Northumbria Research Link

Citation: James, Emily, Goodall, Stuart, Nichols, Simon, Walker, Karen, Carroll, Sean, O'Doherty, Alasdair and Ingle, Lee (2023) Serum Transthyretin and Aminotransferases are associated with Lean Mass in People with Coronary Heart Disease. Further Insights from the CARE-CR study. Frontiers in Medicine, 10. p. 1094733. ISSN 2296-858X

Published by: Frontiers

URL: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2023.1094733 < https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2023.1094733 >

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: https://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/51298/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)





1	Ser	um Transthyretin and Aminotransferases are associated with
2	L	ean Mass in People with Coronary Heart Disease. Further
3		Insights from the CARE-CR study
4		
5	Runni	ing title: Biomarkers of Sarcopenia in CHD
6		
7 8	Emily Alasd	James ^{1,2,3*} , Stuart Goodall ¹ , Simon Nichols ^{4,5} , Karen Walker ⁶ , Sean Carroll ⁷ , air O'Doherty ¹ , Lee Ingle ⁷
9 10	1.	Department of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation, Northumbria University, Newcastle- Upon-Type, United Kingdom
11	2.	Diabetes Research Centre, University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom
12	3.	NIHR Leicester Biomedical Research Centre, Leicester, United Kingdom
13	4.	Sport and Physical Activity Research Group, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield,
14		United Kingdom.
15	5.	Advanced Wellbeing Research Centre, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, United
16		Kingdom.
17	6.	Department of Applied Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle-Upon-Tyne,
18	-	United Kingdom.
19 20	7.	Kingdom.
21	*Corr	responding author: Emily James
22 23		Email: <u>Emily.j.c.james@northumbria.ac.uk</u> .
24	Word	count: 3,909
25	Table	s: Three
26	Figur	es: One
27	Langı	age style: British English
28		

30 Abstract

31 Background

32 Low muscle mass disproportionately affects people with coronary heart disease compared to healthy controls but is under-researched and insufficiently treated. Inflammation, poor 33 nutrition, and neural decline might contribute to low muscle mass. This study aimed to assess 34 35 circulatory biomarkers related to these mechanisms (albumin, transthyretin, alanine aminotransferase [ALT], aspartate aminotransferase [AST]) and C-terminal agrin fragment) 36 and their relationship with muscle mass in people with coronary heart disease. Our findings 37 38 could be beneficial to indicate mechanisms of sarcopenia, detect sarcopenia, and evaluate 39 treatment.

40 Methods

Serum blood samples from people with coronary heart disease were analysed for biomarker concentrations using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays. Skeletal muscle mass was estimated using dual X-ray absorptiometry derived appendicular lean mass and reported as skeletal muscle index (SMI; kg.m⁻²), and as a proportion of total body mass (appendicular skeletal mass [ASM%]). Low muscle mass was defined as a SMI <7.0 and <6.0 kg.m⁻², or ASM% <25.72% and <19.43% for men and women, respectively. Associations between

47 biomarkers and lean mass were adjusted for age and inflammation.

48 **Results**

49 Sixty-four people were assessed; fourteen (21.9%) had low muscle mass. People with low

- 50 muscle mass had lower transthyretin (effect size 0.34, P = 0.007), ALT (effect size 0.34, P =
- 51 0.008) and AST (effect size 0.26, P = 0.037) concentrations, compared to those with normal
- 52 muscle mass. SMI was associated with inflammation-corrected ALT (r = 0.261, P = 0.039) and
- with inflammation- and age-adjusted AST/ALT ratio (r = -0.257, P = 0.044). Albumin and C-
- 54 terminal agrin fragment were not associated with muscle mass indices.

55 Conclusion

56 Circulatory transthyretin, ALT and AST were associated with low muscle mass in people with

57 coronary heart disease. Low concentrations of these biomarkers might indicate that low muscle

- 58 mass is partially explained by poor nutrition and high inflammation in this cohort. Targeted
- 59 treatments to address these factors could be considered for people with coronary heart disease.
- 60

61 Key words

62 Agrin, albumin, aminotransferases, biomarkers, coronary heart disease, muscle, sarcopenia,

- 63 transthyretin
- 64
- 65

66 **1. Introduction**

67 Between 1990 and 2019, coronary heart disease (CHD)-related mortality declined at a greater rate (61%) than CHD incidence (37%) (1). In the era of modern medical management, people 68 with a CHD diagnosis live for longer and many will require increased support to manage their 69 long-term health. An important component of healthy ageing is maintaining skeletal muscle 70 mass (SMM) (2, 3). This is particularly relevant in people with CHD where there is a higher 71 incidence of low SMM in people with CHD compared to age- and sex -matched adults (Nichols 72 et al., 2019). Emerging research in people with CHD, shows that low SMM increases the risk 73 of all-cause mortality, fatal or non-fatal major adverse cardiovascular events, lower fitness 74 (peak oxygen uptake; VO_{2peak}) and poorer quality of life (4-8). However, factors that influence 75 loss of SMM in CHD are poorly defined. The delivery of successful interventions to improve 76 77 SMM, and subsequently long-term health, in these people requires that we have: (1) the ability to identify those at risk of low SMM early, and (2) a thorough understanding of the factors 78 influencing low SMM. For this purpose, circulatory biomarkers might be useful to complement 79 80 traditional measures of SMM and strength.

Maladaptive processes and behaviours that contribute to loss of SMM and/ or function are 81 complex. There is compelling evidence that these include neural maladaptation (9, 10), 82 83 inflammation (11, 12), and sub-optimal nutrition (13, 14). Biomarkers which appear to have a central role in these systems need investigating. C-terminal agrin fragment (CAF) is a 84 circulatory by-product of agrin cleavage by synaptic protease neurotrypsin (15), a process 85 86 which can lead to neuromuscular junction breakdown (16). In healthy older adults (17, 18) and people with heart failure (19), CAF levels are elevated in those with low, compared to with 87 88 normal, SMM. Thus, declining neural function might contribute to low SMM. However, it is 89 unclear whether these findings exist in older people with CHD. Albumin and transthyretin are acute-phase response proteins which might indicate inflammation-related nutrition risk (20). 90 In hospitalised people with CHD, albumin and transthyretin levels are lower in the presence of 91 92 sarcopenia (as defined by the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia) compared to those defined as non-sarcopenic (21). Whether albumin and transthyretin are associated with low SMM using 93 European cut-off points (22), in people with CHD, requires clarification. Finally, alanine 94 95 (ALT) and aspartate (AST) aminotransferases are liver/skeletal muscle enzymes (23). Circulatory levels of ALT are elevated in people with type 2 diabetes (24) and metabolic 96 syndrome (25), but lower in the presence of age-related syndromes often characterised by 97 under-nutrition, including sarcopenia (26). The AST/ALT ratio is proposed to be higher in 98 those with sarcopenia compared to those without, although few studies have investigated this 99 to date (27, 28). 100

Associations between SMM and serum CAF (17-19), albumin, transthyretin (21), ALT and
AST (26-28) were reported in healthy older adults and people with chronic health conditions.
The present study aimed to investigate the association between DXA-estimated skeletal SMM,
and serum CAF, albumin, transthyretin, ALT and AST, in people with recently diagnosed
stable CHD. We hypothesised that people with CHD and low SMM will have higher CAF
levels and AST/ALT ratio and lower albumin and transthyretin levels, compared to people with
CHD and preserved SMM.

- 109 2. Materials and methods
- 110 **2.1 Study design and participants**

- Baseline serum blood samples and demographic characteristics used in this cross-sectional 111 study were collected as part of the Cardiovascular and cardiorespiratory Adaptations to Routine 112 Exercise-based Cardiac Rehabilitation (CARE CR) study (29). The CARE CR study protocol 113 was published in detail elsewhere (29). Briefly, clinically stable people with a primary 114 diagnosis of CHD (aged 30-85 years) were referred to the research team by nursing staff, within 115 two weeks of a cardiac event or procedure. Participants provided their written informed consent 116 to participate in the study. The CARE CR study was granted ethical approval by the Humber 117 Bridge NHS Research Ethics Committee- Yorkshire and the Humber (12/YH/0278). Ethical 118 approval for assay analysis of serum samples for biomarkers related to sarcopenia was provided 119 by the Northumbria University Health and Life Sciences Ethics Committee (20933). The main 120 findings from the CARE CR study on patient rehabilitation and cardiorespiratory fitness are 121
- 122 published elsewhere (4, 30).

123 **2.2 Body composition**

Body mass index (BMI; kg.m⁻²) was calculated using mass (kg) and stature (m). Waist and hip 124 circumferences (cm) were measured at one centimetre above the iliac crest and at the widest 125 aspect of the hips, respectively. Appendicular lean mass (ALM), defined as total lean mass in 126 both arms and legs (kg), was measured using dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA; Lunar iDXA 127 GE Healthcare Buckinghamshire, UK), as a proxy for SMM assessment. ALM is expressed as 128 skeletal muscle index (SMI; kg.m⁻²) and as a percentage of total body mass (appendicular 129 skeletal mass; ASM%). Age-adjusted SMI and ASM% were moderately correlated (r = 0.507, 130 P < 0.001). We defined low SMI as <7.0 and <6.0 kg.m⁻² (22) and low ASM% as <25.72 and 131 <19.43% (31) for men and women, respectively. 132

133 2.3 Maximal cardiopulmonary exercise test

Cardiopulmonary exercise testing was performed using the modified Bruce treadmill protocol (32), as previously described (4, 29). A 12-lead ECG, ECG-gated automated blood pressure, heart rate, and rate of perceived exertion were monitored throughout. Breath-by-breath metabolic gas exchange data were collected using an Oxycon Pro metabolic cart (Jaeger, Hoechburg, Germany). We report $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ (ml), defined as the mean $\dot{V}O_2$ over the last 30 s of the test; $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ was adjusted for body mass (ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹) (4).

