-347.
- Pain R (2019) Chronic urban trauma: The slow violence of housing dispossession. *Urban Studies*, 56(2): 385-400.
- Peterson M (2020) Micro aggressions and connections in the context of national multiculturalism: everyday geographies of racialisation and resistance in contemporary Scotland. *Antipode*, 52(5), 1393-1412.
- Phillips D, Davis C and Ratcliffe P (2007) British Asian narratives of urban space. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 32(2): 217-234.
- Rogaley B (2020) *Stories from a Migrant City: Living and working together in the shadow of Brexit*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Schutz WC (1973) *Elements of encounter*. Joy Press.
- Spicer N (2008) Places of exclusion and inclusion: Asylum-seeker and refugee experiences of neighbourhoods in the UK. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 34(3): 491-510.

- Stevens Q (2007) *The ludic city: exploring the potential of public spaces*. London: Routledge.
- Straughan E, Bissell D, and Gorman-Murray A (2020) The politics of stuckness: Waiting lives in mobile worlds. *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*. 38(4): 636-655.
- Tyner J (2016) Herding elephants: Geographic perspectives on gendered violence. *Dialogues in Human Geography* 6(2): 190-197.
- Valentine G (2008) Living with difference: reflections on geographies of encounter. *Progress in human geography* 32(3): 323-337.
- Valentine G, Piekut A and Harris C (2015) Intimate encounters: The negotiation of difference within the family and its implications for social relations in public space. *The Geographical Journal*, 181(3): 280-294.
- Walters MA, Brown R and Wiedlitzka S (2016) *Causes and Motivations of Hate Crime*. London: Equality and Human Rights Commission.
- Watson S and Anamik S (2013) Suburban Drifts: mundane multiculturalism in outer London. *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 36(12): 2016-2034.
- Wilson HF (2011) Passing propinquities in the multicultural city: the everyday encounters of bus passengering. *Environment and planning A* 43(3): 634-649.
- Wilson HF (2017) On geography and encounter: Bodies, borders, and difference. *Progress in Human Geography* 41(4): 451-471.
- Wise A and Velayutham S (eds) (2009) *Everyday multiculturalism*. Berlin: Springer.
- Wise A and Noble G (2016) Convivialities: An Orientation. *Journal of Intercultural Studies* (37)5: 423-431.