# Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Thomas, Kevin, Goodall, Stuart and Howatson, Glyn (2018) Performance Fatigability Is Not Regulated to A Peripheral Critical Threshold. Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews, 46 (4). pp. 240-246. ISSN 0091-6331

Published by: Wolters Kluwer

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/JES.0000000000162 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/JES.0000000000162>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/35045/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <a href="http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html">http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html</a>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)





1	Performance fatigability is not regulated to a peripheral critical
2	threshold
3	
4	Kevin Thomas <sup>1</sup> Stuart Goodall <sup>1</sup> Glyn Howatson <sup>1,2</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne,
6	United Kingdom; <sup>2</sup> Water Research Group, School of Environmental Sciences and
7	Development, Northwest University, Potchefstroom, South Africa
8	
9	Short title: Fatigability is task-dependent
10	
11	Address for correspondence:
12	Dr Kevin Thomas,
13	Faculty of Health and Life Science,
14	Department of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation,
15	Northumbria University,
16	Newcastle-upon-Tyne,
17	NE1 8ST,
18	UK.
19	Tel: +44 191 227 4863,
20	Fax: +44 191 227 4713
21	Email: kevin2.thomas@northumbria.ac.uk
22	
23	No funding was received for the work. The authors have no conflicts of interest to

24 declare.

#### 25 ABSTRACT

The *critical threshold hypothesis* proposes that performance fatigability during highintensity exercise is tightly regulated by negative-feedback signals from the active muscles. We propose that performance fatigability is simply dependent on the exercise mode and intensity; the consequent adjustments, in skeletal muscle and the other physiological systems that support exercise, interact to modulate fatigue and determine exercise tolerance.

32

#### 33 KEY WORDS

34 Afferent feedback; cardiovascular; exercise; fatigue; fatigability; muscle; respiratory

35

#### 36 SUMMARY

The magnitude of performance fatigability observed after high-intensity exercise istask-dependent, and not regulated to a peripheral critical threshold.

39

#### 40 KEY POINTS

Fatigue is a symptom, or percept, that limits exercise performance in healthy
individuals.

The *critical threshold hypothesis* emphasizes a critical role for metabolitemediated afferent discharge in determining exercise tolerance. Specifically,
negative-feedback signals from active muscle act to restrain central motor
command to limit metabolic perturbation within locomotor muscle, and therefore
constrain decrements in the quadriceps potentiated twitch force (a measure of
performance fatigability) to a specific, task-dependent level.

We propose that performance fatigability is simply determined by the mode and intensity of the task; these factors dictate the active muscle mass, and demand on other physiological systems. The consequent adjustments interact to modulate fatigue, which determines exercise tolerance.

We review existing correlative and experimental evidence to demonstrate that
 performance fatigability of skeletal muscle is but one limiting factor in modulating
 fatigue and exercise tolerance, the importance of which varies with the exercise
 task.

- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

#### 61 **INTRODUCTION**

62 The study of fatigue and the factors that limit, or regulate, exercise performance has 63 captivated scientists for centuries, but a thorough explanation of the etiology of this 64 condition remains elusive (1, 2). The classic writings of Angelo Mosso (3) identified 65 the two phenomena that characterize fatigue; i) a physical component represented by 66 a diminution of muscular force, and ii) fatigue as a sensation. Over a century later, 67 debate still ensues over our understanding of fatigue, and specifically the sensation of 68 fatigue. Mosso's original description of fatigue was based on the concept of repetitive 69 contractions that induced neuromuscular adjustments in healthy populations that were 70 reversible by rest.. This idea of an organic cause for a perceptual construct remains 71 pertinent for our conceptualization of fatigue in the exercise sciences (4). For the 72 purpose of this review fatigue will be discussed within the taxonomy proposed by 73 Enoka & Duchateau (2). Specifically, fatigue is defined as a symptom or percept, 74 characterized by feelings of tiredness and weakness, in which physical and cognitive 75 function are limited by interactions between performance fatigability and perceived 76 fatigability (2). Performance fatigability refers to the decline in an objective measure 77 of performance; such as the production of maximal voluntary force, the ability to 78 provide an adequate signal to voluntary activate muscle, or the involuntary twitch 79 response to stimulation (2). Throughout this review, we will use the reduction in the 80 involuntary twitch force in response to motor nerve stimulation as our indicator of 81 performance fatigability. Perceived fatigability refers to the sensations that regulate 82 the integrity of the performer; these sensations can be modulated by disruptions to 83 homeostasis (e.g. core temperature, hydration status, substrate availability) and 84 modifications in psychological state (e.g. arousal, motivation, mood) that contribute 85 to the perception of effort required for the task (2). Performance and perceived

86 fatigability are interdependent, and interact to modulate and determine the symptoms 87 of fatigue. In healthy participants, the physiological adjustments associated with high 88 intensity exercise are strongly associated with perceived fatigability and changes in 89 the rating of perceived exertion (RPE), such that there is a tolerable degree of fatigue 90 the person performing the exercise is willing to experience at any given point during 91 an exercise task. Such a definition is similar to the idea of a sensory tolerance limit 92 (5, 6), but emphasizes the myriad of modulating factors, both physical and 93 psychological, that could contribute to the symptom of fatigue the exerciser is willing 94 to endure at any given point during an exercise challenge.