140

141 **2.4 Blood sampling and analysis**

142 Participants abstained from strenuous exercise 24-hours prior to attending their baseline study visit. Resting blood samples were drawn by venepuncture and placed in a refrigerated (4°C) 143 centrifuge at 3000 revolutions per minute, for 15 minutes, Albumin, aminotransferases and N-144 terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) were analysed at the Hull Royal Infirmary 145 in an accredited biochemistry laboratory, as a single measurement on the day of each blood 146 draw. Calibration and quality controls were conducted in accordance with manufacturer's 147 guidelines. The ABX Pentra 400 biochemistry auto analyser (Horiba, Montpellier, France) was 148 used to analyse high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) in duplicate, in accordance with 149 the manufacturer's quality control guidance (4). Remaining plasma and serum samples were 150 stored at -80°C until analysis. 151

We analysed serum samples in duplicate using commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for CAF (Abcam #ab216945) and transthyretin (Abcam #ab108895) and followed their standard instructions for serum analysis. Concentrations of transthyretin and CAF were assessed in duplicate and the average of the two measures reported. We re-analysed samples with a coefficient of variation (CV) >40% and when biomarker concentrations were not within the limits of the standard curve. The CV for the assay analyses of transthyretin and 158 CAF were 7.9 and 5.1%, respectively. Routine health-related serum biomarkers evaluated as
159 part of the CARE CR study are reported elsewhere, including NT-proBNP, hs-CRP, glucose,
160 white cell count, total cholesterol, low-density and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol,
161 estimated glomerular filtration rate and triglycerides (4, 30).

- 162 Normal adult reference values for circulatory markers of interest are:
- Albumin: 35 to 50 g/L (33).
- Transthyretin: 30 to 33 mg/dL and 25 to 27 mg/dL in males and females, respectively (34).
- ALT: 9.0 to 59.0 U/L and 7.8 to 41.0 U/L in males and females, respectively (35).
- AST: 11.0 to 34.0 U/L (35)
- CAF: 0.86 to 4.66 ng/ml (17).
- 169

170 **2.5 Statistical Analysis**

171 Statistical analyses were performed by a single researcher using commercially available software (SPSS version 28, IBM, New York, NY, USA). Distribution of the data was assessed 172 using visual inspection of histograms, QQ-plots and using the Kolmogorov Smirnov test. 173 174 Categorical variables are reported as frequency with percentage. Continuous normally distributed variables are reported as mean \pm standard deviation. Continuous non-normally 175 distributed variables are reported as median with interquartile range, or median with range 176 where the sample size is ≤ 3 people. Demographic characteristics are reported for the whole 177 cohort and separately for people with normal or low MM (defined as low SMI or low ASM%). 178 Differences in demographic characteristics between the two groups were assessed using the 179 Fisher's exact test (categorical variables), a Student's t-test (continuous normally distributed), 180 or Mann- Whitney U test (continuous non-normally distributed). Two-group comparison of 181 blood biomarkers between people with normal or low SMM were evaluated using Mann-182 Whitney U tests and reported as U statistics, P-values, and effect sizes, calculated using the 183 184 following equation (36):

185
$$r = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Absolute r values of 0.2, 0.5 and 0.8 are considered small, moderate and large effect sizes, 186 respectively (37). The relationship between serum biomarker concentrations, SMI and ASM% 187 188 were calculated using Spearman's rank correlations. It is well-established that age and inflammation influence SMM and some serum biomarkers; people with CHD and low SMM 189 are significantly older than those with normal SMM (38), whilst albumin and transthyretin 190 concentrations decrease in the presence of inflammation (39). Accordingly, we also report non-191 parametric partial correlations adjusted for age and circulatory hs-CRP concentrations, both 192 separately and together. An r value of <0.3, 0.3 - 0.5, 0.6 - 0.8, and >0.8 indicated a poor, fair, 193 moderately strong and very strong associations, respectively (40). Scatterplots of associations 194 between SMI and circulatory markers were plotted with linear regression lines. Where a marker 195 was associated with SMI or ASM% or had a significant effect size for low and normal SMM 196 197 groups, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to investigate the sensitivity and specificity of predicting low SMM as the dichotomous 'state variable'. We report the area 198 under the curve (AUC) with 95% confidence interval (CI) and P-values. The AUC value was 199 interpreted as follows: perfect (1.0), excellent (0.9-0.99), good (0.8-0.89), fair (0.7-0.79), poor 200 (0.51-0.69), and no value (0.5) (41). The biomarker concentration cut-off points for prediction 201 of low SMM were selected based on the highest combination of sensitivity and specificity 202

- values. We plotted ROC curves and determined biomarker cut-off points for the whole cohort
- and then separately for men only. Due to a small sample, ROC curves could not be plotted for
- 205 women only.
- 206 Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

207 **3. Results**

Sixty-four people were included (63.4 ± 9.8 years; 12.5% female). Participant characteristics,

- presenting diagnosis, comorbidities, and medications, are reported in Table 1. Low ASM% and low SMI were identified in 14.1% (n = 9) and 12.5% (n = 8) of people, respectively. Three
- 211 people had both low ASM% and low SMI (4.7%) and 14 had either low ASM% or low SMI
- 212 (21.9%).
- 213 [Insert Table 1]

Circulatory biomarker concentrations are reported in Table 2. The distribution of biomarker 214 215 concentrations compared to normal reference values (section 2.4) were as follows: albumin, 92.2% (n = 59) within, 6.3% (n = 4) lower than and 1.6% (n = 1) higher than the normal range; 216 transthyretin, 6.3% (n = 4) within, 34.4% (n = 22) lower than and 59.4% (n = 38) higher than 217 218 the normal range; ALT, 90.6% (n = 58) within and 9.4% (n = 6) higher than the normal range; AST, 78.1% (n = 50) within and 21.9% (n = 14) higher than the normal range; and CAF, 78.1% 219 (n = 50) within and 21.9% (n = 14) higher than the normal range. There were small to moderate 220 effect sizes for lower serum transthyretin (effect size 0.34; 29.66 mg/dL versus 37.87 mg/dL, 221 P = 0.007), ALT (effect size 0.34; 20.00 U/L versus 31.00 U/L, P = 0.008) and AST (effect 222 size 0.26; 22.25 U/L versus 27.00 U/L, P = 0.037) levels in people with low SMM compared 223 224 to those with normal SMM.

- Correlations between circulatory biomarkers, SMI and ASM% are reported in Table 3. Figure shows correlations between SMI and circulatory biomarkers. SMI was associated with hs-CRP -corrected serum ALT levels (r = 0.261, P = 0.039) and with hs-CRP and age -corrected AST/ALT ratio (r = -0.257, P = 0.044). In men, after correction for hs-CRP levels and age, SMI was associated with AST (r = -0.279, P = 0.041) and the AST/ALT ratio (r = -0.281, P = 0.040). In women, after correction for hs-CRP levels and age, transthyretin was negatively associated with ASM% (r = -0.889, P = 0.018).
- 232 [Insert Table 2]
- 233 [Insert Table 3]
- 234 [Insert Figure 1]

235 **3.1 ROC curve analysis**

The prognostic value of transthyretin, ALT, AST, and the AST/ALT ratio for identification of 236 low SMM was assessed using ROC curve analysis. Including all participants, transthyretin 237 (AUC 0.739, 95% CI 0.601, 0.876, P = 0.007) and ALT (AUC 0.731, 95% CI 0.576, 0.887, P 238 239 = 0.009) had the greatest predictive capacity to identify low SMM. The AUC for AST level was 0.684 (95% CI 0.516, 0.851, P = 0.037) and non-significant for the AST/ALT ratio (AUC 240 0.636, 95% CI 0.482, 0.790, P = 0.123). The optimal cut-off points to identify low SMM were: 241 242 a transthyretin value of <37.7654 mg/dl (sensitivity 0.857, specificity 0.520), an ALT value of \leq 25.00 U/L (sensitivity 0.857, specificity 0.620), and an AST value of \leq 24.50 U/L (sensitivity 243 0.714, specificity 0.620). 244

- and the AST/ALT ratio (AUC 0.693, 95% CI 0.538, 0.847, P = 0.014). The AUC for AST level
- was non-significant (AUC 0.560, 95% CI 0.357, 0.764, P = 0.562). In men, the optimal cut-off
- points to identify low SMM where: a transthyretin value of ≤ 30.3284 mg/dl (sensitivity 0.778,
- specificity 0.723), an ALT value of \leq 25.00 U/L (sensitivity 0.889, specificity 0.660), and an
- 251 AST/ALT ratio of ≥ 0.9347 (sensitivity 0.778, specificity 0.553).
- 252

253 **4.0 Discussion**

This study aimed to report the association between DXA-estimated SMM and serum albumin, transthyretin, ALT, AST and CAF in people with CHD. People with low SMM had lower serum transthyretin, AST and ALT levels compared to those with normal SMM, with small to moderate effect sizes. SMI was positively associated with ALT level and negatively associated with the AST/ALT ratio. We found no associations between albumin or CAF levels with any SMM index.

More than one-fifth of people had low SMM. Similarly, others report a prevalence of 25-30% 260 for low SMM in people with CHD (5, 7, 42). Comparatively fewer (12%) apparently healthy, 261 community-dwelling, older adults have low SMM (43). In the current study, presence of 262 comorbidities associated with SMM loss, such as cancer (44) and COPD (45), likely 263 contributed to the higher prevalence of low SMM. Importantly, in a previous CARE CR 264 publication, ASM% was inversely associated with estimated all-cause mortality risk (r = 265 -0.365, P = 0.006) in people with CHD (4). Thus, interventions to prevent or reverse low SMM 266 should be offered to these people. To support the design and implementation of successful 267 interventions, accurate and readily available methods to assess or monitor changes in SMM are 268 269 needed.

