Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Klůzová Kráčmarová, Lucie, Tomanová, Jitka, Černíková, Kristýna Anna, Tavel, Peter, Langová, Kateřina, Greaves, Jane and Kisvetrová, Helena (2022) Perception of dignity in older men and women in the early stages of dementia: a cross-sectional. BMC Geriatrics, 22 (2). p. 684. ISSN 1471-2318

Published by: BioMed Central

URL: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-022-03362-3 < https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-022-03362-3 >

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: https://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/49666/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)





1	Perception of dignity in older men and women in the early stages of
2	dementia: a cross-sectional study
3	Lucie Klůzová Kráčmarová ^{a*} , Jitka Tomanová ^b , Kristýna A. Černíková ^a , Peter
4	Tavel ^a , Kateřina Langová ^b , Peta Jane Greaves ^c , Helena Kisvetrová ^b
5	^a Olomouc University Social Health Institute; Sts. Cyril and Methodius Faculty of Theology
6	Palacky University Olomouc, Czech Republic
7	^b The Centre for Research and Science, Faculty of Health Sciences, Palacký University
8	Olomouc, Czech Republic
9	^c Department of Nursing, Midwifery and Health, Faculty of Health and Life sciences,
LO	Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom
l1	
L2	*Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Lucie Klůzová
L3	Kráčmarová, Olomouc University Social Health Institute, Faculty of Theology, Palacky
L4	University Olomouc, Univerzitni 244/22, 771 11 Olomouc. Telephone number:
L5	+420 739 228 117, Email: <u>lucie.kluzova@oushi.upol.cz</u>
L6	Author note
L7	Lucie Klůzová Kráčmarová https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3406-3176
18	Jitka Tomanová https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2534-3742
L9	Kristýna A. Černíková https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3363-0431
20	Peter Tavel https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7072-001X
21	Kateřina Langová https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2387-0183
22	Peta Jane Greaves https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3209-9960
23	Helena Kisvetrová https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0174-5779

24	Perception of dignity in older men and women in the early stages of dementia: a cross-
25	sectional study
26	
27	Abstract
28	Background: Dementia is a serious problem in old age, that impacts an individual's ability to
29	function and may threaten personal dignity. Given the variable features of the illness and the
30	diversity of life experiences, many factors may contribute to the perception of dignity by men
31	and women with dementia. The purpose of the study was to explore the factors that contribute
32	to dignity and its domains in men and women with dementia.
33	Methods: This cross-sectional study involved 316 community-dwelling patients with early-
34	stage dementia (aged \geq 60) (PwD). We assessed the participants' sociodemographic and
35	social involvement characteristics, health-related variables (pain, depression, physical
36	performance, visual and hearing impairments), attitude to aging, and self-sufficiency in the
37	activities of daily living (ADL). These factors were investigated as independent variables for
38	the perception of dignity and of its domains in men and women.
39	Results: Multivariate regression analysis showed that PwD experienced minor dignity
40	problems in the early stages of dementia. In both men and women higher rates of depression,
41	negative attitudes to aging, and pain were associated with reductions in the perception of
42	dignity. In men, but not in women visual impairment had a negative effect on overall dignity,
43	and on the associated domains of 'Loss of Autonomy' and 'Loss of Confidence'. In women,
44	lowered self-sufficiency in ADL contributed to reduced self-perception of dignity and in the
45	associated domains of 'Loss of Purpose of Life', 'Loss of Autonomy', and 'Loss of
46	Confidence'. Sociodemographic and social involvement characteristics, hearing impairment,
47	and physical performance did not influence the participants' self-perception of dignity.

48	Conclusion: The results suggested that several common factors (depression, attitudes to
49	aging, and pain) contribute to the perception of dignity in both men and women. Other
50	factors, visual impairments in men, and self-sufficiency in ADL in women, appear to be more
51	gender specific. These differences might relate to their specific gender roles and experiences.
52	The self-perception of dignity in PwD can be helped by supporting the individual, to the
53	extent that their illness allows, in maintaining activities that are important to their gender
54	roles, and that preserve their gender identity.
55	Trial registration: NCT04443621
56	
57	Keywords: activities of daily living, attitude to aging, dementia, depression, dignity, gender
58	older adults, pain, visual impairment
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	
71	
72	

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

Introduction

Dignity in People with Dementia

Dementia is a neurodegenerative disease characterized by progressive, irreversible, and (as yet) incurable cognitive decline. People with dementia (PwD) retain their positive personality traits and character, however, as the illness progresses, symptoms such as memory loss; speech impairment; disorientation; dependency in the activities of daily living (ADL); and self-neglect, are common (1). In the early stage of dementia, patients are able to reflect on their disease. Awareness of a deteriorating condition and related symptoms increases the risk of depression, anxiety, and reduced quality of life (2). It can also lead to a reduced perception of their dignity (3). Dignity can be defined as a multidimensional construct that includes perception, knowledge, and emotions related to competence or respect (4). It is a subjective experience of individuals' own self-worth and self-esteem, as well as the respect and esteem that others show them (5, 6). Nordenfelt (7) suggested that the dignity of identity is particularly crucial in the context of illness and old age. In older adults, frailty, dependence, sensory impairments, and cognitive decline tend to compromise dignity (8). Based on these assumptions, it would be appropriate to address the area of dignity specifically for older adults with dementia. However, regarding dementia, dignity has most often been examined from the point of view of health professionals or other caregivers (9). The limited number of studies that have focused on the issue from the point of view of the people with dementia (PwD) found that a threat to dignity existed, to varying degrees, in both PwD living in institional care (10, 11), and those living in their own home (3, 9, 12, 13). Some of these studies have also suggested which variables might be related to the

Some of these studies have also suggested which variables might be related to the perceived dignity of PwD (10, 12). Reduced self-sufficiency in ADL and increased dependence

on caregivers were among the factors influencing the dignity of PwD living in nursing homes (10). In community-dwelling PwD, dignity correlated positively with a higher degree of self-sufficiency in ADL, a lower level of depression, and better attitudes to aging (12). Attitudes to aging are social constructs that are culturally and historically situated, and individually interpreted (14). They relate to physical and social losses and gains in the past and present, and psychological growth, which can then be reflected in the sense of personal dignity (15). Women generally have more concerns about aging (16), and more negative attitudes to aging and old age (17). The study of Kisvetrová et al. (12) suggested that attitudes to aging are also related to gender in PwD. In their study In the study of Kisvetrová et al. (12), women with dementia associated aging with psychosocial loss (experience of loneliness), social exclusion, and the gradual worsening of physical self-sufficiency. How gender contributes to the domains of the Patient Dignity Inventory (PDI), which is used to assess dignity in PwD (18), was not examined in their study (12). The domains assessed in the PDI might however, contribute differently to the overall perception of dignity, and these associations may be gender sensitive.

Specifics of Dignity in Men and Women with Dementia

The differences in dignity between men and women with dementia are worth addressing if only because dementia itself differs between both groups (19). Women are more likely to suffer from dementia than men, and their disease usually progresses more rapidly (20). There are few studies of differences in education, mental health, caregiving, and other roles, where factors relating to sex The differences in a prevalence, course or outcomes of dementia might be associated with factors relating to sex (biological attributes of physical body of male or female (21), such as different physiological factors, which might be associated with dementia (22)) and gender (complex patterns of social roles, identities, norms, values and behaviours of male, female and gender-diverse persons (18, 21), such as different

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

roles in society or education of men and women (22)).) may contribute to differences between men and women with dementia.

