

Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Fitzgerald, Ian and Stone, Ian (2001) University engagement in the wider economy : activities and attitudes of Northumbria University staff. In: Anglo-Polish Conference: Universities and Entrepreneurship, 6-7 September 2001, Newcastle-upon-Tyne.

URL:

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link:
<https://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/1269/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)



**Northumbria
University**
NEWCASTLE



UniversityLibrary

Anglo-Polish Conference
Universities and Entrepreneurship
University of Northumbria
6-7 September 2001

**UNIVERSITY ENGAGEMENT IN THE ECONOMY:
ACTIVITIES AND ATTITUDES OF NORTHUMBRIA
UNIVERSITY STAFF**

Ian Fitzgerald and Ian Stone
Northern Economic Research Unit
University of Northumbria

1. Context

- ❑ Government seeks greater involvement of universities with industry and wider community
- ❑ 'Knowledge economy' means high potential value of such activity to wider economy
- ❑ Higher Education Reach-out to Business and the Community (HEROBC) fund
- ❑ Regional development agency, ONE NorthEast, has placed universities 'at the heart' of its regional development strategy

2. Institutional capacity to respond

Crucial determinants of an institution's capability in this field...

- ❑ How supportive of university involvement in external activities are staff and of the various initiatives to support it?
- ❑ Does the university's incentive system operate in a way that encourages staff to engage in this kind of work?

3. Existing research

- ❑ Focus in existing research upon *mechanisms* for connecting universities with SMEs and other organisations - case studies of successful initiatives and partnerships, highlighting best practice.
- ❑ Existing research draws substantially upon perspectives of HEI senior managers - roles and perspectives of University staff themselves given less attention
- ❑ Exception - recent national survey by the Association of University Teachers (AUT) and Institute of Education, University of London
- ❑ But, comparatively small no. responses (348 nationally) and strong bias towards traditional (pre-1992) universities (and longer-serving staff) - yet *new universities* likely to be more active in terms of external engagements

4. Objectives of present study

- ❑ To investigate roles and views of academic and related staff regarding external activities in a new university
 - the extent and type of involvement
 - the motivations behind it, and
 - attitudes towards such activity.
- ❑ Analysis intended to assist University in (1) monitoring changes in external involvement, and (2) identifying appropriate policies of support.

5. The Survey

- ❑ Questionnaire sent (February/March 2001) to all UN academic staff (excl. School Heads and above) and researchers.
- ❑ 292 completed forms were returned; response rate 27%
- ❑ Good representation by faculty, age, gender; survey of non-respondents revealed similar in levels of external engagement and views on university role.

6. Measures of engagement: (1) numbers

- ❑ 97% of respondents involved in external activity over last 3 years, including
 - educationally-based projects
 - links with private business and commerce, and
 - government, economic development agencies etc
 - community-focused activities.
- ❑ While many of these activities involve regional partners, a substantial proportion are national or international in scope.

Table 3.1 Number of UN staff with external links (n=292)

	Current year	Previous 2 years	Full 3-year period	No activity
No. (%) respondents	279 (95%)	249 (85%)	284 (97%)	8 (3%)

NOT USED

Table 3.2 Educationally-based external links

Activity	Northumbria - current year (n=292)	Northumbria - previous 2 years (n=292)	AUT/loE survey (n=348)
Student work experience, placements	180 (62%)	160 (55%)	77 (22%)
Marketing/course promotion	123 (42%)	110 (38%)	97 (28%)
Links with educational institutions	109 (37%)	98 (34%)	97 (28%)

Table 3.3 Commercially-based links

Activity	Northumbria - current year (n=292)	Northumbria - previous 2 years (n=292)	AUT/loE national survey (n=348)
Consultancy	112 (38%)	116 (40%)	118 (34%)
Applied research	94 (32%)	84 (29%)	146 (42%)
CPD/short courses	106 (36%)	100 (34%)	87 (25%)

Table 3.4 Other external links

Activity	UN - current year (n=292)	UN - previous 2 years (n=292)	AUT/loE survey (n=348)
Community relations	77 (26%)	66 (23%)	73 (21%)
Membership of external advisory bodies	72 (25%)	62 (21%)	80 (23%)
Other external activities	61 (21%)	47 (16%)	n/a