270 **4.1 Albumin**

Albumin is a marker of inflammation-related nutritional risk (20). In agreement with previous 271 studies involving people with liver cirrhosis (46), end-stage renal disease (47) and heart failure 272 273 (48), we found no association between albumin levels and SMM indices in people with CHD. Interestingly, others report both lower (49-51) and or higher (52) albumin concentrations in 274 older adults with low SMM, compared to those with preserved SMM. The use of albumin levels 275 276 to infer protein energy malnutrition was previously commonplace in clinical practice (53). Given that lean mass reflects the somatic protein store, the assumption followed that albumin 277 might be useful as a marker of lean mass. However, the use of albumin as a biomarker of 278 279 malnutrition or body composition has not been without criticism (20, 54). The literature lacks consensus on the existence and/ or direction of the association between albumin and SMM-280 related variables (46-49, 51, 52), likely due to the role of albumin as an acute-phase response 281 282 protein.

283 The inflammation-induced reduction in albumin concentration is underpinned by: decreased albumin synthesis during stress response to prioritise synthesis of essential proteins, increased 284 capillary permeability prompting a shift of albumin from the intravascular to the interstitial 285 space, and a shortened albumin half-life resulting from tissue catabolism (20). In older adults, 286 serum albumin is inversely associated with common inflammatory cytokine, CRP (55). We 287 found no difference in hs-CRP between people with normal or low SMM (table 2). This could 288 289 explain the similar albumin levels between groups. Additionally, Chen et al. (2022) speculated that sex-specific hormones levels might also impact the association between SMM and albumin 290

- levels, after finding these variables to be positively associated in men and negatively associated
- in women. However, our study included a small sample of women, and we were unable to
- 293 investigate this hypothesis.

294 4.2 Transthyretin

295 Transthyretin levels were significantly lower in people with low versus normal SMM. Similar to albumin, transthyretin is a marker of inflammation-related nutritional risk (20), a key 296 component of malnutrition related to acute or chronic disease (56). Amino acid availability, 297 298 from dietary protein intake, was proposed to mediate the relationship between transthyretin and lean mass (34). This is because amino acid ingestion promotes lean tissue accretion (57) and 299 also modulates transthyretin synthesis in the liver (58). A strong, positive association (r = 0.58) 300 between transthyretin levels and SMI was previously reported in people at a geriatric outpatient 301 hospital (51). Around 40% of people in the study by Sergi and colleagues (51) were 302 underweight (BMI <20 kg.m⁻²). Poorer nutritional status likely contributed to a more 303 pronounced inflammatory environment and lean mass loss in this study (51), potentially 304 explaining the strong association between transthyretin and SMI, compared to a non-significant 305 association in the present study (r = 0.246, P = 0.05). Nevertheless, our detection of 306 significantly lower transthyretin levels in people with low compared to normal SMM is a 307 308 promising finding, as it become increasingly apparent that transthyretin assessment might have clinical utility as part of a comprehensive medical evaluation (59). 309

310 4.3 Aminotransferases

311 Assessment of liver enzymes ALT and AST is routine in clinical practice (60). As a catalyst in the alanine -glucose cycle, ALT converts pyruvate to amino acid alanine in skeletal muscle and 312 converts alanine back to pyruvate (for glucose production) in the liver (61, 62). A similar cycle 313 is catalysed by AST, where the amino acid and product are aspartate and oxaloacetate, 314 315 respectively (62). Circulatory levels of ALT and AST are elevated in Type 2 diabetes (24) and metabolic syndrome (25), conditions characterised by insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis. 316 We, and others, demonstrate that ALT levels appear to be lower in the presence of low SMM 317 (26, 50). Contrastingly, in a cross-section of >12,000 adults without liver-related disorders, 318 ALT levels were elevated in those with low SMM compared to normal SMM (63). The 319 direction of the relationship between AST and SMM is similarly contested. We found lower 320 AST concentrations in people with low SMM compared to normal SMM. Others report that 321 low SMM coincided with higher AST concentrations in people with (64, 65) and without (63) 322 liver disease. 323

Multiple factors likely influence the inconsistency in these findings. First, damaged liver cells 324 release ALT and AST into circulation, explaining their higher serum concentrations in people 325 with liver disorders (66). Secondly, participants with low SMM in the study by Yoo and 326 colleagues (63) were more often obese with higher fasting blood glucose and insulin levels 327 compared to the normal SMM group, consistent with the theory that aminotransferase levels 328 are elevated in the presence of higher metabolic risk. In the present study, people with reduced 329 SMM had higher average body fat and comparable BMI to people with normal SMM. It could 330 331 be speculated that differences in intra-abdominal and intra-hepatic steatosis, together with diet quality/alcohol consumption might have influenced aminotransferase concentrations. 332

Additionally, both ALT and AST require vitamin B_6 as a cofactor, meaning that vitamin B_6 deficiency might contribute to low circulatory ALT and AST (67). Furthermore, vitamin B_6 is mostly stored in striated muscle (68); thus, where lean mass is reduced a smaller pool of vitamin B_6 is available to act as a cofactor for AST and ALT. An estimated 31 and 24% of communitydwelling men and women (≥ 65 years) are at risk of inadequate vitamin B_6 dietary intake (69). Although not assessed in this study, addressing any dietary deficiencies in people with CHDand low SMM should be prioritised.

340 4.4 C-terminal agrin fragment

Studies involving older adults (17, 70, 71), people with lung disease (71, 72) and with heart 341 failure (19, 72) have reported an association between high circulatory CAF levels and low 342 SMM. This association is proposed to originate from degeneration of the neuromuscular 343 junction with ageing. Agrin is cleaved by neurotrypsin during normal neural development (15). 344 345 Excessive agrin cleavage from over-expression of neurotrypsin causes agrin to become deactivated and the neuromuscular junction to break down (16). The product of this breakdown, 346 CAF, is released into the circulation (73). However, the effect of degeneration and remodelling 347 of the neuromuscular junction on SMM loss is debated, with polarising studies arguing that 348 this process contributes to (74) or is protective against (75) muscle atrophy. 349

We found no association between CAF levels and SMM indices in people with CHD. Others 350 have reported similar non-significant findings when assessing possible associations between 351 CAF and presence of frailty in people with CHD, although an assessment of SMM was not 352 included in their definition of frailty (76). Sánchez-Castellano, Martín-Aragón (77) found no 353 difference in CAF levels between low and normal SMM groups with hip fracture and suggested 354 355 that elevated CAF levels in both groups indicated neuromuscular degeneration was present in both. In contrast, median CAF values in the low and normal SMM groups were within the 356 357 normal limits in the present study (0.86 to 4.66 ng/ml; 17), suggesting that circulatory CAF has 358 limited utility as biomarker for low SMM in this cohort.

359 **4.5 Strengths and limitations**

We assessed, in a secondary analysis, multiple proposed biomarkers for low SMM in people with CHD, contributing to our understanding of the factors influencing this complex and underresearched pathology. We included assessment of four biomarkers which are already commonly assessed in clinical practice (albumin, transthyretin, ALT and AST), aiding the potential transition of our findings into practice.

This study is potentially limited by our use of DXA-derived lean mass to estimate SMM. DXA 365 assessment is the current reference standard, but is limited by the production of variability 366 related to different devices and software versions (78) and the absence of a universally agreed 367 cut-off point for low SMM (79). Furthermore, DXA derived lean mass can be interpreted in 368 several ways (i.e., corrected for stature, body mass or body fat percentage), which often 369 produce conflicting findings when analysed in relation to circulatory biomarkers. This might 370 limit the comparability of our findings with other, similar research. Finally, we included a small 371 372 sample of women and there was no assessment of muscle strength or function.

373 **4.6 Future research**

Future research should evaluate the association between albumin, transthyretin, aminotransferases, CAF and measures of muscular strength alongside SMM. Whether these markers change with targeted lifestyle interventions also requires investigation. Additionally, there appears to be sex differences in median biomarker concentrations and their correlations with SMM indices, although our small sample of women limits the certainty of this finding. Future research might further investigate sex differences in SMM biomarkers in people with CHD.

381 **4.7 Conclusion**

- This study aimed to identify associations between SMM indices and circulatory biomarkers in people with CHD. Lower levels of serum transthyretin, AST and ALT were present in people with CHD and low SMM, compared to those with normal SMM. To assist with practical application, we also identified the cut-off points below which transthyretin, ALT and AST indicate high likelihood of low SMM. We found no association between albumin, CAF and SMM indices, suggesting that these markers have limited utility as markers for low SMM in this cohort.
- 389

390 Abbreviations

- 391 ALT = alanine aminotransferase
- 392 ASM% = appendicular skeletal muscle
- 393 AST = aspartate aminotransferase
- CAF = C-terminal agrin fragment
- 395 CHD = coronary heart disease
- 396 DXA = dual X-ray absorptiometry
- 397 Hs-CRP = high sensitivity C-reactive protein
- 398 SMI = skeletal muscle index
- 399 SMM = skeletal muscle mass
- 400

401 **Figure captions**

- 402 Figure 1. Correlations between skeletal muscle index and circulatory (A) albumin, (B)
- 403 transthyretin, (C) AST/ALT ratio, (D) ALT, (E) AST, and (F) C-terminal agrin fragment, in
- 404 people with coronary heart disease (n = 64). ALT = alanine aminotransferase, AST =
- 405 aspartate aminotransferase
- 406

407 Acknowledgements

- We would like to thank Hull and East Riding Cardiac Trust Fund and Northumbria University
 for providing financial support enabling blood sample analysis. We extend our gratitude to
 Wendy Summer, Lesley Richardson, and Emma Smith for their support recruiting people to
- this study. We would also like to thank Julie Davis, Joan Weston and Stella Rimmer for the
- 412 support they provided during data collection.

413 Funding

- 414 Financial support for blood sample analysis was provided by Hull and East Riding Cardiac
- 415 Trust Fund (Hull, East Yorkshire, UK) and Northumbria University (Newcastle upon Tyne,
- 416 UK).

417 **Conflicts of interest**

- 418 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or
- 419 financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

421 **References**

Vancheri F, Tate AR, Henein M, Backlund L, Donfrancesco C, Palmieri L, et al. Time trends in
 ischaemic heart disease incidence and mortality over three decades (1990-2019) in 20 Western
 European countries: systematic analysis of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Eur J Prev
 Cardiol. 2022;29(2):396-403.

