Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Cheung, Wai Ming and Pachisia, Vedant (2015) Facilitating waste paper recycling and repurposing via cost modelling of machine failure, labour availability and waste quantity. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 101. pp. 34-41. ISSN 0921-3449

Published by: Elsevier

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.05.011 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.05.011>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: https://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/22712/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)





Facilitating Waste Paper Recycling and Repurposing via Cost Modelling of 1 Machine Failure, Labour Availability and Waste Quantity 2 3 Wai Ming Cheung* and Vedant Pachisia 4 Faculty of Engineering and Environment, Department of Mechanical and 5 Construction Engineering, Northumbria University, Newcastle Upon 6 Tyne, UK. NE1 8ST 7 * wai.m.cheung@northumbria.ac.uk 8 9 Please cite this article as: Cheung, W.M. and Pachisia, V., (2015), "Facilitating Waste Paper Recycling 10 and Repurposing via Cost Modelling of Machine Failure, Labour Availability and Waste Quantity", Resources, Conservation and Recycling, Vol.101, Pages: 34-41. 11

12

Abstract. For a paper manufacturer to remain competitive and sustainable, they 13 14 must be able to manufacture at a low production cost with minimum resource consumption. One such approach to reduce manufacturing costs and take 15 environmental issues into consideration could be the adoption of recycling and 16 repurposing of waste paper. However, recent recycling research to address both 17 environmental and economic challenges is predominately focused on the mechanical 18 or electrical and electronics sectors. As the paper industry and consumers produce a 19 large amount of waste paper, this lack of research highlights an important knowledge 20 gap in the field of study. This article reviews the extent to which waste paper can be 21 reused through recycling and repurposing. As a result, a cost modelling approach 22 has been developed to predict cost fluctuations under different manufacturing 23 constraints. The overall contributions of this research are: (i) identification of testing 24 25 scenarios and parameters in waste paper; (ii) methods of recycling and repurposing cost modelling. A case study has been used to validate the method and based on 26 27 the proposed approach, senior management of paper manufacturers could potentially achieve the best result to prevent unexpected costs and therefore 28 29 maximise waste paper reuse.

30

31 Word Counts: 5921

32

Keywords: Waste paper, paper industry, cost modelling, recycling cost,
 repurposing cost

1 **1. Introduction**

In the last two decades, environmental concerns have extended into almost all 2 aspects of the manufacturing industry and all phases of a product's life cycle (Wang 3 and Chan. 2013). Due to the growing concern about environmental problems, it is 4 becoming important for manufacturers to add more value into their products while 5 reducing the environmental impact (Kondoh and Salmi. 2011). Recyclable material 6 7 and remanufactured products are two approaches to limit the impact on the environment. Recycling enables the reuse of materials and their components, while 8 9 remanufacturing preserves the shape and adds value to the returnable products. However, research into recycling and remanufacturing is predominately focused on 10 the automotive, aerospace and electronics industries (Ardente et al., 2014; Asmatulu 11 et al., 2013; Hatcher et al., 2013; Henckens et al., 2014; Lee at al., 2007; Zhang et 12 al., 2011; Zhao and Chen., 2011) while the paper industry has received insufficient 13 attention in recent years. However, remanufacturing of waste paper is not possible 14 and in reality it can be referred to as paper repurposing. As stated by Pullen (2014), 15 "repurposing means taking an item and changing its use. This can be as simple as 16 taking waste paper and repurposing this into note books, card boards etc". 17

18

The latest statistics have shown that (Rockstock. 2014) "Worldwide consumption of 19 paper has risen by 400% in the past 40 years leading to increase in deforestation, 20 with 35% of harvested trees being used for paper manufacture." For example, in the 21 22 United States alone, waste paper accounts for approximately 40% of the total waste; this is equivalent to almost 72 million tonnes of wastepaper annually (Rockstock. 23 2014). In Europe, eleven million tonnes of waste are produced yearly by the 24 European pulp and paper industry of which 70% originates from the production of 25 de-inked recycled paper (Monte et al., 2009). In 2010 China imported 25 million 26 tonnes of paper for recycling from Europe and North America (Paper Recycling, 27 2014). Furthermore, the paper industry is a major contributor to the global economy 28 and yet, studies show that the paper industry offers little profit margin and requires 29 large initial investments (Koskinen. 2009). In the current economic climate 30 competition to meet customers' demands is one of the driving factors that affects 31 profit margins (Esterman et al., 2005). When a company produces a product various 32 factors such as the upfront costs of machinery, labour, raw materials and transport 33

will contribute to decisions about price setting. Hence, price setting is the most common problem faced by all industries. Moreover, the paper industry is under constant pressure to reduce harmful emissions to the air and water. Therefore, the paper industry is not only concerned with cost prediction, but also production efficiency and environmental impact, due to the raw materials and processes used in manufacturing.