95

96 The critical threshold hypothesis proposes a pivotal role for metabolite-mediated 97 afferent discharge in regulating 'central motor command' (defined as the activity of 98 premotor and motor areas of the brain related to voluntary muscle action; 7) during 99 exercise, and thus exercise performance. This hypothesis proposes that adjustments in 100 contractile function are constrained during high-intensity exercise in healthy 101 participants by negative-feedback signals from active muscles. Specifically, exercise-102 induced alterations of the intramuscular metabolic milieu are proposed to provoke 103 inhibitory input from group III and IV afferents that act to restrain central motor 104 command in order to protect against excessive disruption to muscle homeostasis (8). 105 This hypothesis has been experimentally tested via studying the decline in the 106 electrically or magnetically evoked twitch response to motor nerve stimulation as an 107 indicator of fatigue-related changes in the muscle. A number of studies (e.g. 8, 9, 10-108 17) have observed an unvarying post-exercise reduction in the involuntary quadriceps 109 potentiated twitch amplitude (Q<sub>tw,pot</sub>, often defined as peripheral fatigue, or locomotor 110 muscle fatigue, but hereafter referred to as performance fatigability) to a range of exercise tasks and experimental interventions, and provided interpretations in supportof this concept.

113

114 Recently, the authors of the critical threshold hypothesis revisited the sensory 115 tolerance limit concept proposed by Gandevia (6) to offer a more holistic explanation 116 for understanding the limits to exercise tolerance (5). The sensory tolerance limit 117 concept proposes it is the sum of all neural feedback, feedforward signals, and 118 associated sensations that interact to limit exercise performance. Such an idea is 119 qualitatively similar to the taxonomy proposed by Enoka & Duchateau (2) discussed 120 previously. This notwithstanding, the idea that group III/IV afferent feedback acts to 121 reduce central motor command and the subsequent development of performance 122 fatigability to a specific level remains a key feature of these updated proposals, but 123 with an acknowledgement that the magnitude of adjustments varies between 124 individuals and the exercise task (5).

125

126 The aim of this review is to propose that performance fatigability is not constrained to 127 a task-specific, critical peripheral threshold, but rather simply depends on the muscle 128 mass engaged during the task, and the associated disruption to homeostasis in 129 multiple physiological systems. The muscle mass recruited during exercise is 130 dependent on the intensity and mode of the task; these two critical factors will dictate 131 the magnitude of performance fatigability. Specifically, we propose that for the same mode of exercise, reductions in Q<sub>tw,pot</sub> will increase with exercise intensity, primarily 132 133 because a greater proportion of the active musculature will be activated and exhausted 134 as the force requirements of the task increase. Furthermore, we propose the adjustments as the active muscle mass increases during different exercise modes (e.g. 135

136 single limb < double limb < whole body locomotor) are progressively dictated by the 137 demand placed on maintaining the homeostasis of other competing physiological 138 systems that support exercise (e.g. cardiovascular, respiratory). As a consequence, the 139 magnitude of performance fatigability is lower as other adjustments contribute to the 140 maximum tolerable symptom of fatigue the exerciser is willing to endure. We propose 141 the observation of a consistent magnitude of end-exercise performance fatigability is 142 due to the characteristics of the task, and not a result of regulation to a critical 143 threshold. Disruption to the metabolic milleu of the muscle tissue is but one potential 144 modifier of fatigue, and varies in importance depending on the exercise task. This 145 notwithstanding, the ability of skeletal muscle to meet the demands of exercise is 146 likely to be the primary modulator of fatigue and thus exercise performance, as 147 skeletal muscle will incur greater metabolic stress relative to it's maximum capacity 148 in comparison to the cardiac and respiratory muscle systems that support exercise 149 (18). This elegant design feature of the human body ensures that the homeostatic 150 physiological systems responsible for supporting life, do not approach exhaustion 151 during, and continue maintaining homeostatic functions after, exhaustive exercise 152 (18). However, while skeletal muscle will typically be the primary limiter of exercise, 153 the increased demand on cardiac and respiratory muscle systems, particularly at the 154 point of task failure, will still contribute to modulating the symptom of fatigue. 155 Ultimately, we propose it is the percept of fatigue that is regulated during exercise, 156 underpinned by changes in the factors that modulate performance and perceived 157 fatigability, which will vary in their importance depending on the exercise task. 158 These ideas are explicated in this review, alongside a reinterpretation of the 159 correlative and experimental evidence that seemingly supports the critical threshold 160 hypothesis.

## 162 Performance fatigability and active muscle mass

For the same relative intensity, we propose the magnitude of active muscle mass required for the exercise task will modulate the degree of performance fatigability, because of the consequent challenge to whole-body homeostasis that will contribute to the tolerable magnitude of fatigue. Data from comparisons between modes of exercise within (13, 14), and between studies (9, 19-21) support this idea.