Wang DX, Yao J, Zirek Y, Reijnierse EM, Maier AB. Muscle mass, strength, and physical
performance predicting activities of daily living: a meta-analysis. Journal of cachexia, sarcopenia and
muscle. 2020;11(1):3-25.

429 3. Landi F, Calvani R, Picca A, Tosato M, Bernabei R, Marzetti E. Emerging research on
430 importance of muscle mass and function. Journal of Gerontology and Geriatrics. 2019;67:26-31.

431 4. Nichols S, O'doherty AF, Taylor C, Clark AL, Carroll S, Ingle L. Low skeletal muscle mass is
432 associated with low aerobic capacity and increased mortality risk in patients with coronary heart
433 disease–a CARE CR study. Clinical physiology and functional imaging. 2019;39(1):93-102.

Sato R, Akiyama E, Konishi M, Matsuzawa Y, Suzuki H, Kawashima C, et al. Decreased
appendicular skeletal muscle mass is associated with poor outcomes after ST-segment elevation
myocardial infarction. Journal of Atherosclerosis and Thrombosis. 2020:52282.

437 6. Xue Q, Wu J, Ren Y, Hu J, Yang K, Cao J. Sarcopenia predicts adverse outcomes in an elderly
438 population with coronary artery disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC geriatrics.
439 2021;21(1):493-.

Kang DO, Park SY, Choi BG, Na JO, Choi CU, Kim EJ, et al. Prognostic impact of low skeletal
muscle mass on major adverse cardiovascular events in coronary artery disease: a propensity scorematched analysis of a single center all-comer cohort. Journal of clinical medicine. 2019;8(5):712.

443 8. van Venrooij LMW, Verberne HJ, de Vos R, Borgmeijer-Hoelen MMMJ, van Leeuwen PAM,
444 de Mol BAJM. Postoperative loss of skeletal muscle mass, complications and quality of life in patients
445 undergoing cardiac surgery. Nutrition. 2012;28(1):40-5.

446 9. Aagaard P, Suetta C, Caserotti P, Magnusson SP, Kjær M. Role of the nervous system in
447 sarcopenia and muscle atrophy with aging: strength training as a countermeasure. Scandinavian
448 journal of medicine & science in sports. 2010;20(1):49-64.

449 10. Kwon YN, Yoon SS. Sarcopenia: neurological point of view. Journal of bone metabolism.
450 2017;24(2):83-9.

451 11. Jimenez-Gutierrez GE, Martínez-Gómez LE, Martínez-Armenta C, Pineda C, Martínez-Nava
452 GA, Lopez-Reyes A. Molecular Mechanisms of Inflammation in Sarcopenia: Diagnosis and
453 Therapeutic Update. Cells. 2022;11(15):2359.

454 12. Dalle S, Rossmeislova L, Koppo K. The role of inflammation in age-related sarcopenia.
455 Frontiers in physiology. 2017;8:1045.

456 13. Abiri B, Vafa M. The role of nutrition in attenuating age-related skeletal muscle atrophy.
457 Reviews on New Drug Targets in Age-Related Disorders. 2020:297-318.

- 458 14. Zhang J, Yu Y, Wang J. Protein nutritional support: the classical and potential new
 459 mechanisms in the prevention and therapy of sarcopenia. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry.
 460 2020;68(14):4098-108.
- 461 15. Reif R, Sales S, Hettwer S, Dreier B, Gisler C, Wölfel J, et al. Specific cleavage of agrin by
 462 neurotrypsin, a synaptic protease linked to mental retardation. The FASEB Journal.

463 2007;21(13):3468-78.

464 16. Bolliger MF, Zurlinden A, Lüscher D, Bütikofer L, Shakhova O, Francolini M, et al. Specific
465 proteolytic cleavage of agrin regulates maturation of the neuromuscular junction. Journal of Cell
466 Science. 2010;123(22):3944-55.

467 17. Hettwer S, Dahinden P, Kucsera S, Farina C, Ahmed S, Fariello R, et al. Elevated levels of a C-

468 terminal agrin fragment identifies a new subset of sarcopenia patients. Experimental gerontology.469 2013;48(1):69-75.

470 18. Landi F, Calvani R, Lorenzi M, Martone AM, Tosato M, Drey M, et al. Serum levels of C-471 terminal agrin fragment (CAF) are associated with sarcopenia in older multimorbid community-472 dwellers: results from the ilSIRENTE study. Experimental gerontology. 2016;79:31-6. 473 19. Steinbeck L, Ebner N, Valentova M, Bekfani T, Elsner S, Dahinden P, et al. Detection of 474 muscle wasting in patients with chronic heart failure using C-terminal agrin fragment: results from 475 the Studies Investigating Co-morbidities Aggravating Heart Failure (SICA-HF). Eur J Heart Fail. 476 2015;17(12):1283-93. 477 Evans DC, Corkins MR, Malone A, Miller S, Mogensen KM, Guenter P, et al. The use of 20. 478 visceral proteins as nutrition markers: an ASPEN position paper. Nutrition in Clinical Practice. 479 2021;36(1):22-8. 480 21. Zhang N, Zhu WL, Liu XH, Chen W, Zhu ML, Sun XH, et al. [Related factors of sarcopenia in 481 hospitalized elderly patients with coronary heart disease]. Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi. 482 2019;47(12):979-84. 483 Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, Boirie Y, Bruyère O, Cederholm T, et al. Sarcopenia: revised 22. 484 European consensus on definition and diagnosis. Age Ageing. 2019;48(1):16-31. 485 23. Kalas MA, Chavez L, Leon M, Taweesedt PT, Surani S. Abnormal liver enzymes: A review for 486 clinicians. World journal of hepatology. 2021;13(11):1688-98. 487 24. Ko S-H, Baeg MK, Han K-D, Ko S-H, Ahn Y-B. Increased liver markers are associated with 488 higher risk of type 2 diabetes. World J Gastroenterol. 2015;21(24):7478-87. 489 Hanley AJG, Williams K, Festa A, Wagenknecht LE, D'Agostino RB, Jr., Haffner SM. Liver 25. 490 Markers and Development of the Metabolic Syndrome: The Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. 491 Diabetes. 2005;54(11):3140-7. 492 26. Vespasiani-Gentilucci U, De Vincentis A, Ferrucci L, Bandinelli S, Antonelli Incalzi R, Picardi A. 493 Low Alanine Aminotransferase Levels in the Elderly Population: Frailty, Disability, Sarcopenia, and 494 Reduced Survival. The journals of gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences. 495 2018;73(7):925-30. 496 He Y, Ding F, Yin M, Zhang H, Hou L, Cui T, et al. High Serum AST/ALT Ratio and Low Serum 27. 497 INS*PA Product Are Risk Factors and Can Diagnose Sarcopenia in Middle-Aged and Older Adults. 498 Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2022;13:843610. 499 Yin M, Zhang H, Liu Q, Ding F, Deng Y, Hou L, et al. Diagnostic Performance of Clinical 28. Laboratory Indicators With Sarcopenia: Results From the West China Health and Aging Trend Study. 500 501 Frontiers in Endocrinology. 2021;12. 502 Nichols S, Nation F, Goodman T, Clark AL, Carroll S, Ingle L. CARE CR-Cardiovascular and 29. 503 cardiorespiratory Adaptations to Routine Exercise-based Cardiac Rehabilitation: a study protocol for 504 a community-based controlled study with criterion methods. BMJ open. 2018;8(1):e019216. 505 30. Nichols S, Taylor C, Goodman T, Page R, Kallvikbacka-Bennett A, Nation F, et al. Routine 506 exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation does not increase aerobic fitness: A CARE CR study. 507 International journal of cardiology. 2020;305:25-34. 31. 508 Levine ME, Crimmins EM. The impact of insulin resistance and inflammation on the 509 association between sarcopenic obesity and physical functioning. Obesity. 2012;20(10):2101-6. 510 32. Bruce RA, Kusumi F, Hosmer D. Maximal oxygen intake and nomographic assessment of 511 functional aerobic impairment in cardiovascular disease. American Heart Journal. 1973;85(4):546-62. 512 33. Busher J. Serum albumin and globulin. In: Walker HK, Hall WD, Hurst JW, editors. Clinical 513 methods: the history, physical, and laboratory examinations 514 3rd ed. Boston: Butterworths; 1990. 515 34. Ingenbleek Y, Bernstein LH. Plasma transthyretin as a biomarker of lean body mass and 516 catabolic states. Advances in Nutrition. 2015;6(5):572-80. 517 35. Ceriotti F, Henny J, Queraltó J, Ziyu S, Özarda Y, Chen B, et al. Common reference intervals 518 for aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and y-glutamyl transferase

- 519 (GGT) in serum: results from an IFCC multicenter study. Clinical chemistry and laboratory medicine.
- 520 2010;48(11):1593-601.

