Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Graham, Pamela, Haskell-Ramsay, Crystal, Fothergill, Melissa and Young, Julie (2023) Food insecurity, poor dietary intake and a lack of free meal uptake amongst 16-17-year-old college students in the northeast of England, UK. Children & society, 37 (3). pp. 722-737. ISSN 0951-0605

Published by: Wiley-Blackwell

URL: https://doi.org/10.1111/chso.12623 < https://doi.org/10.1111/chso.12623 >

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: https://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/49870/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)





1	Food insecurity, poor dietary intake and a lack of free meal uptake among 16-17-year-
2	old college students in the North East of England, UK
3	Pamela Louise Graham ^{1*} , Crystal Haskell-Ramsay ² , Melissa Fothergill ³ , Julie Young ⁴
4	
5	¹ Department of Social Work, Education & Community Wellbeing, Faculty of Health and Life
6	Sciences, Coach Lane Campus, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE7 7XA,
7 8	UK
9	² Department of Psychology, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 8ST, UK
10	
11	3School of Psychology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon
12	Tyne, NE2 4DR, UK
13	4Department of Applied Sciences, Northumbric University, Newcostle upon Type, NE1 98T
14	Lik
16	
17	*Corresponding author: Pamela Louise Graham. Email: p.l.graham@northumbria.ac.uk
18	Telephone: 0191 215 6593 ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9492-9272
19	
20	Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the college students for giving up their
21	time to participate in this research and the college staff for supporting the project.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	

31 Abstract

32 *Objective*

- 33 Food insecurity in the UK has been described as a public health emergency. Although
- 34 programmes exist to alleviate food insecurity for children and families, there is a lack of
- focus on 16-17-year-olds across research, policy and practice. The current study set out to
- address this gap by investigating the food insecurity status and food intake of 16-17-year-olds
- 37 relative to current nutritional guidelines.

38 Design

- 39 An online, cross-sectional survey design was utilised to collect data on self-reported food
- 40 security status, food intake and access to and uptake of free college meals. Food intake data
- 41 were compared to current dietary recommendations on fruit and vegetable intake and high
- 42 fat/salt/sugar foods; food intake was compared between food secure and food insecure young
- 43 people.
- 44 Setting
- 45 Two sixth form colleges based in the North East of England, UK.
- 46 Participants
- 47 Eighty-three sixth form college students aged 16-17 years.
- 48 Results
- 49 A minority of young people consumed enough fruit and vegetables to meet or exceed current
- 50 5-a-day dietary recommendations, but the majority of young people consumed two or more
- 51 high fat/salt/sugar items, consumption of which was higher in food insecure young people.
- 52 Additionally, despite almost half the current sample identifying as food insecure, only four
- 53 young people reported being entitled to free college meals.

54 *Conclusions*

- 55 The current study was the first to identify food insecurity and poor food intake specifically
- amongst 16-17-year-olds in England. A lack of uptake of free college meals shows that
- 57 current policy is not sufficient to address food insecurity amongst this group.
- 58 Word Count: 7383

59 Key Words: Food insecurity; Adolescents; School food; Children's rights

60 Introduction

According to the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC, 1989), 61 62 childhood is a protected time lasting until the age of 18 years. The Convention sets out 54 articles outlining the rights of all children including rights to adequate nutrition, shelter and 63 64 clothing. The UK signed up to this Convention in 1992, but with approximately 30% of children in the UK living in poverty (Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health, 2020), it 65 is likely that the basic rights of many children are going unfulfilled. Furthermore, the UK is 66 currently impacted by the COVID-19 global pandemic, which is expected to lead to a 67 68 substantial increase in poverty across the UK (Sumner, Hoy & Ortiz-Juarez, 2020).

69 Food Insecurity

Poverty is a complex and pervasive experience typically characterised by a lack of resources needed to maintain a standard of living equivalent to the norms of the society in which a person lives (Gordon, 2006). When household finances are strained, food becomes a flexible resource with the quality and quantity available being dependent on what can be afforded once other, more pressing, financial commitments are met (Kennedy, 2014). When 'individuals and households do not have regular access to a supply of healthy and nutritious food to meet their dietary needs' (Long et al., 2020, p.1) they are considered food insecure.

Globally, it is estimated that 2 billion people have insufficient access to safe, nutritious food 77 (United Nations, n.d.). Yet global food security targets (e.g. Sustainable Development Goal 78 2) tend to focus on addressing the extremes of food insecurity by reducing malnutrition and 79 eliminating hunger. Such issues are more pronounced in Asia and Africa where challenges of 80 globalisation, conflict and drought make food insecurity difficult to address, especially for 81 82 international organisations attempting to work across multiple countries (United Nations, n.d. Schroeder & Smaldone, 2015). In contrast, more developed countries such as the US, UK, 83 84 Australia and Canada are argued to possess more power to affect change to address food insecurity through the implementation of independent, country specific policies (Schroeder & 85 Smaldone, 2015). However, food insecurity has been called 'a serious public health concern 86 in rich countries with developed economies' (Pollard & Booth, 2019, p.1) and a 'public 87 health emergency' in the UK due to UK food insecurity levels reported to be amongst the 88 worst in Europe (Furey, 2019). 89

It was recently reported that 12% of children in the UK live in households experiencing food 90 insecurity (The Food Foundation, 2022); an issue which has been greatly exacerbated by the 91 COVID-19 pandemic (Loopstra, 2020; Power et al., 2020). This is concerning as inadequate 92 nutrition throughout childhood can be detrimental to growth and development and can lead to 93 poorer health outcomes into adulthood (Perkins et al., 2017; Schwarzenberg & Geogieff, 94 95 2018). UK-based research has shown that under such circumstances, families adopt various strategies such as relying on cheaper, less nutritious foods and parental meal skipping to 96 97 make available food go further and to try to reduce the possibility that children in the 98 household will experience hunger (Defeyter et al., 2015). However, food insecurity exists on a scale with experiences of hunger associated with severe food insecurity (Food and 99 Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2022). While no universal definition of food 100 security is applied consistently across research and policy, most capture its multifaceted 101 nature that extends beyond the alleviation of hunger alone. It has been argued that an 102 103 abundance of food is not enough to ensure food security, but food should also be safe, 104 nutritious and accessible in a socially acceptable manner (Schroeder & Smaldone, 2015).