7

Paper is manufactured using cellulose fibres as raw material; it can be obtained 8 9 from waste paper, virgin wood or non-wood material. These fibres are then passed through mechanical or chemical processing to form pulp which is then machined to 10 form paper. Paper is also termed a recyclable product since it can be recycled at 11 the end of its first life. The production of pulp and paper from virgin pulp generates 12 less waste but the waste has similar properties to waste from the production of de-13 inked pulp. This process of de-inking of waste paper, which allows waste paper to 14 be reused again, can be referred to as waste paper recycling (Chen et al., 2001; 15 Monte et al., 2009). Therefore, the process of transforming recycled paper into 16 cardboard, printer papers or newspapers is referred to as waste paper repurposing 17 18 (Pullen. 2014). Repurposing of recycled paper includes refining, de-inking, as well as remoulding of the pulp. 19

20

Cost models can be used to reduce the end-of-life (EOL) cost (Cheung et al., 21 22 2015). EOL cost is a process of estimating the cost of recycling/disposing of a product. Thus, cost modelling is an important approach in production, as it plays a 23 crucial role in price tagging. If applied effectively it can be used to reduce 24 production cost, improve production processes and the quality of end products 25 (Ultrich and Eppinger. 2011). This article will therefore discuss the development of 26 a cost modelling approach by taking into consideration all operational parameters. 27 The aim of the cost model is to predict the potential financial impacts of three 28 important elements: (1) critical component failure in the waste paper and paper 29 production processes; (2) availability of labour and (3) the quantity of waste paper 30 in the recycling and repurposing processes. Based on the approach, senior 31 management of paper manufacturers can utilise the result to prevent unexpected 32 costs and therefore maximise waste paper reuse. The layout of this paper is as 33

follows: Section 2 describes the relevant literature. Section 3 discusses the cost
modelling approach. Section 4 describes a case study and result and, finally,
discussions, conclusion and future work are presented.

4

5 2. Literature review

Recycling is not a new research topic but has emerged as a competitive strategy for
 manufacturers in recent years (Lee et al., 2014). Among these is the following recent
 recycling related research aimed at both environmental and economic challenges.

9

Duval and MacLean, (2007) developed a financial model coupled with Life Cycle 10 Assessment technique to apply in recycling business operations. The key question 11 this method to address was focused on the financial and greenhouse gas emissions 12 during the set-up of a start-up network to recycle automotive plastic. This method 13 was successfully used to estimate a trade-off between financial and environmental 14 impact. Ghoreishi et al. 2011) developed a framework for cost benefit analysis of the 15 take back process, such as remanufacturing, refurbishment, reuse and recycling. 16 The focus of the approach was to determine net profit of product take back 17 18 processes and offers to customers of financial incentives to purchase new products. Marques et al (2014) performed a comparative study to carry out economic analysis 19 of recycling services which comprised the balance between economic and financial 20 costs and the benefits of selective collection and sorting activities. The research was 21 focused on the European Union (EU) member states' packaging waste recycling and 22 recovering processes. They concluded that local governmental regulations and 23 support have the greatest impact on waste management which could directly affect 24 resource efficiency improvement targets in the EU. 25

26

27 Cheung et al, (2015) developed a roadmap to facilitate the prediction of disposal 28 costs to determine a satisfactory solution of whether the EOL parts of a defence 29 electronic system are viable to be remanufactured, refurbished or recycled from an 30 early stage of a design concept. The research was to investigate how disposal costs 31 were being incurred in the domain of defence electronic systems by the Original 32 Equipment Manufacturer (OEM). It is intended that the OEM could utilise this method 33 as part of a full lifecycle cost analysis at the conceptual design stage. The cost

model also served as a useful guide to aid decision making so that it led to the
design of a more sustainable product in terms of recycling, refurbishment or
remanufacture with the consideration of financial impact.

4

In summary, the recent recycling related research was focused on mechanical or electrical and electronics sectors. As mentioned in the introduction, the paper industry and consumers produce a large amount of waste paper and the lack of research in this area is an important knowledge gap, initiating the investigation of waste reduction and the financial benefits of waste paper.

10

Various types of papers are manufactured depending on their physical properties, forexample:

Strength and resistance to breakdown when acted upon by various forces, such as tearing apart, puncturing and pulling (Richmond. 2006).

- Retention of physical strength and chemical properties when exposed to various
 agents that are encountered when the paper is stored (Richmond. 2006).
- Ability to maintain standard print quality by preventing ink from fading away.

The variety of paper in the market ranges from soft paper for writing and printing to 18 19 hard paper for storing and packaging. Paperboard is manufactured mostly from waste paper. It has high strength and offers resistance to breakdown, thus it is highly 20 valued in the packaging sector. Paperboard cartons are the mainstream of resources 21 in the packaging business (Dobra. 2007). In Europe alone, demand for paperboard 22 for the packaging industry was around 46 million tonnes per year since 2007 (Valois. 23 2012) where global consumption of recovered paper was 228 million tonnes 24 (Keränen and Ervasti., 2014). 25

26

Repurposing paperboard is both economically and environmentally sustainable as large quantities of paper can be manufactured using a lesser amount of energy and raw material. The main source of raw material for repurposing paperboard is fibres which are usually obtained from waste paper. Manufacturing paperboard follows the same process as manufacturing of soft paper. Firstly, waste products are disassembled into their individual components and materials through a sequence of manufacturing procedures. The functioning components and materials thus obtained

are washed and repaired before reuse in the production line. Finally, by assembling
the refurbished components and materials and replacing the non-functioning parts
with similar new ones, a new product is made (Guide. 2000).