521 36. Tomczak M, Tomczak E. The need to report effect size estimates revisited. An overview of 522 some recommended measures of effect size. Trends in Sport Sciences. 2014;21(1). 523 37. Coolican H. Research methods and statistics in psychology: Psychology Press; 2017. 524 38. Nichols S, O'Doherty A, Carroll S, Ingle L. Influence of appendicular skeletal muscle mass on 525 resting metabolic equivalents in patients with cardiovascular disease: Implications for exercise 526 training and prescription. European Journal of Preventive Cardiology. 2020;27(9):1001-3. 527 39. Epstein FH. Acute-phase proteins and other systemic responses to inflammation. 528 Complement. 1999;3(C4):C9. 529 40. Chan Y. Biostatistics 104: correlational analysis. Singapore Med J. 2003;44(12):614-9. 530 41. Carter JV, Pan J, Rai SN, Galandiuk S. ROC-ing along: Evaluation and interpretation of 531 receiver operating characteristic curves. Surgery. 2016;159(6):1638-45. 532 42. Harada H, Kai H, Niiyama H, Nishiyama Y, Katoh A, Yoshida N, et al. Effectiveness of cardiac 533 rehabilitation for prevention and treatment of sarcopenia in patients with cardiovascular disease-a 534 retrospective cross-sectional analysis. The journal of nutrition, health & aging. 2017;21(4):449-56. 535 43. Peng LN, Lee WJ, Liu LK, Lin MH, Chen LK. Healthy community-living older men differ from 536 women in associations between myostatin levels and skeletal muscle mass. Journal of cachexia, 537 sarcopenia and muscle. 2018;9(4):635-42. 538 44. Rausch V, Sala V, Penna F, Porporato PE, Ghigo A. Understanding the common mechanisms 539 of heart and skeletal muscle wasting in cancer cachexia. Oncogenesis. 2021;10(1):1. 540 45. Barreiro E, Jaitovich A. Muscle atrophy in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: molecular 541 basis and potential therapeutic targets. J Thorac Dis. 2018;10(Suppl 12):S1415-s24. 542 46. Zhao M, Zhou X, Yuan C, Li R, Ma Y, Tang X. Association between serum irisin concentrations 543 and sarcopenia in patients with liver cirrhosis: a cross-sectional study. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):16093. 544 47. Dong J, Li Yj, Lu Xh, Gan Hp, Zuo L, Wang Hy. Correlations of lean body mass with nutritional 545 indicators and mortality in patients on peritoneal dialysis. Kidney International. 2008;73(3):334-40. 546 Prenner SB, Pillutla R, Yenigalla S, Gaddam S, Lee J, Obeid MJ, et al. Serum Albumin Is a 48. 547 Marker of Myocardial Fibrosis, Adverse Pulsatile Aortic Hemodynamics, and Prognosis in Heart 548 Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. J Am Heart Assoc. 2020;9(3):e014716. 549 49. Baumgartner RN, Koehler KM, Romero L, Garry PJ. Serum albumin is associated with skeletal 550 muscle in elderly men and women. Am J Clin Nutr. 1996;64(4):552-8. 551 Portal D, Hofstetter L, Eshed I, Dan-Lantsman C, Sella T, Urban D, et al. L3 skeletal muscle 50. 552 index (L3SMI) is a surrogate marker of sarcopenia and frailty in non-small cell lung cancer patients. 553 Cancer Manag Res. 2019;11:2579-88. 51. 554 Sergi G, Coin A, Enzi G, Volpato S, Inelmen EM, Buttarello M, et al. Role of visceral proteins in 555 detecting malnutrition in the elderly. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2006;60(2):203-9. 556 Tachi Y, Kozuka A, Hirai T, Ishizu Y, Honda T, Kuzuya T, et al. Impact of myosteatosis on 52. 557 skeletal muscle volume loss in patients with chronic liver disease. Journal of Gastroenterology and 558 Hepatology. 2018;33(9):1659-66. 559 53. Spiekeman AM. Nutritional assessment (protein nutriture). Analytical chemistry. 560 1995;67(12):429-36. 561 54. Bouillanne O, Hay P, Liabaud B, Duché C, Cynober L, Aussel C. Evidence that albumin is not a 562 suitable marker of body composition-related nutritional status in elderly patients. Nutrition. 563 2011;27(2):165-9. 564 55. Sullivan DH, Johnson LE, Dennis RA, Roberson PK, Heif M, Garner KK, et al. The 565 Interrelationships among albumin, nutrient intake, and inflammation in elderly recuperative care 566 patients. J Nutr Health Aging. 2011;15(4):311-5. 567 56. White JV, Guenter P, Jensen G, Malone A, Schofield M. Consensus Statement of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics/American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition: 568 569 Characteristics Recommended for the Identification and Documentation of Adult Malnutrition 570 (Undernutrition). Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. 2012;112(5):730-8.

571 57. Pennings B, Groen B, de Lange A, Gijsen AP, Zorenc AH, Senden JMG, et al. Amino acid 572 absorption and subsequent muscle protein accretion following graded intakes of whey protein in

elderly men. American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2012;302(8):E992-E9.
de Jong FA, Schreiber G. Messenger RNA levels of plasma proteins in rat liver during protein
depletion and refeeding. The Journal of nutrition. 1987;117(10):1795-800.

576 59. Ranasinghe RN, Biswas M, Vincent RP. Prealbumin: the clinical utility and analytical 577 methodologies. Annals of Clinical Biochemistry. 2022;59(1):7-14.

578 60. Kwo PY, Cohen SM, Lim JK. ACG clinical guideline: evaluation of abnormal liver chemistries. 579 Official journal of the American College of Gastroenterology | ACG. 2017;112(1):18-35.

580 61. Felig P. The glucose-alanine cycle. Metabolism. 1973;22(2):179-207.

581 62. McGill MR. The past and present of serum aminotransferases and the future of liver injury
582 biomarkers. EXCLI J. 2016;15:817-28.

583 63. Yoo KD, Jun DW, Lee KN, Lee HL, Lee OY, Yoon BC, et al. Sarcopenia is a risk factor for
584 elevated aminotransferase in men independently of body mass index, dietary habits, and physical
585 activity. Digestive and Liver Disease. 2015;47(4):303-8.

586 64. Mizuno N, Seko Y, Kataoka S, Okuda K, Furuta M, Takemura M, et al. Increase in the skeletal
587 muscle mass to body fat mass ratio predicts the decline in transaminase in patients with
588 nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Journal of Gastroenterology. 2019;54(2):160-70.

589 65. Takata R, Nishikawa H, Enomoto H, Iwata Y, Ishii A, Miyamoto Y, et al. Relationship between 590 skeletal muscle mass and liver fibrosis markers for patients with hepatitis C virus related liver 591 disease. Medicine. 2017;96(48).

592 66. Ramaiah SK. A toxicologist guide to the diagnostic interpretation of hepatic biochemical
593 parameters. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 2007;45(9):1551-7.

594 67. Vanderlinde RE. Review of pyridoxal phosphate and the transaminases in liver disease.
595 Annals of Clinical & Laboratory Science. 1986;16(2):79-93.

596 68. van den Berg H. Vitamin B6 status and requirements in older adults. British Journal of
597 Nutrition. 1999;81(3):175-6.

598 69. ter Borg S, Verlaan S, Hemsworth J, Mijnarends DM, Schols JM, Luiking YC, et al.

599 Micronutrient intakes and potential inadequacies of community-dwelling older adults: a systematic 600 review. Br J Nutr. 2015;113(8):1195-206.

60170.Drey M, Sieber C, Bauer J, Uter W, Dahinden P, Fariello R, et al. C-terminal Agrin Fragment as602a potential marker for sarcopenia caused by degeneration of the neuromuscular junction.

603 Experimental gerontology. 2013;48(1):76-80.

Qaisar R, Karim A, Muhammad T, Shah I. Circulating Biomarkers of Accelerated Sarcopenia in
 Respiratory Diseases. Biology (Basel). 2020;9(10).

60672.Qaisar R, Karim A, Muhammad T, Shah I, Khan J. Prediction of sarcopenia using a battery of607circulating biomarkers. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):8632.

508 73. Stephan A, Mateos JM, Kozlov SV, Cinelli P, Kistler AD, Hettwer S, et al. Neurotrypsin cleaves
agrin locally at the synapse. Faseb j. 2008;22(6):1861-73.

610 74. Rowan SL, Rygiel K, Purves-Smith FM, Solbak NM, Turnbull DM, Hepple RT. Denervation
611 Causes Fiber Atrophy and Myosin Heavy Chain Co-Expression in Senescent Skeletal Muscle. PLOS
612 ONE. 2012;7(1):e29082.

613 75. Willadt S, Nash M, Slater CR. Age-related fragmentation of the motor endplate is not
614 associated with impaired neuromuscular transmission in the mouse diaphragm. Scientific reports.
615 2016;6(1):1-8.

616 76. de Souza Ramos JTG, Ferrari FS, Andrade MF, de Melo CS, Boas PJFV, Costa NA, et al.

Association between frailty and C-terminal agrin fragment with 3-month mortality following ST elevation myocardial infarction. Experimental Gerontology. 2022;158:111658.

619 77. Sánchez-Castellano C, Martín-Aragón S, Bermejo-Bescós P, Vaquero-Pinto N, Miret-Corchado 620 C, Merello de Miguel A, et al. Biomarkers of sarcopenia in very old patients with hip fracture. Journal

621 of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle. 2020.

- 622 78. Buckinx F, Landi F, Cesari M, Fielding RA, Visser M, Engelke K, et al. Pitfalls in the
- measurement of muscle mass: a need for a reference standard. Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia andMuscle. 2018;9(2):269-78.
- 625 79. Lee K, Shin Y, Huh J, Sung YS, Lee I-S, Yoon K-H, et al. Recent Issues on Body Composition
- 626 Imaging for Sarcopenia Evaluation. kjr. 2018;20(2):205-17.