105 *Importance of a Nutritious Diet*

106 Current recommendations advise that from the age of five years, children should consume a
107 diet consistent with the Eatwell Guide, including consumption of at least five portions of fruit
108 and vegetables per day and minimal consumption of high fat, salt and sugar foods (Public
109 Health England, 2016).

110 It is recommended that fruit and vegetables make up over a third of an individual's daily food 111 consumption, and a mixture of fruit and vegetable items should be consumed to ensure intake 112 of a variety of vitamins and minerals. This is important as fruit and vegetables have been 113 associated with more favourable health outcomes and reduced risk of chronic diseases such 114 as coronary heart disease and stroke (Angelino et al., 2019).

Despite wide publication of the 5-a-day message through programmes such as Change for
Life (n.d.), national survey data consistently shows that many of the UK population do not
meet current recommendations for fruit and vegetable intake. The National Diet and Nutrition
Survey (NDNS) showed over a period between 2014 to 2016 that only 8% of 11-18-year-olds
and 31% of adults met the recommendation for consuming 5 portions of fruit and vegetables
per day. Indeed, in a 2020 update, dietary recalls indicated that fruit and vegetable intake

continued to fall below current recommendations with mean consumption at 2.8 and 3.7portions per day for these groups respectively (Ashford et al., 2021).

Analysis of NDNS data on fat, salt and sugar intake showed a similar trend, going against
current recommendations. Whilst intake of free sugars amongst children had decreased over
time, mean free sugar intake still exceeded current recommendations across all age groups.
Similarly, mean intakes of saturated fat amongst all age groups and salt amongst adults also
exceeded current recommendations (Bates et al., 2019; 2020). Such statistics are concerning
as excess intakes of fat, salt and sugar are associated with detrimental health outcomes,
including overweight and obesity, tooth decay, and hypertension (Belc et al., 2019; Grimes et

- al., 2013; Jones, 2016).
- Across England, 9.7% of children in reception (aged 4-5 years) and 20.2% of children in year

132 6 (aged 10-11 years) are reported to be obese (NHS, 2019) and the situation does not appear

to improve with age as 63% of adults are also reported to be overweight or obese

134 (Department of Health & Social Care, 2020). Against the current backdrop of the ongoing

135 COVID-19 pandemic, this is problematic as obesity has been linked to greater risk of severe

136 complications and death amongst COVID-19 patients (Public Health England, 2020). This is

in addition to a range of health issues that have previously been associated with obesity

138 including type 2 diabetes, coronary heart disease and stroke (Al-Goblan, Al-Alfi & Khan,

139 2014; Mitchell et al., 2015; Lassale et al., 2018).

140 To add further to the complexity of this situation, determining levels of overweight and 141 obesity in adolescents specifically is difficult as there are differences in the way adolescents are classified by age. The World Health Organisation, for example, define 'Adolescents' as 142 143 individuals in the 10-19 years age group, while 'Young People' are in the age range 10-24 years (WHO, 2020). On the other hand, The Health Survey for England 2019 (NHS, 2020) 144 categorises children over the age of 16 as adults. This contradicts the UNCRC (1989) 145 definition of childhood, which lasts up to the age of 18 years, and brings into question 146 whether children's health and wellbeing can be properly supported up to the age of 18 years 147

148 with such inconsistencies in age categories.

149 *Obesity and Food Insecurity*

150 Whilst obesity has typically been associated with excess energy intake (Romieu et al., 2017),

research has established a link between obesity and food insecurity (Brown et al., 2019;

152 Mohammed et al., 2016). This relationship is often described as paradoxical because food

insecurity is generally associated with a lack of food rather than eating to excess (Nettle,

Andrews & Bateson, 2017). However, it has been argued that perceived threats to food

availability can lead individuals to overeat when food is available and to store more fat in

response to the perceived threat; this is particularly the case for females (Dhurandhar, 2016;

157 Nettle et al., 2017).

Furthermore, it has been reported that high calorie, energy dense foods of lower nutritional 158 value are often perceived as more accessible than healthier options to caregivers in low-159 160 income households, which has negative implications for health and the development of food preferences (Daniel, 2020). Yet, this is somewhat controversial as findings from studies 161 162 investigating food insecurity and dietary quality are mixed. For example, in a recent UKbased study, no differences in energy intake were found between food secure and food 163 164 insecure adults. However, food insecure individuals did have less diverse intake of foods (Shinwell et al., 2021). On the contrary, some studies have reported lower dietary quality 165 166 amongst food insecure groups, particularly in terms of fruit and vegetable intake, though it is argued that poorer dietary quality might be less prominent amongst food insecure children 167 due to strategies adopted by their caregivers to ensure their dietary intake is less impacted 168 (Hanson & Connor, 2014). 169

170 School and Community Food Provision

In an effort to support children and young people in England to access nutritious food, all 171 172 primary and secondary schools must provide pupils with a nutritious lunch each day during 173 school term time. For children and young people of families on low income, lunches can be accessed free of charge (Department for Education, 2019). For young people aged 16-19 174 175 years enrolled on further education courses, free meals can also be obtained through their educational establishment. However, as well as being means tested, student eligibility for free 176 177 meals at post-16 establishments is also based on time spent on site, so if a student attends their educational setting for only part of the day (e.g. 9am-10am), the setting does not have to 178 provide a meal on that day. Additionally, there is more flexibility in where free food can be 179 accessed with students able to use vouchers off-site in local food outlets (Education and 180 181 Skills Funding Agency, 2020).