7. Measures of engagement: (2) hours per week

- ❑ More than half of staff members devote only a modest amount of time to these activities (up to one hour per day on average)
- ❑ A significant minority devote over ten hours per week on external activities
- ❑ 1-2 academic staff in every ten spends 10+ hours/week – indicating presence of a specialist group within the university

8. Initiation source

- ❑ In the majority of cases, individuals or small groups of staff initiate these activities (fully or jointly 57% of all projects identified)
- ❑ Relatively small proportion of the projects initiated at school level (37%)
- ❑ Even fewer above that level (faculty 10%, above faculty 4%)

9. Motivation and rewards (1)

- ❑ Time devoted to external activity formally recognised in *workloads* in only one-fifth of cases. Not recognised at all in one-third of cases
 - 'We have no time for engagement - the School does not recognise it in terms of allocation of time'
 - 'staff should not be expected to do external work as an extra!'
- ❑ Staff want integration of external links into formal workload - 7 out of 10 respondents would increase their engagement if activities were recognised

10. Motivation and rewards (2)

- ❑ Where activities are not (or only partially) recognised, staff receive *additional payment* in only one-third of cases. Nearly half of these considered payments inadequate for extra work involved. 71% indicated that additional payments would lead to increased activity
 - 'consultancy is hardly worthwhile when a large slice is taken by the Department and the work is then required to be done in one's own time'
 - 'Yes, I would increase activity if I was remunerated *more*. But I suffer from a lack of clarity regarding how much work I am allowed to be paid for - this grey area is a *disincentive*'
- ❑ 6 out of 10 respondents believed external activities contributed to their *career development/promotion*; 3 out of 10 believed they had no effect
- ❑ Only half of respondents reported external activities were discussed in their *annual appraisal*

11. Assessment of benefits and costs

- ❑ Respondents believed that a number of core University activities had benefited from external links
- ❑ 75% consider that teaching and learning support, professional development and advice to students positively benefited from their external involvements.
 - 'It makes academic work become meaningful'
- ❑ Almost half considered that external activities had even had a positive benefit upon their RAE performance – with fewer than one in ten believing otherwise. In some cases
- ❑ Most felt that administrative duties suffered.

12. Perspectives on changing University role

- ❑ High level of approval among staff (90%) for University engagement in activities supporting regional economic development – over half ‘strongly agreed’
 - ‘we are part of the community and should help to encourage growth’
- ❑ 92% said University should make research, teaching and consultancy skills of staff accessible to commercial businesses.
- ❑ Respondents strongly supported (93%) view that courses should be designed with regional employers in mind; and where feasible, work experience should form a significant part of University courses.
- ❑ 7 in 10 agree that University should help to foster entrepreneurship
- ❑ Clear majority in favour of University investing core funding into commercial ventures (57% for, 26% against)
 - ‘if it was *new* money for that purpose, then fine. Existing money, however, is much too small for current core activities’
 - ‘I’d support the idea providing it doesn’t affect the budget for other areas of University activity’
- ❑ Considerably more staff in favour of using University money to pump-prime development of business ventures *by staff* than against – though the balance in relation to *student* ventures was more even

13. Conclusions

- ❑ No authoritative national figures for comparison, but set against AUT/loE survey findings, Northumbria staff are very extensively engaged in external activities *and* highly positive in their evaluation of such work.
- ❑ Staff highly supportive of notion that University should be engaged in economic development process through
 - developing appropriately-skilled graduates
 - assisting industry to improve its competitiveness, and
 - playing a role in regional development, including the fostering of entrepreneurial attitudes.

14. Policy areas

- ❑ Review *incentives* system and ensure it is applied evenly across the institution
- ❑ Ensure appropriate *support* is available - both at central services and decentralised (faculty/school) level
- ❑ Core of externally-active staff members should be seen as a *resource* for the development of further links and projects.
- ❑ External linkage mechanisms should seek to as effectively *connect internally* as they do to externally