629	Table 1	Patient	baseline	characteristics
-----	---------	---------	----------	-----------------

Variable	Mean ± stan	dard deviation or Freq	uency (%)
	All people	Low SMM (n=14)	Normal SMM
	(n=64)	× /	(n=50)
Age (years)	63.4 ± 9.8	67.6 ± 10.6	62.2 ± 9.4
Female	8 (12.5)	5 (35.7)*	3 (6.0)
Body mass index (kg.m ⁻²)	28.9 ± 3.9	28.9 ± 5.5	28.9 ± 3.3
Body fat content (%)	36.1 ± 6.9	$41.9 \pm 8.6^{**}$	34.5 ± 5.4
Waist/ Hip circumferences ratio ^{a, b}	0.97 (0.93,	0.96 (0.86, 1.0)	0.97 (0.93, 1.0)
I	1.02)		
Appendicular lean mass (kg)	23.8 ± 4.6	$18.9 \pm 3.2^{**}$	25.2 ± 3.9
Skeletal muscle index $(kg.m^{-2})$	8.7 ± 1.7	$6.9 \pm 1.2^{**}$	9.2 ± 1.4
Appendicular skeletal mass (%) ^a	28.7 (26.2.	24.4 (22.0, 25.3)**	29.1 (27.9, 31.0)
	30.8)	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
$\dot{V}O_{2neak}$ (ml.kg ⁻¹ .min ⁻¹)	23.9 ± 6.0	$20.1 \pm 5.6^{*}$	24.6 ± 5.8
Left ventricular election fraction	55.1 ± 7.0	53.9 ± 8.7	55.5 ± 6.5
(%)			
N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic	172.0	357.0 (112.8.	138.0 (55.8.
peptide (NT-proBNP: pg.L ⁻¹) ^{a, b}	(64.7.	998.0)*	273.5)
r-r, r8 ,	344.0)		,
Presenting diagnosis			
ST-elevation MI (STEMI)	14 (21.9)	3 (21.4)	11 (22.0)
Non-ST-elevation MI (non-	21 (32.8)	4 (28.6)	17 (34.0)
STEMI)	(**)	()	
Elective percutaneous coronary	17 (26.6)	3 (21.4)	14 (28.0)
intervention (PCI)		- ()	
Coronary artery bypass graft	6 (9.4)	2 (14.3)	4 (8.0)
(CABG)			
Angina	6 (9.4)	2 (14.3)	4 (8.0)
Comorbidities			
Hypertension	30 (46.9)	8 (57.1)	22 (44.0)
Type 2 diabetes	12 (18.8)	3 (21.4)	9 (18.0)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary	3 (4.7)	2 (14.3)	1 (2.0)
disease (COPD)			
Hyperlipidaemia	43 (67.2)	9 (64.3)	34 (68.0)
Previous PCI	13 (20.3)	4 (28.5)	9 (18.0)
Previous MI	13 (20.3)	2 (14.2)	11 (22.0)
Previous CABG	5 (7.8)	2 (14.3)	3 (6.0)
Previous cardiac valve surgery	1 (1.6)	0	1 (2.0)
Previous transient ischemic attack	6 (9.4)	3 (21.4)	3 (6.0)
Cancer	10 (15.6)	5 (35.7)*	5 (10.0)
Medications			
Aspirin	62 (96.9)	13 (92.9)	49 (98.0)
Clopidogrel	19 (29.7)	6 (42.9)	13 (26.0)
Ticagrelor	32 (50.0)	4 (28.6)	28 (56.0)
Beta-blockers	57 (89.1)	12 (85.7)	45 (90.0)
Angiotensin converting enzyme	38 (59.4)	10 (71.4)	28 (56.0)
(ACE)-inhibitors	~ /		· · /
Statins	61 (95.3)	14 (100.0)	47 (94.0)

	Diuretics	7 (10.9)	3 (21.4)	4 (8.0)
	Nitrates (non-GTN)	15 (23.4)	2 (14.3)	13 (26.0)
	GTN spray	58 (90.6)	12 (85.7)	46 (92.0)
630	^a values are median (interquartile	e range), ^b n=63, G	GTN = glyceryl	trinitrate, MI = myocardial
631	infarction. *P <0.05 or **P <0.01	compared to norr	nal SMM grou	р.
632				

	All					Men					Women				
Biomarker	Low	Normal	U	ES	P-	Low	Normal	U	ES	P-	Low	Normal	U	ES	P-
	SMM	SMM			value	SMM	SMM			value	SMM	SMM			value
	(n = 14)	(n =				(n = 9)	(n =				(n = 5)	(n = 3)			
		50)					47)								
Albumin (g/L)	37.50	38.50	283.00	.14	.274	38.00	39.00	170.00	.12	.352	37.00	37.00	7.00	.05	.877
	(36.00,	(37.00,				(36.00,	(37.00,				(36.00,	(36.00,			
	39.25)	41.00)				39.50)	41.00)				40.00)	38.00)			
Transthyretin	29.66	37.87	183.00	.34	.007**	28.64	37.88	96.00	.34	.010*	32.07	24.34	7.00	.05	.881
(mg/dl)	(18.36,	(28.83,				(17.51,	(28.87,				(22.23,	(24.08,			
	34.08)	53.63)				34.96)	54.55)				35.28)	50.34)			
Alanine	20.00	31.00	188.00	.34	.008**	20.00	32.00	127.50	.25	.061	17.00	21.00	3.00	.47	.180
aminotransferase	(17.00,	(21.75,				(19.50,	(22.00,				(14.50,	(19.00,			
(U/L)	24.00)	41.25)				24.00)	42.00)				28.00)	24.00)			
Aspartate	22.25	27.00	221.50	.26	.037*	24.00	27.50	186.00	.08	.569	18.00	25.50	.00	.79	.025*
aminotransferase	(18.00,	(23.00,				(22.25,	(23.00,				(17.25,	(22.50,			
(U/L)	29.13)	34.75)				31.50)	35.50)				20.25)	27.00)			
AST/ALT	1.17	0.91	255.00	.19	.123	1.20	0.86	130.00	.25	.069	1.13	1.07	6.00	.16	.655
	(0.93,	(0.69,				(0.92,	(0.68,				(0.76,	(1.06,			
	1.25)	1.23)				1.31)	1.23)				1.24)	1.42)			
C-terminal agrin	3.89	3.67	335.00	.03	.808	4.15	3.63	206.00	.02	.902	3.74	4.83	1.00	.69	.053
fragment	(3.10,	(3.11,				(2.55,	(3.05,				(3.37,	(4.02,			
(ng/ml)	4.24)	4.48)				4.53)	4.36)				4.02)	5.25)			
Hs C-reactive	2.51	1.19	303.00	.10	.445	2.62	1.18	164.00	.14	.289	1.87	2.96	4.00	.37	.297
protein (mg/L) ^a	(0.42,	(0.50,				(0.58,	(0.48,				(0.32,	(2.17,			
	1 33)	3 41)				4 63)	3 41)				4 25)	8 86)			

Table 2 Circulatory biomarker concentrations in people with coronary heart disease with low or normal skeletal muscle mass (SMM). Values are median (range) where n = 3. All other values are median (interquartile range).

638 *P <0.05 or **P <0.01 compared to normal SMM group. AST/ALT = alanine aminotransferase/aspartate aminotransferase ratio, ES = effect 639 size, U = U statistic from Mann-Whitney U test. Low SMM was skeletal muscle index <7.0 and <6.0 kg.m⁻², or appendicular skeletal muscle <25.72% and <19.43%, for men and women, respectively. ^a values from a subset of people included in the present study were been reported
 elsewhere (4)

