## Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Ghassemlooy, Zabih, Ng, Wai Pang and Le Minh, Hoa (2006) BER performance analysis of 100 and 200 Gbit/s all-optical OTDM node using symmetric Mach-Zehnder switches. IEE Proceedings: Circuits, Devices and Systems, 153 (4). pp. 361-369. ISSN 1350-2409

Published by: IET

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/ip-cds:20050238 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/ip-cds:20050238>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: https://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/3021/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <a href="http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html">http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html</a>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)





## BER Performance Analysis of 100 and 200 Gb/sGbps All-optical OTDM Node Using Symmetric Mach-Zehnder Switches

Z. Ghassemlooy, Wai Pang Ng and H. Le-Minh Optical Communication Research Lab., School of Computing, Engineering Information Sciences& Technology, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK Tel: +44 191 227 4902, Email: <u>fary.ghassemlooy@unn.ac.uk</u>

## Abstract:

In future high-speed self-routing photonic networks based on all opticalal-optical time division multiplexinged (OTDM) it is highly desirable to carry out packet switching, clock recovery and demultplexing in the optical domain in order to avoid the bottleneck due to the optoelectronics conversion. In this paper we propose a self-routing OTDM node structure composed of an all-optical router and a demultiplexer based on the symmetric Mach-Zehnder (SMZ) for high bit rate OTDM packets. Here, we investigate both numerically and by means of simulation the SMZ noise and crosstalk characteristics of the SMZ and the bit error rate (BER) performance of the proposed OTDM node. For BER of 10<sup>-9</sup> and the total bit rates of 100 and 200 Gb/sGbps the power penalty incurred are about 2 and 2.5 dB, respectively compared with 2.5 Gbps back-to-back (B-B) system.

## 1. Introduction

The future communication systems will rely on the 3rd generation all-optical network to address the growing demand for capacity. Packet switching systems based on the dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) and OTDM or combination of both technologies are capable of fully realising the ultra-high speed optical networks. DWDM is widely used offering capacity in excess of 160 Gb/sGbps using many wavelengths. OTDM is capable of transporting hundreds of Gb/sGbps in the form of bit or packet interleaving format, and using only a single wavelength, which has attracted much attention in the last few years. At a very high speed it is highly desirable to carry out the entire signal routing, switching, demultiplexing and processing in the optical domain in order to avoid bottleneck due to the optical-to-electronic conversion.

A packet is normally composed of the address bits and the payload (information bits). Multiplexing of the clock signal (CS) with each packet can be carried out in a number of ways such as: space division

multiplexing (SDM), WDM, orthogonal polarization, intensity division multiplexing and time division multiplexing [1]. In SDM, the optical clock signal and payload are carried on separate fibres. Although this scheme is the simplest to implement, but it has there are two main drawbacks:- (i) tThe time varying differential delay between the clock and data signals\_due to temperature variation, which that may affect fibres unequally, and (ii) high installation cost. In WDM schemes, Ddifferent wavelengths are allocated to CS and payload in WDM scheme [2]. This technique is only practical for predetermined path lengths between nodes in single hop networks such as point-to-point links or broadcast-and-select star networks. In a non-deterministic optical path length for asynchronous packet switching scheme. Since the optical path length through which a packet travels is non-deterministic, then Tthe relative delay between the CS and payload will be random stochasticin asynchronous packet-switched since the optical path length through which a packet travels is non-deterministic. Orthogonally-polarized clock synchronization schemes are more suitable for small size networks [3], whereas in larger networks correct polarization maintenance throughout the network is rather difficult to maintain due to the fibre polarization mode dispersion and other non-linear effects. Although synchronization based on transmission of high intensity optical CS offers simplicity, but maintaining the clock position and its intensity level over a long transmission span is a problem due to the impact of fibre non-linearities [4]. Multiplexing of the CS in time domain with the same intensity and wavelength, as the data signal is the preferred option that, which has been adopted in this work.

All\_-optical switches based on the cross-phase modulation (XPM) in conjunction with interferometric configurations such as Mach-Zehnder interferometer (MZI), terahertz optical asymmetric demultiplexer (TOAD) and ultrafast nonlinear interferometer (UNI) could be used as the building block for both the router and demultiplexer [1],[5]. TOAD\_-based switches is are composed of a short fibre loop and a nonlinear element (NLE) placed asymmetrically off the centre point of the loop\_\_s that the el\_emultiplexing function is achieved solely by means of phase modulation. With the NLE being off-centred\_ an asymmetrical switching window (SW) profile is obtained; due to the counter-propagating nature of the control pulse (CP)\_signal\_against the data pulsesignal within the loop. These characteristics of the TOAD\_TOAD-based switches result in an increased crosstalk (CXT)\_and noise. The SW of the UNI is determined by the birefringence of the fibre used to separate the orthogonally-\_polarized components of the data pulses in time domain [6]. The main drawback of the UNI switch is its poor integrate\_ability, since it requires at least 15 m of birefringent fibre to produce\_achieve\_the

switching process [7]. Furthermore the switch <u>shouldneeds to must-maintain and control the employ</u> extensive polarization control to <u>ensure maintain</u> reliability, which adds to the cost and complexity of the switch - Polarization maintaining components and polarization control devices increase the cost of the switch [8].