168

169 Rossman et al. (13, 14) directly investigated the effect of varying the active muscle 170 mass on the magnitude of end-exercise performance fatigability. In the first of these 171 studies (14), participants voluntarily exercised to the limit of tolerance at 85% of 172 modality-specific maximal intensity in two exercise modes; isoinertial knee 173 extension, and locomotor cycling exercise. The magnitude of performance fatigability 174 was higher after knee extensor exercise when the active muscle mass was small, 175 compared to cycling exercise when the active muscle mass was larger ( $-53 \pm 2$  vs.  $-34 \pm 2\%$  reduction in Q<sub>tw,pot</sub>, respectively). The same authors subsequently 176 177 confirmed these observations studying single-leg knee extension exercise compared to 178 double-leg knee extension exercise, thereby circumventing the potential confounding 179 factor of mode-specific exercise responses (13). Specifically, participants completed 180 single-leg and double-leg knee extension exercise to their self-determined limit of 181 tolerance at the same relative modality-specific exercise intensity. The magnitude of 182 performance fatigability was higher after single leg knee extension exercise ( $-44 \pm$ 183 6%) compared to double-leg knee extension exercise  $(-33 \pm 7\%)$ . In both studies the 184 higher active muscle mass was also concurrent with higher cardiorespiratory 185 responses (13, 14), and in the second of these studies, the increase in the vastus

Q

186 *lateralis* integrated electromyogram signal (iEMG) from the first to the last minute of 187 exercise, was higher during single-leg compared to double-leg exercise ( $147 \pm 24$  vs. 188  $85 \pm 15\%$ ) indicative of a progressively greater recruitment of additional muscle mass 189 during the single-leg trial. These data demonstrate that when the active muscle mass 190 is smaller, a greater proportion of the available musculature is engaged during the 191 task, and the demand on other physiological systems is lower. In concert, these factors 192 lead to a greater post-exercise reduction in Q<sub>tw,pot</sub>, as the exerciser can tolerate greater 193 local muscular stress before the perception of effort becomes excessive.

194

195 Further comparisons between exercise modes also illustrates how the active muscle 196 mass modulates performance fatigability. For high intensity cycling exercise (80-90% 197 of peak intensity measured during an incremental test to the limit of tolerance, usually 198 abbreviated as P<sub>max</sub>) numerous research groups, including our own, have shown a 199 relatively consistent post-exercise reduction in potentiated twitch force of 200 approximately 35% (8, 9, 19, 20, 22). When the task requires a smaller active muscle 201 mass, the absolute reduction in twitch force after exhaustive exercise is higher. For 202 example, we observed a reduction in  $Q_{tw,pot}$  of 60 ± 13% after 3 × 30 s MVCs (23), 203 and as previously demonstrated Rossman et al. (13, 14) reported absolute reductions in  $Q_{\text{tw,pot}}$  of 44% and 53% after single limb knee extension exercise. Conversely, 204 205 during running exercise, when the active skeletal muscle mass is increased, the 206 absolute decline in potentiated twitch is lower; even for maximal repeated sprint 207 exercise ( $-24 \pm 9\%$ ; 21). Finally, prior high-intensity arm cycling reduces exercise 208 tolerance during leg cycling, and the worsened leg cycling exercise performance is 209 associated with a lower reduction in  $Q_{tw,pot}$  (-38 ± 13% vs. -26 ± 10%; 19). This last 210 finding underlines the effect that engaging a higher active muscle mass has on

211 modulating fatigue. Even though the upper limbs do not directly contribute to cycling 212 exercise, the higher sensory input from engaging and exhausting a greater volume of 213 skeletal muscle was proposed to limit subsequent cycling performance and constrain 214 performance of the locomotor muscles because the maximum tolerable degree of 215 fatigue the exerciser was willing to endure was reached more rapidly (19). Figure 1 216 provides a simplified summary of our proposal that the active muscle mass modulates 217 the maximum tolerable symptom of fatigue, and the magnitude of performance fatigability. Specifically, as the active muscle mass increases, the degree of 218 219 performance fatigability is lower as the sensory input from a larger muscle mass and 220 greater disruption to homeostasis in other physiological systems (e.g. cardiovascular, 221 respiratory) increases; ultimately these adjustments summate to collectively modulate 222 the symptom of fatigue the exerciser experiences

223

224 Rossman et al. (13, 14) acknowledged the task-specificity of performance fatigability, 225 and proposed that a reduction in the exercising muscle mass permits the development 226 of greater performance fatigability because of a reduction in the source of group 227 III/IV afferent feedback to a more local, and less diffuse, signal. Central to this 228 interpretation remains the idea that feedback from group III/IV afferents act to inhibit 229 central motor command to skeletal muscle to restrict the development of performance 230 fatigability to a specific critical level. While conceptually similar, we propose that the 231 higher magnitude of performance fatigability observed after single compared to 232 double leg exercise is not tightly regulated to a task-specific level, but rather is simply 233 a consequence of a greater recruitment and subsequent stress of a greater volume of 234 skeletal muscle. The smaller active muscle mass (both involved and non-involved 235 skeletal muscle), and lower activation of cardiac and respiratory muscle systems

236 affords a greater mass-specific blood flow to the exercising muscle (24), and a 237 progressively greater recruitment of additional muscle fibers (17). This smaller active 238 muscle mass permits the exerciser to endure greater perturbations to contractile 239 function as the threat to homeostasis is predominantly restricted to a single muscle 240 group, and as such a larger magnitude of performance fatigability can be incurred 241 before the fatigue elicited by the task is perceived as intolerable. As previously 242 described, it is the symptom of fatigue that is the likely "regulated" variable determining exercise tolerance, modulated by interactions between the factors that 243 244 underpin performance and perceived fatigability.