Although differences in the factors associated with dignity may exist between older men and women with dementia, to our knowledge, no previous research has been done to clarify these ithe association between n PwD. To better understand the association of gender with and perceptions of dignity in PwD, we need to look at research findings in other groups of older patients can provide us some insight. In a previous study in individuals at the end of life (23), it was found that sociodemographic characteristics, including female gender, have more influence on how people perceive their reduced sense of dignity. than does health status. Female gender, younger age, absence of a partner, and a lack of importance of religion were related to a reduced sense of dignity. Women considered that psychological factors (e.g., the inability to think clearly, feelings of depression and anxiety) and social factors (a person feeling that they are a burden on others, a sense of loss of privacy) had a greater impact on their dignity than did problems with physical health their health status. In comparison, in a study of nursing home residents (24), some physical and/or long-term care items were rated as more likely to impact negatively upon their dignity by male than by female respondents. In contrast, gender did not show a significant association with dignity in a study of patients with terminal cancer (25). Therefore, the relationship between perceived dignity and gender is unclear in older-adult patients. Since research is limited in the area of dignity of PwD, and the gender perspective must be taken into account in order to fully understand the factors related to the perception of dignity (4, 26, 27), the goal of the present study was to discover -any factors that affect dignity differently in men and women.

Cultural Specifics of the Czech Men and Women.

As our study is carried out on the Czech population, it is essential to mention some cultural issues that may influence gender roles. In the Czech Republic there are differences in

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

family, societal and employment life, average level of education, and representation in decision-making (such as political representation or managerial positions) between men and women (28).

In terms of family life, the Czech Republic supports maternal and parental leave for child-care up to 4 years of age. There is limited access to day-care facilities for children under three years. Almost exclusively, it is the mother, who stays at home with the children. Long career breaks affect women's position in the labour market (29), and deepen the employment and pay gap between men and women, which is among the highest in Europe (28). Taking the impact of lower pensions (30) and longer life expectancy together, older Czech women experience poverty and social exclusion much more than men. In later life Czechs often continue to work and also take on the responsibility of caring for their aging relatives, as trust in institutional care is not very strong in the post-socialist Czech Republic (31). The family caregivers are mostly women (32). Men also look after their parents, but not as frequently, nor as intensely, and when the older-adults' needs grow the men's involvement in care decreases (32). There is limited research on men's gender roles in Czech society. In a recent qualitative study conducted with grandfathers (33), the participants indicated and endorsed differences in gender roles between men and women. They consider that caring for their relatives is their responsibility that constitutes an important part of their personal identity, gender role, and duty (31). Men also look after their parents, but not as frequently, nor as intensely, and when the older-adults' needs grow the men's involvement in eare decreases (32). There is limited research on men's gender roles in Czech society. In a recent qualitative study conducted with grandfathers (33), the participants indicated and endorsed differences in gender roles between men and women. They Men perceived the men' their role to be as a breadwinner during productive lives and as grandparents, they taught their grandchildren masculine roles (33), whereas women consider caring for their relatives as their

gender role, their responsibility that constitutes an important part of their personal identity, and duty (31). In a society with traditional division of gender roles, men do not often talk about their problems, and they avoid requesting help (34). This might be related to the idea of male identity being based on self-reliance, physical and mental strength, which, in older Czech men, might be reinforced by experience of compulsory military service (35). These specifics may be important in understanding how Czech men and women differently perceive their dignity.

The present study

To our knowledge, there are no published studies of whether men and women with dementia differ in their experience of dignity. To examine factors that could be related to dignity in men and women with dementia living in the community, the present study focused on variables that have previously been suggested to relate to dignity in PwD. These were pain, depression, attitudes to aging, self-sufficiency in ADL (12), sociodemographic characteristics (such as age, education), and also the characteristics of social involvement of the participant (e.g. living arrangements, involvement in social activities). We also included visual and hearing impairments and physical performance as independent variables. Both are related to health outcomes (36-38), quality of life (39, 40) and self-sufficiency in ADL (39) and thus, we hypothesize a link between these variables and dignity. We expect to find different factors contributing to dignity and its domains in men and women with dementia. Understanding which factors affect self-perceptions of dignity in PwD can further pave the way toward more effective dignity-conserving, community-based care.

Methods

Participants

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

The research sample consisted of PwD living in the Czech Republic, and the research was conducted in their native Czech language. We used a non-probability sampling method combining criterion and convenience sampling. Firstly, we defined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Secondly, we approached the criterion fitting patients on the basis of their accessibility and availability. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age \geq 60 years; (2) living in the community rather that in residential care (3) diagnosed with any type of dementia in an early stage (diagnosis according to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems [ICD] -10 Version: 2019: F00, F01-F03; Mini-Mental State Examination [MMSE] with a score of 20–25 points). The exclusion criteria for all respondents were as follows: (1) permanent institutional care; (2) complete immobility; (3) a severe psychological disorder (schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder); (4) a severe sensory disability (blind, deaf); and (5) terminal stage of an oncological or non-oncological disease. We were interested in the community-dwelling patients because this group is less studied than the older PwD living in institutions. For this group, it could also be assumed that their difficulties with dignity would be related to the nature of the illness rather than to the situation of living in the institution. The respondents were approached through neurological and geriatric outpatient departments, placed in different parts of the Czech Republic, where they were being treated

departments, placed in different parts of the Czech Republic, where they were being treated for dementia, so it was ensured that the person was indeed diagnosed with the illness. During their regular check-up, they were offered the opportunity to participate in the study and it was explained what questionnaires they would fill out. None of the respondents refused and all signed informed consent before inclusion in the study. They were competent and independent in their decision. Researchers explained to the participants how to complete the questionnaires. The participants filled out the tools by themselves or with help of the

researcher, as a structured interview, if it was preferred. The data was collected from June 2020 to June 2021 in three regions of the Czech Republic.

Measures

The cross-sectional study was conducted as part of the longitudinal study "Changes in the perception of personal dignity over the course of dementia" (registered in Clinical Trials.gov.; No. NCT04443621). Independent variables were the sociodemographic characteristics of the participants and characteristics related to general physical and mental health (pain, physical performance, sensory impairments, and depression), attitudes to aging and self-sufficiency in ADL. Dignity and its domains were the dependent variables in our study.

Independent variables.

Sociodemographic and social involvement information was gathered during structured andardized-interviews. All variables, except for age, were dichotomized. An interviewer asked about participants' level of education (dichotomized as lower [elementary school, vocational] and higher [secondary school, university] education), and their living arrangements (dichotomized as living alone, living with others). Regarding social involvement, participants were asked when they participated in a social activity for the last time (more than 30 days ago or 30 or less days before the interview), how long it had been from a friend or a relative visited them (more than 30 days ago or 30 or less days before the interview), how long it was from the last email or telephone contact with friends or relatives (more than 7 days or 7 or less days before the interview), and how many hours a day does a participant spend alone (dichotomized as whether the participant spent more than 8 hours 8 or less hours alone daily.

Pain.

Perceived pain was graphically assessed on the Horizontal Visual Analogue Scale (HVAS), which consists of a continuous10-cm line at which the patient records the level of subjectively perceived pain (no pain to extreme pain) (41, 42). HVAS can be successfully used in most PwD (41).

Physical performance.