Among these\_interferometric configurations, the monolithically integrated MZI switches are the most promising due to their compact size, thermal stability, symmetrical SW profile, and low\_-power operation. All opticalAll-optical demultiplexing employing MZI switches at 160 Gb/sGbps or above has been reported in [9]\_and [10]. Considering various MZI configurations, the SMZ structure provides the highest flexibility and narrowest SW with symmetrical profile [11][12]. Recently, for the first time, we reported an all-optical clock recovery module and a 1×2 OTDM router employing SMZs [11]. A 1× 2 router based on TOADs has been proposed for all-optical address recognition and single bit self-routing in a Banyan-type network [13]. However, the orthogonally\_-polarized CS used in the router is rather difficult to maintain due to the polarizsation\_-mode dispersion inherent in the optical fibre link. This problem can be avoided by using packets with signals that have\_signals in the packet with identical polarizsation, intensity, pulse width and wavelength.

In this paper we propose an all optical OTDM node structure composed of a router, a demultiplexer based on the SMZ, optical pre-amplifier and optical receiver for 100 and 200 Gb/sGbps and investigated its BER performance. Theoretical investigation of the BER performance of OTDM systems employing an all-optical demultiplexer and an optical receiver has been studied in [14] and [15]. However no work has been reported on the BER performance of an OTDM node employing SMZ\_-based all-optical router and demultiplexer, optical pre-amplifier and optical receiver. Here for the first time we show an OTDM node structure where packet routing and channel demultiplexing is carried out in all-optical domain. Since practical evaluation of the BER performance of the proposed scheme requires complex and costly test bed, here-we have used a dedicated simulation package to carry out detailed simulation and evaluation of the proposed OTDM node. Predicted BER performance is compared with the simulation results, which in turn are assessed against a 2.5 Gb/sGbps B-B system. The structure of this paper is as follows. The operation principles of the SMZ switch, and the noise and crosstalk characteristics of the switch and the BER analysis are outlined in section 2.

Simulation model and results are presented in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4, the concluding remarks are given.

## 2.0 Theory

Figure 1 shows a block diagram of a complete proposed OTDM system which comprises composed ofan OTDM multiplexer, a long length of fibre (30 km) with in-line amplifiers and dispersion compensated fibre (5.4 km), the clock and address bits extraction modules, a 1 x M optical switch, an optical delay lines, an optical demultiplexer, an optical pre-amplifier, an optical filter and a conventional optical receiver. The OTDM transmitter is composed of a single continuous--wave laser source at wavelength of 1550 nm, M-Z external modulators, and a number of optical delay lines for composing the OTDM packet. OTDM packet is composed of a clock bit, two address bits and payload (8channels), and a packet guard band. The CS could be at different wavelengths [16], polarizsations [17], bit rates [18], or intensities [14] in comparison with the remaining signals within the packets. In the SMZ based switches control pulses (CPs) with different wavelength to that of the data pulses have been reported in [6] and -[7]. However, these schemes have a number of drawbacks such as: the generation and transmission of packets are significantly complicated, and the clock pulses may lose their timing relation with respect to other pulses in the same packets after propagating over a long distance [15]. Here all the components (clock, address and the payloads) in the packet have the same polarizsation, pulse intensity and width, and wavelength. At the node, the CS extracted from the received OTDM packet using two in-line SMZs which will beis used as the CPcontrol signal for address extraction and demultiplexer modules. The extracted address bits are used as the CP in the 1 × M optical switch to route the entire delayed OTDM packet to one of its output ports. Detail operation of the router can be found in [11] and [19]. The switched OTDM packet will then is passed through the demultiplexer in order to extract a single channel from the OTDM payload. The single\_demultiplexed single channel is passed throughthen amplified and bandlimited via an optical pre-amplifier and an optical band-pass filter respectively, before being processed by the optical receiver to recover the original 2.5 Gbps data stream. The receiver unit consists of an ideal PIN photodetector, an amplifier, a sixth order electrical low-pass Bessel filter, a sampler and a threshold level detector.

## 2.1 SMZ switching window

The <u>semiconductor optical amplifier (SOA)</u> is the fundamental building block for the majority of optical switches because of its high extinction ratio, low cost and easy integration with other devices. Figure 2 shows the block diagram of a typical SMZ switch composed of two identical SOAs, positioned in the same locations on each arm of the interferometer, and a number of 3-dB couplers. The operation principle is based on the optically induced refractive index change within the SOA'<del>s</del> through appropriately synchronised optical CP trains that alter the phase conditions of data signals in the interferometer, thus <u>eausing resulting in switching</u>. Control and data signals, with orthogonal polarizsation, are fed into the switch via 3-dB couplers and co-propagate within the switch. In the absence of CPs, the data signals entering the switch via a coupler (C<sub>1</sub>) splits into two equal intensity signals with 90° phase shift,  $E_1(0)$  and  $E_2(\pi/2)$ , which propagating propagate along the upper and lower arms of the interferometer, respectively. Couplers C<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>3</sub>, are in the bar state for data signal therefore, introducinge no additional phase shift  $\Delta\phi$  in the interferometer. With no CP present  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  will experience the same relative  $\Delta\phi$  during propagation and recombining recombine at the output of C<sub>4</sub>. The data signals at the output ports (O/P) 1 and 2 are given as:

E	$E_{out,1} = E_{out}^{UA}(0) + E_{out}^{LA}(\pi)$	(1)		Formatted: Right
Ē	$E_{out,2} = E_{out}^{UA}(\pi/2) + E_{out}^{LA}(\pi/2)$	(2)		
$E_{out,1} = E_{out}^{UA}(0) + E_{out}^{LA}(\pi) ,$		<del>(1)</del> ≵्`	 ```	Formatted: Right
$E_{out,2} = E_{out}^{UA}(\pi/2) + E_{out}^{LA}(\pi/2),$		<del>(2)</del> ◄-		Formatted: Right
where $E_{out}^{UA}$ and $E_{out}^{LA}$ are the signal	als at the output of the SOA in the upper and lowe	r arms of the		
interferometer, respectively. Note that	at from (1) <u>, there is</u> <del>that n</del> o signals <del>will emerge <u>emer</u></del>	ging from the		
O/P1. However, with CPs present $\Delta$	$\Delta \phi$ is introduced between the two arms of the interfe	erometer, thus		
causing the data signals to be switch	hed to the O/P1, see Fig. 2. To achieve a complete s	witching at $\Delta\phi$		
= $\pi$ , CP <sub>1</sub> enters the interferometer v	via $C_2$ just before the target data signal. The CP will	then_saturate		Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript
the SOA1, thus changing its gain a	as well as its phase characteristics. When Propaga	ating the data		
signal entering enters the interferom	neter following the CP1, it will experience a different	t <u>∆</u> φ (i.e. π) in		Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript
the upper arm relative to the lower a	rm. The data signal emerging from <u>the_</u> O/P <u>1 is given</u>	as:		Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript
	$E_{_{out,1}} = E_{_{out}}^{UA}(\pi) + E_{_{out}}^{LA}(\pi)$ .	(3)		Formatted: Right

No signal will emerges from the O/P2 since  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  components will cancel each other, see Fig. 2. Introducing the second CP2, delayed by T<sub>delay</sub> with respect to CP1, just after the data signal, into the interferometer via C<sub>3</sub> will saturate the-SOA2, thus resulting in the same- $\Delta \phi$  as in the upper arm, thereby resetting the switch. Therefore, with this mechanism the SMZ switch-on-and-off time is controlled by fast optical excitation process hence which overcome the slow relaxation time can be evercome. Note that T<sub>delay</sub> determines the SMZ nominal width of the SW.- An optical polarization beam splitter (PBS) is used To to separate the data and control signals at the output port of the SMZ-an optical polarizestion beam splitter (PBS) is used. Practical switches and 3-dB couplers would normally have a small amount of net loss, and which can be compensated by the gain of the SOAs. If necessary an additional amplifier could be incorporated at the output of the SMZ, but this will introduce additional noise.

The electric fields at the O/Ps-1 and O/P2 of the SMZ, defined in terms of the relative gain G and phase  $\phi$  of the incident fields within the upper and lower arms can be expressed as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_{out,1}(t) \\ E_{out,2}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (1-\alpha)^{1/2} & j\alpha^{1/2} \\ j\alpha^{1/2} & (1-\alpha)^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{2,in}^{UA}(t)G_1(t)e^{-j\phi} \\ E_{1,in}^{LA}(t)G_2(t)e^{-j\phi} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\alpha$  is the coupling factor. Note,  $E_1 = E_2 = 0.5 E_n$ . Equation 4 can be expanded as:

$$E_{out,1}(t) = E_{in}(t) \left[ (1-\alpha)^{3/2} G_1 - \alpha (1-\alpha)^{1/2} G_2 \right],$$
(5)  
$$E_{out,2}(t) = jE_{in}(t) \left[ \alpha^{1/2} (1-\alpha) G_1 + \alpha^{1/2} (1-\alpha) G_2 \right].$$
(6)

Here a coupling ratio  $\alpha$  of 0.5 is adopted that results in  $\left|E_{1,in}^{UA}(t)\right| = \left|E_{1,in}^{UA}(t)\right|$  and  $\pi/2$  relative phase difference. Consequently, the signal powers at the output of the SMZ are given as:

$$P_{out,1}(t) = 0.125P_{in}(t) \cdot \left[G_{1}(t) + G_{2}(t) - 2\sqrt{G_{1}(t) G_{2}(t)} \cos(\Delta \phi)\right], \qquad (7) \leftarrow \text{Formatted: Right}$$

$$P_{out,2}(t) = 0.125P_{in}(t) \cdot \left[G_{1}(t) + G_{2}(t) + 2\sqrt{G_{1}(t) G_{2}(t)} \cos(\Delta \phi)\right], \qquad (8)$$
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Lowered by 9 pt lower discrete by 9 pt lower disc

where  $\Delta \phi = -0.5 \alpha_{LEF} \ln(G_1/G_2)$ ,  $\alpha_{LEF}$  is the line width enhancement factor,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are the temporal gain profiles of the SOA 1 and 2, respectively [16].