245

## 246 Performance fatigability and exercise intensity

247 The active muscle mass engaged during exercise interacts with exercise intensity (and 248 consequent duration) to determine the magnitude of performance fatigability. Before 249 any discussion of the importance of exercise intensity in determining performance 250 fatigability, consideration of the well-established intensity-duration relationship 251 characteristic of exercise performance is necessary. Briefly, the peak intensity of any 252 mode of activity declines as the duration of the task increases. The relationship 253 between intensity and duration can be described by a hyperbolic function with two 254 key features; i) the intensity asymptote of the intensity-time hyperbola corresponds to 255 a maximum sustainable intensity (the critical intensity, CI) and ii) the curvature 256 constant of the hyperbola denotes a finite amount of work that can be performed 257 above CI, termed W (25). The CI denotes the boundary between the "heavy" and 258 "severe" exercise intensity domains. Sustained activity above CI, in the severe 259 domain, elicits perturbations to intramuscular homeostasis that ultimately result in 260 task failure. Exercise below CI is theoretically fatigue-free, though in reality this prediction is not correct (26). The performance and physiological characteristics of the intensity-duration relationship are critical to consider when discussing any integrated model of fatigue. For the most part, we will discuss data from exercise tasks completed to the limit of tolerance at intensities above the CI.

265

266 Within the same exercise mode, the intensity of the task can modulate the level of 267 performance fatigability such that increases in intensity result in greater reductions in 268 Q<sub>tw pot</sub> (20, 22). However, the effect of intensity on performance fatigability is 269 negligible when the active muscle mass is small, the intensity is above CI, and the 270 relative demand on other modulators of fatigue is minimized (17). When the active 271 muscle mass is higher, (such as during whole body locomotor exercise), the exercise 272 intensity will influence performance fatigability; higher exercise intensities result in a 273 greater recruitment and subsequent adjustment of the active musculature, and a 274 greater reduction in potentiated twitch. These proposals are explained below.

275

276 During locomotor exercise (cycling and running) to volitional exhaustion, the degree 277 of performance fatigability is modulated by exercise intensity. Specifically, data from 278 our laboratory showed the reduction in Q<sub>tw,pot</sub> is exacerbated with increased exercise 279 intensity (20, 22). For example, during constant-load cycling at relative intensities of 100%, 76% and 64% of Pmax, we observed physiological responses consistent with 280 281 exercise above CI in the severe domain, and post-exercise reductions in potentiated twitch force of -33%, -16% and -11%, respectively (20). Additionally, the greatest 282 283 reductions (>50%) observed in potentiated twitch after cycling exercise have been 284 reported after repeated sprint cycling exercise, which theoretically offers a model 285 where exercise intensity is "all-out" or maximal (15, 16). The same pattern has also

286 been observed in running exercise; reductions in potentiated twitch after repeated 287 sprint running (-24%; 21) are higher than after 90 min of intermittent exercise (-14%; 27), and after marathon running, where no significant decline in Q<sub>tw,pot</sub> has 288 289 been observed (28). In all of these studies there was a short time delay (typically 1-2 290 min) between the cessation of exercise and the measurement of performance 291 fatigability that could potentially confound comparisons both within- and between-292 studies (29). However, even with this confound, the magnitude of difference observed 293 both between- and within-studies supports the supposition that locomotor exerciseinduced performance fatigability (measured by reductions in Q<sub>tw,pot</sub>) is exacerbated 294 295 with increasing exercise intensity.

296

297 In contrast to whole body cycling exercise, the magnitude of performance fatigability 298 after exhaustive single limb exercise above CI is unvarying (17). Additionally, 299 magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies show a similar post-exercise metabolic 300 derangement after exhaustive single-limb exercise at different intensities above CI 301 (30), although these metabolic responses have previously been dissociated from 302 measurements of performance fatigability (31). Whilst these observations contradict 303 the proposal that exercise intensity can modulate the degree of performance 304 fatigability, they can be explained by the interactive effect of exercising with a small 305 active muscle mass. Specifically, when the active muscle mass is smaller there is a 306 lower demand on maintaining homeostasis in other physiological systems. As such, the exerciser is able to tolerate a higher magnitude of performance fatigability specific 307 308 to reductions in contractile function before the maximum tolerable symptom of 309 fatigue is attained. The reader is referred back to Figure 1 for a graphical illustration 310 of this concept; during single-limb exercise the stress to other modulating factors is 311 minimized, such that a greater (perhaps maximum volitional) magnitude of 312 performance fatigability can be attained before the symptom of fatigue becomes 313 intolerable. This premise explains why exercise intensity modulates performance 314 fatigability after exhaustive exercise above CI in locomotor, but not single-limb 315 exercise modes.