4

From an economic perspective, studies have shown that recycling and repurposing 5 can yield a higher profit for new product development (Ardente etal., 2014; 6 Dhanorkar et al., 2015). From environmental perspectives, recycling and repurposing 7 help to reduce environmental impact as it avoids post-consumption waste and 8 9 requires fewer natural resources, thereby extending a product's life. In general, recycling and repurposing will have an impact on sustainability, namely: economic, 10 environmental and societal (Zink et al., 2014). Thus, it can be concluded that 11 recycling and repurposing products are beneficial, not only economically, but also 12 environmentally. There may be polluting emissions during the process of 13 remanufacturing, repairing, repurposing and refurbishment, such as heat and surface 14 treatments (Du et al., 2012; Zink et al., 2014). However, by reusing waste material 15 the level of harmful emissions will be reduced in comparison with virgin materials 16 extraction, which could also improve a product's sustainability. 17

18

Cost modelling is an approach used for forecasting/estimating the future cost of a 19 manufactured good or service based on the facts and figures accessible at the given 20 time (Marsh et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2012). Cost estimation is also considered to be an 21 important tool for the management during the initial stages of planning for goods 22 production as it helps in setting a budget for allocating resources (Alizon et al., 23 2006). It also assists the industry by predicting the cost of alternative designs and 24 the financial impact of the project being undertaken (Cheung et al., 2009; Cheung et 25 al., 2014). In business, cost estimation plays a crucial role for any company as even 26 a small error in estimating the cost may lead to the loss of a contract, thus affecting 27 the sales and profit of a company. Therefore, cost estimation is an important task in 28 a product's lifecycle. However, EOL products cost estimation has been given little 29 attention in the research community (Go et al., 2011). If a system was developed to 30 predict the cost of its EOL value, it may lead to a more sustainable product for the 31 environment and also greater profit margins. 32

3. A proposed approach of evaluating waste paper recycling and repurposing

2 costs

3

4 Cost is incurred at various stages of production from collecting raw material to 5 packing of the final output and disposal of waste generated in manufacturing the 6 product. The initial cost can be categorised into: (1) raw material cost; (2) energy 7 cost; (3) cleaning and waste removal cost; and (4) labour charges. The method of 8 cost evaluation begins with raw materials as illustrated in Figure 1. The main forms 9 of raw material used for manufacturing paperboard are as follows:

- Cellulose fibres are generally obtained from wood, waste paper and agricultural
 residue;
- A large quantity of water is used in the pulp making stage;

Chemicals such as dyes, fluorescent whitening agents, alum and sizing agents
 are used during various manufacturing stages for improving the quality of the
 finished product and making the product more durable.

16

Energy plays an important role in the industry. Energy in the form of heat and electricity is used in manufacturing paperboard. The raw material passes through many processes before the finished product is obtained.

20

21

(Please insert Figure 1 here)

- Fig. 1. Approach of evaluating waste paper recycling and repurposing costs
- 23

24 3.1 Functional equations and factors in recycling and repurposing

25

Recycling and purposing paper and paperboard from waste paper depends on numerous factors. It is important to consider and understand each of these factors and to recognise their influences on the production processes. The functional equations shown below form the fundamental standard of the factors that influence the recycling and repurposing procedures (Edgren and Moreland. 1990):

31

32 • Waste paper demand

```
The quantity of waste paper required (QWPR) is reliant on real output price (OP),
 1
     present value of waste paper (PvWP) and the total quantity of paperboard produced
 2
     (Z).
 3
 4
 5
     QWPR= F_1 {OP, PvWP, Z}
                                                                                       (1)
     Where:
 6
 7
            F<sub>1</sub> represents a function of "QWPR"
 8
 9

    Labour requirement

     The number of employees required (LR) is determined by the real output price (OP),
10
     total quantity of paperboard produced (Z), labour rate (L) and amount of working
11
     required (W).
12
13
     LR= F<sub>2</sub> {OP, Z, L, W}
                                                                                       (2)
14
     Where:
15
            F<sub>2</sub> represents a function of "LR"
16
17
18

    Machine operation

     The total amount spent on the working of the machinery (MO) is calculated by
19
20
     considering the total quantity of paperboard produced (Z), present value of energy
     (PvE), present value of the machine (PvM), quantity of waste paper supplied
21
22
     (QWPR), efficiency of the machine (n) and the quantity of labour required (LR).
23
     MO= F_3 {Z, PvE, PvM, QWPR, \eta, LR}
                                                                                       (3)
24
     Where:
25
            F<sub>3</sub> represents a function of "MO"
26
27

    Capital investment required

28
     The total amount of initial investment required (CIR) to start the recycling and
29
     repurposing process is calculated by considering the real output price (OP), total
30
     quantity of paperboard produced (Z), present value of the capital (PvC), quantity of
31
```