To obtain an expression for the SW, the output signal power Pout,1(t) in (7) is normalised with respect to the input power  $P_{in}(t)$ , as given in:

Formatted: Right

(4)•

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Font color: Black, Lowered by 8 pt Formatted: Right Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Font

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

	color: Black, Lowered by	8 pt
-{	Formatted: Right	

 Formatted: Right
 Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Lowered by 9 pt
 Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Lowered by 9 pt

# $W_{i}(t) = 0.125 \left[ G_{1}(t) + G_{2}(t) - 2\sqrt{G_{1}(t)G_{2}(t)} \cos(\Delta\phi) \right]$ $W_{i}(t) = 0.125 \left[ G_{1}(t) + G_{2}(t) - 2\sqrt{G_{1}(t)G_{2}(t)} \cos(\Delta\phi) \right].$

According to (9), the SMZ switch can provide an additional gain to the target signal, thus ensuring that the SW gain > 1. To solve (9) one needs to know precise value of  $\alpha_{LEF}$  and the gain profiles of the data signals at the output of the SOA1 and SOA2, respectively.

#### 2.2 Bit error rate (BER) analysis

The system model for the BER analysis is adapted from [14] and, [15]. Based on Fig. 1, we can derive an expression for the BER taking which takes into account all the noise sources and channel crosstalk (CXT). The main sources of the noise are the relative intensity noise (RIN), SOA spontaneous emission (ASE), and noises associated with the receiver. It is assumed that noise associated with the source is negligible. RIN is caused by the combination of the timing jitters (mainly introduced by the ASE noise of lumped optical amplifier) between the control and signal pulses and a non-square SW profile of the SMZ, thus resulting in the intensity fluctuation of target signals and in the switching power penalty. RIN is defined in terms of the variance  $V(\tau)$  and the expected value  $E[w(\tau)]$  of the target signal energy which is given as [14]:

$$RIN(\tau) = \frac{V(\tau)}{E^2[w(\tau)]}$$

A non-ideal SW with a finite extinction ratio (ER) will result in CXT. CXT is defined in terms of nontarget channels and target channel powers Po-nt and Pot, respectively which is given by:

$$CXT = 10\log_{10}\left[\frac{P_{o-nt}}{P_{o-t}} = \frac{\frac{1}{T_c} \int_{t_0+T_b/2}^{t_0+T_c-T_b/2} W(t) p_p(t-t_0) dt}{\frac{1}{T_c} \int_{t_0-T_b/2}^{t_0+T_b/2} W(t) p_p(t-t_0) dt}\right],$$
(11)

where  $p_p(t)$  is the periodic train of data signal,  $T_b$  is the data bit duration,  $t_0$  is the centre of the SW, and  $T_c$  is the CP period. One interesting characteristic of SMZ switch is that both data and control signals co-propagate within the switch, thus resulting in reduced residual <u>CXTeresstalk</u> compared with the TOAD\_-based switches where a small cross-phase modulation XPM between the counter-propagating

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Right

(10)

Formatted: Lowered by 9 pt

Formatted: Left Formatted Table

<del>(9)</del>

(9)

pulses results in residual <u>CXT</u>crosstalk [12],[20]. With reference to Fig. 3, the normaliszed total output power of two cascading SMZ stages induced *CXT* is computed by:

$$P_o = P_i(1 + CXT_1)(1 + CXT_2) = P_i(1 + CXT_t)$$

 $P_o = P_i (1 + CXT_1) (1 + CXT_2) = P_i (1 + CXT_1)$ 

Where where the total <u>CXT</u>erosstalk defined in terms of the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> stages is  $(CXT_{t} = CXT_{1} + CXT_{2} + CXT_{1}CXT_{2})$ , and  $P_{i}$ ,  $P_{o}$  are the powers at the input and output of two-two-stage SMZ, respectively.

The mean photocurrents for mark  $I_m$ , and space  $I_s$  are given as [14],[15],[21]:

$$\overline{I}_{m} = K \times (2P_{s}) \times (1 + CXT_{t})$$

$$\overline{I}_{s} = K \times (2P_{s}) \times (CXT_{t})$$
(13)

 $\frac{\bar{I}_{m} = K \times (2P_{s}) \times (1 + CXT_{t})}{\bar{I}_{s} = K \times (2P_{s}) \times (CXT_{t})}$ 

where  $K = \eta_{amp2-in} G_{amp2} \eta_{amp2-out} L_{of} R_p$ ,  $R_p$  is the responsivity of the photodetector,  $\eta_{amp2-in}$  and  $\eta_{amp2-out}$  are the input and output coupling efficiencies of pre-amplifier, respectively,  $G_{amp2}$  is the pre-amplifier gain,  $L_{of}$  is the optical filter loss, and  $P_s$  is the average received power without CXT. Assuming that the probabilities of the transmitted mark and space are equally likely (i.e. 0.5), the average received power for mark (only)-is  $2P_s$ .