316

## 317 Challenges to the model; afferent blockade.

318 Thus far our proposal has been based on correlative evidence, and observations 319 between studies. The strongest challenge to the idea that performance fatigability is 320 task-dependent and not regulated to a critical threshold is provided by experimental 321 studies that used an intrathecal opioid analgesic (fentanyl) to attenuate the activity of 322 group III/IV afferent feedback during exercise. These elegant studies have 323 consistently demonstrated that, when group III/IV afferent feedback is blocked by 324 fentanyl, participants voluntarily incur a higher degree of performance fatigability (8, 325 9, 32). The subsequent interpretation of these observations emphasize the decisive 326 role that group III/IV feedback from exercising skeletal muscle plays in determining 327 exercise tolerance, via sensory input that mediates central motor command during 328 exercise to constrain the development of performance fatigability to a specific, 329 unvarying, task-dependent level.

330

Although seemingly in opposition to our proposal, a reinterpretation of the data from these studies provides support to the idea that the magnitude of performance fatigability is dependent on the active muscle mass engaged, and disruption to homeostasis in multiple physiological systems, which collectively combine to modulate the symptom of fatigue and thus determine exercise tolerance. In addition to

336 attenuating the activity of group III/IV afferents, the administration of fentanyl also 337 compromises the exercise pressor reflex, which results in an attenuation of the 338 cardiopulmonary response to exercise (33). Consequently, the disruption to these 339 physiological systems, and the demand for cardiac and respiratory muscle work, is 340 attenuated, which theoretically reduces their input to modulating the symptom of 341 fatigue (see Figure 4, Amann et al., 2009 (8), and Figure 3, Amann et al., 2011 (9)). 342 We contend this enables the exerciser to recruit and exhaust a greater volume of the 343 knee extensor musculature during the task for the same symptom of fatigue because 344 there is less sensory input from, and/or demand on, the respiratory and cardiovascular 345 systems, not because there is a compromised regulation to a critical threshold. In 346 support of this proposal, the attenuated cardiovascular and respiratory response 347 observed in these studies was concurrent with a greater recruitment of the knee 348 extensor musculature during the cycling bout (see Figure 2, Amann et al., 2009 (8), 349 and Figure 2, Amann et al., 2011, (9)) when group III/IV afferent feedback was 350 blocked. Estimates of muscle activation via surface EMG are subject to a number of 351 valid critiques (34-36), particularly a lack of sensitivity in detecting small differences 352 in exercise intensity. Considering this, it is perhaps particularly striking that 353 participants had a consistently higher surface EMG after fentanyl administration even 354 though they were cycling at the same absolute intensity (9). Figure 2 illustrates this 355 alternative reinterpretation; in panel A, the symptom of fatigue is modulated to a 356 greater extent by adjustments in cardiovascular and respiratory systems, probably 357 mediated primarily by the stress to cardiac and respiratory muscle. This sensory input 358 indirectly limits the adjustments in contractile function by providing a greater 359 contribution to the tolerable fatigue the exerciser is willing to endure. Panel B illustrates how these inputs change when group III/IV afferent feedback is blocked; 360

the relative input of cardiopulmonary adjustments to modulating fatigue is reduced, which permits the exerciser to stress a greater degree of the locomotor skeletal muscle before the maximum tolerable perception of fatigue is attained. These data also demonstrate that, although skeletal muscle is the ultimate "limiter" of exercise performance, disruption to other physiological systems can modulate the symptom of fatigue even if such disruptions are submaximal relative to the higher capacity of these systems (18).

368

## 369 Does group III/IV afferent feedback from skeletal muscle contribute to fatigue?

370 The activity of group III/IV afferent feedback from exercising skeletal muscle clearly 371 contributes to the optimal regulation of exercise by instigating adjustments in multiple 372 physiological systems in response to the homeostatic threat that exercise might 373 impose (33, 37). Without such feedback, exercise regulation is almost certainly compromised, at least for high-intensity locomotor exercise lasting < 10 min (8, 9, 10 min)374 375 32). Indeed, Amann et al. (8, 9) clearly demonstrated that when such feedback is 376 blocked participants self-select exercise intensities and/or inappropriate recruitment 377 strategies that result in significant additional performance fatigability in comparison 378 to a control, with no improvement in exercise performance. These data clearly support the idea that group III/IV afferent feedback is important for the regulation of exercise, 379 380 at least indirectly.

381

The critical threshold hypothesis proposes that metabolite-mediated, non-nociceptive feedback also acts directly, in a negative feedback loop, on the central nervous system to restrain central motor command to limit reductions in contractile function to a specific level (7). In this review we have argued that adjustments in skeletal muscle as