182 Recognising the importance of children and young people having sufficient access to

183 nutritious food, current Government policy stipulates that schools, colleges and further

education settings should actively support children and young people to make healthy food

choices (Department for Education, 2019; Education & Skills Agency, 2020). While evidence 185 on the effectiveness of school lunches in improving access to and intake of healthier foods is 186 mixed (Lucas et al., 2017; Micha et al., 2018), free school meals have been described as 'a 187 key public health policy in reducing food insecurity and dietary inequalities in children in the 188 UK' (Parnham et al., 2020, p.2). Furthermore, the school dining experience can provide 189 190 additional benefits to children and young people such as opportunities to practice social skills and learn cultural rules, which are important experiences for positive social development and 191 wellbeing (Earl & Lalli, 2020). These experiences could be particularly beneficial for 192 193 children and young people from food insecure households as research has shown that food insecurity can be associated with social isolation and less mealtime structure (McKenzie & 194 195 Watts, 2020; Schuler et al., 2020).

196 Building on free lunch provision in educational settings, many school and community organisations also provide food to children and their families through other means, including 197 198 breakfast clubs and holiday clubs (Lambie-Mumford & Sims, 2018). Such schemes have been implemented in response to concerns that many families are experiencing food 199 insecurity (Holley & Mason, 2019; Mann et al., 2018). However, a large proportion of these 200 201 schemes are targeted towards and accessed by families with children of primary school age (Mann, Widdison & Defeyter, 2020). As children and young people progress through the 202 education system, the availability and uptake of formal, policy driven food provision appears 203 to diminish. For example, Beattie and Gilmore (2016) reported that with increasing age, 204 children are less likely to take up the offer of school lunch. Similarly, a recent report on the 205 National School Breakfast Programme (NSBP, 2019), which supports the provision of free 206 breakfast in schools "in the most disadvantaged areas of England" (p.5), showed that the 207 scheme was available in 1384 primary schools compared with only 332 secondary schools. 208

At the current time, there are no widely available statistics on the provision and uptake of
food for young people in post-16 educational settings. This is surprising given that uptake of
free lunches in primary and secondary schools is reported annually through the School
Census (for a recent example, see National Statistics, 2021). Additionally, some data exist on
breakfast and holiday club provision for children and young people (e.g. Mann et al., 2018;
NSBP, 2019), though such data are not as routinely reported as they are for school lunch.

Moreover, the UNCRC, which outlines children's rights up to the age of 18 years, stipulatesthat children and young people have a right to adequate nutrition. However, no published

- studies have considered the food intake and food security status of young people aged 16-17
- 218 years in post-16 educational settings to identify whether there is a need for closer scrutiny of
- available food provision at this age. According to Zace et al. (2020), there is a need for
- 220 further research to identify and address food insecurity across different age groups to
- 221 investigate how and why different groups are affected.

222 Current Study

- 223 Given the need for more research on food insecurity across different age groups (Zace et al.,
- 224 2020) and a lack of focus on 16-17-year-olds in food research, policy and practice, the
- current study set out to address this gap. The aim of the study was to investigate for the first
- time: a) the prevalence of food insecurity amongst a sample of 16–17-year-old sixth form
- college students b) food intake of 16–17-year-old college students relative to current
- nutritional guidelines c) whether there are differences between food secure and food insecure
- sixth form college students in terms of their food intake.

230 Method

231 Participants

- An opportunity sample of 90 students from two sixth form colleges based in the North East of
- 233 England participated in the current study. However, data from seven students were not
- included in the final analyses because the students were aged above 18 years and were
- therefore above the age bracket for which the study materials were designed. The final study
- sample consisted of 66 females and 17 males aged 16-17 years (M=17 years 1 month;
- 237 SD=4m). Most participants (88%) identified as White British and the remainder of
- 238 participants identified as either British Asian (n=3); White European (n=2); Filipino (n=1);
- 239 White Arab (n=1); and White Other (n=1). One participant did not disclose their ethnicity.

240 Materials

- 241 Data were collected through an anonymous online questionnaire, which was delivered via the
- 242 Qualtrics platform (<u>www.qualtrics.com</u>). Participants were not required to include any
- identifying information, but were asked to provide a memorable word, which could be used
- to withdraw data if requested by the participant at a later date.
- Food intake was measured using a food frequency questionnaire, which was developed in consultation with youth group staff who commented on the suitability of the food lists for the

target age group. The measure required participants to tick a box next to foods and drinks
consumed on the previous day from given lists. Foods and drinks were listed under the
categories: fruits; vegetables; potatoes; cereals; breads; pasta, rice and noodles; meat and fish;
eggs; ready meals; puddings & desserts; crisps and confectionery; and drinks. A total of 91
food and drink items were presented and participants were also given the option to add any
foods or drinks consumed that were not listed.

Food security status was measured using the United States Department for Agriculture

254 (USDA) Food Security Survey Module for Youth Ages 12 and Over (2006). The survey

consisted of nine questions, which asked young people about food availability, quality and

access within their household during the previous month. For each question, participants were

asked to select a response of either 'A Lot,' 'Sometimes' or 'Never'. Responses of

258 'Sometimes' and 'A Lot' were summed for each participant and then, based on their score,

259 participants were classified as experiencing either: 1) High Food Security (no affirmative

responses); 2) Marginal Food Security (1 affirmative response); 3) Low Food Security (2-5

affirmative responses); 4) Very Low Food Security (6-9 affirmative responses).

Additionally, participants were asked to provide demographic information (i.e. gender, age and ethnicity), and were asked whether they were entitled to and accessed free college meals.

264 Procedure

Following ethical approval, details of the study were sent out to colleges based in the North East of England. Two college head teachers subsequently provided consent for their students to participate in the study. Students were then provided with written information about the study and were asked to take information home for their parent/carer (where applicable) to inform them that they would like to take part in the study. Students, parents/carers and college staff were given opportunities to seek clarification about the study if necessary, before students were provided with a link to access the online questionnaire.

After clicking the link, students were presented with information reminding them about the aims of the study and what their participation would involve. They were asked to provide consent and a memorable word ensuring their ability to withdraw from the study following their participation whilst maintaining anonymity. Additionally, students were advised that they could withdraw before or during participation by closing their web browser. Students completed the food frequency measure followed by the food security measure and demographic questions. On completion of the questionnaires, students were provided with 279 written debrief information. Students from College 1 completed the questionnaires during

April/May 2019 and from College 2 during December 2019. The questionnaire completion

281 dates were decided in accordance with the requirements of the college timetables to avoid

busy periods such as exams.