To assess physical performance, we used the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB, (43); Czech version (44)). The SPPB includes assessments of balance, gait speed, and chair rises, that can be administered easily and quickly. The total score ranges from 0 to 12. Higher scores indicate a higher physical performance. SPPB total score \leq 6 points is rated as a frail older adult (43).

Self-sufficiency in activities of daily living.

Participants' self-sufficiency in ADL was assessed by the Bristol Activities of Daily Living Scale (BADLS, (45); Czech version (46)) that covers basic and instrumental ADL, from completely independent to completely dependent. The questionnaire is completed by the carer of the PwD, who evaluates the performance of 20 activities in the life of the patient (45). The overall BADLS score ranges from 0 (completely independent) to 60 points (completely dependent). In the Czech version (BADSL-CZ), the score is also converted into percentages representing the range of self-sufficiency (0%–100%), where 100% means the complete self-sufficiency in ADL of the person being evaluated (46).

Visual and Hearing Impairments.

Participants' visual and hearing impediments were estimated by a clinician using a screening test of visual acuity for distance (optotype) and near vision, and a subjective hearing examination (speech testing). They were dichotomized as no/minimum or medium/severe impairment.

Depression.

We estimated depression status by the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-15, (47); Czech version (48)) containing 15 self-assessment items. The total GDS-15 score ranges from 0 to 15 points. The higher the total score, the greater the severity of depression (0-5 points are considered normal, more than 5 points indicates depression). GDS-15 has 92% sensitivity and 89% specificity when evaluated according to diagnostic criteria and distinguishes depressed patients from highly correlated non-depressive adults (r = 0.84, p < 0.001; (47)). It is a valid and reliable tool for screening for depression that can also be used in PwD (49).

Attitude to Aging.

We used the Attitude to Aging Questionnaire (AAQ, (50); Czech version (51)), which consists of 24 items that are divided into three domains: Psychosocial Loss, Physical Change, and Psychological Growth (IRT [Item Response Theory] equivalents of Cronbach's values for these domains: 0.81, 0.81, and 0.74, respectively). Each domain score is from 8 to 40 points. The total AAQ score ranges from 24 to 120 points. Higher scores indicate more positive attitudes to aging (50). The applicability of this scale in PwD was confirmed by a previous study (52).

Dependent variables.

Dignity and its domains.

Dignity was estimated by *The Patient Dignity Inventory* (PDI, (18); Czech version PDI-CZ, (53)), a 25-item questionnaire, which concentrates on understanding the problems connected with patient dignity. The total score of the questionnaire ranges from 25 to 125 and it is a sum of individual items. A higher score indicates a greater threat to dignity (18). The scores of the PDI may be divided into four categories: 'mild' (25–49 points); 'moderate' (50–74 points); 'severe' (75–99 points); and 'very severe' (100–125 points) (54). The suitability of the PDI for use for PwD has been demonstrated earlier (55). The Czech version, PDI-CZ, is based on items divided into four subscales following a factor analysis ('Loss of purpose of life'; 'Loss

of autonomy'; 'Loss of confidence'; and 'Loss of social support' [internal consistencies range, Cronbach's α 0.58-0.90]; (53)). The Czech version was validated in PwD by a previous study (12) We examined the total PDI-CZ (53) as the overall experience of dignity, and the four domains of dignity as dependent variables in our study. The domain 'Loss of purpose' consists of 13 items and it is related to life purpose in relation to illness, self–appraisal, and future. 'Loss of autonomy' items are connected with self-care, dependency, and reactions from the environment. The domain of 'Loss of confidence' is related to the mental and existential insecurities. Questions relate to inability to think clearly, feelings of depression or anxiety, and spiritual concerns. The domain of 'Loss of social support' consists of three items mapping respondents feelings of being supported by friends, family or health care providers and being treated with respect (53).

Statistical analysis

Ratio variables were presented using average, standard deviation, and minimum and maximum values. Discrete variables were described using absolute and relative frequencies. The differences between the two independent selections for discrete data were verified using the Accurate Fisher Test. The differences between the two independent selections in quantitative data were calculated using a two-sample t-test. The Mann–Whitney U test was used for ordinal quantities. The ANCOVA method was used to distinguish the relationship between gender and the physical performance of PwD from the influence of age. All tests were carried out at the level of statistical significance p = .05.

The multivariate linear regression assessed the link of sociodemographic characteristics, sensory impairments, pain, depression, physical performance, levels of self-sufficiency in ADL, and attitudes to aging with the perception of dignity, individually for men and women. Prior to the analysis, the regression diagnostics of linearity, multicollinearity, and homogeneity, as well as the normality and independence of residues, were performed. The

model was built using the ENTER method. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical processing.

Results

The sample consisted of 316 PwD (119 men and 197 women). Their demographic, social involvement, clinical and psychological characteristics are presented in Table 1. The men were significantly younger, had higher education, and were more likely to suffer from hearing impairment. The women lived alone more often and had poorer physical performance. Further, 52.3% of the women and 33.6% of the men were classified as frail older adults (SPPB total score \leq 6 points; p = .0005). Given the correlation of physical performance with age found in this study, the relationship between gender and physical performance was adjusted for the effect of age using ANCOVA. Even after performing ANCOVA, the difference between men and women in physical performance remained significant (p = .009). No statistically significant differences were found between men and women in perception of dignity (PDI-CZ). The total PDI-CZ score (41.9 vs 43.1, p = .493) represented the category of "mild problems" (54).

[Please, place the Table 1 near here]

Contributors to dignity in women with dementia

None of the sociodemographic or social involvement characteristics showed a significant association with dependent variables in women (Table 2). From physical health related characteristics, pain contributed to the perception of dignity in the domains of 'Loss of Purpose of Life' and 'Loss of Autonomy' and the overall PDI-CZ (β = 0.722, p = 0.027) in women. The greater the pain the women reported, the worse they evaluated their dignity. Physical performance or sensory impairments did not contribute to any dependent variable in women. In women, depression contributed to the overall dignity (PDI-CZ) and in all domains

of PDI-CZ (Table 2). The higher the level of depression, the worse the women rated their dignity. Attitude to aging was related to the overall PDI-CZ and the domains of 'Loss of Purpose of Life', 'Loss of Autonomy', and 'Loss of Confidence' of the PDI-CZ in women (Table 2). Women, who had more positive attitude to aging rated their dignity better.

Self-sufficiency in ADL contributed to the overall PDI-CZ and the domains of 'Loss of Purpose of Life', 'Loss of Autonomy', and 'Loss of Confidence'. Women who had a higher self-sufficiency in ADL perceived their dignity as better. In women, the determination coefficient R² was highest for the model for the dependent variable "total PDI-CZ" – overall dignity (explained 57.0% of variance), where pain, depression, attitude to aging and self-sufficiency in ADL where significant contributors to the dependent variable. The lowest coefficient R² was for the model for the dependent variable 'Loss of social support' (24.4% of explained variance), where depression was the only significant contributor of the dependent variable (Table 2).

[Please, place the Table 2 near here]

Contributors to dignity in men with dementia

In men, none of the sociodemographic or social inclusion characteristics showed a significant association with dependent variables (Table 3). From health-related characteristics, pain and sensory impairment contributed to the dependent variables. Pain was a contributor to the overall PDI-CZ (β = 1. 464, p < 0.0001) and in all the domains of PDI-CZ except 'Loss of Social Support' (Table 3). The greater pain the men perceived, the worse they evaluated their dignity. Medium or severe visual impairment had a negative effect on the men's experience of dignity in the domains of 'Loss of Autonomy' and 'Loss of Confidence' and in the overall PDI-CZ (Table 3). Physical performance and hearing impairment were not associated with any of the dependent variables.