With reference to Fig. 3, the system is composed of four cascading amplification stages, thus the total noise figure  $NF_{tot}$  defined in terms of the noise figures for all stages is given as[22]:

$$NF_{tot} = NF_{amp1} + \frac{NF_{SW}}{G_{amp1}} + \frac{NF_{demux}}{G_{amp1}G_{SW}} + \frac{NF_{amp2}}{G_{amp1}G_{SW}G_{demux}}$$
(14)

The average photo-current equivalent of ASE and is given by [14],[15],[22]:

$$I_{ASE-tot} = 0.5 NF_{tot} G_{tot} \eta_{amp \ 2-out} qB_o L_{of}$$
<sup>(15)</sup>

where  $G_{\text{tot}} = G_{\text{amp1}} \times G_{\text{SW}} \times G_{\text{demux}} \times G_{\text{amp2}}$ ,  $B_0$  is the optical bandwidths and q is the electron charge.

The noise sources contributing to <u>the</u> deterioration of the signal are the *RIN*  $\sigma_{_{RIN}}^2$  from the source and from the last SMZ (i.e. SMZ-demux because of its narrow SW compared to the SMZ of the switch

(13)

Formatted: Right

(12)+

within the router), the ASE of SOAs in SMZ and pre-amplifier  $\sigma_{amp}^2$  and the shot noise  $\sigma_s^2$  and thermal noise  $\sigma_{th}^2$  at the receiver  $\sigma_{receiver,x}^2$ , defined as [14],[15]:

$$\sigma_{RIN,m}^{2} = \overline{I_{m}^{2}} RIN_{T} B_{e} + (2P_{s}K)^{2} RIN_{SMZ-demux}$$
(16)

$$\sigma_{RIN,s}^{2} = \overline{I_{s}^{2}}RIN_{T}B_{e}$$

$$\sigma_{anp,x}^{2} = \frac{4\overline{I_{x}}I_{ASE-tot}B_{e}}{B_{o}} + \frac{I_{ASE-tot}^{2}(2B_{o}-B_{e})B_{e}}{B_{o}^{2}}$$

$$(18)$$

$$\sigma_{receiver,x}^{2} = 2q(\overline{I_{x}}+I_{ASE-tot})B_{e} + \left(\frac{4kT_{k}}{R_{L}}+i_{a}^{2}\right)B_{e}$$

$$(19)$$

where RINT is the RIN of the transmitter. Here we only consider RIN contribution from the last SMZ stage-,\_RIN<sub>SMZ</sub> can be computed from [14] for a given value of RMS<sub>jitter</sub>. Be is the electrical bandwidth of the receiver, x represents mark or space, k is the Boltzman's constant,  $T_k$  is the temperature in Kelvin,  $R_{\rm L}$  is the load resistance of photodetector and  $i_{\rm a}^2$  is the power spectral density of the electrical amplifier input noise current.

In (18), 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> terms are the variances of the signal-ASE beat noise  $\sigma^2_{_{S-ASE}}$  and the ASE-ASE beat noise  $\sigma^2_{_{ASE-ASE}}$ , respectively, whereas in (19) 1<sup>st</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> terms represent  $\sigma^2_s$ ,  $\sigma^2_{_{th}}$ , and the amplifier noise, respectively. –All noise sources are considered to be uncorrelated. As  $B_{\rm o} >> B_{\rm e}$ , the beat noise is considered with Gaussian approximation. The total variance of noises is given by:

$\sigma_{Lx}^2 = \sigma_{RINx}^2 + \sigma_{ampx}^2 + \sigma_{receiverx}^2$	(20)+	 Formatted: Right
Adopting the same approach used in [14],[15],[21] the BER is given by:		 Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Lowered by 7 pt
$BER = \frac{1}{2} erfc\left(\frac{Q}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$	(21)	Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Lowered by 15 pt

where

 $Q = \frac{\overline{I_m} - \overline{I_s}}{\sigma_{Lm} + \sigma_{I,s}}$ 

$$Q = \frac{\overline{I_m} - \overline{I_s}}{\sigma_{t,m} + \sigma_{t,s}}.$$

We performed the analysis in order to investigate the BER against the receiver sensitivity.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Lowered by 7 pt Formatted: Right Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Lowered by 15 pt

8)

Formatted: No underline

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Lowered by 16 pt

Formatted: Right

(22)

(22)