283 **Results**

284 Food Intake

For each student, the number of reported fruits and vegetables were compared against UK dietary recommendations, which advise consumption of a combination of at least five fruit and vegetables per day (Public Health England, 2016).

Of the 83 students included in the final sample, only 28% (n=23) met or exceeded the

recommended daily intake of fruits and vegetables. Twenty-nine percent of students (n=24)

ate a combination of some fruit and vegetables, but not enough to meet dietary

- recommendations. Seventeen percent (n=14) consumed fruit but no vegetables and 11%
- 292 (n=9) consumed vegetables but no fruit, suggesting that the majority of students are
- 293 managing to incorporate some fruits and vegetables into their diets, but the quantity and
- variety are not sufficient to meet current dietary recommendations. However, this was not the
- 295 case for a minority of students as 16% (n=13) reported consuming no fruits or vegetables at 296 all on the reference day

all on the reference day.

297 The numbers of reported fast foods, crisps and confectionery, puddings and desserts were

- also collated to form a high fat/salt/sugar category. Current UK dietary recommendations
- suggest that these foods should be consumed in moderation as they offer little, if any,
- nutritional benefit (Public Health England, 2016). Eighty-seven percent (n=72) of students in
- the current study reported consumption of at least one high fat/salt/sugar food but the

302 majority of students consumed two or more (see Table 1).

303

Table 1: Number of students consuming high fat/salt/sugar foods (HFSS)

Number of HFSS foods	Number of students
reported	reporting consumption (%)
0	11 (13%)
1	19 (23%)

2	24 (29%)	
3	16 (19%)	
4	7 (8%)	
5	5 (6%)	
6	1 (1%)	

305

306 Food Security

All participating students (n=83) completed all nine items of the Food Security Survey
Module for Youth Ages 12 and Over (USDA, 2006). Based on their responses, students were
categorised into one of four food security categories in line with USDA (2019) definitions:
(1) high food security; (2) marginal food security; (3) low food security; (4) very low food
security.

Overall, 59% of students (n=49) reported experiencing high food security. These students gave no affirmative questionnaire responses, suggesting that they perceived no issues with their ability to maintain access to a sufficient source of nutritious food.

A further 8% of students (n=7) identified as marginally food secure as they gave affirmative responses to one questionnaire item. This classification suggested that although they did not experience consistent changes in their food intake, these students did have some anxiety over the adequacy of food available within their households.

Twenty-eight percent of students reported low food security, which is characterised by a
reduction in quality or variety of food within the household. A further 5% of students (n=4)
experienced very low food security, which is consistent with reduced food quality and intake.

Consistent with these categories, responses to individual survey items (see Table 2) revealed that for most students, experiences of food insecurity tended to be characterised by worries around food availability and reductions in food quality (i.e. less able to access a balanced

meal). However, a small proportion of students gave affirmative responses to questions on

reduced portion sizes and meal skipping, which demonstrates that some students are

327 experiencing food insecurity with hunger.

328 Table 2: Number of affirmative responses to food security survey items

Questions	Number of
	Affirmative
	Responses (%)
Did you worry that the food at home would run out before your family	23 (28%)
got money to buy more?	
Did the food that your family bought run out, and you didn't have	10 (12%)
money to get more?	
Did your meals only include a few kinds of cheap foods because your	20 (24%)
family was running out of money to buy food?	
How often were you not able to eat a balanced meal because your	17 (20%)
family didn't have enough money?	
Did you have to eat less because your family didn't have enough	13 (16%)
money to buy food?	
Has the size of your meals been cut because your family didn't have	8 (10%)
enough money for food?	
Did you have to skip a meal because your family didn't have enough	6 (7%)
money for food?	
Were you hungry but didn't eat because your family didn't have	8 (10%)
enough food?	
Did you not eat for a whole day because your family didn't have	2 (2%)
enough money for food?	

329

Finally, data on free college meal entitlement showed that only 5% of students (n=4) reported that they were entitled to access free college meals and only 4% (n=3) reported that they take up these meals. These students were all categorised as food insecure. Eighty-seven percent of students (n=72) reported that they were not entitled to free college meals and 43% (n=31) of these students were categorised as food insecure. Eight percent of students (n=7) did not provide an answer on whether they are entitled to free college meals and no students answered 'Don't know' in response to this question.

337 Food Security Status and Food Intake

338 Students were grouped as either food secure (i.e. high food security) or food insecure (i.e.

low or very low food security) based on their responses to the Food Security Survey Module.

Comparisons were made between groups on the number of reported fruit and vegetables and
number of reported HFSS items consumed using Mann-Whitney U tests.

342 Analysis showed no significant difference between groups in the number of fruit and

vegetables items consumed (U=625, p=.401). However, there was a significant difference

between groups in the number of HFSS items reported (U=476, p=.014) with the food

insecure group (*Mean Rank*=45.63) reporting consumption of more HFSS items than the

food secure group (*Mean Rank*=33.32).

347

348 **Discussion:**

The current study set out to investigate the food intake and food security status of young 349 350 people aged 16-17 years. To our knowledge, no previous studies have explored the food intake and food security status of 16–17-year-olds independently of other age groups. 351 352 However, this distinction is important as it has been recognised that children's food habits, food security experiences and uptake of food interventions such as free school meals vary 353 354 across different stages of childhood, therefore it has been suggested that specific age groups should be identified within research studies that seek to understand food habits and food 355 356 security (Beattie & Gilmore, 2016; Zace et al., 2020). Furthermore, until the age of 18 years, young people are afforded specific rights and protections under the UNCRC (1989), 357 including the right to adequate nutrition. However, as they move on from school to college at 358 the age of 16, young people gain a greater level of independence (Harris, 2019), so 359 360 consideration of food habits and food security at this age is critical to identifying whether more support is needed during this transitional period. 361

In terms of food intake, results of the current study showed that only a small percentage of
young people (28%) consumed enough fruit and vegetables on the reference day to meet or
exceed current dietary recommendations. On the contrary, the majority of young people
(64%) consumed two or more high fat/salt/sugar items, but consumption was higher amongst

366 young people experiencing food insecurity compared to their food secure peers.