In men, depression was a contributor to the overall dignity - PDI-CZ (β = 2.220; p < 0.0001) and to all domains of dignity (Table 3). The higher the level of depression, the worse the men rated their dignity.

Attitude to aging influenced the overall PDI-CZ (β = -0.269, p = 0.025) and the domain of 'Loss of Purpose of Life' (Table 3). If men had a better attitude to aging, they evaluated their dignity better.

Self-sufficiency in ADL was associated only with the domain of 'Loss of Autonomy' in men (Table 3). If men had better self-sufficiency in ADL, they evaluated their dignity better. The coefficient of determination R^2 was the highest for the model for the dependent variable "Total PDI-CZ" – overall dignity (73.9% of explained variance) with visual impairment, pain, depression, and attitude to aging being significant contributors of the dependent variable. The coefficient of determination R^2 was lowest for the model for the dependent variable 'Loss of Social Support' (35.7% of explained variance) (Table 3), with depression being the only significant contributor of the dependent variable.

[Please, place the Table 3 near here]

Discussion

This study focused on dignity, and its associated domains, in men and women in the early stages of dementia. The results are in line with previous findings that suggested PwD experienced reduced dignity or a threat to it (3, 5, 10, 12), regardless of gender. However, both women and men exhibited only minor problems in dignity. One of the explanations is that the participants lived in their own homes, which allowed them to keep their social role, and control their life. This assumption is supported by a previous qualitative study that found only minor issues with dignity described by PwD living in their homes (3). Although it seems that continuing to live within the community may lead to better preservation of dignity, it has previously been found that even in institutional care dignity may be preserved when older

adults trust their professional caregivers, have control over their decision making, and feel to be a part of a social network (56). Further study is needed to compare perceptions of dignity in PwD living at home with those in institutional care. From a gender perspective, it is possible that men did not want to admit their feelings of reduced dignity because this would jeopardize their masculine identity (35). Women might downplay their problems because they do not want to worry others – perceiving that they are the ones who are supposed to take care of their loved ones. Their lifelong focus on caring for children and other relatives could also distract them from paying attention to their own difficulties and needs, including the area of dignity. It is also possible that PwD reported minor dignity issues because they perceived other issues, such as physical symptoms and needs, as more pressing from their viewpoint.

Pain, depression, and the attitude to aging were common contributors to the overall perception of dignity (PDI-CZ) in both women and men with dementia. The finding that pain predicted a diminished perception of dignity was consistent with a previous study in terminally ill patients (6), in which, the experience of pain was associated with loss of dignity probably by affecting the individual's competence, autonomy, and sense of self-worth.

Lowered sense of competence or self-worth might be closely related to the domain 'Loss of Confidence', which was associated with pain in men. Possibly, the perceived pain threatens men's identity by reminding them of their weakening physical strength, which result in loss of confidence. Our results showed that pain was also correlated with 'Loss of Purpose' and 'Loss of Autonomy' in men and women. It may be that the reduced ability to perform both fulfilling and routine everyday activities is the mechanism by which pain reduces dignity in these domains. Family and professional caregivers should be informed that pain is often underrecognized and undertreated in PwD and they should be taught how to recognize its symptoms (57). Alleviating pain can have impact on overall wellbeing of PwD including their improved perception of dignity.

Depression was the only variable associated with both overall dignity and also with all of its domains in both genders. Higher rates of depression predicted lower perception of dignity. A link between dignity and depression has also been found in terminally ill patients (6). Depression can deepen negative experiences in the areas of emotional or physical dependence on others, feelings of shame, and feelings of being a burden. It also comes with a decreased sense of self-worth and self-confidence and can thus lead to a reduced perception of the persons dignity (58). Depression can promote negative experiences leading to a decrease in dignity and also in overall quality of life (5). Hence, screening for the timely diagnosis of depression in PwD and its effective treatment should be carried out not only to improve mental health, but also to protect dignity.

A positive attitude to aging contributed to improved perception of dignity in both women and men with dementia. The relationship between these variables has already been pointed out, although that study considered dignity as a predictor of attitude to aging (12). In addition to the overall PDI-CZ in women, the attitude to aging also contributed to the 'Loss of Purpose of Life', 'Loss of Autonomy', and 'Loss of Confidence'; in men, it was only associated with the domain of 'Loss of Purpose of Life'. The reason why attitudes to aging are related to more dimensions of dignity for women than for men may be due to the fact that aging is generally a more salient issue for women (16). They have more negative attitudes towards aging than men and have more concerns about old age (16, 17). Attitude to aging in women with dementia is associated with experience of loneliness, social exclusion and gradual loss of physical self-sufficiency (12), which are closely related to the domains of dignity.

A previous study suggested that a positive attitude to aging and, by extension, the perception of old age as a meaningful stage of life, is a factor that may help to preserve dignity (3). This found that PwD, who believe that their lives still make sense are better at

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

maintaining a sense of personal dignity. Another study found a link between a positive attitude to life and the perception of dignity in nursing home residents (24). Future research should focus on the domains of the AAQ (Attitude to Aging Questionnaire) in relation to dignity and its domains to better understand the differences between men and women. Psychosocial interventions that could improve attitude to aging might be beneficial. They could include socialization activities, counselling, or reminiscence therapy, which were shown to improve attitudes to aging in PwD in previous research (59). Reminiscence therapy could be focused on the individual's life-projects, personal skills, values, and former meaningful work as these are important sources of self-worth and self-esteem (9).

In women, self-sufficiency in ADL was associated with overall dignity and with all four domains of the PDI-CZ. In men, self-sufficiency in ADL contributed only to the PDI-CZ domain of 'Loss of Autonomy'. A link between self-sufficiency in ADL and dignity has been found also in previous qualitative (60-62) and quantitative (6, 12) studies. Maintaining selfsufficiency in ADL, and therefore functional autonomy, is considered to be one of the central conditions of dignity (61), and the idea of the loss of self-sufficiency is one of the major concerns in relation to old age (60). The association between the self-sufficiency in ADL and the 'Loss of Autonomy', which was found in both genders, was expected, since both variables are relate to dependency and autonomy (45, 53). We hypothesize that the decreased selfsufficiency in ADL is, especially in women, associated with lower perception of dignity because it may limit their customary gender roles. For example, the sudden change of women's role from a care provider to a care recipient, may strongly challenge their gender identity, and consequently their dignity. If self-sufficiency is reduced, their ability to care for others) is probably limited. Because of relatively reduced access to affordable childcare facilities in the Czech Republic (28), older women often take care of their grandchildren and build a close relationship with them. Therefore, being a grandmother is important to women's

identity and self-worth, and gives them a clear purpose for life in old age. Thus, we consider that the relationship between experienced dignity and regular contact with grandchildren would be worth further study. Reduced self-sufficiency in ADL might also be a barrier for caring for one's own home. This task is not only more commonly performed by women but is important to the identity of women with dementia, affecting their sense of competence, and self-worth (13).

From a practical point of view, this study demonstrated that activities aimed at maintaining the patient's autonomy or strengthening competencies that had not yet been affected by the illness, might be important to supporting the perception of dignity in PwD. With regard to gender, community caregivers should encourage activities related to men's or women's roles. For example, they should support women's need for caring, show them how they are still capable of taking care of others, and that they are needed by their social network. It can be done through performing activities together but also, in the case of physical issues, the caregivers can ask for advice, (e.g. an easy question "how to bake a cake" can make the person feel needed). Future studies should focus on factors in both men and women that may affect the relationship between the perception of dignity and self-sufficiency.