labour required (LR), quantity of waste paper required (QWPR), cost of machine

```
operation (MO), present value of waste paper (PvWP) and the present value of
 1
     energy (PvE).
 2
 3
     CIR= F<sub>4</sub> {OP, PvC, Z, LR, QWPR, MO, PvWP, PvE}
                                                                                         (4)
 4
 5
     Where:
            F<sup>4</sup> represents a function of "CIR"
 6
 7

    Total production

     The total quantity of paperboard produced (Z) depends on the labour requirement
 8
 9
     (LR), capital investment required (CIR), quantity of waste paper required (QWPR)
     and the machine operation (MO).
10
11
     Z = F_5 \{LR, CIR, QWPR, MO\}
12
                                                                                         (5)
13
     Where:
14
            F<sub>5</sub> represents a function of "Z"
15
16

    Total output

17
     The total output (TO) of the company is determined by the present value of waste
18
     paper (PvWP), total quantity of paperboard produced (Z), the section of the waste
19
     paper that is not recyclable (CWPNR), present value of energy (PvE), present
20
     minimum wage rate (PmW) and the waste paper coefficient (WF).
21
22
     WF = \frac{(Amount of wastepaper supplied)}{(Amount of wastepaper required)}
23
                                                                                         (6)
24
     TO= F_6 {PvWP, Z, CWPNR, PvE, PmW, WF}
25
                                                                                         (7)
     Where:
26
            F<sub>6</sub> represents a function of "TO"
27
     3.2 Life cycle cost (LCC)
28
29
     The life cycle cost analysis specifies a structural model for indicating the projected
     overall incremental expenditure of designing, manufacturing, consuming and
30
```

disposing of a particular product. The life cycle cost can be calculated as follows (Asiedu and Gu. 1998):

- 1
- 2 LCC= (Ci + OM present value + P present value + RR present value Dis D)

(8)

3

4 Where:

Ci = The initial "capital investment" required to implement the proposed project
 plan. Expenditure incurred by any company at the beginning of the project refers
 to its capital cost. This includes machinery cost, land rent, design, fixation and
 construction cost. Capital costs are fixed costs and are independent of the
 quantity of output.

OM = Operating and maintenance cost is the cost incurred by the company while
 running the manufacturing and packaging process. Wages of the operators,
 insurance, inspection cost, expenditure for purchasing materials used for
 maintenance, such as lubricants and coolants, are types of operating and
 maintenance costs.

- P = Power cost involves the summation of money spent on various sources of
 energy required for the project. Electricity, coal and natural gas are the most
 common forms of energy used. Their usage varies with the level of output; hence
 it is a type of variable cost.
- RR = Repair and Replacement cost is the cost incurred by the company to repair
 the machines which breakdown during usage and replace parts at the end of the
 life span.
- Dis = Disposal cost is the cost incurred to dispose of the waste and the products
 produced with defects.
- *D* = Depreciation is a cost that a company suffers because machinery depreciates every year from the time it is first in use.
- 26
- 27

28 3.3 Recycling cost

Recycling cost is the cost incurred to recover the recyclable material from the waste. It involves the cost of refining the waste collected and removing the unwanted materials. Therefore, the cost incurred to recycle can be calculated using the equation given below (Shu and Flower. 2005):

1 RC = (QW * PVm) - OC - (T * LC * f) + EC2 (9) Where: 3 RC(f) = Recycling Cost4 QW (Kg) = Quantity of wastepaper used in kilograms 5 $OC(\pounds) = Opportunity cost$ 6 7 $PVm(\pounds/kg) = Present value of per kilogram of wastepaper$ $LC (\pounds/hr) = Labour cost$ 8 *T* (*hrs*) = *Time required for refining and deinking the wastepaper* 9 *f* = *Refining* and *deinking* factor 10 EC = Energy cost 11 12 "f" can be calculated by: 13 14 (Effective Residual Ink Concentration(ERIC) value of the wastepaper completely refined and deinked) (ERIC value of the wastepaper before ink removal and unwanted particles - ERIC value of the waste after deinking) (10)15 16 3.4 Repurposing cost 17 Repurposing paper and paperboard from waste paper includes the cost of refining 18 and de-inking as well as the cost associated with remoulding of the pulp, the 19 probability of failure and the cost of improving the quality of the end product. 20 21 Repurposing cost using pulp forming and moulding can be calculated on the basis of the equation given below (Dantec. 2005): 22 23 $RpC = ((TimeD + TimeA) \times PQ \times n \times LR) + (PF \times CF) + EC + UC$ (11)24 Where: $RpC(\pounds) = Repurposing cost$ 25 TimeD (hrs) = time required for refining and deinking 26 TimeA (hrs) = Time required for molding the pulp 27 28 29 Repurposing cost per tonne of recycled paper can be calculated on the basis of the equation given below: 30 31 $RpC = (TOT \times PQ \times LC \times n) + (Er \times EC) + UC + (I \times IC) + (PF \times CF)$ (12) 32 Where: 33 11