These findings lend support to existing data on nutritional intake across the UK, which show that adherence to recommended daily intakes of fruit, vegetables and high fat, salt and sugar foods remains a cause for concern (Bates et al., 2019; 2020). It is widely accepted that fruit and vegetables are an essential part of a nutritious diet whilst consumption of HFSS foods

- 371 should be kept to a minimum since overconsumption of these foods not only leads to
- 372 overweight and obesity but can result in cognitive deficits when consumed during
- adolescence (Reichlet, 2016). Moreover, a variety of health risks including overweight and
- obesity become apparent during adolescence and carry increased health risks into adulthood
- (Saydah et al., 2013). Also, poor preconception micro and macronutrient deficiencies can
- 376 result in serious long term foetal and infant development leading to early childhood mortality
- and stunting (Mason et al., 2014).
- Undeniably, food insecurity was also a pertinent issue identified amongst young people aged
 16-17 years, with almost half the current sample categorised as experiencing either moderate,
 low or very low food security. Moreover, only four students identified as having entitlement
 to free college meals, and only three students reported that they accessed these meals,
 bringing into question the suitability of this support for young people of this age.
- 383 Although current Government policy stipulates that schools, colleges and further education settings should support children and young people to access healthy foods (Department for 384 Education, 2019; Education & Skills Agency, 2020), it has been argued that current food 385 systems do not successfully facilitate healthy dietary intake amongst children and young 386 people (Hawkes et al., 2020). Barriers to healthy foods, such as cost and availability, were 387 recently identified through the Children's Future Food Inquiry (2018), which sought to draw 388 attention to children's views and experiences of food and food insecurity. The inquiry also 389 390 highlighted broader issues of stigma, which deterred children from accessing free food.
- 391 Taken together, the findings of current and previous research suggest that making free food available is not enough to fully ensure that those experiencing food insecurity are supported, 392 393 and more should be done to ensure children and young people are consulted in the design and implementation of food interventions, to ensure their needs are met. This argument is in line 394 395 with Hawkes et al. (2020) who proposed that food systems development requires a more child-centred approach that seeks to understand what children are eating and the contextual 396 397 factors relating to dietary choices and behaviours. They argued that these factors should be incorporated up through the food system to create food environments and systems that align 398 399 with the contexts in which children live. In support of this, previous research has shown there is value in drawing on the perspectives of key stakeholders involved in food interventions as 400 401 they understand the facilitators and barriers to access which are prevalent at a local level (e.g. Graham et al., 2016). 402

Paying particular attention to the age group involved in the current study, it is important that 403 further research is conducted to explore the views and experiences of 16-17-year-olds 404 specifically. In a recent Parliamentary debate (UK Parliament, 2020), the issue of funding in 405 post-16 education was raised with some attention drawn to the need for young people to be 406 provided with the funds and facilities to access food whilst attending college. In support of 407 408 this argument, the current findings showed that food insecurity is an issue that continues beyond formal school years at a time when young people are embarking on greater 409 independence, suggesting that support is needed to ensure their experiences of food insecurity 410 411 do not continue on into adulthood. Although some support is currently available through free college meals, the current findings showed that uptake of this scheme appears to be low so 412 more work is needed to establish a system that addresses the needs of young people in post-413 414 16 education.

Future research should also consider the potential added benefits of free college meals that 415 416 extend beyond nutritional intake. Studies of school dining occasions, including breakfast and lunch, have identified that eating alongside peers and school staff can be a valuable social 417 experience (Earl & Lalli, 2020; Graham, Russo & Defeyter, 2015). However, students in 418 post-16 education have more flexibility in terms of food access and can obtain vouchers to 419 eat off-site in local food outlets (Education & Skills Agency, 2020). While it could be argued 420 this approach provides students with a more socially acceptable means of obtaining free food, 421 there might be scope within colleges to enhance the social occasion around food in an effort 422 423 to facilitate engagement with free meals. Given that peers are a crucial source of support for young people during adolescence (Wang et al., 2021), the social aspects of college meals are 424 425 worthy of further exploration.

426 Despite the utility of the current findings, it is important to recognise the limitations of this 427 study. Firstly, the study recruited a sample of participants from two colleges based in the North East of England. More research is needed to investigate whether similar findings are 428 429 evident in other regions of England with equivalent food policies in place. Furthermore, the 430 current study has provided evidence of food insecurity and poor dietary intake amongst 16-431 17-year-olds, but it was not possible within the scope of this study to ascertain the reasons behind these issues. Future qualitative investigation would be useful to determine whether 432 433 young people's dietary intake is driven by choice or whether broader contextual factors such as cost and availability, which were highlighted in the Children's Future Food Inquiry are 434 pervasive amongst 16-17-year-old college students. Moreover, the current findings provide a 435

limited representation of food intake and food insecurity at one time point. The decision was 436 made to ask participants to complete the questionnaire on just one occasion following 437 discussions with college tutors who pointed out that their students are often dealing with 438 multiple competing demands including college work, caring responsibilities and employment, 439 which would limit the time available for research participation. Going forward, more 440 collaborative work is needed with young people to identify suitable methods of data 441 collection to maximise participation amongst this age group while minimising participant 442 burden. Finally, the current study was conducted before the onset of the COVID-19 443 444 pandemic, which has resulted in more families experiencing food insecurity and changes in the way school food is accessed (Loopstra, 2020; Department for Education, 2021). It would 445 therefore be useful to investigate how 16-17-year-olds have been impacted by the pandemic 446 and changes to the school food system. 447

To conclude, the findings of the current study demonstrated that poor food intake and food 448 449 insecurity are issues amongst young people aged 16-17 years attending sixth form colleges in the North East of England. Moreover, the uptake of free meals in these colleges is minimal. 450 The findings show there is a need for further research to explore the views and experiences of 451 16-17-year-olds to identify the reasons for food insecurity and poor food intake at this age. 452 Also, more collaborative work is needed across research, policy and practice to ensure that 453 food interventions for older adolescents are developed and implemented in a way that meets 454 the needs of those they aim to support. Finally, given that 16-17-year-olds retain rights as 455 children under the UNCRC (1989), more attention should be paid to the way in which this 456 age group is categorised across research and policy to ensure that they are not simply treated 457 as adults and their rights are upheld. At the same time, it is important that the unique status of 458 16-17-year-olds is also recognised as they embark on a period of independence that sets them 459 460 apart from younger, school-aged children.