In men, overall dignity, 'Loss of Autonomy', and 'Loss of Confidence', were also associated with a visual impairment. No study has been reported that supports this link in PwD. Because there was no significant difference in the degree of visual impairment of men and women in the study population, it is possible that men perceive its' impact on their everyday life differently than women, in ways that have implications for their dignity. Theoretically, visual impairment can prevent men from activities typical of their gender, and male identity (e.g., driving a car, playing games, or solving crosswords). Regular eye tests should be performed in PwD, as they help optimal correction of visual impairments, which might have a positive impact on the perception of dignity. Patients and their caregivers should

be educated about dealing with visual impairments (information on screen readers, interior adjustments etc.). They could be offered assistive technology or equipment, and rehabilitation for visually impaired if needed.

The results of the present study suggested that involvement in social life was not related to the perception of dignity in PwD. However, a previous qualitative study, which included PwD living in their own home (3), suggested that how they experienced their dignity was related to their social environment. It is possible that women and men with dementia in the present study did not experience much limitation of their social life as compared to their previous lives or maintained as much social contact as they wished. This possibility was supported by the fact that the participants reported the fewest difficulties in the 'Loss of Social Support' compared with the other PDI-CZ domains. Nevertheless, we believe the social environment and its associations with dignity in PwD deserves deeper attention in future studies. In our study, we observed the frequency of contacts with others (visits or phone/email), or participation in social activity and, in terms of living arrangements, we only recorded whether the respondent lived alone or not. It is possible, that the frequency of contacts alone is not as important to the perception of dignity as other aspects of social involvement or the environment, such as the quality of the relationship, satisfaction with the relationship, contact with grandchildren or marital status. Future study should focus on these aspects in more detail.

512

513

514

515

516

517

511

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

Limitations

The present study was novel in providing valuable insights into the issue of dignity in PwD in terms of gender. However, it is necessary to mention some of the limitations of the study that should be considered when interpreting the results. The results could not be generalized to the entire population of PwD because it includes only individuals living in their

home environment and at an early stage of the disease, and makes no distinction between the different types of dementia. The current study is also of a cross-sectional nature and thus we cannot assess causality. Further studies should be longitudinal to clarify causal relationships. This study did not look at other potential factors that could contribute to the dignity in PwD including comorbidities, psychiatric treatment, emotional regulation, and distress or anxiety. For example, we did not control for whether participants had been diagnosed with depression before they were diagnosed with dementia. An important implication for future studies would be looking at clusters of the various types of dementia, as they manifest different behavioural and psychological symptoms (63), which may affect the subjective experiences and also perception of dignity in PwD. The relationships found might also have been influenced by the cultural context in which the study was conducted.

Conclusions

The results of this study suggest that personal perceptions of dignity were associated with attitude to aging, depression, and pain in both men and women. In women, a reduced perception of dignity was also associated with reduced self-sufficiency in ADL. In men reduced perception of dignity was associated with visual impairment. Physical performance and the aspects of the social involvement investigated were not associated with perceptions of dignity for either men or the women. The study showed that dignity could be compromised in PwD who lived outside an institutional environment and that it was related not only to health factors but also to psychological variables such as attitudes to aging or depression in men and women. The research findings can be used in the provision of medical, psychosocial, and nursing care to PwD.

List of Abbreviations

AAQ – Attitude to Aging Questionnaire ADL – Activities of Daily Living BADLS – the Bristol Activities of Daily Living Scale BADSL-CZ – the Czech version of the Bristol Activities of Daily Living Scale GDS-15 – the Geriatric Depression Scale HVAS – the Horizontal Visual Analogue Scale PDI – the Patient Dignity Inventory PDI-CZ – the Czech version of the Patient Dignity Inventory PwD – People with Dementia SPPB – the Short Physical Performance Battery

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Declarations

Research was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences at Palacký University, Olomouc, the Czech Republic (UPOL-615/1040-2019). We paid special attention to the ethical principles and preserving dignity of the participants. We followed these rules before including the person in the research: 1. the patient understands the information about the study; 2. he/she is able to decide about the participation; 3. he/she is able to understand implications of his/her participation; and 4. is able to communicate his decision with the researcher. The data were collected according to ethical principles with informed consent, confidentiality, and the right to withdraw from participation at any time without presenting a reason. All participants were at the onset of dementia, and they were able to consent to participate in the study. None of the respondents refused to participate in the study and all signed informed consent before inclusion in the study.

Consent for publication

568	Not applicable.
569	Availability of data and materials
570	The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are available from the
571	corresponding author on a reasonable request.
572	Competing interests
573	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
574	Funding
575	This study was supported by the Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic (Grant No.
576	NU20-07-00100). All rights reserved.
577	Authors' contributions
578	HK designed and supervised the study, JT played a major role in the data collection, KL
579	analysed and interpreted the data, LKK wrote the manuscript with input of HK, JT, KL, PT,
580	KAČ and PJG. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript. All authors
581	read and approved the final manuscript.
582	Acknowledgements
583	The authors would like to thank the patients who participated in this study, who so
584	generously shared their perception of dignity. The authors also express their gratitude to the
585	healthcare
586	
587	References
588	1. Tranvåg O, Petersen KA, Nåden D. Relational interactions preserving dignity experience:
589	Perceptions of persons living with dementia. Nurs Ethics. 2015;22(5):577-93.
590	2. Trigg R, Watts S, Jones R, Tod A. Predictors of quality of life ratings from persons with
591	dementia: the role of insight. Int J Geriatr Psychiatr. 2011;26(1):83-91.

- 592 3. van Gennip IE, Pasman HRW, Oosterveld-Vlug MG, Willems DL, Onwuteaka-Philipsen BD.
- How Dementia Affects Personal Dignity: A Qualitative Study on the Perspective of Individuals With
- Mild to Moderate Dementia. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2016;71(3):491-501.
- 595 4. Ferretti F, Pozza A, Pallassini M, Righi L, Marini F, Adami S, et al. Gender invariance of
- dignity in non-terminal elderly patients with chronic diseases: a multicentric study. Qual Quant.
- 597 2019;53(3):1645-56.
- 598 5. Kisvetrova H, Skoloudik D, Herzig R, Valis M, Juraskova B, Tomanova J, et al. Impact of
- dementia on the trajectories of quality of life in older adults. Cesk Slov Neurol Neurochir.
- 600 2020;83(3):298-304.
- 601 6. Chochinov HM, Hack T, Hassard T, Kristjanson LJ, McClement S, Harlos M. Dignity in the
- terminally ill: a cross-sectional, cohort study. Lancet. 2002;360(9350):2026-30.
- Nordenfelt L. The Varieties of Dignity. Health Care Anal. 2004;12(2):69-81.
- 8. Banerjee D, Rabheru K, de Mendonca Lima CA, Ivbijaro G. Role of dignity in mental
- 605 healthcare: Impact on ageism and human rights of older persons. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.
- 606 2021;29(10):1000–8.
- 607 9. Tranvåg O, Petersen KA, Nåden D. Crucial dimensions constituting dignity experience in
- persons living with dementia. Dementia. 2014;15(4):578-95.
- 609 10. Heggestad AKT, Nortvedt P, Slettebø Å. 'Like a prison without bars': Dementia and
- experiences of dignity. Nurs Ethics. 2013;20(8):881-92.
- 611 11. Verloo H, Salina A, Fiorentino A, Cohen C. Factors influencing the quality of life perceptions
- of cognitively impaired older adults in a nursing home and their informal and professional caregivers:
- a mixed methods study. Clin Interv Aging. 2018;13:2135-47.
- 614 12. Kisvetrová H, Herzig R, Bretšnajdrová M, Tomanová J, Langová K, Školoudík D. Predictors
- of quality of life and attitude to ageing in older adults with and without dementia. Aging Ment Health.
- 616 2021;25(3):535-42.
- 617 13. Hellstrom I, Eriksson H, Sandberg J. Chores and sense of self: gendered understandings of
- voices of older married women with dementia. Int J Older People Nurs. 2015;10(2):127-35.