1	TOT (hrs) = total operation time
2	PQ (kg/hr) = production quantity per hour
3	LC (£/hr) = Labour rate
4	n = number of labourers
5	Er (unit) = Energy required
6	EC (£) = Energy cost
7	UC (£) = Uncertainty cost
8	l (kg) = total Input
9	$IC(\pounds) = Cost of input$
10	<i>PF</i> = probability of failure in the refining, deinking and molding process
11	$CF(\pounds) = Cost due to failure$
12	
13	3.5 Machine repair cost
14	The plant operates 24 hours, 7 days a week. Continuous working of the machinery
15	for repurposing paperboard leads the machine parts to wear out. The production is
16	halted if a certain machine breaks down and requires immediate repair before
17	resuming the production. Repairing any component requires expenditure. The
18	repairing cost can be calculated using the equation given below (Shu and Flower.
19	2005):
20	
21	RepC = CF + (fa x LR x Trt) (13)
22	Where, $fa = \frac{(Number of assemblies to dissemble)}{(Total number of assemblies)}$ (14)
23	RepC (£) = Repairing cost
24	$CF(\pounds) = Cost due to failure$
25	fa = Repairing factor
26	LR (£/hr) = Labour rate
27	Trt (hrs) = Total repairing time
28	
29	3.6 Service cost
30	Service cost involves the cost incurred to pay the workforce employed to carry out
31	the maintenance of the machinery used in the production process. The service cost
32	can be calculated from the equation given below (Asiedu and Gu. 1998):

1 2 $LSC = ((Lt + Ltp) \times LR + (Pc + Pcp))$ (15)Where: 3 $LSC(\pounds) = Labour service cost$ 4 Lt (hrs) = labour time 5 *Ltp (hrs) = Labour time penalty* 6 7 $LR (\pounds/hr) = Labour rate$ Pc (£)= Material cost 8 9 $Pcp(\mathfrak{L}) = Material cost penalty$ 10 3.7 The analysis and testing parameters 11 The focus of the analysis is based on three elements: 12 1) What will be the financial impact if one of the critical mechanical components 13 failed? The pedestal bearing is used for the evaluation and the reason for the 14 selection is based on the industrial collaborator's experience that this typical 15 component often fails during the recycling process. 16 2) The second element of the cost modelling approach is to evaluate labour 17 18 fluctuation. How will this affect the recycling and repurposing processes financially? 19 3) The last element to be considered in the evaluation is how shortage of waste 20 paper will affect a paper manufacturer financially. 21 22 Figure 2 illustrates the three elements and based on this, five scenarios have been 23 identified for the case study. 24 1. No manufacturing constraints; 25 2. With machine breakdown; 26 3. Low labour attendance; 27 28 More work force than required; 5. Shortage in raw materials supply. 29 30 In order to evaluate the potential impact on the costs of the five scenarios, the 31 following experimental parameters are used in the cost models. 32 Recycling 1 tonne of waste paper 33

1	 Repurposing 1 tonne of waste paper to form the pulp
2	 Repurposing 1 tonne of paperboard to form the pulp
3	 Recycling and repurposing per tonne
4	Repairing
5	Labour service
6	 Total spent on recycling waste paper for a day (50 tonnes)
7	 Total spent on repurposing waste paper for a day (50 tonnes)
8	Total spent on repurposing paperboard for a day (depends on the amount of
9	recyclable paper obtained at the recycling stage)
10	
11	This would help senior management to visualise the financial impact under different
12	scenarios. The resulting costs impact on each of the above scenarios would help
13	the paper manufacturers to prevent potential shortcomings as indicated in the three
14	elements.
15	
16	(Please insert Figure 2 here)
17	Fig. 2 The Testing Parameters for the Case Study
12	rig. 2. The resting ratafictors for the Gase Study
10	4. Case study and result
10	
20	The cost equations as explained in Section 3 are used to determine the cost of
21	recycling and repurposing the paperboard under different manufacturing constraints
22	as described in Section 3.7. The data in Table 1 was obtained from S.P. Paper and
23	Paperboard Mill Ltd in India which was used in the cost models. The company is
24	certified by ISO 14001 for environmental management and by ISO 9008 for quality
25	management. The results generated from the cost models could only give an
26	indication of the associated costs by applying different manufacturing constraints.
27	
28	I able 1. Data obtained from S.P. Paper and Paperboard Mill Ltd
29	(Please insert Table 1 here)
20	

The pedestal bearing (see Figure 3 (a)) was considered as a part of the case study to estimate its repair cost as it often breaks down and causes disruption to the production process. The amount of waste paper used for the estimation was one tonne (see Figure 3 (b)).

- 5
- 6
- 7

(Please insert Figure 3 here)

Fig. 3. Images courtesy of S.P. Paper and Paperboard Mill Ltd in India

8

9 The final costs under different scenarios are shown in Table 2. Please note that the 10 estimated financial figures were based on Indian rupee to British Pound sterling. It 11 can be seen that due to the breakdown of the pedestal bearing, the production cost 12 of recycling and repurposing of 1 tonne of waste paper has been increased from 13 £532.60 (no manufacturing constraints) to £541.33 (with machine breakdown). The 14 production process was interrupted until the machine had been repaired and the 15 company bore the extra cost of £42.04 in order to repair the bearing.