a consequence of exhaustive exercise are intensity- and mode-dependent, and not 386 387 regulated to a critical threshold. Additionally, it is questionable whether non-388 nociceptive group III/IV afferent feedback from skeletal muscle has any impact 389 beyond the appropriate stimulation of the exercise pressor reflex. The potential 390 modulating role of nociceptive (i.e. pain-related) discharge of group III/IV afferents 391 on the recovery of muscle force and voluntary activation has been demonstrated using 392 models of post-exercise circulatory occlusion (38-42), however whether non-393 nociceptive afferents act on the CNS is debatable (43-45). In this review we have 394 conceptualized that disruptions to multiple physiological systems (including skeletal 395 muscle) combine to modulate the symptom of fatigue via sensory "input", but the 396 relative importance of such "inputs" is open to debate. Indeed, the neurophysiological 397 basis of fatigue, and the extent to which afferent feedback determines endurance 398 exercise performance remains the subject of fervent debate (44-48). Some theorists 399 propose the fatigue experienced during exercise is mediated primarily by the 400 integration of multiple afferent sensory inputs (49), whereas opponents cite the processing of corollary discharge from premotor/motor areas as the primary factor 401 402 mediating the perception of effort experienced during exercise (50). A limitation 403 within these debates is the concept of the RPE as a measure of fatigue is not described 404 in detail to afford a valid comparison between studies (51). A detailed discussion is 405 beyond the scope of the current review. Regardless of whether the fatigue experienced 406 during exercise can be explained by afferent or efferent mechanisms, understanding 407 the significance of different adjustments (both physiological and psychological) that 408 contribute to fatigue, how these vary with the exercise task, and how the tolerance of 409 fatigue can be modulated by intervention remain key questions for our understanding 410 of human performance (2).

## 412 CONCLUSION

413 The critical threshold hypothesis proposes that group III/IV afferent feedback from 414 skeletal muscle acts directly on the central nervous system to restrain central motor 415 command and limit performance fatigability to a specific, unvarying level. Here we 416 propose the reduction in skeletal muscle contractile function observed after exercise is 417 task-dependent, and determined primarily by the active muscle mass engaged in the 418 exercise bout, the exercise intensity, and the associated disruption to whole body 419 homeostasis. When the active muscle mass is small, greater reductions in contractile 420 function specific to the exercising muscle can be tolerated before fatigue becomes 421 intolerable as the sensory input is confined to a small muscle mass, and disruptions to 422 other physiological systems are smaller. When the active muscle mass is increased, 423 the demands placed on a larger skeletal muscle mass, and the extra disruption to 424 homeostasis in the physiological systems that support exercise, combine and summate 425 to modulate the symptom of fatigue. Consequently, the tolerable level of fatigue the 426 exerciser is willing to endure is mediated less by adjustments in the involved skeletal 427 muscle, as other adjustments in whole body homeostasis contribute to the perception 428 of fatigue. For locomotor exercise the intensity of the task also modulates the 429 magnitude of performance fatigability, as higher exercise intensities will result in the 430 recruitment and subsequent stress of a greater volume of skeletal muscle. This 431 explains why performance fatigability is: i) exacerbated with greater exercise 432 intensity during locomotor exercise, ii) larger at termination of single-limb exercise 433 than double-limb exercise, and locomotor cycling compared with running exercise, 434 iii) is consistent between trials of the same exercise task, and iv) is altered in 435 conditions of "blocked" afferent feedback when the subsequent force or muscle

- 436 activation strategies are also altered. The hypothesis put forth in this review provides437 a plausible alternative interpretation to the idea of a critical threshold, and further
- 438 experimental work to test this hypothesis is warranted.





446 Figure 1. Simplified illustration of how the active muscle mass required of the exercise task modulates the symptom of fatigue. In picture A, when a single muscle 447 448 group is exercised to the limit of tolerance, a strong, local disruption to the small 449 muscle mass involved in the task is the primary contributor to the symptom of fatigue 450 (represented by the thick arrow). In contrast, when the active muscle mass is 451 increased (picture B), the demands placed on i) a larger skeletal muscle mass (both 452 involved and non-involved), and ii) the disruption to homeostasis in other 453 physiological systems (cardiovascular, respiratory), all contribute to modulating the 454 symptom of fatigue (represented by a number of thin arrows). As a consequence, the 455 magnitude of performance fatigability, measured by reductions in the involuntary 456 potentiated twitch response to external stimulation, is reduced in the involved, active 457 musculature as other adjustments combine to modulate the symptom of fatigue.



Figure 2. Simplified schematic to demonstrate how potential modulators of the symptom of fatigue are affected by afferent blockade. The compromised exercise pressor response caused by administration of fentanyl precipitates a reduction in cardiovascular (CV) and respiratory responses to exercise, and the subsequent work of cardiac and respiratory muscle is reduced. The reduction in sensory input from these systems allows the exerciser to incur greater reductions in skeletal muscle contractile function before the maximum tolerable symptom of fatigue, which in healthy individuals is strongly associated with the perception of effort, (represented by the dashed line) is attained.