- 461
- 462
- 463
- 464
- 465

466

References

468	Al-Goblan, A., Al-Alfi, M. & Khan, M.Z. (2014). Mechanism linking diabetes mellitus and
469	obesity. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes. 7, 587-591. Doi: 10.2147/DMSO.S67400
470	Angelino, D., Godos, J., Ghelfi, F., Tieri, M., Titta, L., Lafranconi, A. et al. (2019). Fruit and
471	vegetable consumption and health outcomes: an umbrella review of observational
472	studies. International Journal od Food Sciences and Nutrition, 70(6), 652-667. Doi:
473	https://doi.org/10.1080/09637486.2019.1571021
474	Ashford, R., Bates, B., Roberts, C. Collins, D. Rennie, K., Steer, T., et al. (2021). National
475	Diet and Nutrition Survey: Diet, nutrition and physical activity in 2020 - a follow-up
476	study during COVID-19. London: Public Health England/Food Standards Agency
477	(online)
478	https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachme
479	nt_data/file/1019663/Follow_up_stud_2020_main_report.pdf (Accessed February
480	2022).
481	Bates, B., Collins, D., Cox, L., Nicholson, S., Page, P., Roberts, C. et al. (2019). National
482	Diet and Nutrition Survey. Years 1 to 9 of the rolling programme (2008/2009-
483	2016/2017): Time trend and income analysis.
484	https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachme
485	nt_data/file/772434/NDNS_UK_Y1-9_report.pdf (Accessed January 2021).
486	Bates, B., Collins, D., Jones, K., Page, P., Roberts, C., Steer, T., et al. (2020). National Diet
487	and Nutrition Survey. Rolling programme years 9 to 11 (2016/2017-2018/2019).
488	https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachme
489	nt_data/file/943114/NDNS_UK_Y9-11_report.pdf (Accessed January 2021).
490	Beattie, K. & Gilmore, G. (2016). Uptake and factors impacting on demand for school meals.
491	Research Bulleting No. 6. Public Health Agency, Belfast.
492	https://www.publichealth.hscni.net/sites/default/files/Bulletin%206%20Uptake%20an
493	<u>d%20factors%20impacting%20on%20demand%20for%20school%20meals.pdf</u>
494	(Accessed January 2021).
495	Belc, N., Smeu, I., Macri, A., Vallauri, D. & Flynn, K. (2019). Reformulating foods to meet
496	current scientific knowledge about salt, sugar and fats. Trends in Food Science &

Technology, 84, 25-28. Doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2018.11.002</u>

498 Change for Life (n.d.). Easy ways to eat well and move more.

499 <u>https://www.nhs.uk/change4life</u> (Accessed January 2021).

- 500 Children's Future Food Inquiry (2018). <u>https://foodfoundation.org.uk/wp-</u>
 501 <u>content/uploads/2020/11/Childrens-Future-Food-Inquiry-report.pdf</u> (Accessed
- 502 January 2021).
- Daniel, C. (2020). Is healthy eating too expensive? How low-income parents evaluate the cost
 of food. *Social Science and Medicine*, 248. *Doi:*https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.112823
- 506 Defeyter, M.A., Graham, P.L. & Prince, K. (2015). A qualitative evaluation of holiday
 507 breakfast clubs in the UK: Views of adult attendees, children and staff. *Frontiers in*508 *Public Health, 3*, 199. Doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2015.00199
- Department for Education. (2019). School food in England. Advice for Governing Boards.
 <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachme</u>
 <u>nt_data/file/788884/School-food-in-England-April2019-FINAL.pdf</u> (Accessed
 January 2021).
- 513 Department for Education. (2021). Providing school meals during the coronavirus (COVID-
- 514 19) outbreak. <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covid-19-free-school-</u>
- 515 <u>meals-guidance/covid-19-free-school-meals-guidance-for-schools</u> (Accessed February
 516 2021).
- 517 Department for Work and Pensions. (2020). COVID Winter Grant Scheme. Guidance for
 518 local councils. <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covid-winter-grant-</u>
 519 <u>scheme</u> (Accessed January 2021).
- 520 Department of Health & Social Care. (2020). New obesity strategy unveiled as country urged
 521 to lose weight to beat coronavirus (COVID-19) and protect the NHS.
- 522 https://www.gov.uk/government/news/new-obesity-strategy-unveiled-as-country-
- 523 <u>urged-to-lose-weight-to-beat-coronavirus-covid-19-and-protect-the-nhs</u> (Accessed
 524 January 2021).
- 525 Dhurandhar, E.J. (2016). The food-insecurity obesity paradox: A resource scarcity
 526 hypothesis. *Physiology and Behavior*, 62, 88-92. Doi:
- 527 10.1016/j.physbeh.2016.04.025.