16

The labourers were considered as 'grade B' labourers as they worked inside the 17 18 plant. The total number of 'grade B' labourers working in the plant was 26. While evaluating this cost of repurposing and recycling, it was assumed that 3 employees 19 were absent. It is seen from Table 2 that the total cost spent on recycling and 20 repurposing 1 tonne of wastepaper has been increased from £532.60 (no 21 manufacturing constraints) to £576.11 (low labour attendance) and the company 22 bore a loss as the production rate reduced from 2.1 tonnes per hour to 1.7 tonnes 23 per hour; this figure was quoted by S.P. Paper Ltd. The reduced productivity was 24 due to employee absence. In addition, one of the testing constraints was to consider 25 4 additional labourers (3 extra machine operators and an extra technician as 26 standby). Cost is thus being evaluated with 4 excess labourers. It is seen that the 27 total cost spent on recycling and repurposing of 1 tonne of waste paper has been 28 increased from £532.60 (no manufacturing constraints) to £563.11 (more work force 29 than required). The cost has been increased significantly as the labour spent extra 30 unnecessary time for the same level of output. Very often the management wrongly 31 believe that more employees increase the yield rate. 32

1

A further testing scenario was that the quantity of waste paper decreased from 50 to 40 tonnes. As seen in Table 2, the cost of recycling and repurposing of 1 tonne of waste paper increased from £532.60 (no manufacturing constraints) to £600 (shortage in material supply) as the capital cost remains constant and the output decreased due to lack of availability of raw material that the plant was able to process in a given day.

8

9 Considering the practical application of the cost models, it is seen that in the real world the factors of production vary from day to day, thus requiring continuous 10 changes in the input parameters. The approach developed has proven to be highly 11 advantageous as it reduces the effort of data input and saves time. Every company 12 aims to reduce waste generation. Steps are being taken and technologies are being 13 developed to reintroduce waste back into the manufacturing cycle, thereby reusing 14 parts or materials. The cost of production can be reduced only if the company 15 improves the efficiency of the plant. In other words, if it is able to increase the yield 16 and keep the total cost of production unchanged. The following points can be 17 18 considered to improve the efficiency of the plant: (i) machine and operation improvement; (ii) labour management. 19

20

21	Table 2. Recycling and Repurposing Cost Evaluation under different constraints
22	(Please insert Table 2 here)
23	
24	(Please insert Figure 4 here)
25	Fig. 4. Illustration to represent the overall evaluation of one month only
26	
27	5. Discussions

This research investigation provided the cost functions to help a particular company to understand each of the production factors, as well as their influence on its final cost (Section 3). The research has identified the most common forms of production constraints to estimate the costs in association with waste paper recycling and repurposing (Section 3.7). This research was focused on the costing aspect of recycling and repurposing of waste paper. The current evaluation was for a period of one day (Section 4), if it was for a period of one month or one year the final costs and reusable waste paper would be more significant as illustrated in Fig 4. During the implementation of the cost models not all data was available so both the opportunity and uncertain costs were not taken into account.

Uncertainty is one of the characteristics of the real world. The uncertainty 8 9 surrounding how waste should be dealt with could be included in the cost models. The case study was based on cost modelling of the 5 scenarios (as highlighted in 10 Section 3.7) and therefore the current approach should be further developed to 11 12 include uncertainty in the scenarios. The method that copes with uncertainty can help to achieve a more realistic result. Two types of uncertainty can be used to 13 enhance the result, for example, parameter uncertainty in the cost equations for 14 unreliable parameters and scenario uncertainty for lack of knowledge of reliable 15 16 data.

17

18 6. Conclusion and future work

This research investigated the costs-benefits involved in paper recycling and 19 repurposing and presented these using a similar methodology such as recycling and 20 remanufacturing to the metal-based products. It provides a useful illustration of how 21 the methodology translates across product domains. The cost models are flexible 22 and can be applied to all industries associated with waste paper and cardboard 23 recycling and repurposing (as discussed in sections 3 and 4). Paper manufacturers 24 will always consider the reuse of recyclable and repurposing waste paper because it 25 is environmentally and economically beneficial. Considering the practical application 26 of the cost equations, it can be seen that in the real world the factors of production 27 vary from day to day and thus require continuous changes in the input parameters. 28 In such a situation the approach developed has proven to be highly advantageous 29 as it reduces the effort of inputting data and saves time considerably. Future work 30 should include: (i) the development of methods to estimate the production rate and 31 32 the amount of reusable paper waste that can be produced given a certain amount of raw materials and other influential production factors; (ii) uncertainty to achieve a
more realistic result and (iii) data analysis to a period of at least one month to
explore the significant of the overall impacts as well as with a few more paper
manufacturers.

5

6 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to S.P. Paper and Paperboard Mill,
Kolkata, India for assisting their research activities in this area. The authors would
also like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and
suggestions.

11

12 **References**

Alizon F, Shooter SB, Simpson TW. Reuse of manufacturing knowledge to facilitate
 platform-based product realization. J Comput Info Sci Eng 2006: 6.2:1-9.

Ardente F, Mathieux F, Recchioni M. Recycling of electronic displays: Analysis of
 pre-processing and potential ecodesign improvements. Resour Conserv Recycl
 2014: 92:158-171.

Asiedu Y, Gu P. Product life cycle cost analysis: State of the art review. Int J Prod
Res 1998: 36.4:883-908.

Asmatulu, E, Twomey J, Overcash M. Evaluation of recycling efforts of aircraft
 companies in Wichita. Resour Conserv Recycl 2013:80:36-45.

Chen G, Qin M, Qu P, Gao P. Advances of study on waste paper deinking by
 cellulases. Prog Biotechnol 2001:3:003.