SMIASM%SMIASM%SMIASM%AlbuminSpearman's corr229.179.147.104.593.272(r)P-value.069.157.279.447.121.515Partial corr. (r) *.217.141.143.071.738.114P-value.087.271.297.607.058.807Partial corr. (r) *.082.151023.081.765*.198P-value.579.369.809.795.078.636Transhyretin034.036.763.248Spearman's corr246.132.208.048.048857*(r)022.911.007Partial corr. (r) *.237.101.204.002.030.8394P-value.050.297.124.725.911.007Partial corr. (r) *.213.120.142.033.075874P-value.094.349.302.808.872.0108Partial corr. (r) *.213.120.142.033.075874P-value.099.484.335.901.919.018Alanine aminotransferase (ALT).209.144.048.190.095(r)216.175.141.023.200<		All (n=64))	Men (n=50	6)	Women (n=8)
Albumin Spearman's corr. .229 .179 .147 .104 .593 .272 (r) P-value .069 .157 .279 .447 .121 .515 Partial corr. (r) * .217 .141 .143 .071 .738 .114 P-value .087 .271 .297 .607 .058 .807 Partial corr. (r) * .082 .151 023 .081 .765* .198 P-value .524 .236 .868 .557 .045 .671 Partial corr. (r) * .072 .116 034 .036 .763 .248 P-value .579 .369 .809 .795 .078 .636 Transthyretin Spearman's corr. .246 .132 .208 .048 .048 .857* (r) P-value .061 .433 .135 .987 .949 .018 Partial corr. (r) * .213 .120 .142 .033 .075 .889* P-value .094 .349		SMI	ASM%	SMI	ASM%	SMI	ASM%
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Albumin						
(r) P-value .069 .157 .279 .447 .121 .515 Partial corr. (r) " .217 .141 .143 .071 .738 .114 P-value .087 .271 .297 .607 .058 .807 Partial corr. (r) " .082 .151 023 .081 .765* .198 P-value .524 .236 .868 .557 .045 .671 Partial corr. (r) " .072 .116 034 .036 .763 .248 P-value .579 .369 .809 .795 .078 .636 Transthyretin .447 .725 .911 .007 Partial corr. (r) " .237 .101 .204 .002 .030 .839 ⁴ P-value .061 .433 .135 .987 .949 .018 Partial corr. (r) .213 .120 .142 .033 .075 .874 P-value .094 .349 .302 .808 <td>Spearman's corr.</td> <td>.229</td> <td>.179</td> <td>.147</td> <td>.104</td> <td>.593</td> <td>.272</td>	Spearman's corr.	.229	.179	.147	.104	.593	.272
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(r)						
Partial corr. (r) ^a 217 .141 .143 .071 .738 .114 P-value .087 .271 .297 .607 .058 .807 Partial corr. (r) ^b .082 .151 .023 .081 .765* .198 P-value .524 .236 .868 .557 .045 .671 Partial corr. (r) ^c .072 .116 .034 .036 .763 .248 P-value .579 .369 .809 .795 .078 .636 Transthyretin	P-value	.069	.157	.279	.447	.121	.515
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Partial corr. (r) ^a	.217	.141	.143	.071	.738	.114
Partial corr. (r) b $.082$ $.151$ 023 $.081$ $.765*$ $.198$ P-value $.524$ $.236$ $.868$ $.557$ $.045$ $.671$ Partial corr. (r) c $.072$ $.116$ 034 $.036$ $.763$ $.248$ P-value $.579$ $.369$ $.809$ $.795$ $.078$ $.636$ Transhyretin </td <td>P-value</td> <td>.087</td> <td>.271</td> <td>.297</td> <td>.607</td> <td>.058</td> <td>.807</td>	P-value	.087	.271	.297	.607	.058	.807
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Partial corr. (r) ^b	.082	.151	023	.081	.765*	.198
Partial corr. (r) c 0.72.116034.036.763.248P-value.579.369.809.795.078.636Transthyretin	P-value	.524	.236	.868	.557	.045	.671
P-value.579.369.809.795.078.636Transhyretin208.048.048 857^{*} Spearman's corr246.132.208.048.048 857^{*} (r)P-value.050.297.124.725.911.007Partial corr. (r) a.237.101.204.002.030 839^{*} P-value.061.433.135.987.949.018Partial corr. (r) b.213.120.142.033.075 874 P-value.094.349.302.808.872.010*Partial corr. (r) c.206.090.134017.054 889^{*} Partial corr. (r) c.206.090.134.017.054 889^{*} P-value.109.484.335.901.919.018Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)	Partial corr. (r) ^c	.072	.116	034	.036	.763	.248
Transthyretin Spearman's corr. $.246$ $.132$ $.208$ $.048$ $.048$ 857° P-value $.050$ $.297$ $.124$ $.725$ $.911$ $.007$ Partial corr. (r) ^a $.237$ $.101$ $.204$ $.002$ $.030$ 839° P-value $.061$ $.433$ $.135$ $.987$ $.949$ $.018$ Partial corr. (r) ^b $.213$ $.120$ $.142$ $.033$ $.075$ 874 P-value $.094$ $.349$ $.302$ $.808$ $.872$ $.010^{*}$ Partial corr. (r) ^c $.206$ $.090$ $.134$ 017 $.054$ 889^{*4} Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) Spearman's corr. $.271^{*}$ $.209$ $.144$ $.048$ $.190$ $.095$ (r) - - $.203$ $.098$ $.289$ $.726$ $.651$ $.823$ Partial corr. (r) ^a $.030$ $.098$ $.289$ $.016$ $.913$ $.106$ P-value $.039$ $.170$ $.$	P-value	.579	.369	.809	.795	.078	.636
Spearman's corr. $.246$ $.132$ $.208$ $.048$ $.048$ 857^{*} P-value $.050$ $.297$ $.124$ $.725$ $.911$ $.007$ Partial corr. (r) a $.237$ $.101$ $.204$ $.002$ $.030$ 839^{*} P-value $.061$ $.433$ $.135$ $.987$ $.949$ $.018$ Partial corr. (r) b $.213$ $.120$ $.142$ $.033$ $.075$ 874 P-value $.094$ $.349$ $.302$ $.808$ $.872$ $.010^{*}$ Partial corr. (r) c $.206$ $.090$ $.134$ 017 $.054$ 889^{*} P-value $.109$ $.484$ $.335$ $.901$ $.919$ $.018$ Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)Spearman's corr. $.271^{*}$ $.209$ $.144$ $.048$ $.190$ $.095$ (r)P-value $.030$ $.098$ $.289$ $.726$ $.651$ $.823$ Partial corr. (r) a $.261^{*}$ $.175$ $.141$ $.023$ $.200$ $.051$ P-value $.039$ $.170$ $.304$ $.868$ $.668$ $.913$ Partial corr. (r) b $.158$ $.186$ 032 $.016$ $.193$ $.106$ P-value $.245$ $.226$ $.776$ $.886$ $.596$ $.977$ Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)Spearman's corr. $.038$ $.181$ 169 001 $.238$ $.190$ (r) $.791$ $.168$ $.275^{*}$ 018 <t< td=""><td>Transthyretin</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Transthyretin						
(r)P-value.050.297.124.725.911.007Partial corr. (r)a.237.101.204.002.030 839° P-value.061.433.135.987.949.018Partial corr. (r)b.213.120.142.033.075 874 P-value.094.349.302.808.872.010*Partial corr. (r)c.206.090.134017.054 889° P-value.109.484.335.901.919.018Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)Spearman's corr271*.209.144.048.190.095(r)P-value.030.098.289.726.651.823Partial corr. (r)a.261*.175.141.023.200.051P-value.039.170.304.868.668.913Partial corr. (r)b.156040020.277.016P-value.216.144.819.917.678.820Partial corr. (r).150.156040020.277.016P-value.245.226.776.886.596.977Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)Spearman'	Spearman's corr.	.246	.132	.208	.048	.048	857**
P-value.050.297.124.725.911.007Partial corr. (r)a.237.101.204.002.030 839° P-value.061.433.135.987.949.018Partial corr. (r)b.213.120.142.033.075 874 P-value.094.349.302.808.872.010*Partial corr. (r)c.206.090.134017.054 889° P-value.109.484.335.901.919.018Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)Spearman's corr271*.209.144.048.190.095(r)P-value.030.098.289.726.651.823Partial corr. (r)a.261*.175.141.023.200.051P-value.039.170.304.868.668.913Partial corr. (r)b.158.186032.016.193.106P-value.216.144.819.917.678.820Partial corr. (r)c.150.156040.020.277.016P-value.245.226.776.886.596.977Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)Spearman's corr038.181169001.238.190(r)	(r)						
Partial corr. (r) a .237.101.204.002.030839*P-value.061.433.135.987.949.018Partial corr. (r) b .213.120.142.033.075874P-value.094.349.302.808.872.010*Partial corr. (r) c .206.090.134017.054889*P-value.109.484.335.901.919.018Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)Spearman's corr271*.209.144.048.190.095(r)P-value.030.098.289.726.651.823Partial corr. (r) a .261*.175.141.023.200.051P-value.039.170.304.868.668.913Partial corr. (r) b .156040020.277.016P-value.245.226.776.886.596.977Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)Spearman's corr038.181169001.238.190(r)P-valueP-value <t< td=""><td>P-value</td><td>.050</td><td>.297</td><td>.124</td><td>.725</td><td>.911</td><td>.007</td></t<>	P-value	.050	.297	.124	.725	.911	.007
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Partial corr. (r) ^a	.237	.101	.204	.002	.030	839*
Partial corr. (r) 213 120 $.142$ $.033$ $.075$ 874 P-value $.094$ $.349$ $.302$ $.808$ $.872$ $.010*$ Partial corr. (r) c $.206$ $.090$ $.134$ 017 $.054$ $889*$ P-value $.109$ $.484$ $.335$ $.901$ $.919$ $.018$ Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)Spearman's corr. $.271*$ $.209$ $.144$ $.048$ $.190$ $.095$ (r) $P-value.030.098.289P-valueP-value<$	P-value	.061	.433	.135	.987	.949	.018
P-value.094.349.302.808.872.010*Partial corr. (r) $^{\circ}$.206.090.134017.054889*P-value.109.484.335.901.919.018Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)	Partial corr. (r) ^b	.213	.120	.142	.033	.075	874
Partial corr. (r) c .206.090.134017.054889 a P-value.109.484.335.901.919.018Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)	P-value	.094	.349	.302	.808	.872	.010*
P-value.109.484.335.901.919.018Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)Spearman's corr271*.209.144.048.190.095(r)P-value.030.098.289.726.651.823Partial corr. (r) ^a .261*.175.141.023.200.051P-value.039.170.304.868.668.913Partial corr. (r) ^b .158.186032.016.193.106P-value.216.144.819.917.678.820Partial corr. (r) ^c .150.156040020.277016P-value.245.226.776.886.596.977Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)Spearman's corr038.181169001.238.190(r)P-value.766.152.213.993.570.651Partial corr. (r) ^a .034.176171013.236.339P-value.791.168.212.927.610.457Partial corr. (r) ^b .017.168.275*.018.277.290P-value.897.188.042.895.547.528Partial corr. (r) ^c .019.165279*.033.279.313P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratio/	Partial corr. (r) ^c	.206	.090	.134	017	.054	889*
Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)Number of the second structureNumber of the second structureNumber of the second structureSpearman's corr271*.209.144.048.190.095(r)P-value.030.098.289.726.651.823Partial corr. (r) a.261*.175.141.023.200.051P-value.039.170.304.868.668.913Partial corr. (r) b.158.186032.016.193.106P-value.216.144.819.917.678.820Partial corr. (r) c.150.156.040020.277016P-value.245.226.776.886.596.977Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)Spearman's corr038.181169001.238.190(r).766.152.213.993.570.651P-value.766.152.213.993.570.651Partial corr. (r) a.034.176171013.236.339P-value.791.168.212.927.610.457Partial corr. (r) b017.168.275*018.277.290P-value.897.188.042.895.547.528Partial corr. (r) c.019.165279*.033.279.313P-value.825.199.041 <td< td=""><td>P-value</td><td>.109</td><td>.484</td><td>.335</td><td>.901</td><td>.919</td><td>.018</td></td<>	P-value	.109	.484	.335	.901	.919	.018