## 3.0 Simulation Model and Results

The proposed system shown in Fig. 1 is simulated using the Virtual Photonic® (VPI) package, as shown in Fig. 4. The OTDM transmitter is composed of a single continuous-wave laser source at the wavelength of 1550 nm, a number of M-Z external modulators (modulated at the base rate of 2.5 Gbps), and a number of fibre delay lines. The laser sources used at the OTDM node to generate <u>OTDM</u> packets have <u>a</u>\_3 ps pulse width at the same wavelength of 1550 nm. <u>The CP peak power</u> <u>depends</u> <u>Depending</u> on the size of the <u>required\_SW\_at a particular SMZ</u>, the CP will have different peak power. For the 1×M switch and demultiplexer modules the <u>CPS\_CPs</u> have peak power of 215 and 90 mW, respectively. The CPs are passed through a 90° orthogonal polarizer to distinguish it from the <u>1 mW\_data</u> pulse with a peak power of 1mW since both are at the same wavelength. OFDLs are used to provide the delay *T<sub>delay</sub>* between the two CPs at the input of the SMZ are for<u>and</u> time synchronis at the predicted data. Here we first investigate the characteristic of the SMZ, 1 *x M* OTDM router and demultiplexer followed by the system BER.

(i) *SMZ switch*: Two methods have been used to evaluate the SW of the SMZ: a numerical model (modified version of [23]), and a VPI® based model (see Fig. 4).- All the parameters used in the simulation are listed in Table I. The <u>full wave at half maximum (FWHM)</u> of pulses used is 3 ps which less than the transition time of <u>the SOA</u> with <u>a</u> length of 0.25  $\mu$ m. For  $T_{delay} = 10$  ps the predicted gain profiles of data pulses, having propagated through the SOAs, are shown in Fig. 5. In the absence of CP<sub>L</sub> a data pulse <u>that passes through the SOAs and experienced</u> an initial gain of 20.1 dB. The gain profile drop rapidly to a value of 2.8 dB after a high power and short duration CP saturates the SOAs. With identical gain profile, the SMZ SW profiles for a range of SW width (1-10 ps) are shown in the inset of Fig. 5. Also shown are SW profiles with different widths.

Using (10) and (11) the SMZ *RIN* for different values of the *RMS*<sub>jitter</sub> are shown in Fig. 6(a). When the *RMS*<sub>jitter</sub> increases, the RIN deteriorates particularly for narrower SW width. The maximum *RIN* values are approximately -24, -18 and -10 dB for *RMS*<sub>jitter</sub> values of 0.5, 1 and 2 ps, respectively. The *RIN* decreases as the SW width increases reaching a minimum value of  $\approx$  -25 dB for almost all values of *RMS*<sub>jitter</sub>. Demultiplexing of non-target channels from the adjacent channels will occur unless the SW width is comparable to or smaller than the time slot of OTDM channels (but > FWHM of the pulse).

Figure 6(b) shows *CXT* against the SW width (or  $T_{delay}$ ) for the SOA length of 0.25 mm and at different OTDM total bit data rates. For all data rates *CXT* increases with the SW size. The B-B system at 2.5 Gbps system gives the best *CXT* performance, whereas at high data rates (i.e.of 100 and 200 Gbps) display much higher the *CXT* is much higher, with a maximum values of 0 and -4 dB respectively reached atfor the SW width of 20 ps (a very wide SW).

(ii) 1 x M OTDM router and demultiplexer:- The OTDM packet after propagating through a long length of fibre and SOAs, see Fig. 7(a), is splited and fed into the clock and address extraction, and the main switch (with dedicated delay) modules. The extracted CS with a high ER of 30 dB is shown in Fig. 7(b). Figure 7(c) shows the extracted address bit stream used as the CP in 1 X M switch for forwarding the whole OTDM packet to the correct output port as shown in Fig. 7(d). From Fig. 7(d) the ER is about 50 dB compared with 24 dB for the input OTDM packet, this <u>36 dB gain</u> in ER is due to low residual gain outside the SMZ SW (see Fig. 5). The recovered OTDM channel at output of the demultiplexer is shown in Fig. 7(e) with ER of 44 dB. The drop in ER is due to the noise associated with the SOA within the demultiplexer.

## 3.1 BER Results

We have used theoretical and simulation methods to evaluate the BER performance of the OTDM node with a router and a demultiplexer. In the simulation we evaluated the BER for RZ pseudo random binary sequence\_(PRBS) of length of  $2^{13}$  -1 and equal probability for mark and space. The B-B (base line)—bit rate is at–2.5 Gbps with\_return-to-zero (RZ) pulse format to ensure that intersymbol interference induced by post-detection electrical filtering has very-littleminimal impact. All the receiver sensitivity measures are referred to an average BER of  $10^{9}$ . All important system parameters are adopted from experimental work reported in [10],[17] and [24] are listed in Table 1. In VPI®, BER estimation is based on sampled signals with noise represented in noise bins by using the global parameters. The noise bins carry the statistical information of the noise-statistics. Figure 8(a) shows the measured and simulated BER curves for the 2.5 Gbps B-B, and–100 and 200 Gb/sGbps OTDM packets. The average received optical power  $P_{rx}$  was measured for 2.5 Gbps B-B at the input of the optical receiver. For all measurements it was ensured that the overall system gain is kept at 25 dB with the same optical receiver parameters. For high SOA gains the signal-ASE and the ASE-ASE beat noises becomes dominant, and the optical receiver sensitivity depends on the  $B_{h}$ .