Cheung WM, Marsh R, Newnes LB, Mileham AR, Lanham JD. Standards and inference of design information in through-life costing of innovative defence electronic products. Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part B: J. Eng. Manuf. 2009: 223.2:169-181.

28 Cheung WM, Marsh R, Newnes LB, Mileham AR, Lanham JD., Cost data modelling 29 and searching to support low-volume, high-complexity, long-life defence system development. Proc. Inst. Mech. Eng. Part B: J. Eng. Manuf. 2014: doi:
 10.1177/0954405414534226

Cheung WM, Marsh R, Griffin P, Newnes LB, Mileham AR, Lanham JD. Towards
Cleaner Production: a Roadmap for Predicting Product End-Of-Life Costs at Early
Design Concept. J Clean Prod 2015: 87: 431-441.

6

Dantec D. Analysis of cost of recycling compliance for the automobile Industry.
Thesis for a Master of Science in Technology and Policy, Massachusetts Institute of
Technology (MIT), Engineering Systems Division; 2005.

10

Dhanorkar S, Donohue K, Linderman K. Repurposing Materials & Waste through
Online Exchanges: Overcoming the Last Hurdle. Prod Op Manag, Special Issue on
'Socially Responsible Operations'. 2015. DOI: 10.1111/poms.12345

14

Dobra A. Software proposal for cutting corrugated boxes. 2007.
http://imtuoradea.ro/auo.fmte/files-2007/TCM_files/Dobra_Andreea_1.pdf [cited
October 2014].

18 Du Y, Cao H, Liu F, Li C, Chen X. An integrated method for evaluating the 19 remanufacturability of used machine tool. J Clean Prod 2012: 20.1:82-91.

Duval D, MacLean HL. The role of product information in automotive plastics recycling: a financial and life cycle assessment. J Clean Prod 2007: 15.11:1158-1168.

23

Edgren JA, Moreland KW. An econometric analysis of paper and wastepaper
markets. Res Energ 1990: 11.3:299-319.

Esterman M, Gerst, P, Stiebitz PH, Ishii K. A framework for warranty prediction
during product development. In ASME 2005 International Mechanical Engineering
Congress and Exposition, January 2005: 381-388.

Ghoreishi N, Jakiela MJ, Nekouzadeh A. A cost model for optimizing the take back
phase of used product recovery. J Remanuf 2011, 1. 1: 1-15.

Go TF, Wahab DA, Rahman MA, Ramli R, Azhari CH. Disassemblability of end-oflife vehicle: a critical review of evaluation methods. J Clean Prod 2011:19.1:15361546.

Guide Jr VDR. Production planning and control for remanufacturing: industry practice
and research needs. J Op Manag 2000: 18.4: 467-483.

Keränen JT, Ervasti I. Amounts of non-fibrous components in recovered paper.
Resour Conserv Recycl 2014: 92: 151-157.

8 Hatcher GD, Ijomah WL, Windmill JF. Design for remanufacturing in China: a case
9 study of electrical and electronic equipment. J Remanuf 2013.1:1-11.

Henckens MLCM, Driessen PPJ, Worrell, E. Metal scarcity and sustainability,
 analyzing the necessity to reduce the extraction of scarce metals. Resour Conserv
 Recycl 2014: 93: 1-8.

Kondoh S, Salmi T. Strategic decision making method for sharing resources among
 multiple manufacturing/remanufacturing systems. J. Remanuf 2011:1:1-8.

Koskinen P. Supply chain strategy in a global paper manufacturing company: a case
study. Ind. Manag. Data. Syst 2009:109.1: 34-52.

Lee, HM, Lu, WF, Song, B, 2014. A framework for assessing product End-Of-Life performance: reviewing the state of the art and proposing an innovative approach using an End-of-Life Index. J. Clean. Prod. 66, 355-371.

Lee, JC, Song HT, Yoo JM. Present status of the recycling of waste electrical and electronic equipment in Korea. Resour Conserv Recycl 2007: 50.4: 380-397.

Marques RC, Cruz NF, Simões P, Ferreira S, Cabral M, De Jaeger S. Economic
viability of packaging waste recycling systems: a comparison between Belgium and
Portugal. Resour Conserv Recycl 2014: 85: 22–33

Marsh R, Jonik M, Lanham J, Cheung WM, Newnes LB, Mileham AR. Modelling an
assembly process using a close coupled generative cost model and a discrete event
simulation. Int J Comput Integr Manuf 2010: 23.3:257-269.