- Earl, L. & Lalli, G.S. (2020). Healthy meals, better learners? Debating the focus of school
 food policy in England. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 41(4), 476-489.
- Education and Skills Funding Agency. (2020). Free meals in further education funded
 institutions: guide for the 2020 to 2021 academic year.
- 532 https://www.gov.uk/guidance/free-meals-in-further-education-funded-institutions-
- 533 <u>guide-for-the-2020-to-2021-academic-year</u> (Accessed January 2021).
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2022). Hunger and food
 insecurity. <u>https://www.fao.org/hunger/en/</u> (Accessed July 2022).
- 536 Furey, S. (2019). UK food poverty now a public health emergency.
- 537 <u>https://pure.ulster.ac.uk/en/publications/uk-food-poverty-now-a-public-health-</u>
 538 emergency (Accessed July 2022).
- 539 Gordon, D. (2006). *The concept and measurement of poverty*. In: D. Gordon, C. Pantazis &
- 540 R. Levitas. Poverty and Social Exclusion in Britain. The Millennium Survey. (pp.29541 70). UK: The Policy Press.
- Graham, P.L., Russo, R. & Defeyter, M.A. (2015). The advantages and disadvantages of
 breakfast clubs according to parents, children and school staff in the North East of
 England, UK. *Frontiers in Public Health, 3*, 156. Doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2015.00156
- 545 Graham, P.L., Crilley, E., Stretesky, P.B., Long, M.A., Palmer, K.J., Steinbock, E. et al.
- 546 (2016). School holiday food provision in the UK: A qualitative investigation of needs,
 547 benefits and potential for development. *Frontiers in Public Health, 4*, 172. Doi:
 548 <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2016.00172</u>
- Grimes, C.A., Riddell, L.J., Campbell, K.J. & Nowson, C.A. (2013). Dietary salt intake,
 sugar-sweetened beverage consumption, and obesity risk. *Pediatrics*, *131*(1), 14-21.
 Doi: https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2012-1628
- Hanson, K.L. and Connor, L.M. (2014). Food insecurity and dietary quality in US adults and
 children: a systematic review. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 100(2), 684692. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.114.084525.
- Harris, A. (2019). Finding our own way. Centre for Mental Health Report.
- 556 https://www.centreformentalhealth.org.uk/sites/default/files/2019-
- 557 <u>01/CentreforMH_FindingOurOwnWay.pdf</u> (Accessed February 2021).

- Hawkes, C., Fox, E., Downs, S.M., Fanzo, J. & Neve, K. (2020). Child-centred food systems:
 Reorienting food systems towards healthy diets for children. *Global Food Security*,
 27, 100414. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2020.100414
- Holley, C.E. & Mason, C. (2019). A systematic review of the evaluation of interventions to
 tackle children's food insecurity. *Curr Nutr Rep*, 8(1), 11-27. Doi: 10.1007/s13668019-0258-1.
- Jones, C.M. (2016). The UK sugar tax- a healthy start? *British Dental Journal*, 221, 59-60.
- Kennedy, L. (2014). Poor diet is the result of poverty not lack of education. *The Conversation*. <u>https://theconversation.com/poor-diet-is-the-result-of-poverty-not-lack-</u>
 of-education-26246 (Accessed January 2021).
- Lambie-Mumford, H. & Sims, L. (2018). 'Feeding Hungry Children': The growth of
 charitable breakfast clubs and holiday hunger projects in the UK. *Children and Society*, *32*, 244-254. Doi: 10.1111/chso.12272
- Lassale, C., Tzoulaki, I., Moons, KGM., Sweeting, M., Boer, J., Johnson, L., et al. (2018).
 Separate and combined associations of obesity and metabolic health with coronary
 heart disease: a pan-European cohort analysis. *European Heart Journal, 39*(5), 397406. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehx448
- Long, M.A., Goncalves, L., Stretesky, P.B. & Defeyter, M.A. (2020). Food insecurity in
 advanced capitalist nations: A review. *Sustainability*, *12*(9), 3654. Doi:
 https://doi.org/10.3390/su12093654
- 578 Loopstra, R. (2020). Vulnerability to food insecurity since COVID-19 lockdown.
 579 <u>https://foodfoundation.org.uk/wp-</u>
- 580 <u>content/uploads/2020/04/Report_COVID19FoodInsecurity-final.pdf</u> (Accessed
 581 February 2021).
- Lucas, P.J., Patterson, E., Sacks, G., Billich, N. & Evans, C.E.L. (2017). Preschool and
 school meal policies: An overview of what we know about regulation,
 implementation, and impact on diet in the UK, Sweden and Australia. *Nutrients*, 9(7),
 736. Doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9070736
- Mann, E., Long, M.A., Stretesky, P.B. & Defeyter, M.A. (2018). A question of justice: are
 holiday clubs serving the most deprived communities in England? *Local*

588 *Environment*, 23(10), 1008-1022. Doi:

589 https://doi.org/10.1080/13549839.2018.1518415

- Mann, E., Widdison, C. & Defeyter, M.A. (2020). Implementing holiday provision
 programmes: A qualitative investigation of the experiences of senior stakeholders. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 8(7), 286-302. Doi: 10.4236/jss.2020.87023
- Mason E, McDougall L, Lawn JE, et al. (2014). From evidence to action to deliver a healthy
 start for the next generation. *Lancet*, 384, 455–67.
- McKenzie, J.S. & Watts, D.C. (2020). Re-thinking the relationship between food insecurity,
 health and social isolation. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, *79*, Issue OCE3:
 Nutrition Society Live 2020. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665120007260
- Micha, R., Karageorgou, D., Bakogianni, I., Trichia, E., Whitsel, L.P., Story, M., et al.
 (2018). Effectiveness of school food environment policies on children's dietary
 behaviors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLOS ONE*, *13*(3), e0194555. Doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194555
- Mitchell, A.B., Cole, J.W., McArdle, P.F., Cheng, Y., Ryan, K.A., Sparks, M.J., et al. (2015).
 Obesity increases risk of ischemic stroke in young adults. *Stroke*, 46(6), 1690-1692.
 Doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.115.008940</u>
- National School Breakfast Programme. (2019). Food for Thought. <u>https://www.family-</u>
 <u>action.org.uk/content/uploads/2019/07/NSBP-Impact-report-v11-LOWRES.pdf</u>
 (Accessed January 2021).
- National Statistics. (2021). Schools, pupils and their characteristics. <u>https://explore-</u>
 <u>education-statistics.service.gov.uk/find-statistics/school-pupils-and-their-</u>
 <u>characteristics</u> (Accessed February 2021).
- Nettle, D., Andrews, C. & Bateson, M. (2017). Food insecurity as a driver of obesity in
 humans: The insurance hypothesis. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences, e105*. Doi:
 10.1017/S0140525X16000947
- NHS. (2019). National Child Measurement Programme, England 2018/19 School Year.
 <u>https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/national-child-</u>
 measurement-programme/2018-19-school-year (Accessed January 2021).