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Not Italic

As shown in Fig. 8(a), for the 2.5 Gbps B-B without a router-demultiplexer the calculated and simulated curves show good agreement, with only a small difference of < 0.4 dB at BER of  $10^{9}$ . For 100 and 200 Gbps incorporating a router and a demultiplexer, the predicted BER curves show comparable characteristics, whereas the simulated curves are slightly worth worse with power penalties of 0.5 and 1 dB at BER of 10<sup>-9</sup> for 100 and 200 Gbps, respectively. Compared with the B-B case, at BER of 10<sup>9</sup> the combined power penalties for the router and demultiplexer are about 2 and 2.5 dB for 100 and 200 Gb/sGbps, respectively. For BER < 10<sup>-9</sup> the simulated power penalties increase by a few dB compared with predicted results. The most probable causes of the power penalties are mainly due to the RIN and related ASE associated with SMZs and various insertion losses. Note that the SMZ switch with ER (> 30 dB) resulted results in time-switching with ERs in excess of 50 dB, effectively eliminating CXT interference. An intersection of BER curves at BER of 10 <sup>4</sup> and 10<sup>5</sup> is explained as follow. In VPI® based model the BER estimation is based on the bit stream reference, thus providing more accurate results for low values of Q (i.e.  $BER > 10^4$ ) in contrast to the predicted results. Finally, Fig. 8(b) shows the simulated eye diagrams at the output  $y_0(t)$  of optical receiver for a single channel OTDM at BER of 10<sup>-9</sup>.

### 4. Conclusions

We have proposed and simulated an OTDM node with an all-optical packet router employing a 1×M SMZ switch, and a demultiplexer for 100 and 200 Gb/sGbps bit rates. Simulation results demonstrated that clock recovery, address recognition, packet routing and OTDM channel demultiplexing is possible with very little or no crosstalk at all. We investigated the BER performances numerically and by means of software simulation which showing showed good agreement. For BER of 10<sup>-9</sup>, the power penalty incurred are about- 2 and 2.5 dB for 100 and 200 Cb/sGbps, respectively compared with 2,5 Gbps back-to-backB-B case. The main contributors to power penalties are the RIN and ASE of the SMZs and SOAs, respectively. The router proposed has a great potential for future ultra-high speed alloptical OTDM packet switched networks.

5. References

Formatted: Font: Italic

[1] P. Toliver, I. Glesk, and P.R. Prucnal, "All-optical clock and data separation technique for asynchronous packet-switched optical time-division-multiplexed networks," 2000, *Opt. Commun.*, Vol.173, pp. 101-106.

- [2] Y. Shimazu, M. Tsukada, "Ultrafast photonic ATM switch with output buffers," 1992. IEEE J. Lightwave Technol., 10, 265-272,
- [3] I. Glesk, J. P. Solokoff, and P. R. Prucnal, "All-optical address recognition and self-routing in a 250 Gbit/s packet-switched network," 1994, *Electron. Lett.*, Vol. 30, pp. 1322-1323.
- [4] K. L. Deng, I. Glesk, K. I. Kang, and P. R. Prucnal, "Unbalanced TOAD for optical data and clock separation in self-clocked transparent OTDM networks," 1997, *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.*, Vol. 9, pp. 830-832.
- [5] R. P. Schreieck, M. H. Kwakernaak, and H. Melchior "All-optical switching at multi-100-Gb/s data rates with Mach-Zehnder interferometer switches" 2002, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, Vol. 38, No. 8, pp. 1053-1061.
- [6] K. L. Hall and B. S. Robinson, "Bit error characterization of 100 Gbit/s all-optical demultiplexers," 1999, Proc. Conf. for Laser Electro Optic, Baltimore, MD, USA, pp. 214-215.
- [7] N. S. Patel, K. A. Rauschenbach, and K. L. Hall, "40 Gb/s demultiplexing using an ultrafast nonlinear interferometer (UNI)," 1996, *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.*, Vol. 8, pp. 1695-1697.
- [8] P. R. Prucnal, R. J. Runser, and M. H. Singer "Optical switching techniques for the next generation Internet: a competitive study," 2000, White Paper, Ultra Fast Optical Systems, pp. 1-14.
- [9] S. Nakamura, Y. Ueno, K. Tajima, T. Sasaki, T. Sugimoto, T. Kato, T. Shimoda, M. Itoh, H. Hatakeyama, T. Tamanuki, and T. Sasaki, "Demultiplexing of 168-Gb/s data pulses with a hybrid-integrated symmetric Mach-Zehnder all-optical Switch", 2000, *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.*, Vol. 12, pp. 425-427.
- [10] M. Heid, S. L. Jansen, S. Splater, E. Meissner, W. Vogt, and H. Melchior, "160-Gbits/s demultiplexing to base rates of 10 and 40Gbits/s with a monolithically integrated SOA-Mach-Zehnder interferometer," 2002, ECOC 02, Copenhagen, Denmark, Paper 8.4.3.
- [11] R. Ngah, Z. Ghassemlooy, and G. Swift, "Simulation of an all optical time division multiplexing router employing symmetric Mach-Zehnder (SMZ)," Sep. 2002, HFPSC2002, pp. 130-136.
- [12] P. Toliver, R. J. Runser, I. Glesk, and P. R. Prucnal, "Comparison of three nonlinear optical switch geometries," 2000, Proc. Con. Lasers and Electro-Optics, San Francisco, CA, pp. 254-255.
- [13] I. Glesk, K.I. Kang, and P. R. Prucnal, "Demonstration of ultrafast all-optical packet routing,"1997, *Electron. Lett.*, Vol. 33, pp. 794-795.
- [14] K. Uchiyama, T. Morioka, S. Kawanishi, H. Takara, and M. Saruwatari, "Signal-to-noise ratio analysis of 100 Gb/s demultiplexing using nonlinear optical loop mirror," 1007, *J. Lightwave Technol.*, Vol. 20, No. 2, pp. 618-624.
- [15] N. A. Olsson, "Lightwave systems with optical amplifiers," 1999, J. Lightwave Technol, Vol. 7, No. 7, pp. 1071-1082.
- [16] M. Eiselt, W. Pieper, and H. G. Weber, "SLALOM: semiconductor laser amplifier in a loop mirror," 1995, J. Lightwave Technol., Vol. 13, No. 10, pp. 2099-2112.