- Monte MC, Fuente E, Blanco A, Negro C. Waste management from pulp and paper
 production in the European Union. Waste Manag 2009: 29.1: 293-308.
- 3 Paper Recycling. Nurturing Success. 2014. http://www.waste-management-
- 4 world.com/articles/print/volume-13/issue-1/features/paper-recycling-nurturing-
- 5 <u>success.html</u> [cited October 2014].
- 6 Pullen K. Repurposing Ideas. 2014.
- 7 <u>http://greenliving.lovetoknow.com/Repurposing_Ideas</u> [cited October 2014].
- 8
- 9 Richmond M. Paperboard Cartons Offer Growth Opportunity. 2006
- 10 [http://www.dairyfoods.com/articles/packaging-points-paperboard-cartons-offer-
- 11 growth-opportunity?v=preview] [cited October 2014].
- Rockstock Environmental attributes. 2014. <u>http://www.stonepaper.co.nz/about-</u>
 <u>rockstock/environmental-attributes</u> [cited October 2014].
- Shu LI, Flower WC. Reliability modelling in design for remanufacture. J Mech Des:
 Tran ASME 2005: 120:615-627.
- Ultrich KT, Eppinger SD. Product Design and Development, McGraw Hill, New York,2011:12-72.
- Valois M., Paper, paperboard and woodpulp markets, 2011-2012, UNECE/FAO
 Forest Products. Ann Mark Rev 2012: 79-94.
- Wang X, Chan H.K. An integrated fuzzy approach for evaluating remanufacturing
 alternatives of a product design. J Remanuf 2013:3.1:1-19.
- Xu Y, Elgh F, Erkoyuncu JA, Bankole O, Goh Y, Cheung WM. et al: Cost
 engineering for manufacturing: current and future research. Int J Comput Integrd
 Manuf 2012: 25.4/5:300-314.
- Zhang T, Chu J, Wang X, Liu X, Cui P. Development pattern and enhancing system
 of automotive components remanufacturing industry in China. Resour Conserv
 Recycl. 2011:55:6: 613-622.

Zhao Q, Chen M, A comparison of ELV recycling system in China and Japan and
 China's strategies. Resour Conserv Recycl 2011:57: 15-21.

Zink T, Maker F, Geyer R, Amirtharajah R, Akella V. Comparative life cycle
assessment of smartphone reuse: repurposing vs. refurbishment. The Int J Life
Cycle Assess 2014:19:5: 1099-1109.

Table 1. Data obtained from S.P. Paper and Paperboard Mill Ltd

	No manufacturing constraints	With machine breakdown	Low labour attendance	shortage in raw material supply	More work force than required
Cf	0	30	0	0	0
fa	0	43	0	0	0
Trt	0	4	0	0	0
QW	50000	50000	50000	40000	50000
PVm	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
т	18	18	18	18	18
LC	7	7	7	7	7
f	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87
EC	6.75	6.75	6.75	6.75	6.75
ос	0	0	0	0	0
TimeA	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33
TimeD	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
CF	530	530	530	530	530
PF	1.20E-03	1.20E-03	1.20E-03	1.20E-03	1.20E-03
тот	24	24	24	24	24
UC	0	0	0	0	0
PQ	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1
СС	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08
CE	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
NT	60	60	60	60	60
I	50000	50000	50000	50000	50000
то	50	50	40	43	50
IC	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
n	26	27	24	26	29
сC	10	10	10	10	10
Lt	8	8	8	8	8
Ltp	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Рс	20	20	20	20	20
Рср	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5

4	(This is a 2-column fitting table)
---	------------------------------------

Table 2. Recycling and repurposing cost evaluation under different constraints

Cos	sts in British Poun	d Sterling (GBP) o	converted from	n Indian Rupee (INR)	
	No manufacturing constraints	With machine breakdown	Low labour attendance	Shortage in waste paper supply (40 tonnes per day)	More work force than required
Recycling 1 ton of waste paper	41.86	41.86	52.33	30	41.86
Repurposing 1 ton of waste paper to form the pulp	8.6	9.45	10.77	10	8.6
Repurposing 1 ton of paperboard to form the pulp	482.12	490.02	513.02	560	513.37
Recycling and Repurposing per ton	532.6	541.33	576.11	600.7	563.84
Repairing	0	42.04	0	0	0
Labour service	82	82	82	82	82
Total spent on recycling wastepaper for a day (50 tonnes)	2093	2093	2616.5	1200	2093
Total spent on repurposing wastepaper for a day (50 tonnes)	430	472.5	538.5	400	430.63

4 (This is a 2-column fitting table)

1 2 3	Figure Captions:
4	Fig. 1. Approach of evaluating waste paper recycling and repurposing costs
5	Fig. 2. The Testing Parameters for the Case Study
6	Fig. 3. Images courtesy of S.P. Paper and Paperboard Mill Ltd in India
7	Fig. 4. Illustration to represent the overall evaluation of one day only
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	
27	
20	
30	
31	
32	
33	







(a) Pedestal bearing





1	
2	
3	(This is a 1-column fitting image)
4	
5	Fig. 3. Images courtesy of S.P. Paper and Paperboard Mill Ltd in India
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	

Recv	cling and Repurposing Cummulative Costs			
11200				
10400				
0000	Mo manufacturing constraints			
0006	Ø With machine breakdown			
0008	⊠ Low labour attendance			
7200	■ Shortage in waste paper supply (40 tonnes per day)			
6400	More work force than required			
5600				
4800				
4000				
3200				
2400				
1600				
800				
0	Recycling 1 ton of Repurposing 1 ton Recycling and Repairing Lab	our service	total spent on	total spent on
	waste paper of waste paper to of paperboard to Repurposing per form the pulp form the pulp ton	, mayor i	recycling wastepaper for a day (50 tonnes)	repurposing wastepaper for a day (50 tonnes)

- 1 Fig. 4. Illustration to represent the overall evaluation of one day only
- 2 (This is a 2-column fitting image)