## 471 References

472 Marino FE, Gard M, Drinkwater EJ. The limits to exercise performance and 1. 473 the future of fatigue research. Br J Sports Med. 2011;45(1):65-7. 474 2. Enoka RM, Duchateau J. Translating Fatigue to Human Performance. Med 475 Sci Sports Exerc. 2016;48(11):2228-38. 476 Mosso A. Fatigue. Translated by Drummond. Putnam's; 1904. p. 154. 3. 477 Kuppuswamy A. The fatigue conundrum. Brain. 2017;140(8):2240-5. 4. 478 5. Hureau TJ, Romer LM, Amann M. The 'sensory tolerance limit': A 479 hypothetical construct determining exercise performance? Eur J Sport Sci. 480 2016:1-12. 481 6. Gandevia SC. Spinal and supraspinal factors in human muscle fatigue. 482 Physiol Rev. 2001;81(4):1725-89. Morree HM, Klein C, Marcora SM. Perception of effort reflects central 483 7. 484 motor command during movement execution. Psychophysiology. 485 2012;49(9):1242-53. Amann M, Proctor LT, Sebranek JJ, Pegelow DF, Dempsey JA. Opioid-486 8. 487 mediated muscle afferents inhibit central motor drive and limit peripheral 488 muscle fatigue development in humans. J Physiol. 2009;587(Pt 1):271-83. 489 9. Amann M, Blain GM, Proctor LT, Sebranek JJ, Pegelow DF, Dempsey JA. 490 Implications of group III and IV muscle afferents for high-intensity endurance 491 exercise performance in humans. J Physiol. 2011;589(Pt 21):5299-309. 492 10. Amann M, Dempsey JA. Locomotor muscle fatigue modifies central motor 493 drive in healthy humans and imposes a limitation to exercise performance. J 494 Physiol. 2008;586(1):161-73. 495 Amann M, Eldridge MW, Lovering AT, Stickland MK, Pegelow DF, 11. 496 Dempsey IA. Arterial oxygenation influences central motor output and exercise 497 performance via effects on peripheral locomotor muscle fatigue in humans. J 498 Physiol. 2006;575(Pt 3):937-52. 499 Amann M, Venturelli M, Ives SJ, McDaniel J, Layec G, Rossman MJ, et al. 12. 500 Peripheral fatigue limits endurance exercise via a sensory feedback-mediated 501 reduction in spinal motoneuronal output. J Appl Physiol. 2013;115(3):355-64. 502 13. Rossman MJ, Garten RS, Venturelli M, Amann M, Richardson RS. The role 503 of active muscle mass in determining the magnitude of peripheral fatigue during dynamic exercise. Am J Physiol. 2014;306(12):R394-40. 504 505 Rossman MJ, Venturelli M, McDaniel J, Amann M, Richardson RS. Muscle 14. mass and peripheral fatigue: a potential role for afferent feedback? Acta Physiol. 506 507 2012;206(4):242-50. Hureau TJ, Ducrocq GP, Blain GM. Peripheral and Central Fatigue 508 15. 509 Development during All-Out Repeated Cycling Sprints. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 510 2016;48(3):391-401. 511 16. Hureau TJ, Olivier N, Millet GY, Meste O, Blain GM. Exercise performance 512 is regulated during repeated sprints to limit the development of peripheral 513 fatigue beyond a critical threshold. Exp Physiol. 2014;99(7):951-63. 514 17. Burnley M, Vanhatalo A, Jones AM. Distinct profiles of neuromuscular 515 fatigue during muscle contractions below and above the critical torque in 516 humans. J Appl Physiol. 2012;113(2):215-23. 517 Walsh ML. Whole body fatigue and critical power: a physiological 18.

518 interpretation. Sports Med. 2000;29(3):153-66.

519 19. Johnson MA, Sharpe GR, Williams NC, Hannah R. Locomotor muscle 520 fatigue is not critically regulated after prior upper body exercise. J Appl Physiol 521 (1985). 2015;119(7):840-50. Thomas K, Elmeua M, Howatson G, Goodall S. Intensity-Dependent 522 20. 523 Contribution of Neuromuscular Fatigue after Constant-Load Cycling. Med Sci 524 Sports Exerc. 2016;48(9):1751-60. Goodall S, Charlton K, Howatson G, Thomas K. Neuromuscular fatigability 525 21. 526 during repeated-sprint exercise in male athletes. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 527 2015;47(3):528-36. 528 Thomas K, Goodall S, Stone M, Howatson G, St Clair Gibson A, Ansley L. 22. 529 Central and peripheral fatigue in male cyclists after 4-, 20-, and 40-km time 530 trials. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2015;47(3):537-46. Goodall S, Howatson G, Thomas K. Modulation of specific inhibitory 531 23. 532 networks in fatigued locomotor muscles of healthy males. Exp Brain Res. 533 2018;236(2):463-73. 534 Bassett DR, Jr., Howley ET. Limiting factors for maximum oxygen uptake 24. 535 and determinants of endurance performance. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 536 2000;32(1):70-84. Burnley M, Jones AM. Power-duration relationship: Physiology, fatigue, 537 25. 538 and the limits of human performance. Eur J Sport Sci. 2016:1-12. 539 26. Jones AM, Wilkerson DP, DiMenna F, Fulford J, Poole DC. Muscle metabolic 540 responses to exercise above and below the "critical power" assessed using 31<sup>P</sup>-541 MRS. Am J Physiol. 2008;294(2):R585-93. 542 Thomas K, Dent J, Howatson G, Goodall S. Etiology and Recovery of 27. 543 Neuromuscular Fatigue after Simulated Soccer Match Play. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 544 2017;49(5):955-64. 545 Ross EZ, Middleton N, Shave R, George K, Nowicky A. Corticomotor 28. 546 excitability contributes to neuromuscular fatigue following marathon running in 547 man. Exp Physiol. 2007;92(2):417-26. Froyd C, Millet GY, Noakes TD. The development of peripheral fatigue and 548 29. 549 short-term recovery during self-paced high-intensity exercise. J Physiol. 550 2013;591(5):1339-46. 551 Burnley M, Vanhatalo A, Fulford J, Jones AM. Similar metabolic 30. 552 perturbations during all-out and constant force exhaustive exercise in humans: a 553 (31)P magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. Exp Physiol. 2010;95(7):798-807. 554 Saugen E, Vollestad NK, Gibson H, Martin PA, Edwards RH. Dissociation 31. 555 between metabolic and contractile responses during intermittent isometric 556 exercise in man. Exp Physiol. 1997;82(1):213-26. 557 Blain GM, Mangum TS, Sidhu SK, Weavil JC, Hureau TJ, Jessop JE, et al. 32. 558 Group III/IV muscle afferents limit the intramuscular metabolic perturbation 559 during whole body exercise in humans. J Physiol. 2016;594(18):5303-15. 560 33. Kaufman MP, Hayes SG. The exercise pressor reflex. Clin Auton Res. 561 2002;12(6):429-39. Farina D. Merletti R. Enoka RM. The extraction of neural strategies from 562 34. 563 the surface EMG. J Appl Physiol. 2004;96(4):1486-95. 564 35. Keenan KG, Farina D, Merletti R, Enoka RM. Amplitude cancellation 565 reduces the size of motor unit potentials averaged from the surface EMG. J Appl 566 Physiol. 2006;100(6):1928-37.