- 619 14. Robertson DA, King-Kallimanis BL, Kenny RA. Negative perceptions of aging predict
- longitudinal decline in cognitive function. Psychol Aging. 2016;31(1):71-81.
- 621 15. Franklin LL, Ternestedt BM, Nordenfelt L. Views on dignity of elderly nursing home
- residents. Nurs Ethics. 2006;13(2):130-46.
- 623 16. Clarke LH, Korotchenko A. Aging and the Body: A Review. Can J Aging. 2011;30(3):495-
- 624 510.
- 625 17. Lytle A, Apriceno M, Dyar C, Levy SR. Sexual Orientation and Gender Differences in Aging
- Perceptions and Concerns Among Older Adults. Innov Aging. 2018;2(3).
- 627 18. Chochinov HM, Hassard T, McClement S, Hack T, Kristjanson LJ, Harlos M, et al. The
- 628 patient dignity inventory: a novel way of measuring dignity-related distress in palliative care. J Pain
- 629 Symptom Manag. 2008;36(6):559-71.
- 630 19. Okamoto S, Kobayashi E, Murayama H, Liang JR, Fukaya T, Shinkai S. Decomposition of
- 631 gender differences in cognitive functioning: National Survey of the Japanese elderly. BMC Geriatr.
- 632 2021;21(1):13.
- 633 20. Mielke MM, Vemuri P, Rocca WA. Clinical epidemiology of Alzheimer's disease: assessing
- sex and gender differences. Clin Epidemiol. 2014;6:37-48.
- 635 21. Coen S, Banister E. What a Difference Sex and Gender Make: A Gender, Sex and Health
- Research Casebook. Ottowa, Canada: Canadian Institutes of Health Research; 2012.
- 637 22. Nebel RA, Aggarwal NT, Barnes LL, Gallagher A, Goldstein JM, Kantarci K, et al.
- Understanding the impact of sex and gender in Alzheimer's disease: A call to action. Alzheimers
- 639 Dement. 2018;14(9):1171-83.
- Albers G, Pasman HRW, Deliens L, de Vet HCW, Onwuteaka-Philipsen BD. Does Health
- Status Affect Perceptions of Factors Influencing Dignity at the End of Life? J Pain Symptom Manag.
- 642 2013;45(6):1030-8.
- Oosterveld-Vlug MG, de Vet HCW, Pasman HRW, van Gennip IE, Willems DL, Onwuteaka-
- Philipsen BD. Which characteristics of nursing home residents relate to factors influencing their
- 645 dignity? Geriatr Nurs. 2016;37(5):365-70.

- Oechsle K, Wais MC, Vehling S, Bokemeyer C, Mehnert A. Relationship Between Symptom
- Burden, Distress, and Sense of Dignity in Terminally Ill Cancer Patients. J Pain Symptom Manag.
- 648 2014;48(3):313-21.
- 649 26. Bai XUE, Guo YU, Fu YY. Self-image and intergenerational relationships as correlates of life
- satisfaction in Chinese older adults: will gender make a difference? Ageing Soc. 2017;38(7):1502-19.
- 651 27. Chen PL, Tsai YL, Lin MH, Wang J. Gender differences in health promotion behaviors and
- quality of life among community-dwelling elderly. J Women Aging. 2018;30(3):259-74.
- 653 28. Koldinská K. The Policy on Gender Equality in the Czech Republic. In-depth Analysis. In:
- Affairs PDCCRAC, editor. Brussels: European Parliament; 2015.
- 655 29. Saxonberg S, Sirovátka T. Failing family policy in post-communist Central Europe. J Comp
- 656 Policy Anal: Res Pract. 2006;8(2):185-202.
- 657 30. CSSZ. Statistical Yearbook on Pensions 2019. Praha: CSZZ The Czech Social Security
- 658 Administration; 2020.
- 559 31. Dudová R. Doing gender and age: The case of informal elderly care in the Czech Republic. Int
- 660 J Ageing Later Life. 2018;12(1):41–73.
- 661 32. Dudová Radka, Vohlídalová M. Muži a ženy pečující o seniory v rodině [Men and Women
- Caring for Elderly Family Members]. Czech Sociol Rev. 2018;54(2):219-52.
- 663 33. Hasmanová Marhánková J. Being a (Grand)Father: (Re)constructing Masculinity Through the
- 664 Life-Course. J Fam Issues. 2019;41(3):267-87.
- 665 34. Spector-Mersel G. Never-aging Stories: Western Hegemonic Masculinity Scripts. J Gend
- 666 Stud. 2006;15(1):67-82.
- 667 35. Latalova K, Kamaradova D, Prasko J. Perspectives on perceived stigma and self-stigma in
- adult male patients with depression. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2014;10:1399-405.
- 669 36. Lyu J, Kim HY. Gender-Specific Associations of Sensory Impairments with Depression and
- 670 Cognitive Impairment in Later Life. Psychiatry Investig. 2018;15(10):926-34.

- Han JH, Lee HJ, Jung J, Park EC. Effects of self-reported hearing or vision impairment on
- depressive symptoms: A population-based longitudinal study. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci.
- 673 2019;28(3):343-55.
- 674 38. Cesari M, Kritchevsky SB, Newman AB, Simonsick EM, Harris TB, Penninx BW, et al.
- Added Value of Physical Performance Measures in Predicting Adverse Health-Related Events: Results
- 676 from the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2009;57(2):251-9.
- 677 39. Dalton DS, Cruickshanks KJ, Klein BE, Klein R, Wiley TL, Nondahl DM. The impact of
- hearing loss on quality of life in older adults. Gerontologist. 2003;43(5):661-8.
- 679 40. Prasad L, Fredrick J, Aruna R. The relationship between physical performance and quality of
- life and the level of physical activity among the elderly. J Educ Health Promot. 2021;10:68.
- 681 41. Pautex S, Herrmann F, Le Lous P, Fabjan M, Michel J-P, Gold G. Feasibility and Reliability
- of Four Pain Self-Assessment Scales and Correlation With an Observational Rating Scale in
- Hospitalized Elderly Demented Patients. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2005;60(4):524-9.
- 684 42. Tiplady B, Jackson SH, Maskrey VM, Swift CG. Validity and sensitivity of visual analogue
- scales in young and older healthy subjects. Age Ageing. 1998;27(1):63-6.
- 686 43. Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Pieper CF, Leveille SG, Markides KS, Ostir GV, et al. Lower
- extremity function and subsequent disability: consistency across studies, predictive models, and value
- of gait speed alone compared with the short physical performance battery. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med
- 689 Sci. 2000;55(4):M221-31.
- 690 44. Berková M, Topinková E, Mádlová P, Klán J., Vlachová M., J B. Krátká baterie pro testování
- 691 fyzické zdatnosti seniorů pilotní studie a validizace testu u starších osob v České republice [The
- 692 "Short Physical Performance Battery" in the Czech Republic the pilot and validation study in older
- 693 persons]. Vnitřní lékařství. 2013;59(4):256–63.
- 694 45. Bucks RS, Ashworth DL, Wilcock GK, Siegfried K. Assessment of activities of daily living in
- dementia: development of the Bristol Activities of Daily Living Scale. Age Ageing. 1996;25(2):113-
- 696 20.