Spearman's corr. $.271^*$ $.209$ $.144$ $.048$ $.190$ $.095$ (r)P-value $.030$ $.098$ $.289$ $.726$ $.651$ $.823$ Partial corr. (r) a $.261^*$ $.175$ $.141$ $.023$ $.200$ $.051$ P-value $.039$ $.170$ $.304$ $.868$ $.668$ $.913$ Partial corr. (r) b $.158$ $.186$ 032 $.016$ $.193$ $.106$ P-value $.216$ $.144$ $.819$ $.917$ $.678$ $.820$ Partial corr. (r) c $.150$ $.156$ 040 020 $.277$ 016 P-value $.245$ $.226$ $.776$ $.886$ $.596$ $.977$ Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)Spearman's corr. $.038$ $.181$ 169 001 $.238$ $.190$ (r)P-value $.766$ $.152$ $.213$ $.993$ $.570$ $.651$ Partial corr. (r) a $.034$ $.176$ 171 013 $.236$ $.339$ P-value $.791$ $.168$ $.212$ $.927$ $.610$ $.457$ Partial corr. (r) b 017 $.168$ $.275*$ 018 $.277$ $.290$ P-value $.897$ $.188$ $.042$ $.895$ $.547$ $.528$ Partial corr. (r) c 019 $.165$ $279*$ 033 $.279$ $.313$ P-value $.825$ $.199$ $.041$ $.812$ $.592$ $.546$ <	Alanine aminotrar	sferase (AL	T)				
IIIIIIIIIIP-value.030.098.289.726.651.823Partial corr. (r) a.261*.175.141.023.200.051P-value.039.170.304.868.668.913Partial corr. (r) b.158.186032.016.193.106P-value.216.144.819.917.678.820Partial corr. (r) c.150.156040020.277016P-value.245.226.776.886.596.977Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)Spearman's corr038.181169001.238.190(r)P-value.766.152.213.993.570.651Partial corr. (r) a.034.176171013.236.339P-value.791.168.212.927.610.457Partial corr. (r) b017.168275*018.277.290P-value.897.188.042.895.547.528Partial corr. (r) c.019.165279*.033.279.313P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratioSpearman's corr360**089386**031048.000	Spearman's corr.	.271*	.209	.144	.048	.190	.095
P-value.030.098.289.726.651.823Partial corr. (r) a.261*.175.141.023.200.051P-value.039.170.304.868.668.913Partial corr. (r) b.158.186032.016.193.106P-value.216.144.819.917.678.820Partial corr. (r) c.150.156040020.277016P-value.245.226.776.886.596.977Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)Spearman's corr038.181169001.238.190(r)P-valueP-valueP-valueP-valueP-valueP-valueP-valueP-valueP-valueP-value	(\mathbf{r})						
Partial corr. (r) a $.261*$ $.175$ $.141$ $.023$ $.200$ $.051$ P-value $.039$ $.170$ $.304$ $.868$ $.668$ $.913$ Partial corr. (r) b $.158$ $.186$ 032 $.016$ $.193$ $.106$ P-value $.216$ $.144$ $.819$ $.917$ $.678$ $.820$ Partial corr. (r) c $.150$ $.156$ 040 020 $.277$ 016 P-value $.245$ $.226$ $.776$ $.886$ $.596$ $.977$ Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)Spearman's corr. $.038$ $.181$ 169 001 $.238$ $.190$ (r)P-value $.766$ $.152$ $.213$ $.993$ $.570$ $.651$ Partial corr. (r) a $.034$ $.176$ 171 013 $.236$ $.339$ P-value $.791$ $.168$ $.212$ $.927$ $.610$ $.457$ Partial corr. (r) b 017 $.168$ $.275*$ 018 $.277$ $.290$ P-value $.897$ $.188$ $.042$ $.895$ $.547$ $.528$ Partial corr. (r) c 019 $.165$ $279*$ 033 $.279$ $.313$ P-value $.825$ $.199$ $.041$ $.812$ $.592$ $.546$ AST/ALT ratioSpearman's corr. $360**$ 089 $386**$ 031 048 $.000$	P-value	.030	.098	.289	.726	.651	.823
P-value.039.170.304.868.668.913Partial corr. (r) $^{\circ}$.158.186032.016.193.106P-value.216.144.819.917.678.820Partial corr. (r) $^{\circ}$.150.156040020.277016P-value.245.226.776.886.596.977Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)Spearman's corr038.181169001.238.190(r)P-value.766.152.213.993.570.651Partial corr. (r)a.034.176171013.236.339P-value.791.168.212.927.610.457Partial corr. (r)b017.168275*018.277.290P-value.897.188.042.895.547.528Partial corr. (r)c019.165279*033.279.313P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratioSpearman's corr360**089386**031048.000	Partial corr. (r) ^a	.261*	.175	.141	.023	.200	.051
Partial corr. (r) b.158.186.032.016.193.106P-value.216.144.819.917.678.820Partial corr. (r) c.150.156.040.020.277.016P-value.245.226.776.886.596.977Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)Spearman's corr038.181169.001.238.190(r)P-value.766.152.213.993.570.651Partial corr. (r) a.034.176171.013.236.339P-value.791.168.212.927.610.457Partial corr. (r) b.017.168.275*.018.277.290P-value.897.188.042.895.547.528Partial corr. (r) c.019.165.279*.033.279.313P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratio.500**.089.386**.031.048.000	P-value	.039	.170	.304	.868	.668	.913
P-value.216.144.819.917.678.820Partial corr. (r) c .150.156040020.277016P-value.245.226.776.886.596.977Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)Spearman's corr038.181169001.238.190(r)P-value.766.152.213.993.570.651Partial corr. (r) a .034.176171013.236.339P-value.791.168.212.927.610.457Partial corr. (r) b 017.168275*018.277.290P-value.897.188.042.895.547.528Partial corr. (r) c 019.165279*033.279.313P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratioSpearman's corr360**089386**031048.000	Partial corr. $(r)^{b}$.158	.186	032	.016	.193	.106
Partial corr. (r) c 150156040020.277016P-value.245.226.776.886.596.977Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)Spearman's corr038.181169001.238.190(r)P-value.766.152.213.993.570.651Partial corr. (r) a .034.176171013.236.339P-value.791.168.212.927.610.457Partial corr. (r) b 017.168275*018.277.290P-value.897.188.042.895.547.528Partial corr. (r) c 019.165279*033.279.313P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratioSpearman's corr360**089386**031048.000	P-value	.216	144	819	.917	.678	820
P-value.245.226.776.886.596.977Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)Spearman's corr038.181 169 001 .238.190(r)P-value.766.152.213.993.570.651Partial corr. (r) a.034.176 171 013 .236.339P-value.791.168.212.927.610.457Partial corr. (r) b017.168275*018.277.290P-value.897.188.042.895.547.528Partial corr. (r) c019.165279*033.279.313P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratio.360**089386**031048.000	Partial corr. $(r)^{c}$.150	.156	- 040	- 020	277	- 016
Aspartate aminotransferase (AST)	P-value	245	226	776	886	596	977
Spearman's corr038.181 169 001 .238.190(r)P-value.766.152.213.993.570.651Partial corr. (r) a.034.176 171 013 .236.339P-value.791.168.212.927.610.457Partial corr. (r) b 017 .168 $275*$ 018 .277.290P-value.897.188.042.895.547.528Partial corr. (r) c 019 .165 $279*$ 033 .279.313P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratioSpearman's corr. $360**$ 089 $386**$ 031 048 .000	Aspartate aminotra	ansferase (A	ST)	.//0	.000	.070	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Speaman 5 cont100 1100 1100 1100 1100 1(r)P-value.766.152.213.993.570.651Partial corr. (r) a .034.176171013.236.339P-value.791.168.212.927.610.457Partial corr. (r) b 017.168275*018.277.290P-value.897.188.042.895.547.528Partial corr. (r) c 019.165279*033.279.313P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratio.360**089386**031048.000	Spearman's corr.	.038	.181	- 169	- 001	238	190
P-value.766.152.213.993.570.651Partial corr. (r) a.034.176 171 013 .236.339P-value.791.168.212.927.610.457Partial corr. (r) b 017 .168 $275*$ 018 .277.290P-value.897.188.042.895.547.528Partial corr. (r) c 019 .165 $279*$ 033 .279.313P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratioSpearman's corr. $360**$ 089 $386**$ 031 048 .000	(r)	1020				.230	
Partial corr. (r) a .034.176171013.236.339P-value.791.168.212.927.610.457Partial corr. (r) b 017.168275*018.277.290P-value.897.188.042.895.547.528Partial corr. (r) c 019.165279*033.279.313P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratio	P-value	766	152	213	993	570	651
P-value.791.168.212.927.610.457Partial corr. (r) b 017.168275*018.277.290P-value.897.188.042.895.547.528Partial corr. (r) c 019.165279*033.279.313P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratioSpearman's corr360**089386**031048.000	Partial corr $(r)^{a}$	034	176	- 171	- 013	236	339
Partial corr. (r) b 017.168212727.290P-value.897.188.042.895.547.528Partial corr. (r) c 019.165279*033.279.313P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratio	P-value	791	168	212	927	.230	457
P-value.897.188.042.895.547.528Partial corr. (r) c 019.165279*033.279.313P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratioSpearman's corr360**089386**031048.000(r)	Partial corr $(r)^{b}$	- 017	168	- 275*	- 018	277	290
Partial corr. (r) c 019.160042035517526Partial corr. (r) c 019.165279*033.279.313P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratio	P-value	.017 897	188	042	895	.277 547	.220
P-value.825.199.041.812.592.546AST/ALT ratioSpearman's corr. 360^{**} 089 386^{**} 031 048 $.000$	Partial corr $(r)^{c}$	- 019	165	- 279*	- 033	279	313
AST/ALT ratio Spearman's corr. 360^{**} 089 386^{**} 031 048 .000 (r)	P-value	825	199	041	812	592	.515 546
Spearman's corr. 360^{**} 089 386^{**} 031 048 .000 (r)	AST/ALT ratio	.020	.1//	•V71	.012		
(r)	Snearman's corr	- 360**	- 089	- 386**	- 031	- 048	000
	(r)	.500	.007	.200	.0.51	.0+0	.000
P-value 003 484 003 823 911 1.00	P-value	003	484	003	823	911	1.00
Partial corr (r) a^{-3} - 351** - 043 - 384** - 001 - 117 361	Partial corr (r) ^a	- 351**	- 043	- 384**	- 001	- 117	361
$P_{\text{avalue}} = 005 736 001 001 017 017 001 017 017 001 017 $	$\mathbf{P}_{\text{value}}$	551	0 4 5 736		001	117	.301 127

Table 3 Correlations between SMI, ASM% and serum biomarkers.

Partial corr. (r) ^b	264*	054	285*	002	025	.135
P-value	.036	.675	.035	.986	.958	.773
Partial corr. (r) ^c	257*	011	281*	.037	139	.393
P-value	.044	.932	.040	.792	.793	.441
C-terminal agrin fi	ragment					
Spearman's corr.	042	180	008	161	.429	.286
(r)						
P-value	.741	.154	.956	.235	.289	.493
Partial corr. (r) ^a	029	146	002	133	.429	.303
P-value	.823	.253	.988	.334	.337	.509
Partial corr. (r) ^b	048	182	015	-/163	.436	.311
P-value	.706	.152	.912	.234	.329	.497
Partial corr. (r) ^c	038	148	008	134	.476	.277
P-value	.770	.250	.955	.333	.340	.595

corr., correlation. *P <0.05, **P <0.01. ASM% = appendicular skeletal muscle; SMI = skeletal muscle index (kg.m⁻²). Non-parametric partial correlations are corrected for (a) high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, (b) age, and (c) high-sensitivity C-reactive protein and age.