- [17] H. G. Weber, R. Ludwig, U. Fieste, C. Schmidt, C. Schubert, J. Berger, E. Hilliger, M. kroh, T. Yamamoto, "High-speed all-optical signal processing in optical communication systems," 2002, *Proc. Conf. on Lasers and Electro Optics*, Long Beach, USA, pp. 620-621.
- [18] K. L. Deng, R. J. Runser, P. Toliver, C. Coldwell, D. Zhou, I. Glesk, and P. R. Prucnal, "Demonstration of highly scalable 100 Gbit/s OTDM computer interconnect with rapid interchannel switching capability," 1998, *Electron. Lett.*, Vol. 35, pp. 2418-2419.
- [19] H. Le-Minh, Z., Ghassemlooy, and W. P. Ng, "A novel node architecture for all-optical packet switched network," July 2005, Proce. of 10<sup>th</sup> European Conf. on Networks and Optical Communs., London, UK., pp. 209-216
- [20] C. Y. Cheung, Z. Ghassemlooy, G. Swift, and A. Decker, "Crosstalk and noise characteristics of non-linear optical loop mirror demultiplexer and terahertz optical asymmetric demultiplexer," 2000, *Proc. Inter. Sympo. On Commun. Sys., Networks and DSP*, pp. 59-63.
- [21] G. P. Agrawal, "Fiber-optic Communication Systems," 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, 1997, J. Wiley, pp.163.
- [22] D. M. Baey, P. Gallion, and R. S. Tucker, "Theory and measurement techniques for the noise figure of optical amplifier," 2000, *Opt. Fib. Tech.*, Vol. 6, pp. 122-154.
- [23] G. Swift, Z. Ghassemlooy, A. K. Ray, and J. R. Travis, "Modelling of semiconductor laser amplifier for the terahertz optical asymmetric demultiplexer," 1998, IEE *Proc.-Circuits Devices Syst.*, Vol. 145, No. 2, pp. 61-65.
- [24] R. Hess M. Caraccia-Gross, W. Vogt, E. Gamper, P. A. Besse, M. Duelk, E. Gini, H. Melchior, B. Mikkelsen, M. Vaa, K. S. Jepsen, K. E. Stubkjaer, and S. Bouchoule, "All Optical Demultiplexing of 80 to 10Gb/s Signals with Monolithic Integrated High-Performance Mach-Zehnder Interferometer," 1998IEEE Photonics Technology Letts., Vol. 10, No1, pp. 165-167.

## List of Table and Figures

TABLE I System parameters

Fig. 1 OTDM system block diagram showing with the proposed OTDM node

Fig. 2 SMZ switch block diagram

Fig. 3 Cascaded amplifying stages

Fig. 4 A schematic VPI® simulation model of 1×MOTDM system

Fig. 5 Gain profile of the data signals in SMZ, and inset is the resulting switching window with width from 1ps to 10 ps

- Fig. 6 (a) Relative intensity noise against the switching window width for different values of *RMS*<sub>jitter</sub> and (b) channel crosstalk against the switching window width for different total bit rates
- Fig. 7 Optical waveforms (a) input OTDM packet, (b) recovered clock pulses (inset clock bit stream),
  (c) address pulses (inset address bit stream), (d) switched OTDM packet and (e) demultiplexed channel (inset zoomed inenlarged view)
- Fig. 8 Numerical and simulated BER for 2.5, 100 and 200 Gbps, and (b) the eye diagram for demultiplexed data channel at 2.5 Gbps and BER of 10<sup>-9</sup>