- 697 46. Bartoš A, Martínek P, Řípová D. Dotazník Bristolská škála aktivit denního života BADLS-CZ
- 698 pro hodnocení pacientů s demencí. [The Bristol Activities of Daily Living Scale BADLS-CZ for the
- 699 Evaluation of Patients with Dementia]. Ceska a Slovenska Neurologie a neurochirurgie
- 700 2010;73/106(6):673–7.
- 701 47. Sheikh JI, Yesavage JA. Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS): Recent evidence and development
- of a shorter version. In: Brink TL, editor. Clinical Gerontology: A Guide to Assessment and
- 703 Intervention. 5. New York: Haworth Press; 1986. p. 165-73.
- 704 48. Tošnerová T, Bahbouh R. Orientační škála deprese: Geriatric Depression Scale dle Yesavage
- 705 (zkrácená verze) [Geriatric Depression Scale by Yesavage (shortened version)]. Praha: Ambulance pro
- poruchy paměti FNKV. [Memory disorders clinic, Faculty Hospital Královské Vinohrady]; 1999.
- 707 49. Conradsson M, Rosendahl E, Littbrand H, Gustafson Y, Olofsson B, Lövheim H. Usefulness
- of the Geriatric Depression Scale 15-item version among very old people with and without cognitive
- 709 impairment. Aging Ment Health. 2013;17(5):638-45.
- 710 50. Laidlaw K, Power MJ, Schmidt S, Grp W-O. The attitudes to ageing questionnaire (AAQ):
- development and psychometric properties. Int J Geriatr Psychiatr. 2007;22(4):367-79.
- 712 51. Dragomirecká E, Prajsová J. WHOQOL-OLD: příručka pro uživatele české verze dotazníku
- 713 Světové zdravotnické organizace pro měření kvality života ve vyšším věku. [WHOQOL-OLD:
- Manual for users of the Czech version of the WHO questionnaire measuring quality of life in old
- 715 age.]. Praha: Prague Psychiatric Centre; 2009.
- 716 52. Trigg R, Watts S, Jones R, Tod A, Elliman R. Self-reported quality of life ratings of people
- with dementia: the role of attitudes to aging. Int Psychogeriatr. 2012;24(7):1085-93.
- 718 53. Kisvetrova H, Skoloudik D, Danielova L, Langova K, Vaverkova R, Bretsnajdrova M, et al.
- 719 Czech Version of the Patient Dignity Inventory: Translation and Validation in Incurable Patients. J
- 720 Pain Symptom Manag. 2018;55(2):444-50.
- 721 54. Liu X, Liu Z, Cheng Q, Xu N, Liu H, Ying W. Effects of meaning in life and individual
- characteristics on dignity in patients with advanced cancer in China: a cross-sectional study. Support
- 723 Care Cancer. 2020.

- 724 55. Johnston B, Lawton S, McCaw C, Law E, Murray J, Gibb J, et al. Living well with dementia:
- enhancing dignity and quality of life, using a novel intervention, Dignity Therapy. Int J Older People
- 726 Nurs. 2016;11(2):107-20.
- 727 56. Šaňáková Š, Čáp J. Dignity from the nurses' and older patients' perspective: A qualitative
- 728 literature review. Nurs Ethics. 2018;26(5):1292-309.
- 729 57. Achterberg WP, Erdal A, Husebo BS, Kunz M, Lautenbacher S. Are Chronic Pain Patients
- with Dementia Being Undermedicated? J Pain Res. 2021;14:431-9.
- 731 58. Raluca Radu M, Chiriță R, Rada Borza L, Florian Macarie G, Nuc G, Constantin Paziuc L.
- 732 The role of self-esteem and autonomy in improving social functioning in patients with depression: a
- 733 matter of dignity. Rev Rom Bioet. 2015;13(1):131-40.
- 734 59. Siverová J, Bužgová R. The effect of reminiscence therapy on quality of life, attitudes to
- ageing, and depressive symptoms in institutionalized elderly adults with cognitive impairment: A
- quasi-experimental study. Int J Ment Health Nurs. 2018;27(5):1430-9.
- 737 60. Bayer T, Tadd W, Krajcik S. Dignity: The voice of older people. Qual Ageing. 2005;6(1):22-
- 738 9.
- 739 61. Clancy A, Simonsen N, Lind J, Liveng A, Johannessen A. The meaning of dignity for older
- adults: A meta-synthesis. Nurs Ethics. 2020:17.
- 741 62. Rodríguez-Prat A, Monforte-Royo C, Porta-Sales J, Escribano X, Balaguer A. Patient
- 742 Perspectives of Dignity, Autonomy and Control at the End of Life: Systematic Review and Meta-
- 743 Ethnography. PLoS One. 2016;11(3):e0151435-e.
- 744 63. Kwon CY, Lee B. Prevalence of Behavioral and Psychological Symptoms of Dementia in
- 745 Community-Dwelling Dementia Patients: A Systematic Review. Front Psychiatry. 2021;12:741059.

 Table 1

 Sociodemographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Respondents

Characteristic	Categories	Male $(N = 119)$	Female $(N = 197)$	<i>p</i> -value
Age Mean; SD (range)		80.8; 7.7 (60-97)	83.0; 7.2 (64-97)	.011ª
Education N (%)	Elementary	11 (9.2)	62 (31.5)	
	Vocational	55 (46.2)	61 (31.0)	0004h
	Secondary	35 (29.4)	67 (34.0)	.0004 ^b
	Tertiary	18 (15.1)	7 (3.6)	
Social involvement				
With whom the older adult lives N(%)	Alone	20 (16.8)	95 (48.2)	
	With partner	87 (73.1)	69 (35.0)	<.0001 ^b
	With others	12 (10.1)	33 (16.8)	
Participation in social activities N(%)	> 30 days ago	38 (32,0)	78 (39.6)	
	≤30 days ago	70 (58.8)	101 (51.3)	.655 b
	Cannot be	11(0.2)	19 (0.1)	.033
	determined*	11(9.2)	18 (9.1)	
Visit of relatives/friends N(%)	> 30 days ago	9 (7.6)	12 (6.1)	.161 ^b
	≤30 days ago	110 (92.4)	185 (93.9)	.101~
Contact with relatives/friends (phone, email) N(%)	> 7 days ago	18 (15.1)	30 (15.2)	.891 ^b
	≤7 days ago	101 (84.9)	167 (84.8)	.891"