- 617 NHS. (2020). Health Survey for England 2019. <u>https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-</u>
 618 <u>information/publications/statistical/health-survey-for-england/2019</u> (Accessed
 619 January 2021).
- Parnham, J.C., Laverty, A.A., Majeed, A. & Vamos, E.P. (2020). Half of children entitled to
 free school meals did not have access to the scheme during COVID-19 lockdown in
 the UK. *Public Health*, 187, 161-164. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2020.08.019
- Perkins, J.M., Kim, R., Krishna, A., McGovern, M., Aguayo, V.M. & Subramanian, S.V.
 (2017). Understanding the association between stunting and child development in
- low- and middle-income countries: Next steps for research and intervention. *Social*
- 626 *Science and Medicine, 193*, 101-109. Doi:
- 627 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.09.039</u>
- Pollard, C.M. & Booth, S. (2019). Food insecurity and hunger in rich countries It is time for
 action against inequality. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16*(10), 1804. Doi: 10.3390/ijerph16101804
- Power, M., Doherty, B., Pybus, K. & Pickett, K. (2020). How COVID-19 has exposed
 inequalities in the UK food system: The case of UK food and poverty. *Emerald Open*
- 633 *Research*, 2: 11. Doi: <u>10.35241/emeraldopenres.13539.2</u>
- 634 Public Health England. (2020). Excess weight and COVID-19. Insights from new evidence.
- 635 <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachme</u>
- 636 <u>nt_data/file/907966/PHE_insight_Excess_weight_and_COVID-19_FINAL.pdf</u>
- 637 (Accessed February 2021).
- Public Health England. (2016). Government dietary recommendations. Government
 recommendations for energy and nutrients for males and females aged 1-18 years and
 19+ years.
- 641 <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachme</u>
- 642 <u>nt_data/file/618167/government_dietary_recommendations.pdf</u> (Accessed January
 643 2021).
- Reichelt, A.C. (2016). Adolescent Maturational Transition in the Prefrontal Cortex and
 Dopamine Signalling as a Risk Factor for the Development of Obesity and High
 Fat/High Sugar Diet Induced Cognitive Deficits. *Front Behav Neurosci*, *10*, 189.

- 647 Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. (2020). *State of Child Health.*
- 648 <u>https://stateofchildhealth.rcpch.ac.uk/evidence/family-and-social-environment/child-</u>
 649 <u>poverty/</u> (Accessed July 2022).
- Saydah S, Bullard KM, Imperatore G, Geiss L, Gregg EW. (2013). Cardiometabolic risk
 factors among US adolescents and young adults and risk of early
 mortality. *Pediatrics*, *131*, e679–86.
- Schroeder, K. & Smaldone, A. (2015). Food insecurity: A concept analysis. *Nursing Forum*,
 50(4), 274-284.
- Schuler, B.R., Bauer, K.W., Lumeng, J.C., Rosenblum, K., Clark, M. & Miller, A.L. (2020).
 Poverty and food insecurity predict mealtime structure: Mediating pathways of parent
 disciplinary practices and depressive symptoms. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*,
 29(11), 3169-3183.
- Schwarzenberg, S.J. & Geogieff, M.K. (2018). Advocacy for improving nutrition in the first
 1000 days to support childhood development and adult health. *Pediatrics*, 141(2),
 e20173716.
- Shinwell, J., Bateson, M., Nettle, D., & Pepper, G. V. (2021). Food insecurity and patterns of
 dietary intake in a sample of UK adults. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 1-8
 doi:10.1017/S0007114521003810
- Sumner, A., Hoy, C. & Ortiz-Juarez, E. (2020). Estimates of the impact of COVID-19 on
 global poverty. WIDER Working Paper Series wp-2020-43. World Institute for
 Development Economic Research (UNU-WIDER).
- The Food Foundation. (2022). New data shows food insecurity major challenge to levelling *up agenda*. <u>https://www.foodfoundation.org.uk/press-release/new-data-shows-food-</u>
 insecurity-major-challenge-levelling-agenda (Accessed February 2022).
- 671 UK Parliament. (2020). Equality of funding: Post-16 education. Volume 672: debated on
- Tuesday 25 February 2020. <u>https://hansard.parliament.uk/Commons/2020-02-</u>
- 673 25/debates/FD9BBA82-48E7-4836-BCA8-EE8B4471C76D/EqualityOfFundingPost-
- 674 <u>16Education</u> (Accessed January 2020).
- 675 United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. (1989).
- 676 https://www.unicef.org.uk/rights-respecting-schools/the-rrsa/introducing-the-

- 677 <u>crc/#:~:text=The%20CRC%20sets%20out%20the,human%20rights%20treaty%20in</u>
 678 %20history. (Accessed February 2021).
- 679 United Nations. (n.d.). Sustainable Development Goals. Goal 2 Zero Hunger.
 680 https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/hunger/ (Accessed July 2022).
- United States Department for Agriculture. (2006). Self-administered food security survey
 module for youth ages 12 and older. <u>https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-nutrition-</u>
 <u>assistance/food-security-in-the-us/survey-tools/#youth</u> (Accessed January 2021).
- United States Department for Agriculture. (2019). Definitions of food security.
 https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-nutrition-assistance/food-security-in-the-us/definitions-of-food-security/ (Accessed January 2021).
- Wang, L., Liang, L., Liu, Z., Yuan, K., Ju, J. & Bian, Y. (2021). The developmental process
 of peer support networks: The role of friendship. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*,
 615148. Doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.615148.
- 690 World Health Organisation. (2020). Adolescent Health.

691 https://www.who.int/southeastasia/health-topics/adolescent-

- 692 <u>health#:~:text=WHO%20defines%20'Adolescents'%20as%20individuals,age%20rang</u>
- 693 <u>e%2010%2D24%20years</u>. (Accessed January 2021).
- Zace, D., Di Pietro, M.L., Caprini, F., de Waure, C. & Ricciardi, W. (2020). Prevalence and
 correlates of food insecurity among children in high income countries. A systematic
 review. *Ann Ist Super Sanita*, 56(1), 90-98. Doi: 10.4415/ANN_20_01_13

697