567 36. Del Vecchio A, Negro F, Felici F, Farina D. Associations between motor unit action potential parameters and surface EMG features. J Appl Physiol 568 569 (1985). 2017;123(4):835-43. McCloskey DI, Mitchell JH. Reflex cardiovascular and respiratory 570 37. 571 responses originating in exercising muscle. J Physiol. 1972;224(1):173-86. 572 Bigland-Ritchie BR, Dawson NJ, Johansson RS, Lippold OC. Reflex origin 38. 573 for the slowing of motoneurone firing rates in fatigue of human voluntary 574 contractions. J Physiol. 1986;379:451-9. Kennedy DS, Fitzpatrick SC, Gandevia SC, Taylor JL. Fatigue-related firing 575 39. 576 of muscle nociceptors reduces voluntary activation of ipsilateral but not 577 contralateral lower limb muscles. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2015;118(4):408-18. 578 Kennedy DS, McNeil CJ, Gandevia SC, Taylor JL. Fatigue-related firing of 40. 579 distal muscle nociceptors reduces voluntary activation of proximal muscles of 580 the same limb. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2014;116(4):385-94. Gandevia SC, Macefield G, Burke D, McKenzie DK. Voluntary activation of 581 41. 582 human motor axons in the absence of muscle afferent feedback. The control of 583 the deafferented hand. Brain. 1990;113 (Pt 5):1563-81. 584 Gandevia SC, Allen GM, Butler JE, Taylor JL. Supraspinal factors in human 42. muscle fatigue: evidence for suboptimal output from the motor cortex. J Physiol. 585 586 1996;490(2):529-36. 587 43. Jankowski MP, Rau KK, Ekmann KM, Anderson CE, Koerber HR. 588 Comprehensive phenotyping of group III and IV muscle afferents in mouse. J Neurophysiol. 2013;109(9):2374-81. 589 590 Marcora S. Counterpoint: Afferent feedback from fatigued locomotor 44. 591 muscles is not an important determinant of endurance exercise performance. J 592 Appl Physiol. 2010;108(2):454-6; discussion 6-7. 593 Amann M, Secher NH. Point: Afferent feedback from fatigued locomotor 45. 594 muscles is an important determinant of endurance exercise performance. J Appl 595 Physiol. 2010;108(2):452-4. Marcora S. Is peripheral locomotor muscle fatigue during endurance 596 46. 597 exercise a variable carefully regulated by a negative feedback system? J Physiol. 598 2008;586(7):2027-8; author reply 9-30. 599 Marcora SM. Viewpoint: Fatigue mechanisms determining exercise 47. 600 performance: integrative physiology is systems physiology. J Appl Physiol. 601 2008;104(5):1543. 602 Burnley M. The limit to exercise tolerance in humans: validity 48. 603 compromised by failing to account for the power-velocity relationship. Eur J 604 Appl Physiol. 2010;109(6):1225-6. 605 Gibson AS, Swart J, Tucker R. The interaction of psychological and 49. physiological homeostatic drives and role of general control principles in the 606 607 regulation of physiological systems, exercise and the fatigue process - The 608 Integrative Governor theory. Eur J Sport Sci. 2018;18(1):25-36. 609 Marcora SM. Do we really need a central governor to explain brain 50. regulation of exercise performance? Eur J Appl Physiol. 2008:104(5):929-31: 610 611 author reply 33-5. 612 Abbiss CR, Peiffer JJ, Meeusen R, Skorski S. Role of Ratings of Perceived 51. 613 Exertion during Self-Paced Exercise: What are We Actually Measuring? Sports 614 Med. 2015;45(9):1235-43.