Time spent alone daily N (%)	≥ 8 hours	29 (24.4)	70 (35.5)	.217 b		
	< 8 hours	90 (75.6)	127 (64.5)	.217		
Clinical characteristics						
Hearing Impairment N (%)	No/Minimum	97 (81.5)	175 (88.8)	.024		
	Medium/Severe	22 (18.5)	22 (11.2)	.024		
Visual Impairment N (%)	No/Minimum	104 (87.4)	160 (81.2)	000		
	Medium/Severe	15 (12.6)	37 (18.8)	.098		
Depression (GDS-15 score [Mean; SD]))	4.9; 3.8	5.1; 3.9	.651 ^a			
Self-Sufficiency in ADL (BADLS-CZ % [Mean; SD	77.3; 20.5	76.7; 18.8	.788ª			
Pain (HVAS score [Mean; SD])		2.1; 2.6	2.5; 2.7	.253		
Physical Performance (SPPB total score [Mean; SD]))	7.1; 3.7	5.6; 3.8	.001		
Psychological characteristics						
Dignity (PDI-CZ total score [Mean; SD])		41.9; 15.5	43.1; 15.5	.493		
Domains Loss of Purpose of Life		22.5; 8.8	23.4; 9.1	.378		
Loss of Autonomy		8.7; 3.5	8.9; 3.9	.772		
Loss of Confidence	6.8; 2.8	7.2; 2.8	.288			
Loss of Social support	Loss of Social support					
Attitude to Aging (AAQ total score [Mean; SD])		73.7; 13.3	72.6; 10.0	.428		

Note. *Category cannot be determined, excluded from the statistical comparison; ^a Independent samples *t*-test; ^bMann-Whitney U-test;

^cFisher exact test

Table 2

Linear Regression Model – <u>WomenFemales</u>

				Domains	of PDI-CZ				<u>Dig</u>	nity ←
Variables	Loss of Pu	urpose of	Loss of A	utonomy	Loss of Co	nfidence	Loss of	Social	(PDI	[-CZ <u>)</u>
variables	Lit	fe					Supp	port	-Total	l score
	ß	p	ß	p	ß	p	ß	p	ß	p
Constant	29.333	.021	15.078	.006	6.683	.076	-0.646	.769	50.448	.015
Age	-0.028	.737	0.003	.923	-0.001	.980	0.012	.403	-0.013	.923
Education ^a	0.386	.726	0.188	.688	-0.254	.437	0.021	.911	0.342	.849
Living Arrangements ^b	0.968	.366	0.095	.835	0.570	.073	0.290	.121	1.923	.271
Participation in Social	1.996	.066	0.765	.098	0.518	.108	0.384	.053	3.663	.059
Activities ^c										
Visiting Friends ^d	-3.192	.234	-1.993	.081	-0.723	.362	0.144	.757	-5.764	.188
Phone/email Contacts ^e	-1.183	.475	-0.683	.333	-0.281	.567	-0.174	.547	-2.321	.391
Hearing Impairment	0.224	.888	0.773	.253	0.424	.367	0.404	.145	1.825	.481
Visual Impairment	-0.619	.642	-0.045	.936	-0.144	.716	0.137	.557	-0.672	.757
Pain (HVAS)	0.483	.016*	0.217	.011*	0.072	.222	-0.049	.154	0.722	.027*
Physical Performance (SPPB)	0.086	.654	0.010	.903	0.108	.058	0.016	.635	0.220	.482

Depression (GDS-15)	1.166	<.0001***	0.277	.0001***	0.455	<.0001***	0.155	<.0001***	2.053	<.0001***
Attitude to Aging (AAQ)	-0.205	.002**	-0.061	.028*	-0.041	.034*	-0.015	.180	-0.321	.003**
Self-Sufficiency in ADL	-0.122	.002**	-0.097	<.0001***	-0.030	.013*	0.0004	.950	-0.248	.0002***
(BADLS-CZ)										
R ² /adjusted R ²	.564	/.526	.547	7/.508	.575/	0.539	.304	/.244	.60	0/.565
Durbin-Watson Test/VIF	urbin-Watson Test/VIF 1.763/1.523		2.006/1.523		1.856/1.523		1.941/1.523		1.792/1.523	

^{*}p < 0.05; ***p < 0.01; ****p < 0.001; a category dichotomized by the level of education into lower education (elementary, vocational) vs. higher (secondary, tertiary); b category dichotomized by the living arrangement into living alone vs. not alone (with partner or other people); c category dichotomized by the last time participated at social activity into > 30 days ago vs. < 30 or less days ago; d category dichotomized by the last time visit of a friend/relative into > 30 days ago vs. < 30 or less days ago; c category dichotomized by the last time of the phone/email contact with a friend/relative into > 7 days ago vs. < 7 or less days ago. For each dichotomous variable, the first one listed was the reference category.

Table 3Linear Regression Model – Menales

				<u>D</u>	omains of P	PDI-CZ			<u>Dig</u>	<u>nity</u>
Variables	Loss of Purpose of Life		Loss of Autonomy		Loss of Confidence		Loss of Social Support		(PDI-CZ) Total score	
	β	p	β	p	ß	p	β	p	β	p
Constant	33.110	.008	6.611	.227	8.816	.034	8.627	.009	57.164	.006
Age	0.029	.665	0.021	.494	0.000	.997	-0.022	.215	0.027	.808
Education ^a	0.878	.411	0.495	.300	0.421	.242	-0.087	.759	1.707	.340
Living	-0.161	.903	-0.140	.812	0.302	.497	0.060	.864	0.061	.978
Arrangements ^b										
Participation in	0.239	.826	0.171	.725	0.144	.695	0.237	.414	0.791	.664
Social										
Activities ^c										
Visiting Friends ^d	-0.429	.882	0.865	.503	-0.493	.613	0.901	.243	0.845	.861
Phone/email	-1.328	.461	-0.239	.766	-0.572	.345	-0.915	.058	-3.055	.311
Contacts ^e										
Hearing	-0.120	.935	-0.339	.607	-0.244	.622	0.222	.571	-0.481	.845
Impairment										

Formatted Table

Visual	2.103	.165	1.898	.006**	1.025	.046*	0.337	.402	5.364	.036*
Impairment										
Pain (HVAS)	0.848	<.0001***	0.312	.001**	0.253	.0003***	0.052	.325	1.464	<.0001***
Physical	-0.180	.342	-0.111	.190	-0.013	.840	-0.070	.167	-0.373	.239
Performance										
(SPPB)										
Depression	1.269	<.0001***	0.339	.0002**	0.474	<.0001***	0.137	.009**	2.220	<.0001***
(GDS-15)										
Attitude to	-0.169	.018*	-0.040	.207	-0.027	.253	-0.033	.080	-0.269	.025*
Aging (AAQ)										
Self-Sufficiency	-0.006	.876	-0.048	.006**	0.001	.908	0.014	.181	-0.039	.543
in ADL										
(BADLS-CZ)										
R ² /adjusted R ²	.747/.709		.686/.639		.719/.676			.441/.357	.77	3/.739
Durbin-Watson 1.934/1.746		4/1.746	1.936/1.746		1.845/1.746		2.288/1.746		1.946/1.746	
test/VIF										

^{*}p < .05; ***p < .01; ****p < .001 a category dichotomized by the level of education into lower education (elementary, vocational) vs. higher (secondary, tertiary); b category dichotomized by the living arrangement into living alone vs. not alone (with partner or other people); c category dichotomized by the last time participated at social activity into > 30 days ago vs. < 30 or less days ago; d category dichotomized by the last time visit of a friend/relative into > 30 days ago vs. < 30 or less days ago; category dichotomized by the last time of the phone/email contact with a friend/relative into > 7 days ago vs. < 7 or less days ago. For each dichotomous variable, the first one listed was the reference category.